

CSCI 3155: Lab Assignment 2

Fall 2014: Due Monday October 6 at 7 pm

The purpose of this lab is to get practice with grammars and to build an interpreter for something that begins to feel more like a programming language.

You are welcome to talk about these questions in larger groups. However, we ask that you write up your answers as part of your team. Also, be sure to acknowledge those with which you discussed, including your partner and those outside of your team.

We again use this evaluation guideline:

Both your ideas and also the clarity with which they are expressed matter—both in your English prose and your code!

We will consider the following criteria in our grading:

- How well does your submission answer the questions? *For example, a common mistake is to give an example when a question asks for an explanation. An example may be useful in your explanation, but it should not take the place of the explanation.*
- How clear is your submission? *If we cannot understand what you are trying to say, then we cannot give you points for it. Try reading your answer aloud to yourself or a friend; this technique is often a great way to identify holes in your reasoning. For code, not every program that "works" deserves full credit. We must be able to read and understand your intent. Make sure you state any pre-conditions or invariants for your functions (either in comments, as assertions, or as require clauses as appropriate).*

Try to make your code as concise and clear as possible. Challenge yourself to find the most crisp, concise way of expressing the intended computation. This may mean using ways of expression computation currently unfamiliar to you.

Finally, make sure that your file compiles and runs (using Scala 2.10.3). A program that does not compile will *not* be graded.

Submission Instructions. You will work on the following four files:

- `Lab2.md` with your answers to the written questions in Markdown.
- `Lab2.scala` with your answers to the coding exercises.
- `Lab2Spec.scala` with any updates to your unit tests.

- Lab2.jsy with a challenging test case for your JAVASCRIPTY interpreter.

In recitation, your TA will once again ask you to sign up for an interview slot the week of October 6. Please take advantage of your interview time to maximize the feedback that you are able to receive. Arrive at your interview ready to demo your implementation and discuss your written responses. Implementations that do not compile and run will not be evaluated.

Getting Started. Download the code pack lab2.zip from the assignment page.

A suggested way to get familiar with Scala is to do some small lessons with Scala Koans (<http://www.scalakoans.org/>). If you haven't looked at the ones from Lab 1, we consider you look at those, particularly AboutCaseClasses and AboutPatternMatching.

1. Grammars: Synthetic Examples.

- (a) Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} A &::= A \& A \mid V \\ V &::= a \mid b \end{aligned}$$

Recall that a grammar defines inductively a set of syntactic objects (i.e., a language). We can also use judgments to define a language.

For this exercise, rewrite this grammar using the following two judgment forms:

$$\begin{aligned} A \in \mathbf{AObjects} &\text{ meaning } \text{Syntactic object } A \text{ is in the set } \mathbf{AObjects}. \\ V \in \mathbf{VObjects} &\text{ meaning } \text{Syntactic object } V \text{ is in the set } \mathbf{VObjects}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Show that the grammar in the previous part is ambiguous.
 (c) Describe the language defined by the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} S &::= A \mid B \mid C \\ A &::= a A \mid a \\ B &::= b B \mid \epsilon \\ C &::= c C \mid c \end{aligned}$$

(from Sebesta, Chapter 3)

- (d) Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} S &::= A a B b \\ A &::= A b \mid b \\ B &::= a B \mid a \end{aligned}$$

Which of the following sentences are in the language generated by this grammar? For the sentences that are described by this grammar, demonstrate that they are by giving **derivations**.

1. baab
2. bbbab
3. bbaaaaa

4. bbaab

(from Sebesta, Chapter 3)

(e) Consider the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} S &::= a S c B | A | b \\ A &::= c A | c \\ B &::= d | A \end{aligned}$$

Which of the following sentences are in the language generated by this grammar? For the sentences that are described by this grammar, demonstrate that they are by giving **parse trees**.

1. abcd
2. acccbd
3. acccbcc
4. acd
5. accc

(from Sebesta, Chapter 3)

2. Grammars: Understanding a Language.

- (a) Consider the following two grammars for expressions e . In both grammars, *operator* and *operand* are the same; you do not need to know their productions for this question.

$$e ::= \text{operand} | e \text{ operator operand}$$
$$\begin{aligned} e &::= \text{operand esuffix} \\ \text{esuffix} &::= \text{operator operand esuffix} | \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

- i. Intuitively describe the expressions generated by the two grammars.
 - ii. Do these grammars generate the same or different expressions? Explain.
- (b) Write a Scala expression to determine if `-` has higher precedence than `<<` or vice versa. Make sure that you are checking for precedence in your expression and not for left or right associativity. Use parentheses to indicate the possible abstract syntax trees, and then show the evaluation of the possible expressions. Finally, explain how you arrived at the relative precedence of `-` and `<<` based on the output that you saw in the Scala interpreter.
- (c) Give a BNF grammar for floating point numbers that are made up of a fraction (e.g., 5.6 or 3.123 or -2.5) followed by an optional exponent (e.g., E10 or E-10). The exponent, if it exists, is the letter 'E' followed by an integer. For example, the following are floating point numbers: 3.5E3, 3.123E30, -2.5E2, -2.5E-2, and 3.5. The following are not examples of floating point numbers: 3.E3, E3, and 3.0E4.5.

More precisely, our floating point numbers must have a decimal point, do not have leading zeros, can have any number of trailing zeros, non-zero exponents (if it exists), must have non-zero fraction to have an exponent, and cannot have a '-' in front of a zero number. The exponent cannot have leading zeros.

expressions	$e ::= x \mid n \mid b \mid str \mid \mathbf{undefined} \mid uop\ e_1 \mid e_1\ bop\ e_2$ $\mid e_1\ ?\ e_2 : e_3 \mid \mathbf{const}\ x = e_1; e_2 \mid \mathbf{console.log}(e_1)$
values	$v ::= n \mid b \mid \mathbf{undefined} \mid str$
unary operators	$uop ::= - \mid !$
binary operators	$bop ::= , \mid + \mid - \mid * \mid / \mid === \mid !== \mid < \mid <= \mid > \mid >= \mid \&\& \mid $
variables	x
numbers (doubles)	n
booleans	$b ::= \mathbf{true} \mid \mathbf{false}$
strings	str

Figure 1: Abstract Syntax of JAVASCRIPTY

statements	$s ::= \mathbf{const}\ x = e \mid e \mid \{ s_1 \} \mid ; \mid s_1\ s_2$
expressions	$e ::= \cdots \mid \mathbf{const}\ x = e_1; e_2 \mid (e_1)$

Figure 2: Concrete Syntax of JAVASCRIPTY

For this exercise, let us assume that the tokens are characters in the following alphabet Σ :

$$\Sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, E, -, ., \}$$

Your grammar should be completely defined (i.e., it should not count on a non-terminal that it does not itself define).

3. JavaScript Interpreter: Booleans, Strings, Variable Binding, and Conversions.

One aspect that makes the JavaScript specification complex is the presence of implicit conversions (e.g., string values may be implicitly converted to numeric values depending on the context in which values are used). In this exercise, we will explore some of this complexity by implementing an evaluator with conversions for the subset with numbers, booleans, strings, and variable binding. JavaScript has a distinguished **undefined** value that we will also consider. This version of JAVASCRIPTY is much like the LET language in Section 3.2 of Friedman and Wand.

The syntax of JAVASCRIPTY for this lab is given in Figure 1. Note that the grammar specifies the abstract syntax using notation borrowed from the concrete syntax.

The concrete syntax accepted by the parser is slightly less flexible than the abstract syntax in order to match the syntactic structure of JavaScript. In particular, all **const** bindings must be at the top-level. For example,

`1 + (const x = 2; x)`

is not allowed. The reason is that JavaScript layers a language of *statements* on top of its language of *expressions*, and the **const** binding is considered a statement. A program is a statement s as given in Figure 2. A statement is either a **const** binding, an expression, a grouping of statements (i.e., $\{ s_1 \}$), an empty statement (i.e., $;$), or a statement sequence (i.e., $s_1\ s_2$). Expressions are as in Figure 1 except **const** binding expressions are removed, and we have a way to parenthesize expressions.

An abstract syntax tree representation is provided for you in `ast.scala`. We also provide a parser and main driver for testing. The correspondence between the concrete syntax and the abstract syntax representation is shown in Figure 3.

To make the project simpler, we also deviate slightly with respect to scope. Whereas JavaScript considers all **const** bindings to be in same scope, our JAVASCRIPTY bindings each introduce their own scope. In particular, for the binding **const** $x = e_1; e_2$, the scope of variable x is the expression e_2 .

Statement sequencing and expression sequencing are right associative. All other binary operator expressions are left associative. Precedence of the operators follow JavaScript.

The semantics are defined by the corresponding JavaScript program. We also have a system function **console.log** for printing out values to the console and returns **undefined**. Its implementation is provided for you.

- (a) First, write some JAVASCRIPTY programs and execute them as JavaScript programs. This step will inform how you will implement your interpreter and will serve as tests for your interpreter.
- (b) Then, implement

```
def eval(env: Env, e: Expr): Expr
```

that evaluates a JAVASCRIPTY expression e in a value environment env to a value. A value is one of a number n , a boolean b , a string s , or **undefined**.

It will be useful to first implement three helper functions for converting values to numbers, booleans, and strings.

```
def toNumber(v: Expr): Double
def toBoolean(v: Expr): Boolean
def toStr(v: Expr): String
```

```

sealed abstract class Expr
case class Var(x: String) extends Expr
  Var(x)  x
case class ConstDecl(x: String, e1: Expr, e2: Expr) extends Expr
  ConstDecl(x, e1, e2)  const x = e1; e2
case class N(n: Double) extends Expr
  N(n)  n
case class B(b: Boolean) extends Expr
  B(b)  b
case class S(str: String) extends Expr
  S(str)  str
case object Undefined extends Expr
  Undefined  undefined
case class Unary(uop: Uop, e1: Expr) extends Expr
  Unary(uop, e1)  uope1
case class Binary(bop: Bop, e1: Expr, e2: Expr) extends Expr
  Binary(bop, e1)  e1 bop e2
sealed abstract class Uop
case object Neg extends Uop
  Neg  -
case object Not extends Uop
  Not  !
sealed abstract class Bop
case object Plus extends Bop
  Plus  +
case object Minus extends Bop
  Minus  -
case object Times extends Bop
  Times  *
case object Div extends Bop
  Div  /
case object Eq extends Bop
  Eq  ===
case object Ne extends Bop
  Ne  !==
case object Lt extends Bop
  Lt  <
case object Le extends Bop
  Le  <=
case object Gt extends Bop
  Gt  >
case object Ge extends Bop
  Ge  >=
case object And extends Bop
  And  &&
case object Or extends Bop
  Or  ||
case object Seq extends Bop
  Seq  ,
case class If(e1: Expr, e2: Expr, e3: Expr) extends Expr
  If(e1, e2, e3)  e1 ? e2 : e3
case class Print(e1: Expr) extends Expr
  Print(e1)  console.log(e1)

```

Figure 3: Representing in Scala the abstract syntax of JAVASCRIPTY. After each **case class** or **case object**, we show the correspondence between the representation and the concrete syntax.