

Northwest Syria Cash Working Group Earthquake Response Bulletin

This is a PDF of an online report. Click [here](#) to access it

16 May, 2023

Contents

1. MPC response overview	1
1.1 Progress by date	3
2. Map of activities	3
3. Geographic breakdown of beneficiaries	3
3.1 By sub-district	5
3.2 Comparisons with PIN and earthquake damage	5
3.3 Planned activities	7
4. Cash response actors and cash disbursed	9
4.1 USD disbursed per partner	11
5. Annexes	12
5.1 Interactive reference table at sub-district level	12
5.2 Reporting quality	12

1. MPC response overview

As of **10 May 2023**, a total of **535,945** persons or **107,840** families have been reached by MPC interventions.

A total of USD **17.4 million** has been disbursed by **26** implementing agencies across **34** sub-districts.

Only beneficiaries who have received at least USD 100/family/month have been included; excludes sector-based activities such as non-MPC cash-for-food.

Table 1: 536,000 people reached with MPC, USD 17.4 million disbursed, 10 May 2023

governorate	district	comm.	partners	households	beneficiaries	total_usd	%_BNF¹
Aleppo	A'zaz	8	9	4,046	15,272	418,232	2.85
Aleppo	Afrin	100	18	25,499	124,062	3,562,287	23.15
Aleppo	Al Bab	3	5	2,118	7,711	309,138	1.44
Aleppo	Jarablus	2	4	791	2,335	116,390	0.44
Aleppo	Jebel Saman	17	11	10,412	59,304	1,542,012	11.07
Ar-Raqqa	Tell Abiad	2	1	132	547	22,256	0.10
Idleb	Ariha	3	3	465	2,366	61,100	0.44
Idleb	Harim	51	17	55,435	240,381	8,469,088	44.85
Idleb	Idleb	23	14	13,273	66,556	2,306,556	12.42
Idleb	Jisr-Ash-Shugur	32	5	4,196	17,411	575,818	3.25
Total	-	-	-	116,367	535,945	17,382,877	100.00

¹As percentage of all MPC beneficiaries reached in NW Syria

161,139 beneficiary frequencies were reached in February 2023 and 201,946 were reached in March 2023. 177,782 have been reached so far in April 2023.


This document distinguishes between beneficiaries, or unique individuals reached, and beneficiary frequencies, or the number of times people have been reached, inclusive of double counting.

1.1 Progress by date

MPC progress by date

The earliest earthquake-related distribution was 2023-02-09

Excludes 18,548 beneficiaries for which the date was not reported or was unreadable



The small number of beneficiaries reached earlier than February all pertained to the HRP, the only such beneficiaries reported so far. The CWG would like to encourage partners to report on their January achievements.

2. Map of activities

This is a static version of the original interactive plot, which can be accessed [here](#).

3. Geographic breakdown of beneficiaries

Coverage continues to be highest in Afrin and Harim districts, where the highest numbers of damaged houses and wounded people are located (though these areas do not necessarily have the highest proportions of damage).




Table 2: Casualties and damaged houses, absolute figures and per 100,000 persons

governorate	district	wounded_dead ¹	wounded_dead_100k ¹	damaged_houses ¹	damaged_houses_100k ¹
Aleppo	A'zaz	356	57.87	276	44.86
Aleppo	Afrin	2,232	478.79	2,910	624.22
Aleppo	Al Bab	206	70.04	832	282.89
Aleppo	Jarablus	40	33.82	257	217.30
Aleppo	Jebel Saman	616	236.80	176	67.66
Idleb	Harim	8,449	507.65	3,230	194.07
Idleb	Idleb	350	48.23	946	130.37
Idleb	Jisr-Ash-Shugur	595	183.68	1,915	591.18

¹Data from the Assistance Coordination Unit, Syria 20230328;

Below is a breakdown of beneficiaries based on whether they originate from camps or villages. The majority of MPC beneficiaries are from villages.

Table 3: More than twice as many beneficiaries from villages as from camps


village_camps	beneficiaries	%_beneficiaries
camp	118,415	21.47
village	283,985	51.48
NA	149,255	27.06

3.1 By sub-district

The plots below show the breakdown of beneficiaries by sub-district. Caution must be taken to ensure that allocations are fair across the affected areas: there are numerous sub-districts where a very large proportion of the total population has already been reached by multi-purpose cash, in particular, Jandairis, Harim, Salqin and Sharan.

Response activities must be proportional with the magnitude and severity of earthquake damage. Overallocation deprives affected persons of the aid they are due.


The average percentage of the population reached, of all sub-districts, is 11.92%.



3.2 Comparisons with PIN and earthquake damage


The plot below shows the total population of each sub-district on the x-axis (for the moment, all persons in these areas are assumed to be affected and are included in the PIN) and the number of beneficiaries on the y-axis.

The size of each point indicates the number of dead or wounded persons there per 100,000.



The higher or lower a sub-district is above the blue line, the more disproportionate the number of beneficiaries allocated to that location are.

This should also be compared to each sub-district's share of the earthquake damage. Of particular note, sub-districts in grey have yet to receive any MPC assistance.



The CWG is currently working on a prioritisation score, which can be a useful shorthand, that will take into account pre-existing vulnerability (likely from the MSNA) as well as earthquake impacts.

3.3 Planned activities

Whilst it is heartening to see new sub-districts, the majority of planned allocations have been accorded to areas that have already received relatively high levels of support.




Table 4: 14 partners have reported planned activities

project_status	partners	beneficiaries	%_beneficiaries
Completed	25	535,945	82.62
Planned	16	107,574	16.58
Suspended	2	5,148	0.79

The sum of planned beneficiaries is **107,574**. If this is the extent of all planned MPC activities, the CWG as a whole can expect to reach **97%** of its target of **662,924** persons. But whilst the scale of achievements is important, it is also key to ensure that allocations are fair.

Table 5: Planned beneficiaries by month

month ¹	beneficiaries
Apr	54,950
May	10,398
Jun	4,200
Jul	28,520
NA	9,506

¹Some dates are missing or improperly encoded;

4. Cash response actors and cash disbursed

USD **17.4 million** has been distributed across **251** communities and **34** sub-districts in NW Syria.


Table 6: Reach and footprint of cash response actors

implementing_partner¹	beneficiary_freq	districts	communities
GOAL (GOAL)	119,042	3	82
International Rescue Committee (IRC)	87,363	3	22
Shafak Organization (SO)	59,185	5	16
People in Need (PIN)	48,637	6	29
Ataa Humanitarian Relief Association (ATAA)	30,983	9	35
CBRTWG-031	30,904	6	55
Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	30,430	2	4
Mercy-USA for Aid and Development (MUSA)	27,280	5	37
Ihsan for Relief and Development (IRD)	23,526	3	20
Violet Organization (VO)	20,245	3	17
Mercy Without Limits (MWL)	16,460	4	10
World Vision International (WVI)	12,048	1	4
Bahar Organization (BO)	12,000	1	1
Uluslararası İnsani Yardım Derneği (IYD)	11,449	4	16
Syrian Association for Relief and Development (SARD)	9,303	4	7
Solidarités International (SI)	7,058	1	5
International Humanitarian Relief (IHR)	6,654	3	9
Welthungerhilfe (WHH)	4,830	4	4
Elaf for Relief and Development (ELAF)	3,300	2	2
Ghiras Al Nahda (GAN)	3,050	1	2
Bonyan Organization (BO)	2,951	1	4
The Syrian Est. for Human Care and Development (Massrat)	2,692	3	3
CBRTWG-033	1,769	3	7
Al-Sham Humanitarian Foundation (AHF)	990	7	7
Social Development International (SDI)	975	2	3
Seeds Of Hope Relief and Development (SOH)	570	1	1

¹Only partners who provided more than USD 100/family/month;

In the scatterplot below, each point is a single cash working group partner. The x-axis indicates the number of beneficiary frequencies reached per agency and the y-axis indicates the number of communities (admin4) reached. Mouse over each point for more details.

This is a static version of the original interactive plot, which can be accessed [here](#).



4.1 USD disbursed per partner

Table 7: USD disbursed and families reached by partner

implementing_partner	total_usd	families	usd_per_fam	%_usd_total
GOAL (GOAL)	3,744,300	24,962	150.00	21.54
International Rescue Committee (IRC)	2,303,550	15,357	150.00	13.25
Ataa Humanitarian Relief Association (ATAA)	1,784,785	7,201	247.85	10.27
Shafak Organization (SO)	1,672,600	11,837	141.30	9.62
Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	1,272,600	8,484	150.00	7.32
People in Need (PIN)	1,173,900	7,826	150.00	6.75
Ihsan for Relief and Development (IRD)	689,550	4,597	150.00	3.97
Violet Organization (VO)	653,700	4,358	150.00	3.76
CBRTWG-031	548,800	6,150	89.24	3.16
Mercy-USA for Aid and Development (MUSA)	530,300	5,402	98.17	3.05
Mercy Without Limits (MWL)	438,372	3,325	131.84	2.52
Uluslararası İnsani Yardım Derneği (IYD)	375,000	2,500	150.00	2.16
Bahar Organization (BO)	360,000	2,400	150.00	2.07
Welthungerhilfe (WHH)	344,400	2,296	150.00	1.98
World Vision International (WVI)	301,200	2,008	150.00	1.73
Syrian Association for Relief and Development (SARD)	286,245	1,907	150.10	1.65
Solidarités International (SI)	218,250	1,455	150.00	1.26
International Humanitarian Relief (IHR)	179,250	1,195	150.00	1.03
Elaf for Relief and Development (ELAF)	160,000	600	266.67	0.92
Ghiras Al Nahda (GAN)	91,500	610	150.00	0.53
Bonyan Organization (BO)	86,471	575	150.38	0.50
The Syrian Est. for Human Care and Development (Massrat)	81,000	540	150.00	0.47
CBRTWG-033	28,100	311	90.35	0.16
Social Development International (SDI)	25,500	170	150.00	0.15
Al-Sham Humanitarian Foundation (AHF)	20,625	165	125.00	0.12
Seeds Of Hope Relief and Development (SOH)	12,880	136	94.71	0.07

The CWG is currently working with other Clusters to consolidate all cash-based activities and will soon be able to provide more comprehensive reporting.

5. Annexes

5.1 Interactive reference table at sub-district level

Columns include: `governorate`, `district`, `sub-district`, `total population`, `beneficiaries`, `total usd disbursed`, `wounded and dead`, `wounded and dead per 100k`, `damaged houses`, `damaged houses per 100k`, `admin3pcode`

This interactive table does not work in a PDF. Please access it online [here](#).

5.2 Reporting quality

This section documents issues encountered in the cleaning of 4W and FSL data and their impacts on the quality of reporting the CWG can provide. The recommendations by the CWG are included to enhance reporting quality:

1. The CWG has shifted into a new 4Ws template for biweekly reporting to better capture the earthquake response. Deadlines are set on the 10th and the 25th of each month. 9 partners reported achievements in February and 11 reported achievements in March, with 22 partners having reported in April. If no MPC activities were implemented, please inform the CWG as well.
2. For this reporting period, activities pertaining to 18,548 beneficiaries were missing their dates or had dates in unreadable formats. Please ensure that the **start date** and **end date** are entered in the format **mm/dd/yyyy** and select the **month of reporting** from the options in the dropdown menu.
3. For sex-and-age disggregations, please do not backfill these columns using calculations based on the census or the MSNA. If no disaggregated data is available to your organisation, please leave these columns blank.
4. The Cash Working Group is currently only collecting planned and implemented **Multipurpose Cash** achievements. Whilst the CWG welcomes additional inputs on other CVA activities, we also want to ensure that the reporting load is as low as possible for partners i.e. if an agency has implemented Cash-for-Work, this should be reported to the ERL Cluster. The CWG will work with all Clusters to develop a consolidated picture of response-wide CVA interventions.
5. Please indicate in the **previously_assisted** column whether or not beneficiaries have been reached before. However, beneficiaries are being reported to the Cash Working Group for this first time, even if you have reached them before, please indicate No in this column. This column is used calculate the number of unique individuals reached.