

Chenhuan Wang

1. a)  $\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-mass}} = - \sum_{q=u,d} \bar{q}_{jR} (M_q)_{jk} q_{kL} + h.c., \quad q = u, d$

~~matrices.~~ Which combinations of these matrices appear in the interactions of the physical (mass eigenstate) quarks  $q'_k$  with  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons?

(Before SSB:  $\mathcal{L} \subset \sum_{q=u,d} \bar{q}_{jL} i \gamma_\mu D^\mu q_{jL} + \bar{u}_R i \gamma_\mu D^\mu u_R + \bar{d}_R i \gamma_\mu D^\mu d_R$ )

After SSB: neutral current ( $Z$ ):  $\propto Z^\mu \bar{q}_{LiR} \gamma_\mu q_{LiR}$   
 $\rightarrow (U^{-1})_q^{+LiR} (U^{-1})_q^{LiR} = 1$

no effects on NC interactions.

charged current ( $W$ ):  $\propto W_\mu^+ \bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu d_L + W_\mu^- \bar{d}_L \gamma^\mu u_L$   
 $\rightarrow (U^{-1})_u^{+L} (U^{-1})_d^L \text{ and } (U^{-1})_d^{+L} (U^{-1})_u^L$

(Remark: not sure whether inverse in  $(U^{-1})$  should be here, not clear if  $q$  or  $q'$  is in mass eigenstates)

b) ~~where  $\vec{l}_j$  stands for one of the three charged leptons.~~ Show that in the SM one can assume without loss of generality that  $M_l$ , and hence the matrix of lepton Yukawa couplings, is diagonal. ~~Hint: Diagonalize  $M_l$  as in the previous case for~~

$$\mathcal{L}_{l\text{-mass}} = - \bar{\vec{l}}_{j,R} (M_l)_{jk} \vec{l}_{kL} + h.c.$$

$$\vec{l}_j = e^-, \mu^-, \tau^-$$

Unitary transformation  $U$  so that  $\vec{l}_{jLiR} = U \underbrace{\vec{l}'_{jLiR}}_{\text{in mass eigenstates}}$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{l\text{-mass}} = - \bar{\vec{l}}_R' \underbrace{U^\dagger M_l U}_{\text{diagonal}} \vec{l}_L'$$

$$2. \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L \\ \xi_R \end{pmatrix} \quad \xi_{L,R} \text{ 2-comp. spinor}$$

a) A Dirac mass term is of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac mass}} = -m \overline{\psi}_R \psi_L + h.c.; \quad (4)$$

the quark and charged lepton mass terms discussed in the first problem are of this form. Write this mass term in terms of the two-component spinors  $\xi_L$  and  $\xi_R$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Dirac mass}} &= -m \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \xi_R^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - m \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \xi_R \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -m \xi_R^\dagger \xi_L - m \xi_L^\dagger \xi_R \end{aligned}$$

b) A Majorana spinor has to satisfy the condition

$$\psi^C \equiv C \bar{\psi}^T = \psi, \quad (5)$$

where  $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$  is the charge conjugation matrix and the superscript  $T$  indicates transposition. What is the physical meaning of this condition, and what does it imply for the two-component spinors  $\xi_{L,R}$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^C = \psi &\Rightarrow \text{The particle is its own anti-particle.} \\ \psi^C = C \bar{\psi}^T = i\gamma^2\gamma^0 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L^* \\ \xi_R^* \end{pmatrix} & \quad \text{in chiral basis} \\ &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^2 \\ -\sigma^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L^* \\ \xi_R^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L^* \\ \xi_R^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 \xi_L^* \\ -i\sigma^2 \xi_R^* \end{pmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{!}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_L \\ \xi_R \end{pmatrix} \\ \rightarrow \quad i\sigma^2 \xi_L^* &= \xi_L \\ -i\sigma^2 \xi_R^* &= \xi_R \end{aligned}$$

- c) Show that  $(\psi_L)^C$  is a *right-handed* field. To that end, show that  $C$  is unitary; that  $C^{-1}\gamma_5 C = \gamma_5^T = \gamma_5$  (the second relation holds in the chiral basis); and hence that  $P_R(\psi_L)^C = C[\overline{\psi_L} P_R]^T$  whereas  $P_L(\psi_L)^C = 0$ , where  $P_{L,R} = (1 \mp \gamma_5)/2$  are the chiral projectors. Do not assume that  $\psi$  satisfies the Majorana condition (5).

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_L(\psi_L)^C &= \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} (\psi_L)^C \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \overline{(P_L \psi)}^T \\
 &= i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left\{ \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L \\ \psi_R \end{pmatrix} \right]^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}^T \\
 &\propto \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ 1_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^T \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \psi_L^+ \end{pmatrix}^T \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P_R$  and  $P_L$  form complete orthogonal basis  
 $\rightarrow (\psi_L)^C$  is right-handed.

$$\begin{aligned}
 C &= i\gamma^2 \gamma^0 \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 C^\dagger &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow C^\dagger C = 1 \quad \rightarrow C^\dagger = C^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C^{-1} \gamma_5 C &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_5 = \gamma_5^T
 \end{aligned}$$

$$P_R (\psi_L)^c = (\psi_L)^c = C \overline{\psi_L}^T = C [\overline{\psi_L} P_R]^T$$

$\uparrow$  right-handed  $\uparrow$   
 $P_R^2 = P_R$

d) A Majorana mass term is written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Majorana mass}} = -\frac{1}{2} M_L (\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L + \text{h.c.} \quad (6)$$

Why do we need the factor 1/2 if  $M_L$  is to be the physical (pole) mass?

$$\begin{aligned} \text{h.c.} &= -\frac{1}{2} M_L (\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L^c = -\frac{1}{2} M_L \psi_L^\dagger \gamma^0 C \psi_L^c \\ &= \frac{1}{2} M_L \psi_L^\dagger C \gamma^0 \psi_L^c \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} M_L (\psi_L C)^+ \gamma^0 \psi_L^c \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} M_L (\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L \end{aligned}$$

→ because the h.c. is the other half

e) In general one can also write a term analogous to that in (6), with  $L \rightarrow R$  everywhere. In general  $M_L \neq M_R$  is permitted, but are these two quantities related if  $\psi$  is a Majorana spinor, i.e. if it satisfies the condition (5)?

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{MM}} &= -\frac{1}{2} M_L (\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L - \frac{1}{2} M_R (\overline{\psi_R})^c \psi_R + \text{h.c.} \\ &= -M_L (\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L - M_R (\overline{\psi_R})^c \psi_R \end{aligned}$$

$\psi_L$  and  $\psi_R$  are two separate fields,

→  $M_L \neq M_R$ , even if  $\psi^c = \psi$   
(in general)

f) Can a Majorana mass term be introduced for any fermion in the SM, if we require gauge invariance and restrict ourselves to terms in the Lagrangian that are power-counting renormalizable? ~~And can one write a Majorana mass term for~~

No. If observe the mass term  $(\overline{\psi_L})^c \psi_L$ ,

e.g. hypercharge,

since " $-$ " and " $C$ " both give sign to quantum number.

If to involve Higgs fields, also no, since Yukawa term is not

gauge invariant ( $U(1)_Y$ ). Since the  $\overline{\psi}$  and  $\psi$  have opposite

sign and Higgs field have some non-zero quantum number.

~~power-counting renormalizable?~~ And can one write a Majorana mass term for the SM neutrinos involving the (vev of the) Higgs boson if non-renormalizable terms are allowed?

Yes. Instead of one scalar in Yukawa, need to have its adjoint field in interaction term  $\rightarrow U(1)_Y$  invariant