Chenhwan Wong

1)

1. LHS = [Qa, Pm]
has a Lorentz Inclex, a spinor index. RHS must have these index.

Q is odd. P is even (generator of Poincaré algebra, by def. even)
{U2m, Q}=0
for mionic

Since [even, odd] = odd

-> RHS must contain Q, no Pm (or Man) on RHS

→ only way to carry the Lorentz index is by 8m, 8m 8⁵

=> [Qa, Pm] = (C1 8mt C28m8⁵) and Qb

C1, C2 € C

2. $[Q, P_n] = (C_1 Y_n + C_2 Y_n Y^5)_{a,b} Q_b$ | telde the c. (in spinor space) $[Q^{\dagger}, P_n] = Q^{\dagger} [C_1^{\dagger} y_n^{\dagger} + C_2^{\dagger} (Y_n Y^5)^{\dagger}] | Y_0$ $[Q, P_n] = Q^{\dagger} (C_1^{\dagger} Y_n^{\dagger} + C_2^{\dagger} (Y_n Y^5)^{\dagger}) Y_0$ $= Y_0 Y_n Y_0 = (Y^5)^{\dagger} Y_0 Y_n Y_0$ $= Y^5 Y_0 Y_n Y_0$ $= \overline{Q} (C_1^{\dagger} Y_n + C_2^{\dagger} Y_5 Y_0 Y_n) | \{Y_n, Y^5\} = 0$ $= \overline{Q} (C_1^{\dagger} Y_n + C_2^{\dagger} Y_n Y^5)$

 $Qa = Cab \overline{Q}b \quad \stackrel{(=)}{\leftarrow} Q = C \overline{Q}^T$ $\stackrel{(=)}{\leftarrow} Q^T = \overline{Q}C^T$ $\stackrel{(=)}{\leftarrow} - Q^TC^{-1} = \overline{Q}$

5. Since
$$C_1 \in iR$$
, $C_2 \in R$ and $C_1^2 = C_1^2$
 $\Rightarrow C_1 = C_2 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow C_1 = C_2 = 0$
 $\Rightarrow C_1 = C_2 = 0$

-> to contract Lorentz. indices. Yn, Sm ~ [Yn. Yv]

Idlow the lint,

$$(\gamma_{\mu} C)^{T} = C^{T} \gamma_{\mu}^{T} = -C \gamma_{\mu}^{T}$$

$$= -C \gamma_{\mu}^{T} C^{1}C$$

$$= \gamma_{\mu} C$$

$$(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} C)^{T} = C^{T} \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\mu} T$$

$$= -C \gamma^{\nu} C^{-1} C \gamma^{\mu} C^{-1} C$$

$$= - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{m} C$$

$$= (\gamma^{m}, \gamma^{\nu})^{T} \sim ([\gamma^{m}, \gamma^{\nu}] C)^{T}$$

$$= (\gamma^{m} \gamma^{\nu} C - \gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{m} C)^{T}$$

$$= (-Y^{\nu}Y^{\mu}C + Y^{\mu}Y^{\nu}C)$$
$$= [Y^{\mu}, Y^{\nu}] C$$

=> These two are symmetric, so is the {Qa, Qb}

7.
$$\partial = \{A, BC - CB\} = ABC + BCA - ACB - CBA$$

$$\partial = \{B, AC - CA\} = BAC + ACB - BCA - CAB$$

$$\partial = [C, AB + BA] = CAB - ABC + CBA - BAC$$

$$- \partial + \partial + \partial = 0$$

8.
$$\{Q_{a}, [Q_{b}, P_{n}]\} + \{Q_{b}, [Q_{a}, P_{n}]\} + [P_{n}, \{Q_{a}, Q_{b}\}] = 0$$

9.
$$\{Qa, Qb\} = c_3(y^{\mu} c)_{ab}P_{\mu}$$

$$Q \rightarrow yQ$$

$$\Rightarrow y^2 \{Qa, Qb\} = c_3(y^{\mu} c)_{ab}P_{\mu}$$

$$corresponds \leftrightarrow c_3 \rightarrow c_3/y^2$$

$$\Rightarrow c_3 can be arbitrarily chosen$$

2)

1.
$$[P_{\mu}, Q] = 0 \Rightarrow [P_{\mu}P^{\mu}, Q] = P^{\mu}[P_{\mu}, Q] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P^{2}Q - QP^{2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow all members of super multiplet same mass
$$(p^{2} = m^{2})$$$$

2,

Assume Qa modifies the size of bosonic sector by factor r

Qa also modifies the size of fermionic sector by r

{ Qa, Qb} = C3(8mc) Lu from 1)

LHS modifies the size of bosonic/formionic sector by r² RHS closes it chase the size

 \Rightarrow $r^2=1$, r=1

=> equal number of dio.f. of bosons and fermions

3. Proli> = 0 (vanishing nomentum) => {Qa, Qb} | i > = 0

-> RHS has no well-defined modification the size of sectors
-> not generally true that no = no