

Superstring theory

Homework 5

Aristotelis Koutsikos, Chenhuan Wang and Mohamed Ghoneim

December 11, 2020

1 A first look at the canonical quantization of the bosonic string

(a) From previous sheet(s),

$$X_L^\mu(\sigma^+) = \frac{1}{2}(x^\mu - c^\mu) + \frac{\pi\alpha'}{l}p^\mu\sigma^+ + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu e^{-\frac{2\pi}{l}in\sigma^+} \quad (1.1)$$

$$X_R^\mu(\sigma^-) = \frac{1}{2}(x^\mu - c^\mu) + \frac{\pi\alpha'}{l}p^\mu\sigma^- + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^\mu e^{-\frac{2\pi}{l}in\sigma^-} \quad (1.2)$$

Now we want to express $X_{L,R}^\mu$ in terms of $(z, \bar{z}) = (e^{2\pi i\sigma^-/l}, e^{2\pi i\sigma^+/l})$. It should be stress that \bar{z} is *not* the complex conjugation of z . Thus

$$X_L^\mu(\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(x^\mu - c^\mu) + \frac{\alpha'}{2i}p^\mu \ln \bar{z} + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu \bar{z}^{-n} \quad (1.3)$$

$$X_R^\mu(z) = \frac{1}{2}(x^\mu - c^\mu) + \frac{\alpha'}{2i}p^\mu \ln z + i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha'}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{n} \alpha_n^\mu z^{-n} \quad (1.4)$$

(b) Propagator is defined as

$$\langle X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) X^\nu(\sigma', \tau') \rangle = T[X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) X^\nu(\sigma', \tau')] - : X^\mu(\sigma, \tau) X^\nu(\sigma', \tau') : \quad (1.5)$$

T denotes time ordering and $::$ normal ordering

$$\begin{aligned} : \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m : &= \begin{cases} \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m, & m > 0 \\ \alpha_{-m} \alpha_m - [\alpha_{-m}, \alpha_m], & m < 0 \end{cases} \\ : p^\nu x^\mu : &= x^\mu p^\nu = p^\nu x^\mu - [p^\nu, x^\mu] \end{aligned}$$

We can write out the first term

$$T[X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w})] = \begin{cases} X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}), & \tau > \tau' \\ X_L^\nu(\bar{w})X_L^\mu(\bar{z}), & \tau < \tau' \end{cases}$$

The normal orderer product is just the "original" product along with some appropriate commutators.

$$\begin{aligned} :X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}): &= X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) - \frac{\alpha'}{4i}[p^\mu, x^\nu] \ln \bar{z} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \sum_{n>0, m<0} \frac{1}{nm} [\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu, \tilde{\alpha}_m^\nu] \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^{-m} \\ &= X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4i} i \eta^{\nu\mu} \ln \bar{z} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \sum_{n>0, m<0} \frac{1}{nm} n \eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_{m+n} \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^{-m} \\ &= X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \bar{z} - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \sum_{n>0} \frac{1}{n} \eta^{\mu\nu} \bar{z}^{-n} \bar{w}^n \\ &= X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) + \frac{\alpha'}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \bar{z} + \frac{\alpha'}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{z}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) \rangle &= -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \bar{z} - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{z}}\right) \\ &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{\alpha'}{4} \ln(\bar{z}) - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \ln(\bar{z} - \bar{w}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

With "RR" combination, it is the same with $\bar{z} \rightarrow z$ and $\bar{w} \rightarrow w$

$$\langle X_R^\mu(z)X_R^\nu(w) \rangle = \eta^{\mu\nu} \left[\frac{\alpha'}{4} \ln(z) - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \ln(z - w) \right] \quad (1.7)$$

The "mixed" contributions are simpler since commutators involving ladder operators vanish

$$\langle X_L^\mu(\bar{z})X_R^\nu(w) \rangle = -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln \bar{z} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\langle X_R^\mu(z)X_L^\nu(\bar{w}) \rangle = -\frac{\alpha'}{4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \ln z \quad (1.9)$$

All together we have

$$\langle X^\mu(z, \bar{z})X^\nu(w, \bar{w}) \rangle = -\eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\alpha'}{2} \ln(z - w)(\bar{z} - \bar{w}) \quad (1.10)$$

2 The quantum Virasoro algebra

Virasoro algebra is in quantum theory defined as

$$L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n : , \quad \bar{L}_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : \bar{\alpha}_{n-m} \bar{\alpha}_n : \quad (2.1)$$

- (a) In L_m there are only two terms of interest, since all other vanish due to commutation relation

$$\begin{aligned} [L_m, \alpha_n^\mu] &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{m-(-n), \nu} [\alpha_{-n}^\nu, \alpha_n^\mu] + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{m+n, \nu} [\alpha_{m-(m+n)}^\nu, \alpha_n^\mu] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_{m-(-n), \nu} (-n) \eta^{\nu\mu} + \alpha_{m+n, \nu} (-n) \eta^{\nu\mu}) \\ &= -n \alpha_{m+n}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

One should note that the equal sign on the first row is not always valid. Here we have the commutation simply as a number instead of operator, so we can place the commutator where ever we want. In principle, the ordering should depend on the values of m and n .

- (b) Use the definition of normal ordering, we see

$$L_m = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq m/2} \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n < m/2} \alpha_n \alpha_{m-n}$$

As a matter of fact, the "threshold" to separate these two summations are arbitrary, since the mode indices are not opposite if $m \neq 0$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha_{m-n} \alpha_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n < 0} \alpha_n \alpha_{m-n} \quad (2.2)$$

- (c) From previous results, we can write the commutator of Virasoro gen-

erators as

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, L_n] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{p<0} [L_m, \alpha_p \cdot \alpha_{n-p}] + \sum_{p\geq 0} [L_m, \alpha_{n-p} \cdot \alpha_p] \right) \\
2[L_m, L_n] &= \sum_{p<0} [L_m, \alpha_p^\mu] \alpha_{n-p, \mu} + \sum_{p<0} \alpha_{p, \mu} [L_m, \alpha_{n-p}^\mu] \\
&\quad + \sum_{p\geq 0} [L_m, \alpha_{n-p}^\mu] \alpha_{p, \mu} + \sum_{p\geq 0} \alpha_{n-p, \mu} [L_m, \alpha_p^\mu] \\
2[L_m, L_n] &= \sum_{p<0} -p \alpha_{m+p}^\mu \alpha_{n-p, \mu} + \sum_{p<0} \alpha_{p, \mu} (-n+p) \alpha_{m+n-p}^\mu \\
&\quad + \sum_{p\geq 0} (-n+p) \alpha_{m+n-p}^\mu \alpha_{p, \mu} + \sum_{p\geq 0} \alpha_{n-p, \mu} (-p) \alpha_{m+p}^\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Now we realized that I should have expanded L_m . Instead, rename indices $n \leftrightarrow m$

$$\begin{aligned}
2[L_n, L_m] &= \sum_{p<0} -p \alpha_{n+p}^\mu \alpha_{m-p, \mu} + \sum_{p<0} \alpha_{p, \mu} (-m+p) \alpha_{m+n-p}^\mu \\
&\quad + \sum_{p\geq 0} (-m+p) \alpha_{m+n-p}^\mu \alpha_{p, \mu} + \sum_{p\geq 0} \alpha_{m-p, \mu} (-p) \alpha_{n+p}^\mu \\
[L_m, L_n] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p<0} [(m-p) \alpha_p \cdot \alpha_{m+n-p} + p \alpha_{n+p} \cdot \alpha_{m-p}] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p\geq 0} [(m-p) \alpha_{m+n-p} \cdot \alpha_p + p \alpha_{m-p} \cdot \alpha_{n+p}]
\end{aligned}$$

This is the same as the expression given on the sheet, up to "position" of $p = 0$. It does not matter, since first sum is essentially the same as the second sum.

- (d) Change the summation variable as follows: $p \rightarrow q$ in the first term, $p \rightarrow q - n$ in the second term, $p \rightarrow q$ in the third term and $p \rightarrow q - n$

in the last term.

$$[L_m, L_n] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \leq 0} (m - q) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{m+n-q} + \sum_{q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{m+n-q} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q > 0} (m - q) \alpha_{m+n-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{q > n} (q - n) \alpha_{m+n-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right]$$

First two terms are very similar, thus we "split" second sum and combine one part into the first sum. The same operation is done to the second two terms.

$$[L_m, L_n] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \leq 0} (m - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{m+n-q} + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{m+n-q} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q > n} (m - n) \alpha_{m+n-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (m - q) \alpha_{m+n-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right] \quad (2.3)$$

Is it normal ordered with $n > 0$? No, for instance if $m \rightarrow -\infty$, then the first term with $q = 0$ is not normal ordered.

(e) If $m + n \neq 0$ and $n > 0$, with equation (2.2)

$$L_{m+n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q < 0} \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{m+n-q} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \geq 0} \alpha_{m+n-q} \cdot \alpha_q$$

This is the same as $[L_m, L_n]$ up to a numerical factor. One can see it from combining second and last term of equation (2.3) together (with $m + n \neq 0$ we are allowed to exchange α 's in the second term) and then put it into the third sum. Thus

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n}, \quad m + n \neq 0$$

(f) If $m + n = 0$ and $n > 0$,

$$[L_m, L_n] = \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \leq 0} (m - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{-q} + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{-q} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q > n} (m - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (m - q) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right]$$

The second term is not normal ordered,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{-q} &= \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) (\alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + [\alpha_q^\mu, \alpha_{-q, \mu}]) \\
&= \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) q \eta_\mu^\mu \\
&= \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q^2 - nq) d \\
&= \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \left[\frac{1}{6} (2n^3 + 3n^2 + n) - n \frac{n}{2} (n + 1) \right] d \\
&= \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \frac{1}{6} n (1 - n^2) d
\end{aligned}$$

with d the dimension of the target space.

Thus with $m + n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_m, L_n] &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \leq 0} (m - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{-q} + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (q - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q > n} (m - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q + \sum_{0 < q \leq n} (m - q) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right] + \frac{d}{12} n (1 - n^2)
\end{aligned}$$

combine second, third and fourth term together

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{q \leq 0} (m - n) \alpha_q \cdot \alpha_{-q} + \sum_{q > 0} (m - n) \alpha_{-q} \cdot \alpha_q \right] + \frac{d}{12} n (1 - n^2) \\
&= (m - n) L_0 + \frac{d}{12} n (1 - n^2) \\
[L_m, L_n] &= 2m L_0 + \frac{d}{12} m (m^2 - 1)
\end{aligned}$$