Isa 31:3). Note, however, that many of the parallel constructions employing $\dot{e}l$ and $\dot{e}l\hat{o}ha$ in ways that suggest interchangeability with $\dot{e}l\hat{o}h\hat{n}m$ occur primarily in texts dating to the Babylonian exile and later, which suggests either their semantic harmonization in later periods, or a growing concern for lexical variation in the relevant constructions. If In the earlier periods, $\dot{e}l\hat{o}h\hat{n}m$ is almost always the noun of choice, and particularly when used with a proper noun. If The frequent references to "other deities," "foreign deities," "their deities," and "deity of GN," as well as $\dot{e}l/\dot{e}l\hat{o}h\hat{e}$ (ha) $\dot{e}l\hat{o}h\hat{n}m$, "deity of deities" (Deut 10:17; Josh 22:22; Ps 84:8; 136:2) demonstrate that YHWH was conceptualized as one member of a generic class that had many other members. I would suggest the most precise construal of this semantic field in English is a spectrum from concrete to abstract: Deity \leftrightarrow deity \leftrightarrow divinity. Any point along this spectrum may be profiled by a given contextual use of the relevant terms.

Much has been made of the morphologically plural form of 'ĕlōhîm used with singular referents, including YHWH (Gen 1:1; 1 Kgs 11:33; 18:27). 16 The most common explanation has for some time been the notion of a "plural of majesty," which views the plural as honorific or intensifying. 17 Three observations complicate that explanation, however: (1) the plural 'ĕlōhîm appears in pejorative references to individual foreign deities, 18 (2) 'ēl and 'ĕlōhîm are used

¹³ Deut 32:17 is an interesting case. It refers to worship of $\bar{s}\bar{e}d\hat{l}m$ (*shaddays* or perhaps "demons") as $l\bar{o}$ ' $\bar{e}l\hat{o}ha$. This is frequently translated as "not deity," but the appositional clause that immediately follows refer to them as ' $\bar{e}l\bar{o}h\hat{l}m$ $l\bar{o}$ ' $yad\bar{a}$ 'lm," "deities they did not know." In agreement with Michael Heiser (2008b), I would argue ' $\bar{e}l\bar{o}h\hat{e}$ there is to be understood in the titular sense: "they sacrificed to *shaddays*, not to the Deity."

¹⁴ For example, all three terms occur with the same general sense in Dan 11:36–38.

¹⁵ There are eighteen occurrences of the plural "deities of [PROPER NOUN]," and an additional seven occurrences of the singular "deity of [PROPER NOUN]," and all utilize 'èlōhîm. "Deity of Israel" ('èlōhê yiśrā 'ēl) occurs 196 times, with the highest frequency of occurrence in Ezra (1.68 times per 1,000 words), Jeremiah (1.49 times per 1,000 words), and 2 Chronicles (1.03 times per 1,000 words). There are no occurrences in Leviticus or Deuteronomy.

¹⁶ Sometimes plural verbs occur alongside what appear to be singular referents. Discussing Exod 22:8–9, David Wright (2009, 256, n. 78) calls this an "emphatic formulation," citing in addition, Gen 20:13; 31:53; 35:7; Josh 24:19; 2 Sam 7:23. Multiple other Semitic languages attest to the morphologically plural use of words for "god" with singular referents. Extensive coverage is found in Burnett 2001, 7–53.

¹⁷ Gesenius 1910, 124g; Ember 1905; Waltke and O'Connor 1990, 7.4.3*a*–*f*; Joüon and Muraoka 2006, §136*d*; Byrne 2011, 28; Beckman 2013, 3.145–46.

¹⁸ For example, 1 Kgs 11:33; 2 Kgs 1:2–3, 6, 16. This demonstrably non-honorific usage of the "plural of majesty" is found in other Semitic literature as well. For instance, a "plural of majesty" in the Amarna correspondences is particularly undermined by the occurrence of the morphologically plural IR.MEŠ ("servant") with a singular referent in EA 47:11. It