

```

      DIMENSION X(200),Y(200)
      READ 50, N
50  FORMAT(I5)
      2 READ 51, (X(K), Y(K), K = 1, N)
51  FORMAT (2F10.5)
      READ 52,A
52  FORMAT (F10.5)
      IF (X(1)-A)41, 41, 11
41  IF(A-X(N))5, 5, 11
11  PRINT 53,A
53  FORMAT(1H ,F10.5,
1    26H IS NOT IN RANGE OF TABLE.)
      STOP
5  LOW = 1
      IHIGH = N
6  IF (IHIGH-LOW-1)7, 12, 7
12  PRINT 54, XLOW, YLOW, A, XHIGH, YHIGH
54  FORMAT(1H 5F10.5)
      STOP
7  MID = (LOW + IHIGH)/2
      IF (A-X(MID))9, 9, 10
9  IHIGH = MID
      GO TO 6
10  LOW = MID
      GO TO 6
      END

```

First we correct statement 12 to refer to the arrays *X* and *Y*, with the appropriate subscripts *X*(*LOW*), *X*(*IHIGH*), and so on. Presumably this error arose from a careless transcription from mathematical notation to Fortran. The program does not check that *N* is in range, but it does test whether *A* is inside the table range, which is good.

What happens if we try to search a table containing only one entry? *LOW* and *IHIGH* are both set to one, so we immediately go to statement 7, which sets *MID* to one as well. Now, since *A* equals *X*(1) (*A* has been tested to be sure it is in the table), we branch to statement 9, where *IHIGH* is set to one (which does not change it!) and we return to statement 6. *LOW* and *IHIGH* are still both set to one, so we immediately go to statement 7... This program is going to run for a long time.

The problem is that not all possible reasons for terminating the search loop were taken into account. We could patch up this bug with special handling when *N* is 1, but before we do, let us examine another case. Suppose that the table contains several entries, and that the entry at *X*(1) happens to match *A*. Then *IHIGH* and *MID* will steadily converge toward 1, while *LOW* remains at 1. When *IHIGH* gets to either 3 or 4, *MID* is set to 2; then since *A* is less than *X*(2), *IHIGH* is set to 2. Now *IHIGH*-*LOW* is 1, so the *IF* at statement 6 sends us to statement 12, and we exit. *LOW* and *IHIGH* are left pointing at *X*(1) and *X*(2) even though there is an exact match at *X*(1). We leave it to the reader to decide how pervasive this error is.

Patching is no substitute for rewriting: