

1. Use induction to prove the following summation formulas. Remember rules of logs. Specifically $\log(ab) = \log(a) + \log(b)$.

$$(a) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$$

Proof.

$$\text{Base case: } n = 1 \implies a_1 + b_1 = a_1 + b_1$$

$$\text{Inductive hypothesis: } n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k a_i + b_i = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i + b_i &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i + \sum_{i=1}^k b_i \right) a_{k+1} + b_{k+1} && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= a_k + b_k + a_{k+1} + b_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

■

$$(b) \sum_{i=1}^n ca_i = c \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$$

Proof.

$$\text{Base case: } n = 1 \implies ca_1 = ca_1$$

$$\text{Inductive hypothesis: } n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k ca_i = c \sum_{i=1}^k a_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} ca_i &= \left(c \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) + ca_{k+1} && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= ca_k + ca_{k+1} = c(a_k + a_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

■

$$(c) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(a_i) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)$$

Proof.

$$\text{Base case: } n = 1 \implies \log(a_1) = \log(a_1)$$

$$\text{Inductive hypothesis: } n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k \log(a_i) = \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \log(a_i) &= \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right) + \log(a_{k+1}) && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= \log(a_k a_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

■

$$(d) \sum_{i=1}^n 2i - 1 = n^2$$

Proof.

$$\text{Base case: } n = 1 \implies 2 - 1 = 1 = 1^2$$

$$\text{Inductive hypothesis: } n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k 2i - 1 = k^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} 2i - 1 &= k^2 + 2(k+1) - 1 && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= k^2 + 2k + 1 \\ &= (k+1)^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$(e) \sum_{i=1}^n i^3 = \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right)^2$$

Proof.

$$\text{Base case: } n = 1 \implies \frac{1(1+1)}{2} = 1 = 1^3$$

$$\text{Inductive hypothesis: } n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k i^3 = \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} i^3 &= \left(\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \right)^2 + (k+1)^3 && \text{by I.H.} \\ &= \left(\frac{k^2(k+1)^2}{4} \right) + (k+1)^3 \\ &= \frac{k^4 + 2k^3 + k^2}{4} + \frac{4k^3 + 12k^2 + 12k + 4}{4} \\ &= \frac{k^4 + 6k^3 + 13k^2 + 4}{4} \\ &= \frac{(k+1)^2(k+2)^2}{4} \\ &= \left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$



2. Prove that $n! > 2^n \quad \forall n > 4$

Proof.

Base case: $n = 5 \implies 5! > 2^5 \rightarrow 120 > 32$

Inductive hypothesis: $k \geq n \implies k! > 2^k \quad \forall k > 4$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies (k+1)! &> 2^{k+1} = k!(k+1) > 2^k(k+1) && \text{by I.H.} \\ &> 2^k(2) = 2^{k+1} \\ \therefore k!(k+1) &> 2^{k+1} \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

3. A prime number p is a natural number greater than 1 where $1|p$ and $p|p$ and nothing else divides p . In class we showed that if n^2 is even, then n is even. Extend this to any prime number.

Prove that if p is prime and $p|n^2$ then $p|n$.

4. Prove:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n ia^i = \frac{a - (n+1)a^{n+1} + na^{n+2}}{(a-1)^2}$$

Proof.

Base case: $n = 1 \implies$

$$a = \frac{a - 2a^2 + a^3}{(a-1)^2} = \frac{a(a^2 - 2a + 1)}{a^2 - 2a + 1} = a$$

Inductive hypothesis: $n = k \implies \sum_{i=1}^k ia^i = \frac{a - (k+1)a^{k+1} + ka^{k+2}}{(a-1)^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} ia^i &= \frac{a - (k+1)a^{k+1} + ka^{k+2}}{(a-1)^2} + (k+1)a^{k+1} \quad \text{by I.H.} \\ &\quad - \frac{a - (k+1+1)a^{k+1+1} + (k+1)a^{k+1+2}}{(a-1)^2} = 0 \\ &\quad \frac{a - (k+1)a^{k+1} + ka^{k+2}}{(a-1)^2} - \frac{a - (k+2)a^{k+2} + (k+1)a^{k+3}}{(a-1)^2} \\ &= -a^{k+1} - ka^{k+1} = -(k+1)a^{k+1} \quad \text{by expand} \rightarrow \text{factor} \\ &\implies -(k+1)a^{k+1} + (k+1)a^{k+1} = 0 \\ \therefore 0 &= 0 \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

5. Let's prove some theorems about cardinality. For each of the following equations, give a bijection between the two sets.

Hint: you can (should) reuse a bijection you've already defined.

(a) $|E| = |\mathbb{N}|$

(b) $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Z}|$

(c) $|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{Q}^+|$

(d) $|\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Q}|$

(e) $|E| = |\mathbb{Q}|$

6. Prove that $\forall n, |\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}^n|$.

You can assume that $d : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ is the diagonal bijection from class.

7. Let's try to find a use for this infinity nonsense.

In computer science it can be useful to look at problems as a language. A language is just a set of finite strings, so we can make a language describing π as:

$$L_{\pi} = \{ "3", "3.1", "3.14", "3.141", \dots \}$$

So why do we care about languages? Well, we can phrase all of our problems in CS as different languages. For example $L_{factor} = \{ "6 = 2 \cdot 3", "12 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3", \dots \}$ is the language of numbers and their factors. We can make the language of graphs and their shortest paths, the languages of lists and their sorting. Really we can make a language for any problem.

A language is decidable if there is a program that can (eventually) produce any string in that language. We want to prove that there is at least 1 undecidable language. That is, there is a problem that can't be solved by a program.

- (a) Show that there are countably many programs we can write.
- (b) Show that there are uncountably many languages.

8. Show why the following two lemmas are actually invalid.

Note: the theorem is actually true despite this.

Hint: part 1 is a problem with induction and part 2 is a problem with infinity.

Lemma 0.1. *There are infinitely many green vegetables.*

Proof.

Base case: There exists a head of lettuce.

Inductive hypothesis: there is a set of k green vegetables.

Inductive case: Suppose, by the inductive hypothesis, there are k green vegetables.

Remember that head of lettuce from the base case? Let's add that into our set of k vegetables. Now we have a set of $k + 1$ green vegetables.

Therefore we must have a set of n vegetables for any n , which means we have infinitely many green vegetables. ■

Lemma 0.2. *Every green edible thing is a vegetable.*

Proof.

Let's consider the set of all possible green edible things G . By the previous lemma it's clearly an infinite set. I'll sort the set putting all of the green vegetables at the front. Now we want to show that there are ONLY vegetables in this set.

Base case: the first element is that dang head of lettuce from the last proof.

Inductive hypothesis: The first k elements of G are vegetables.

Inductive case: Assume, by the inductive hypothesis, that the first k elements are vegetables. Then the next element must also be a vegetable. If it wasn't then there would only be a finite number of vegetables, but by the last theorem this is impossible.

Therefore, the first $k + 1$ elements of G must be vegetables. Thus, for all n , the first n elements of G are vegetables. Now, since G is a countably infinite set, and we've shown that each position in the set is a vegetable, it must be the case that the set contains only vegetables.

Theorem 0.2.1: *Mountain Dew is a vegetable.*

Proof.

It's green and edible. From the previous two lemmas, it's clearly a vegetable. ■