Using Dendropy for Phylogenetic Analysis for Two Families of Pelecaniformes: Fregatidae and Phaethonidae

1. What is Dendropy?

DendroPy is a Python library for phylogenetic computing. It provides classes and functions for the simulation, processing, and manipulation of phylogenetic trees and character matrices, and supports the reading and writing of phylogenetic data in a range of formats.

NEXUS

NEWICK

NeXML

Phylip

FASTA

Useful phylogenetic operations, such as data conversion and tree posterior distribution summarization, are also distributed and installed as part of the library.

2. What are we doing in Dendropy?

After doing some searching for phylogenetic data on Treebase, I found a particular Phylogenetic study that I really liked!

Kennedy M., & Spencer H. 2004. Phylogenies of the Frigatebirds (Fregatidae) and Tropicbirds (Phaethonidae), two divergent groups of the traditional order Pelecaniformes, inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 31: 31-38.

From the abstract: "The abstract: The frigatebirds (Fregatidae) and Tropicbirds (Phaethonidae) represent the most morphologically and behaviorally distinct members of the traditional Order Pelecaniformes. Using 1756 bp of mitochondrial DNA sequence consisting of the 12S, ATPase-6, ATPase-8, and COI genes obtained from all extant species, we derive a completely resolved phylogeny for both groups."

3. First Jupyter cell: reading in data

```
In [1]:
    import dendropy
    taxa = dendropy.TaxonNamespace()
    chars = dendropy.DaaCharacterMatrix.get(
        path="51144.nex",
        schema="newick",
        taxon_namespace=taxa)

    treestr1 = '(Megadyptes_antipodes,(Diomedea_epomophora,((((Phaethon_aethereus,(Phaethon_lepturus,Phaethon_rubricauda))),
    treestr2 = '(Megadyptes_antipodes,(((Diomedea_epomophora,(Phaethon_aethereus,(Phaethon_lepturus,Phaethon_rubricauda))),
    treestr3 = '(((((Fregata_andrewsi,Fregata_minor),(Fregata_aquila,Fregata_magnificens)),Fregata_ariel),(Phaethon_aethere

    tree1 = dendropy.Tree.get(data=treestr1,
        schema="newick", taxon_namespace=taxa)

    tree2 = dendropy.Tree.get(data=treestr2,
        schema="newick", taxon_namespace=taxa)

    tree3 = dendropy.Tree.get(data=treestr3,
        schema="newick", taxon_namespace=taxa)
```

Here we establish a common Taxon namespace, and read in the DNA sequence data from the Nexus file. The three associated trees from the file would not read in as a TreeList, so I manually extracted them from the .nex file and read them in as trees in Newick format.

4. Second Jupyter Cell: Population Genetics Summary Statistics

```
In [2]: from dendropy.calculate import popgenstat
       p1 = []
p2 = []
        for idx, t in enumerate(chars.taxon_namespace):
           if t.label.startswith('Fregata'):
              pl.append(chars[t])
              p2.append(chars[t])
       pp = popgenstat.PopulationPairSummaryStatistics(p1, p2)
       print('Average number of pairwise differences (total): %s' \
             pp.average_number_of_pairwise_differences)
       print('Average number of pairwise differences (between populations): %s' \
            pp.average_number_of_pairwise_differences_between)
       print('Average number of pairwise differences (net): %s' \
           % pp.average_number_of_pairwise_differences_net)
       print('Number of segregating sites: %s'
       % pp.num_segregating_sites)
print("Watterson's theta: %s" \
             pp.wattersons_theta)
       print("Wakeley's Psi: %s'
           pp.wakeleys_psi)
       print("Tajima's D: %s
           % pp.tajimas_d)
```

Here we use the DNA character matrix we loaded in to do some population summary statistics between the two families of Pelicans. We separate the populations by type, Fregata vs non-fregata. We then run the population module command on both populations.

```
Average number of pairwise differences (total): 238.24175824175825

Average number of pairwise differences (between populations): 261.177777777778

Average number of pairwise differences (within populations): 303.7

Average number of pairwise differences (net): -42.52222222222218

Number of segregating sites: 700

Watterson's theta: 220.11652776238597

Wakeley's Psi: 0.145992214810748

Tajima's D: 0.3729589691673767
```

Here are our results and interpretations of what we calculated.

- 238.21 = The average number of pairwise differences between every sequence across both populations.
- 261.17 = The average number of pairwise differences between every sequence between both populations.
- 303.7 = The average number of pairwise differences between every sequence within each population.
- -42.52 = The net number of pairwise differences.
- 700 = Number of positions which show differences (polymorphisms) between related genes in a sequence alignment.
- 220.1 = Watterson's Theta uses the number of segregating sites and population size to describe genetic diversity. High for our two populations.
- .145 = Wakely's Psi, couldn't find any information on this one, but hey! We calculated it!
- .372 = Tajima's D is computed as the difference between two measures of genetic diversity: the mean number of pairwise differences and the number of segregating sites, each scaled so that they are expected to be the same in a neutrally evolving population of constant size.

5. Third Jupyter Cell: Parsimony Score of Trees

```
In [3]: import dendropy from dendropy.calculate import treescore
                                                                                                                 print("Parsimony score for Tree1: {}".format(score))
                                                                                                                 score_by_character_list = []
                                                                                                                score = treescore.parsimony score(
                                                                                                                               chars.
          # taxon_namespace=tns,)
# chars = dataset.char_matrices[0]
                                                                                                                               gaps as missing=False,
                                                                                                                               score_by_character_list=score_by_character_list)
         # We store the site-specific scores here
# This is optional; if we do not want to
# use the per-site scores, just pass in |None|
# for the ``score by_character_list'` argument
or do not specify this argument at all.
score_by_character_list = {}
                                                                                                                # Print the results: the score
                                                                                                               print("Parsimony score for Tree2: {}".format(score))
score_by_character_list = []
                                                                                                                score = treescore.parsimony_score(
         score = treescore.parsimony_score(
    tree1,
    chars,
                                                                                                                               gaps_as_missing=False,
score_by_character_list=score_by_character_list)
                   gaps_as_missing=False,
score_by_character_list=score_by_character_list)
          # Print the results: the score
print("Parsimony score for Treel: {}".format(score))
                                                                                                                # Print the results: the score
print("Parsimony score for Tree3: {}".format(score))
         score_by_character_list = []
```

In this very fat Jupyter cell, we calculate the Parsimony score of each of our three trees.

Parsimony score for Tree1: 1535 Parsimony score for Tree2: 1542 Parsimony score for Tree3: 1539

The tree with the lowest parsimony score is the most parsimonious tree. Therefore Tree1 is the tree with the lowest number of nucleotide substitutions. Not necessarily an indicator of the best tree though!

6. Fourth Jupyter Cell: Unary Tree Statistics and Metrics for Tree1

In this Jupyter cell, we calculate some tree statistics for our most parsimonious tree, Tree1

- 6.78 = the reciprocal of the sum of the maximum number of nodes between each interior node and tip over all internal nodes excluding root.
- 5.21 = the average number of nodes above a terminal node.
- .423 = the sum of differences of numbers of children in left and right subtrees over all internal nodes
- 7. Fifth Jupyter Cell: Comparing the three trees using the Robinsons-Foulds distance measure.

```
In [11]: from dendropy.calculate import treecompare treel.encode_bipartitions() tree2.encode_bipartitions() tree3.encode_bipartitions() print('Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 1 and Tree 2: '+ str(treecompare.symmetric_difference(tree1, tr print('Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 1 and Tree 3: '+ str(treecompare.symmetric_difference(tree1, tr print('Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 2 and Tree 3: '+ str(treecompare.symmetric_difference(tree3, tr Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 1 and Tree 2: 6 Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 1 and Tree 3: 3 Unweighted Robinson-Foulds distance between Tree 2 and Tree 3: 3
```

Here we use the treecompare command from the dendropy.calculate module to compare the Robinsons-Foulds distance between the three trees. The most parsimonious tree, tree one has the highest total distance among the three trees.

8. Conclusion:

I really enjoyed figuring out how to work with this API. It forced me into some uncomfortable territory where in the beginning I was really unsure what I was doing with this data. Working on a project like this with no supervision really heightened my sense of what I could do and understand in a field that I had no prior background in. While I cannot really give an informed analysis on my results, beyond what I could glean off of Wikipedia, computing them was the entire battle.