

API Specification

Silhouette

Group 12:
Mats Engelen
Lars Erik Faber
Håkon Marthinsen

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Group Description	3
1.2	Delegation of Work	3
2	Background	3
3	Method	4
3.1	API Design Specification	4
3.2	API Design	5
3.3	Implementation	5
3.4	User Testing	6
4	Design Process/Results	6
4.1	Design Specification	6
4.2	Project Structure (File Structure)	7
4.3	Client Code	7
4.4	User Testing	11
5	Resulting Framework	12
6	Discussion	12
6.1	User testing tactics	12
6.2	How did the groupe projet in Frameword go?	12
7	References	13

1 Introduction

Silhouette is a web framework that let's users create websites with Java. But why Java? Although websites can be made using raw HTML and CSS, this approach comes with a few caviats that can lead to issues during development. In-short our solution aims to avoid these issues altogether, making the development process overall more enjoyable and creative, but let's go a little more into detail.

By design, HTML is a fixed markup language where the structure of tags determine not only the presentation but also the layout in the document object model (DOM). Content is grouped together in containers and follow a tree-like structure, which is nice for the end-user, but it can restrict the programmer. The problem here is that the programmer must follow the strict formatting guidelines of HTML, leaving no space for personalization. Another problem is that browsers will try to interpret the markup regardless of its validity, meaning that typos and other seemingly minor issues can easily go unnoticed.

An article titled "The Problem with HTML" by Dr. Maxime Chevalier-Boisvert argues how HTML, CSS and JavaScript is growing fast to become more than originally intended, blurring the lines between the three[1]. For instance, it is possible to do animations in both JavaScript and CSS. The problem with blurred distinctions is that web development becomes less organized. A second argument can be made that due to the fast growth of these languages, browsers fail to support the newest and greatest features. This forces developers to take precautions when designing web pages.

With the Silhouette framework, it keeps function areas more organized by clearly distinguishing between markup and styling through different APIs. It tries to promote best practices, such as not using in-line styling, by making these processes easier, and hiding away the bad practices. In addition, the methods will make sure to correct minor mistakes to prevent nasty surprises. Silhouette also implements solutions for tags that are less supported among browsers.

1.1 Group Description

The plan is to make a html-structure in Java by using object-oriented code structure.

In the first phase we were working together all the time. We collected as much information that we could find, about html and css. And after some working sessions we had a 1 to 1 structure (of the Java-code to html and css part). The css part was straightforward when it came to the structure part, but the problem was in the html. We found new and better ways all time to make the client code easier and more understandable.

In the second phase we have agreed that this is what we need for "Silhouette" to be able to create web pages. At this point we are working on the html part and hope that we can start on user testing aright away. After some user testing, we start on the third phase and now that we knew what we want the code structure to look like, we start to specializing us (and you can se that in the "Delegation of work").

1.2 Delegation of Work

Mats Engalien - Java (HTML) and Documentation

Lars Erik Faber - Java (CSS) and Documentation

Håkon Marthinsen - Documentation and User testing

2 Background

There is no hiding that there are plenty of existing web frameworks out on the internet already, each designed to cover certain needs. With regards to this, Silhouette also does not try to reinvent the

wheel, but it uses a lot of the same tools found in these well established frameworks, along with some quality of life improvements.

People generally use web frameworks to gain access to additional features and to reuse existing code, as opposed to redoing it from scratch. It is important that the web framework increases the velocity of web development compared to doing it in plain HTML and CSS. A good example is the *JSF framework* (Java Server Faces). It has a unique feature where users design UI-components through high level abstractions that are converted into the appropriate representation of HTML depending on the device and version of the internet. This greatly reduces repeated code and means that users can worry less about the technical aspects.

Another important side of Frameworks is to establish a structure in the application. The *Spring framework* creates such a structure by abstracting POJOs (Plain Old Java Objects) into special components called beans. These components are designed to be flexible and adjustable and can be wired together with other beans. This way, users can manipulate each component by interacting with the beans. However, with Silhouette, we took a different approach using the builder pattern to account for all the different options when writing HTML and CSS. The pattern creates a structure in the code that consists of building components and appending them to each other. With Spring, the user builds a component through the factory pattern that initializes the beans by following given instructions, but we thought the builder pattern would be more flexible on the go:

Creating a paragraph and adding it to a div

```
Paragraph p = new Paragraph.Builder()
    .setText("Hello World")
    .build();
Container div = new Container.Builder()
    .addElements(p)
    .build();
```

Vaadin is probably the framework closest to Silhouette. It enables users to make web applications completely in Java, which means it does not require any prior knowledge to HTML, CSS or JavaScript altogether. Everything made with Vaadin is a component that are presented in layouts on the page. To add functionality, the user adds event listeners to the desired components. And lastly, to stylize the web app, Vaadin uses a theme roller called *Vaalo* that is similar to CSS but comes with some additional features, like auto-contrasting text color depending on the background color. It does the majority of what Silhouette wants to achieve, which is why it is the closest to Silhouette.

What separates Silhouette is the user of the builder pattern. In Silhouette, builder pattern is used for just about everything to account for all the different options when writing HTML or CSS. Therefore, POJOs won't hold.

3 Method

"A section to describe the method under which the framework has been created (can be shared). This section should contain a description for each of the stages given in the "Framework Design" lecture (API Design Specification, API Design, Implementation and User Testing). You should describe how you have worked, not what you produced, in this section."

3.1 API Design Specification

Forklar hvordan vi kom fram til Tankesett av hvordan API-et skal gå fram, høy-nivå og lav-nivå prinsipper

From the get-go we knew our framework needed to generate HTML somehow, and decided that it would be best to

From the get-go we knew that our framework needed to support both the declarative and stylistic sides of webdesign, so we started forming two bas

3.2 API Design

Forklar hvordan vi kom fram til Builder pattern... Hvordan API-et faktisk ser ut

When designing the APIs in Silhouette, we started writing client-code to see what would work the best. We knew it was important to preserve the advantages of OOP, giving the user control by making objects and manipulating them in various ways. This meant that users have the ability to generate blocks of HTML quickly with the tools we already know from OOP. We decided to write some client-code to see what would satisfy our requirements, and this would be one of the earliest iterations.

SHOW CLIENT-CODE HERE

The idea of x was important, and you can see that with the way it's set up and all. But another thing that we couldn't dismiss was the fact that we would get way too many classes if we had kept going the route of making one-to-one relations between classes and HTML tags, following the rule of 30 (Insert Source Here!), so we came up with a secondary solution. Say, for example, the user wants to make a video on their page. The video tag in HTML requires some smaller tags inside it that specifies file and filetypes and controls etc.. an we saw it as an opportunity to hide away these smaller tags within methods. This proved to be a good solution as it both cut down on the total amount of classes, and the user had less things to worry about but still achieve the same things. Eventually we settled on sone one-to-one classes, and some methods to generate simpler HTML code...

Design Decisions

Following best-practices for making APIs, for example, from

Later on once we got some feedback from other groups we came across another problem. Users expected to be able to chain multiple methods after eachother. But that was just not possible with how we originally structured our methods and classes, that's where builder pattern comes in. Builder pattern aided the framework in two areas: One, it served as a tool to hide the backend-implementation from the user, and two, it allowed for method-chaining which was exactly what we needed. This mean that previously, the user had to type:

SHOW CLIENT-CODE HERE

But now, with the builder-pattern in place, the user can do this:

SHOW CLIENT-CODE HERE

Personal Decisions

3.3 Implementation

Implementation was done in various stages as we had many ideas for how we wanted the resulting framework to behave. After a lot of discussion with the group, we began writing client-code to help us visualize the API to get better ideas on how we got incorporate the implementation. After several supervisions with the lectruer, we were advised to us a builder-pattern, which works wonders for the type of tasks we want to do, however it makes implementing a bit of a chore, as this means usually our source-code will double. Getting to understand how this pattern works was not easy, since as we were writing our API-code we did not have a full understanding of the structure of method-calls/chaining, nor how to really instantiate these objects. This led to some early errors like giving each builder-class a specific name, and not implementing it correctly.

After getting to understand these things there are other issues that appear throughout. We have some global attributes that all objects in the API share, so it's logical to just write the method once and

be done with it, however this does mean that casting between classes becomes necessary. This is possibly because we opted for internal static Builder classes. We felt that was the most logical and direct connection we could make between an objects and its builder.

Lastly, we needed to form a system to generate the actual. The big idea was to make some sort of compiler that puts strings together to make the necessary web files. It later became apparent that we needed one compiler for each API, as the logic and syntax are vastly different. As it stands, the compilers will either read html and css in the form of Strings, or plain java old objects (POJOs). Then once the user is done defining their pages and stylings, they will inform the respective compiler to perform a compile and generate the web files. If these files already exist, the compiler should just overwrite them to apply the new changes.

3.4 User Testing

User testing started after a month of developing the first iteration of the API. At this point the low-level HTML API felt almost complete, however the high-level-, and CSS-APIs were bare minimum. The implementation was not ready and the APIs consisted of plain old java objects. It was therefore important know if the names and overall use made sense, and if there were any major flaws that we could improve upon.

When we performed the user tests, the method varied a lot each time. Generally call the testers and give them the most updated Jar file and then let them explore the contents first of the framework, and along with it, we would give a set of scenarios for the testers to solve using our framework. At this point we just let them play around with the APIs and get used to it, but most often they would get stuck very fast, and we would have to explain the general gist of the framework and how to use it as intended. Throughout the session we would communicate with them if there were any issues, and if so, we would take notes of the problem.

After the testers solved the scenarios using our framework, we would have a quick discussion with them about their thoughts and opinions. As they expressed their views on the framework, we would take notes and often ask some follow-up questions. At the end of the session we would ask some general questions to see how their views compared with other testers. This would help us see how the changes affected the framework, as other testers had different opinions.

After the session with the testers, we would have a discussion with eachother about the feedback we got from the user testing. Since each group member had their own focus areas in the development, this would help a lot to get insight on the next big changes we were going to implement.

4 Design Process/Results

4.1 Design Specification

Forklar hva High Level Design Principles...

Design Patterns

Builder Pattern We were very quickly guided towards using the Builder pattern when we first had decided on the Framework-type. The Builder pattern, unlike the Abstract Factory pattern, is a lot more like a "Fluent interface", meaning it relies heavily on method cascading. The builder pattern is useful as it attempts to seperate the construction of an object from the way it is represented, this way we can use the same process to create many different looking objects that are fundamentally similar. We need to use the builder pattern when we want immutable objects. We want immutable classes because they have a wide range of benefits when we are developing an API. They are simple to use, they are synchronization safe, we only need one constructor, and they are great for maps(which we're using) to name a few.

The main problem with implementing the builder pattern is the sheer amount of extra code required. The lines will most likely more than double. However, those extra lines of code give us much more readable code and design flexibility. We exchange parameters in the constructor for much prettier method calls.

4.2 Project Structure (File Structure)

Type Reference Documentation

[Link to type doc...](#)

4.3 Client Code

Scenarios and Solutions

Scenario 1 Make two rulesets, one that is a regular ruleset and one that is a grid ruleset. Give each ruleset a unique selector. For the regular ruleset, add a blue background and change the text color to #32a852. For the grid, define three columns and two rows of varied size. Lastly, apply both of the rulesets to a Container of type "header".

Scenario 1 - Proposed Solution

```
RuleSet color = new RuleSet(".color");
color.addRule("background-color", "blue");
color.addRule("color", Color.Hex(#32a852));

Grid grid = new Grid("#grid");
grid.setColumns("1fr", "100px", "2em");
grid.setRows("50%", "120px");

Container myHeader = new Container("header");
myHeader.applySelector(".color");
myHeader.applySelector("#grid");
```

Scenario 2 Make a table whose size changes dynamically, add values to the header row and add values to the rest of the rows as they are generated. Apply a class to the table and set a header color for the table.

Scenario 2 - Proposed Solution

```
Table table = new Table();
int col = headerArray.length;
int row = array.length;
RuleSet color = new RuleSet(".tableClass");
color.addRule("background-color", Color.RGB(255,255,255));
ColGroup headerCol = new ColGroup();

table.setSize(row, col);
table.applySelector(".tableClass");

for(int i= 0; i<row; i++){
    for(int j= 0; j<col; j++){
        while(i<1){
            table.insertHead(i,j, headerArray[j]);
        }
        table.insertValue(i,j, array[j])
        if(i<1){
            headerCol.addCol(col, color.toString());
        }
    }
}
```

```
}
```

Scenario 3 Make a frontpage and two article pages and share a common stylesheet between them. Add a simple ruleset that targets the body element and give it a lightgreen background to see that the changes have applied to all the pages. Lastly, generate the code.

Scenario 3 - Proposed Solution

```
HTML myWebsite = new HTML("example website");

Page frontPage = new Page("frontpage", "My Front Page");
Page articlePage1 = new Page("article", "My First Article");
Page articlePage2 = new Page("article", "My Second Article");

StyleSheet style = new StyleSheet("style.css");

RuleSet bg = new RuleSet("body");
bg.addRule("background-color", "lightgreen");

style.append(bg);

website.link("style.css");
website.addPage(frontPage);
website.addPage(articlePage1);
website.addPage(articlePage2);

website.initialize();
```

Scenario 4 Make a simple form consisting of three input fields for username, password and submit. Label each of the fields accordingly and give the fieldset a legend of "Login Credentials".

Scenario 4 - Proposed Solution

```
FieldSet myFields = new FieldSet();

Input username = new Input("text");
username.addLabel("Type Username");

Input password = new Input("password");
password.addLabel("Type Password");

Input submit = new Input("submit");

myFields.addFields(username, password, submit);
myFields.addLegend("Login Credentials");
```

Scenario 5 Make a Container of type header and give it a navigation bar with links to all pages previously created. Make the navigation bar into a CSS flexbox so that all anchors be laid horizontally and justify the content to be "space-around".

Scenario 5 - Proposed Solution

```
Container header = new Container("header");
Container nav = new Container("nav");

Anchor link1 = new AnchorBuilder("Front Page",
    "frontpage.html").build();
Anchor link2 = new AnchorBuilder("First Article",
    "article1.html").build();
```



```

Anchor link3 = new AnchorBuilder("Second Article",
    "article1.html").build();

nav.addElements(link1, link2, link3);

FlexBox flexbox = new FlexBox("header nav");
flexbox.setFlexDirection("row");
flexbox.setJustifyContent("space-around");

```

Scenario 6 Make a simple article page about yourself. Write your name in a level 2 heading at the top of the article, then add an unordered list containing key points about you. Lastly, add your email in bold text below the text.

Scenario 6 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-HTML v.2)

```

Container body = new Container.Builder()
    .setType("body")
    .build();

Container main = new Container.Builder()
    .setType("main")
    .build();

Container artical = new Container.Builder()
    .setType("artical")
    .build();

Heading h2 = new Heading.Builder(2, "Lisa Oстераas").build();

ListElement unordered = new ListElement(, false);
unordered.addListItem("First Name : Lisa");
unordered.addListItem("Last Name : Oстераas");
unordered.addListItem("Age : 27");
unordered.addListItem(Format.bold("Lisa123mail@mail.com"));

artical.addElement(h2);
artical.addElement(unordered);
main.addElement(artical);
body.addElement(main);

```

Scenario 7 Display a local video on your website and make sure that it supports at least three different file formats.

Scenario 7 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-HTML v.2)

```

Container body = new Container.Builder()
    .setType("body")
    .build();

Video myVideo = new Video();
myVideo.setHeight("200");
myVideo.setWidth("400");
myVideo.addSource("movie.mp4", "video/mp4");
myVideo.addSource("movie.ogg", "video/ogg");
myVideo.addSource("movie.webm", "video/webm");

myPage.append(body);

```

Scenario 8 Make a questionnaire consisting of two questions. Make it so the first question has two possibilities and one correct answer, and so the second question has three possibilities with multiple correct answers. (Radio and Checkbox)

Scenario 8 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-HTML v.3)

```
Container div = new Container.Builder()
    .addElements(new Input.Builder()
        .setType("radio")
        .setId("male")
        .setName("Gender")
        .build())
    .addElements(new Container.Builder()
        .setType("label")
        .build().addParagraph("Male"))
    .addElements(new Input.Builder()
        .setType("radio")
        .setId("female")
        .setName("Gender")
        .build())
    .addElements(new Container.Builder()
        .setType("label")
        .build().addParagraph("Female"))
    .build();
```

Scenario 9 Remove underline for all anchors inside nav Containers and change the text color to red on mouse hover for each anchor.

Scenario 9 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-CSS v.2)

```
StyleSheet css = new StyleSheet("style");
RuleSet a = new RuleSet();
a
    .setSelector("a")
    .addRule("text-decoration", "noen");

RuleSet aHover = new RuleSet();
aHover
    .setSelector("a:Hover")
    .addRule("color", "red");
```

Scenario 10 Give the header a fixed gradient background from blue to red (right).

Scenario 10 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-CSS v.2)

```
StyleSheet css = new StyleSheet("style");

RuleSet header = new RuleSet();
header.addRule("background-image",
    "linear-gradient(to right, blue, red)");
```

Scenario 11 Define a grid that serves as a page layout, and give it three columns and one row with equally distributed length. Make sure to wrap them under each other once the screen is narrower than 1000px, such that there are one column and three rows.

Scenario 11 - Proposed Solution (Silhouette-CSS v.3)

```
Grid grid = new Grid.Builder(".main")
    .addRule(Property.GRID_TEMPLATE_COLUMNS, "1fr 1fr 1fr")
    .addRule(Property.GRID_TEMPLATE_ROWS, "auto")
```

```

        .build();

        AtRule newRule = new AtRule.Builder(AtRuleType.MEDIA, "(min-width:
        1000px)")
        .addRuleSet(new Grid.Builder(".main")
        .addRule(Property.GRID_TEMPLATE_COLUMNS, "100%")
        .addRule(Property.GRID_TEMPLATE_ROWS, "100px 100px
        100px")
        .build())
        .build();

        StyleSheet css = new StyleSheet.Builder("SC11", "css")
        .applyStyle(grid).applyStyle(newRule)
        .build();

        css.initialize();

```

4.4 User Testing

(THIS NEEDS TO BE CHANGED) right after all the classes that was needed to build an HTML document and the same for the CSS part. At this time the code was just object oriented programming and it felt easy to use, but it was a lot of unnecessary writing and to a degree hard to follow.

After the first user testing with another group, we didn't get a lot of critical feedback on what's "good" and "bad" excepted for the things that we knew about, but we got feedback on the logical structure part and that is one of the things we needed.

After some time we knew what we wanted the code to look like and how to simplify it more, and that is when we started to use "Builder pattern" instead of the heavy object coding we had previously. This made it more readable for the eyes and work with.

Frist user testing session (Silhouette-HTML v.2)

Right after they had tested our framework and completed the setup, we asked them to give their initial reaction and opinion. They said, "It was pretty good once we got the hang of the class names" which was a relief to hear. It seemed to us that they found the APIs to be intuitive, as they quickly grew familiar with the main classes. We then followed up with a few questions regarding their experience, and they told us they could easily expand further on their website with enough practice.

Second user testing session (Silhouette-HTML v.2)

This user testing took more time than what was needed, if it wasn't for an error from our side in the code structure. When we found out what the error was and the confusion was over, it was back to the user testing, but the person that was testing the program was stuck and didn't understand how to start and/or what to write (defining the html-object). After some time we gave the user a push in the right and the user started to type/write like it was natural for them or as they had used our framework before.

Third user testing session (Silhouette-HTML v.2)

In this user testing the tester was tested in a different way, where the tester received minimal help and mostly through think and some fiddling on their own, because of the user's higher understating to code structure and language.

The user managed the first part of the task easily (due to the naming of classes and method names) but began to struggle on the second task. The user was supposed to create a new object called "Container", but started working on the method calls instead (because it seemed most natural to them) and had to

ask for help and then came the next problem... what are Builders.

After some explanation it came naturally and the rest of the second task was then over and the user was on their own, with a little hint here and there. The user could see the logic but felt that it lacked a flow in the structure and believed that getting in to "Silhouette" for a new user is not easy without a manual or help from someone.

Fourth user testing session (Silhouette-HTML v.3)

Fourth testing was done in a group context, where one of them wrote and the others watched and tried to understand "Silhouette" (I also had to describe how Builders works).

It started the same with this group as it was done with the other user testing section, but since one of the user was looking through the library and was reading the methods that had a similar name as in HTML, one tester got an idea what to write and they managed to complete this task. And they applied the same tactic to the next tasks, and they managed the whole user testing with a few hints.

Fifth user testing session (Silhouette-HTML v.3)

The fifth test was carried out by a student with a good knowledge of java and HTML, who wanted to learn the framework ("Silhouette") from top to bottom. The user spent 1 hour and 30 min doing the task (without help) and gained insight into how "Silhouette" HTML.BaseComponents.* (file's) worked. This user was more interested in the "Silhouette" library and gave some feedback about that the structure is easy to understand, but there was a lack of comment (you can read comments for a jar file) on what the methods do/did, etc. and that the end result might affect a user "Silhouette's code" and meant that "it's too hard-coded" when a user is done with it.

5 Resulting Framework

Framvisning av det ferdie API-et

6 Discussion

6.1 User testing tactics

One tactic we had was to find people with knowledge in Java and in HTML and/or C++ who can test "Silhouette", without providing training in one of the coding languages to the user, although that we had to teach the different users how Builders works.

And after some user testing, we got a feel for what worked and not in the user testing, and we agreed to create a task/test to test the different users to see what the difference between one user and the other user and compare the different users in the same user testing, but since we didn't have that many user testings, it ends up being something that we couldn't tested properly or not at all, but the focus was on the visual code structure that the user wrote and what they thought about it, if they understood what they wrote, what do they think about the logical structure of the code they have written and smaller fixes that "Silhouette" needed. for example, Heading took in an int to create the "hx-tag" and people wondered why not use a String so that you can write h1 to define the header type, instead of a number to make it less confusing.

6.2 How did the groupe projet in Framework go?

It started with 2 months of hard work and 2 months on and off and started working on the frameworks again approx. 1 week before the submission of project.

At first everything worked well, everyone had the same idea of what we were going to make and what it would look like. We did "First Delivery" together and had many good discussions about what can work in our project and not. Everything we had created was sent in for feedback on the ideas about

the build-up of "Silhouette". After many meetings and work sessions, "Silhouette" was in v.1 (or a prototype/idea), but it's not ready for testing yet. We knew about some errors and things that needed to be improved before it was ready for testing, but all the methods calls were in place. So you could write the "Silhouette" code, but it didn't do anything.

Around this time, one of the group members started struggling a bit (illness and stress), but the others group members told that person, to take it easy for a while and we'll fix this, so they got more workload and thats not really good for the group moral. They work on the project and deliver "Second Delivery" without this members help and around this time we/they start working on each part of the project, where one member works with the HTML section and another member works with the CSS section and "Silhouette" was approaches v.2 and we started using something called Builders. Around this time "Silhouette" is starting to become little bit complicated. One of the reason we did not touched each other's work area, is so that we don't make any merge conflict and messing up the code structure for each other and create more workload.

Then that group member comes back but had a new problem now. This member barely has time for all the subjects because of another group assignment that this member has and this member considering leaving a subject in order to focus on frameworks, but the group supports this member by saying "we will fix this and don't drop out of any subjects you have" and it's around this time the 2 months of the break (on off working) comes and they're started doing the "Third Delivery" without this member again.

Around 1 month before delivering the group assignment this member is back for more work, but since the others the group members have a lot to do in one of their subject (we have different fields of study and that didn't create that much problems for us after all), the frameworks was put on hold for a little bit more. So, this member began working on some user testing to not waste time, but after some trials and errors the member had some idea for how to optimize the user testing. When the other group member came back, they work hard for a few days and "Silhouette" is in v.3. Because of the changes for v.2 to v.3 all the code structure are Builders and the user testing feels more naturally for the user and that is what we wanted.

7 References

[1] - <https://pointersgonewild.com/2015/04/16/the-problem-with-html/>