

# Northwest Syria Cash Working Group Earthquake Response Bulletin

This is a PDF of an online report. Click [here](#) to access it

19 July, 2023

## Contents

<b>1. MPC response overview</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Progress by date . . . . .	2
<b>2. Map of activities</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Geographic breakdown of beneficiaries</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 By sub-district . . . . .	5
3.2 Comparisons with PIN and earthquake damage . . . . .	6
3.3 Planned activities . . . . .	7
<b>4. Cash response actors and cash disbursed</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1 Cash disbursed per partner . . . . .	10
4.2 Currency disbursed . . . . .	11
<b>5. Annexes</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1 Interactive reference table at sub-district level . . . . .	12
5.2 Reporting quality . . . . .	12

# 1. MPC response overview

As of **15 July 2023**, a total of **687,767** persons or **137,081** families have been reached by MPC interventions.

A total of USD **21.6 million** has been disbursed by **29** implementing agencies in **266** communities across **35** sub-districts.

*Only beneficiaries who have received at least USD 100/family/month have been included; excludes sector-based activities such as non-MPC cash-for-food.*

162,231 beneficiary frequencies were reached in February 2023 and 215,163 were reached in March 2023. 160,999 were reached in April 2023 and 88,653 have been reached in May 2023 and 60,020 have been reached in June 2023 and 24,499 have been reached in July 2023.


*This document distinguishes between beneficiaries, or unique individuals reached, and beneficiary frequencies, or the number of times people have been reached, inclusive of double counting.*

## 1.1 Progress by date

### MPC progress by date

The earliest earthquake-related distribution was 09 Feb 2023

Excludes 39,094 beneficiaries for which activity end date was after 15 July 2023




The small number of beneficiaries reached earlier than February all pertained to the HRP, the only such beneficiaries reported so far. The CWG would like to encourage partners to report on their January achievements.

## 2. Map of activities

This is a static version of the original interactive plot, which can be accessed [here](#).

Communities reached and planned — CWG partners

Planned in yellow, completed in purple, size shows number of persons



## 3. Geographic breakdown of beneficiaries

Coverage continues to be highest in Afrin and Harim districts, where the highest numbers of damaged houses and wounded people are located (though these areas do not necessarily have the highest proportions of damage).




Table 1: Casualties and damaged houses, absolute figures and per 100,000 persons

governorate	district	wounded_d	wounded_h	damaged_h	damaged_h_100k <sup>1</sup>
Aleppo	A'zaz	356	57.87	276	44.86
Aleppo	Afrin	2,232	478.79	2,910	624.22
Aleppo	Al Bab	206	70.04	832	282.89
Aleppo	Jarablus	40	33.82	257	217.30
Aleppo	Jebel Saman	616	236.80	176	67.66
Idleb	Harim	8,449	507.65	3,230	194.07
Idleb	Idleb	350	48.23	946	130.37
Idleb	Jisr-Ash-Shugur	595	183.68	1,915	591.18

<sup>1</sup>Data from the Assistance Coordination Unit, Syria 20230328;

Below is a breakdown of beneficiaries based on whether they originate from camps or villages. The majority of MPC beneficiaries are from villages.

Table 2: More than twice as many beneficiaries from villages as from camps

village_camp	beneficiaries	%_beneficiaries
camp	124,883	18.16
village	274,661	39.94

Table 2: More than twice as many beneficiaries from villages as from camps


village_camp	beneficiaries	%_beneficiaries
NA	288,223	41.91

### 3.1 By sub-district

The plots below show the breakdown of beneficiaries by sub-district. Caution must be taken to ensure that allocations are fair across the affected areas: there are numerous sub-districts where a very large proportion of the total population has already been reached by multi-purpose cash, in particular, Jandairis, Harim, Salqin and Sharan.

Response activities must be proportional with the magnitude and severity of earthquake damage. Overallocation deprives affected persons of the aid they are due.


The average percentage of the population reached, of all sub-districts, is 11.04%.



### 3.2 Comparisons with PIN and earthquake damage


The plot below shows the total population of each sub-district on the x-axis (for the moment, all persons in these areas are assumed to be affected and are included in the PIN) and the number of beneficiaries on the y-axis.

The size of each point indicates the number of dead or wounded persons there per 100,000.



The higher or lower a sub-district is above the blue line, the more disproportionate the number of beneficiaries allocated to that location are.

This should also be compared to each sub-district's share of the earthquake damage. Of particular note, sub-districts in grey have yet to receive any MPC assistance.



The CWG has also produced an [inter-sectoral dataset](#) at community-level which should aid in the targeting of under-allocated areas.

### 3.3 Planned activities

Whilst it is heartening to see new sub-districts, the majority of planned allocations have been accorded to areas that have already received relatively high levels of support.




Table 3: 14 partners have reported planned activities

project_stat	partners	beneficiaries	%_beneficiaries
Completed	28	687,767	66.75
Planned	15	337,445	32.75
Suspended	1	5,148	0.50

The sum of planned beneficiaries is **359,312**. If this is the extent of all planned MPC activities, the CWG as a whole can expect to reach **155%** of its target of **662,924** persons. But whilst the scale of achievements is important, it is also key to ensure that allocations are fair.

Table 4: Planned beneficiaries by month

month <sup>1</sup>	beneficiaries
Apr	11,226
May	21,663
Jun	43,700
Jul	135,300
Aug	85,593
Nov	13,652
Dec	17,900



NA 30,278

<sup>1</sup>Some dates are missing or improperly encoded;

## 4. Cash response actors and cash disbursed

USD **21.6 million** has been distributed across **266** communities and **35** sub-districts in NW Syria.

Table 5: Reach and footprint of cash response actors

implementing_partner <sup>1</sup>	beneficiary_freq	districts	communities
GOAL (GOAL)	150,251	4	96
Shafak Organization (SO)	116,063	7	37
International Rescue Committee (IRC)	94,708	3	22
Ataa Humanitarian Relief Association (ATAA)	58,251	9	37
Ihsan for Relief and Development (IRD)	51,098	6	32
CBRTWG-031	39,705	6	62
Uluslararası İnsani Yardım Derneği (IYD)	28,687	6	19
Mercy-USA for Aid and Development (MUSA)	27,905	5	37
Violet Organization (VO)	27,400	3	24
Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	22,850	2	4
Syria Relief (SR)	20,525	4	12
Mercy Without Limits (MWL)	19,474	4	10
People in Need (PIN)	12,804	5	6
World Vision International (WVI)	12,048	1	4
Bahar Organization (BO)	12,000	1	1
Insan Charity	7,874	1	1
Solidarités International (SI)	7,058	1	5
International Humanitarian Relief (IHR)	6,654	3	9
Hand in Hand For Syria (HIHFS)	6,651	1	7
CBRTWG-033	5,158	3	7
Welthungerhilfe (WHH)	4,830	4	4
Elaf for Relief and Development (ELAF)	3,800	3	3
Social Development International (SDI)	3,332	2	3
Ghiras Al Nahda (GAN)	3,050	1	2
Bonyan Organization (BO)	2,951	1	4

<sup>1</sup>Only partners who provided more than USD 100/family/month;


Table 5: Reach and footprint of cash response actors

implementing_partner <sup>1</sup>	beneficiary_freq	districts	communities
The Syrian Est. for Human Care and Development (Massrat)	2,692	3	3
Al-Sham Humanitarian Foundation (AHF)	1,980	7	7
Syrian Association for Relief and Development (SARD)	1,683	3	4
Seeds Of Hope Relief and Development (SOH)	916	1	1

<sup>1</sup>Only partners who provided more than USD 100/family/month;

In the scatter plot below, each point is a single cash working group partner. The x-axis indicates the number of beneficiary frequencies reached per agency and the y-axis indicates the number of communities (admin4) reached. Mouse over each point for more details.

This is a static version of the original interactive plot, which can be accessed [in this section](#).



#### 4.1 Cash disbursed per partner

Table 6: Cash disbursed and families reached by partner

implementing_partner	total_usd	families	usd_per_fam	%_usd_total
GOAL (GOAL)	4,721,550	31,477	150.00	21.85
Shafak Organization (SO)	3,284,200	22,581	145.44	15.20
International Rescue Committee (IRC)	2,515,050	16,767	150.00	11.64
Ataa Humanitarian Relief Association (ATAA)	2,208,774	12,739	173.39	10.22
Ihsan for Relief and Development (IRD)	1,484,550	9,897	150.00	6.87

Table 6: Cash disbursed and families reached by partner

implementing_partner	total_usd	families	usd_per_fam	%_usd_total
Violet Organization (VO)	868,350	5,789	150.00	4.02
CBRTWG-031	764,275	7,668	99.67	3.54
Uluslararası İnsani Yardım Derneği (IYD)	646,950	6,126	105.61	2.99
Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)	636,300	4,242	150.00	2.94
Syria Relief (SR)	578,350	4,160	139.03	2.68
Mercy-USA for Aid and Development (MUSA)	561,900	5,718	98.27	2.60
Mercy Without Limits (MWL)	465,522	4,230	110.05	2.15
People in Need (PIN)	411,450	2,743	150.00	1.90
Bahar Organization (BO)	360,000	2,400	150.00	1.67
Welthungerhilfe (WHH)	344,400	2,296	150.00	1.59
World Vision International (WVI)	301,200	2,008	150.00	1.39
Solidarités International (SI)	218,250	1,455	150.00	1.01
Hand in Hand For Syria (HIHFS)	210,079	1,400	150.06	0.97
International Humanitarian Relief (IHR)	179,250	1,195	150.00	0.83
Elaf for Relief and Development (ELAF)	170,000	700	242.86	0.79
Insan Charity	150,000	1,500	100.00	0.69
Ghiras Al Nahda (GAN)	91,500	610	150.00	0.42
Bonyan Organization (BO)	86,471	575	150.38	0.40
CBRTWG-033	82,800	903	91.69	0.38
Social Development International (SDI)	82,650	551	150.00	0.38
The Syrian Est. for Human Care and Development (Massrat)	81,000	540	150.00	0.37
Syrian Association for Relief and Development (SARD)	46,948	341	137.68	0.22
Al-Sham Humanitarian Foundation (AHF)	41,250	330	125.00	0.19
Seeds Of Hope Relief and Development (SOH)	19,560	219	89.32	0.09

The CWG is currently working with other Clusters to consolidate all cash-based activities and will soon be able to provide more comprehensive reporting.

## 4.2 Currency disbursed

A total of **88.99%** of cash disbursed was in USD.

Table 7: Proportion of cash disbursed by currency

currency	total_usd	%_of_total
TRY	2,378,737	11.01

Table 7: Proportion of cash disbursed by currency

currency	total_usd	%_of_total
USD	19,233,841	88.99
Total	21,612,578	100.00

## 5. Annexes

### 5.1 Interactive reference table at sub-district level

Columns include: governorate, district, sub-district, total population, beneficiaries, total usd disbursed, wounded and dead, wounded and dead per 100k, damaged houses, damaged houses per 100k, admin3pcode

This interactive table does not work in a PDF. Please access it online [here](#).

### 5.2 Reporting quality

This section documents issues encountered in the cleaning of 4W and FSL data and their impacts on the quality of reporting the CWG can provide. The recommendations by the CWG are included to enhance reporting quality:

1. The CWG has shifted into a new 4Ws template for monthly reporting to better capture the earthquake response. Deadline is set on the 15th of each month. 10 partners reported achievements in February and 11 reported achievements in March, with 18 partners having reported in April and 17 having reported in May. and 10 having reported in June and 7 having reported in July. If no MPC activities were implemented, please inform the CWG as well.
2. For this reporting period, activities pertaining to 39,094 beneficiaries were missing their dates or had dates in unreadable formats. Please ensure that the **start date** and **end date** are entered in the format **mm/dd/yyyy** and select the **month of reporting** from the options in the drop down menu.
3. For sex-and-age dis-aggregations, please do not back fill these columns using calculations based on the census or the MSNA. If no dis-aggregated data is available to your organisation, please leave these columns blank.
4. The Cash Working Group is currently only collecting planned and implemented **Multipurpose Cash** achievements. Whilst the CWG welcomes additional inputs on other CVA activities, we also want to ensure that the reporting load is as low as possible for partners i.e. if an agency has implemented Cash-for-Work, this should be reported to the ERL Cluster. The CWG will work with all Clusters to develop a consolidated picture of response-wide CVA interventions.
5. Please indicate in the **previously\_assisted** column whether or not beneficiaries have been reached before. However, beneficiaries are being reported to the Cash Working Group for this first time, even if you have reached them before, please indicate No in this column. This column is used calculate the number of unique individuals reached.