Superstring theory Homework 9

Aristotelis Koutsikos, Chenhuan Wang and Mohamed Ghoneim February 10, 2021

1 Operator Product Expansions

(a) We have two generic operators

$$A = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} A(z), \quad B = \oint_{C_0} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} B(z)$$
 (1.1)

with $C_0 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| < r\}$ and r is an arbitrary real number.

We want to expand the radial ordering term, thus need contour with unambiguous radii

$$\oint_{C_{\omega}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \mathcal{R}(A(z)B(w))$$

$$= \left(\oint_{C_{w}^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} - \oint_{C_{w}^{-}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \right) \mathcal{R}(A(z)B(w))$$

$$= \oint_{C_{w}^{+}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} A(z)B(w) - \oint_{C_{w}^{-}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} B(w)A(z)$$

$$= \oint_{C_{w}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} [A(z), B(w)]_{|z|=|w|} \tag{1.2}$$

where the contours are defined as $C_w^{\pm} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} | |z| = |w| \pm \delta, \delta \in \mathbb{R} \}$ and the commutator is understood as equal radius commutator. One can use the definition of the operators to "absorb" the integral on LHS and add another integral, then

$$[A, B] = \oint_{C_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \oint_{C_w} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \mathcal{R}(A(z)B(w))$$
 (1.3)

(b) The variation of a primary under infinitesimal conformal transformation is describe as

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi(\omega) = -[Q_{\epsilon}, \phi(w)]$$

$$= -\oint_{C_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} [\epsilon(z)T(z), \phi(w)]$$

$$= -\oint_{C_w} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \epsilon(z) \mathcal{R}(T(z)\phi(w))$$

if we put the desired expression of $\mathcal{R}(T(z)\phi(w))$ in

$$= -\oint_{C_w} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \epsilon(z) \left[\frac{h\phi(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w \phi(w)}{z-w} + \text{reg.} \right]$$
$$= -\partial_w (\epsilon(z)h\phi(w)) - \partial_w \phi(w)$$
$$= -(h\partial_w \epsilon(w) + \partial_w)\phi(w)$$

which is precisely the alternative definition of the infinitesimal transformation

$$\delta_{\epsilon}\phi(w) = -(h\partial_w \epsilon + \epsilon \partial_w)\phi(w) \tag{1.4}$$

(c) Consider the Laurent series

$$T(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-2} L_n, \quad L_n = \oint_{C_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} z^{n+1} T(z)$$
 (1.5)

We assume the statement is correct (with implicit radial ordering)

$$T(z)T(w) = \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w T(w)}{(z-w)} + \text{reg.}$$
 (1.6)

Then

$$\begin{split} & \left[L_{m}, L_{n} \right] \\ & = \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} z^{n+1} \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} w^{m+1} [T(z), T(w)] \\ & \stackrel{(1.2)}{=} \oint_{C_{w}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} z^{n+1} \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} w^{m+1} T(z) T(w) \\ & \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} w^{m+1} \oint_{C_{w}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} z^{n+1} \left[\frac{c/2}{(z-w)^{4}} + \frac{2T(w)}{(z-w)^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{w}T(w)}{(z-w)} + \text{reg.} \right] \\ & = \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} w^{m+1} \left[\frac{c}{2} \frac{1}{3!} (n+1) n(n-1) w^{n-2} + 2(n+1) w^{n} T(w) + w^{n+1} \partial_{w} T(w) \right] \\ & \stackrel{\text{i.b.p.}}{=} \oint_{C_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \left[\frac{c}{12} n(n^{2}-1) w^{n+m-1} + 2(n+1) w^{m+n+1} T(w) - (m+n+2) w^{m+n+1} T(w) \right] \\ & = \frac{c}{12} n(n^{2}-1) \delta_{n+m} + (n-m) L_{m+n} \end{split}$$

In principle, we could and should prove it in the other direction.

2 The propagator of the free boson

(a) The propagator is given by

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \phi(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{y})\rangle \tag{2.1}$$

It obeys

$$g(-\partial_x^2 + m^2)K(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y})$$
 (2.2)

The propagator is only a function of $r = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$, since one can check if $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ satisfies (2.2), then $K(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a})$ also. Thus these two are equivalent. And more importantly, the action has translational invariance.

Because of this property, we can ignore y in the following calculation. To integrate (2.2) over a disk of radius R with m=0

$$-g \int_{C_R} \partial_x^2 K(r) = 1$$

in spherical coordinates,

$$-2\pi g \int_0^R \mathrm{d}r \,\partial_r(r\partial_r K(r)) = 1$$

It is satisfied with $K(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi g} \log r + \text{const.}$ To see this,

$$\int_{0}^{R} dr \, \partial_{r}(r \partial_{r} \log r)$$

$$= \lim_{a \to 0} \int_{0}^{R} dr \, \partial_{r}(r \partial_{r} \log(r + a))$$

$$= \lim_{a \to 0} \int_{0}^{R} dr \, \frac{a}{(r + a)^{2}}$$

$$= \lim_{a \to 0} a \cdot \frac{-1}{r + a} \Big|_{r=0}^{R}$$

$$= \lim_{a \to 0} a \left(-\frac{1}{R + a} + \frac{1}{a} \right)$$

$$= 1$$

(b) The computation in the last part leads to the OPE

$$\partial_z \phi(z, \bar{z}) \partial_w \phi(w, \bar{w}) \sim -\frac{1}{4\pi q} \frac{1}{(z-w)^2}$$
 (2.3)

We want to compute the OPE of $T(z) = -2\pi g : \partial \phi \partial \phi$:

$$T(z)V_{\alpha}(w,\bar{w}) = -2\pi g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i\alpha)^n}{n!} : \partial_z \phi(z) \partial_z \phi(z) :: \phi^n(w) :$$

Obviouly OPE works similar like in QFT, we need to "contract" fields

$$= -2\pi g \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i\alpha)^n}{n!} \left[n\partial_z (\partial\phi(z)\phi(w))\phi^{n-1}(w) + n(n-1)\phi^{n-2}(w) \left\langle \partial_z \phi(z) \partial_z \phi(z) \right\rangle^2 \phi(w) \right]$$

$$= -2\pi g \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i\alpha)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \phi^{n-1} \frac{-2\partial_z \phi(z)}{4\pi g(z-w)} 2\pi i\alpha$$

$$+ -2\pi g \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i\alpha)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} \phi^{n-2}(w) \left(\frac{-1}{4\pi g(z-w)} \right)^2 (2\pi i\alpha)^2$$

$$= 2\pi i\alpha \frac{\partial_z \phi(z) V(w)}{z-w} + \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2g} \frac{V(w)}{(z-w)^2}$$

$$= \frac{\partial_w V(w)}{z-w} + \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2g} \frac{V(w)}{(z-w)^2}$$

Thus $h = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2g}$ and from OPE with $\bar{T}(\bar{z})$ we find $\bar{h} = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2g}$.