

"emcpps-internal" — 2021/3/28 — 20:05 — page i — #1





"emcpps-internal" — 2021/3/28 — 20:05 — page ii — #2









Chapter 1

Safe Features

sec-safe-cpp11 Intro text should be here.







Chapter 1 Safe Features

sec-safe-cpp14





Chapter 2

Conditionally Safe Features

sec-conditional Intro text should be here.



alignof

Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

The (Compile-Time) alignof Operator

alignof

The keyword alignof serves as a compile-time operator used to query the alignment requirements of a type on the current platform.

Description

description

The alignof operator, when applied to a type, evaluates to an integral constant expression that represents the alignment requirements of its argument type. Similar to sizeof, the (compile-time) value of alignof is of type std::size_t; unlike sizeof (which can accept an arbitrary expressions), alignof is defined (in the C++ Standard) on only a type identifier but often works on expressions anyway (see *Annoyances* on page 12). The argument type, T, supplied to alignof must be either a complete type, a reference type, or an array type. If T is a complete type, the result is the alignment requirement for T. If T is a reference type, the result is the alignment requirement for the referenced type. If T is an array type, the result is the alignment requirement in the array¹:

```
static_assert(alignof(short) == 2, ""); // complete type (sizeof is 2)
static_assert(alignof(short&) == 2, ""); // reference type (sizeof is 2)
static_assert(alignof(short[5]) == 2, ""); // array type (sizeof is 2)
static_assert(alignof(short[]) == 2, ""); // array type (sizeof fails)
```

alignof Fundamental Types

Like their size, the alignment requirements of a char, signed char, and unsigned char are all guaranteed to be 1 (i.e., 1-byte aligned) on every conforming platform. For any other fundamental or pointer type FPT, alignof(FPT) (like sizeof(FPT)) is platform-dependent but is typically approximated well by the type's natural alignment — i.e., sizeof(FPT) == alignof(FPT):

```
static_assert(alignof(char) == 1, ""); // guaranteed to be 1
static_assert(alignof(short) == 2, ""); // platform-dependent
static_assert(alignof(int) == 4, ""); // " "
static_assert(alignof(double) == 8, ""); // " "
static_assert(alignof(void*) >= 4, ""); // " "
```

alignof User-Defined Types

of-user-defined-types

nof-fundamental-types

When applied to user-defined types, alignment is always at least that of the strictest alignment of any of its arguments' base or member objects. Empty types are defined to have a size (and alignment) of 1 to ensure that every object has a unique address.² Compilers

¹According to the C++11 Standard, "An object of **array type** contains a contiguously allocated nonempty set of N subobjects of type T" (**cpp11**, section 8.3.4, "Arrays," paragraph 1, p. 188). Note that, for every type T, sizeof(T) is always a multiple of alignof(T); otherwise, storing multiple T instances in an array would be impossible without padding, and the Standard explicitly prohibits padding between array elements.

²An exception is made for an object of a type derived from an empty (base) class in that neither the size nor the alignment of the derived object is affected by the derivation:

will (by default) avoid nonessential padding because any extra padding would be wasteful of (e.g., cache) memory³:

```
struct S0 { };
                                       // sizeof(S0) is 1; alignof(S0) is
struct S1 { char c; };
                                       // sizeof(S1) is 1; alignof(S1) is
struct S2 { short s; };
                                       // sizeof(S2) is 2; alignof(S2) is
struct S3 { char c; short s; };
                                       // sizeof(S3) is 4; alignof(S3) is
struct S4 { short s1; short s2; };
                                       // sizeof(S4) is 4; alignof(S4) is
struct S5 { int i; char c; };
                                       // sizeof(S5) is 8; alignof(S5) is
struct S6 { char c1; int i; char c2};
                                     // sizeof(S6) is 12; alignof(S6) is
struct S7 { char c; short s; int i; }; // sizeof(S7) is 8; alignof(S7) is
struct S8 { double d; };
                                       // sizeof(S8) is 8; alignof(S8) is
struct S9 { double d; char c};
                                       // sizeof(S9) is 16; alignof(S9) is 8
struct SA { long double; };
                                      // sizeof(SA) is 16; alignof(SA) is 16
struct SB { long double; char c};
                                       // sizeof(SB) is 32; alignof(SB) is 16
```

use-cases

ype-during-development

Use Cases

Probing the alignment of a type during development

Both sizeof and alignof are often used informally during development and debugging to confirm the compiler's understanding of those attributes for a given type on the current platform. For example:

```
#include <iostream> // std::cout
```

 3 Compilers are permitted to increase alignment (e.g., in the presence of virtual functions) but have certain restrictions on padding. For example, they must ensure that each comprised type is itself sufficiently aligned and that the alignment of the parent type divides its size. This ensures that the fundamental identity for arrays holds for all types, T, and positive integers, N:

```
T a[N]; static_assert(n == sizeof(a) / sizeof(*a)); // guaranteed
```

The alignment of user-defined types can be made artificially stricter (but not weaker) using the alignas (see "??" on page ??) specifier. Also note that, for **standard-layout types**, the address of the first member object is guaranteed to be the same as that of the parent object:



Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

```
void f()
{
    std::cout << " sizeof(double): " << sizeof(double) << '\n'; // always 8
    std::cout << "alignof(double): " << alignof(double) << '\n'; // usually 8
}</pre>
```

Printing the size and alignment of a struct along with those of each of its individual data members can lead to the discovery of suboptimal ordering of data members (resulting in wasteful extra padding). As an example, consider two structs, Wasteful and Optimal, having the same three data members but in different order:

```
struct Wasteful
{
   char
          d_c; // size = 1; alignment = 1
   double d_d; // size = 8;
                               alignment = 8
          d_i;
               // size = 4;
                               alignment = 4
};
                // size = 24;
                               alignment = 8
struct Optimal
   double d_d;
                // size = 8;
                              alignment = 8
                // size = 4;
   int
                               alignment = 4
          d_i;
                // size = 1;
   char
          d_c;
                               alignment = 1
};
                // size = 16;
                               alignment = 8
```

Both alignof(Wasteful) and alignof(Optimal) are 8 but sizeof(Wasteful) is 24, whereas sizeof(Optimal) is only 16. Even though these two structs contain the very same data members, the individual alignment requirements of these members forces the compiler to insert more total padding between the data members in Wasteful than is necessary in Optimal:

```
struct Wasteful
{
                          // size = 1;
    char
           d_c;
                                         alignment = 1
    char
           padding_0[7]; // size =
    double d_d;
                          // size = 8;
                                         alignment = 8
    int
           d_i;
                          // size = 4;
                                         alignment = 4
           padding_1[4]; // size = 4
    char
};
                          // size = 24; alignment = 8
struct Optimal
    double d_d;
                          // size = 8;
                                         alignment = 8
                          // size = 4;
                                         alignment = 4
    int
           d_i;
                          // size = 1;
    char
           d_c;
                                         alignment = 1
                         // size = 3
    char
           padding_0[3];
                          // size = 16; alignment = 8
};
```

Determining if a given buffer is sufficiently aligned

is-sufficiently-aligned

The alignof operator can be used to determine if a given (e.g., char) buffer is suitably aligned for storing an object of arbitrary type. As an example, consider the task of creating a value-semantic class, MyAny, that represents an object of arbitrary type⁴:

A straightforward implementation of MyAny would be to allocate an appropriately sized block of dynamic memory each time a value of a new type is assigned. Such a naive implementation would force memory allocations even though the vast majority of values assigned in practice are small (e.g., fundamental types), most of which would fit within the space that would otherwise be occupied by just the pointer needed to refer to dynamic memory. As a practical optimization, we might instead consider reserving a small buffer (say, roughly⁵ 32 bytes) within the footprint of the MyAny object to hold the value provided (1) it will fit and (2) the buffer is sufficiently aligned. The natural implementation of this type — the union of a char array and a struct (containing a char pointer and a size) — will naturally result in the minimal alignment requirement of the char* (i.e., 4 on a 32-bit platform and 8 on a 64-bit one)⁶:

```
class MyAny // nontemplate class
{
    union
    {
```

⁴The C++17 Standard Library provides the (nontemplate) class std::any, which is a type-safe container for single values of any regular type. The implementation strategies surrounding alignment for std::any in both libstdc++ and libc++ closely mirror those used to implement the simplified MyAny class presented here. Note that std::any also records the current typeid (on construction or assignment) so that it can implement a const template member function, bool is<T>() const, to query, at runtime, whether a specified type is currently the active one:

```
void f(const std::any& object)
{
    if (object.is<int>()) { /* ... */ }
}
```

 5 We would likely choose a slightly larger value, e.g., 35 or 39, if that space would otherwise be filled with essential padding due to overall alignment requirements.

⁶We could, in addition, use the alignas attribute to ensure that the minimal alignment of d_buffer was at least 8 (or even 16):

```
// ...
alignas(8) char d_buffer[39]; // small buffer aligned to (at least) 8
// ...
```



Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

```
struct
        {
            char*
                        d_buf_p; // pointer to dynamic memory if needed
            std::size_t d_size; // for d_buf_p; same alignment as (char*)
        } d_imp; // Size/alignment of d_imp is sizeof(d_buf_p) (e.g., 4 or 8).
        char d_buffer[39];
                                   // small buffer aligned as a (char*)
    }; // Size of union is 39; alignment of union is alignof(char*).
                                   // boolean (discriminator) for union (above)
    bool d_onHeapFlag;
public:
    template <typename T>
    MyAny(const T& x);
                                     // (member template) constructor
    template <typename T>
    MyAny& operator=(const T& rhs); // (member template) assignment operator
    template <typename T>
    const T& as() const;
                                     // (member template) accessor
    // ...
}; // Size of MyAny is 40; alignment of MyAny is alignof(char*) (e.g., 8).
```

The (templated) constructor 7 of MyAny can then decide (potentially at compile time) whether to store the given object x in the internal small buffer storage or on the heap, depending on x's size and alignment:

```
template <typename T>
MyAny::MyAny(const T& x)
{
    if (sizeof(x) <= 39 && alignof(T) <= alignof(char*))
    {
            // Store x in place in the small buffer.
            new(d_buffer) T(x);
            d_onHeapFlag = false;
    }
    else
    {
            // Store x on the heap and a pointer to it in the small buffer.
            d_imp.d_buf_p = new T(x);
            d_imp.d_size = sizeof(x);
            d_onHeapFlag = true;
    }
}</pre>
```

⁷In a real-world implementation, a *forwarding reference* would be used as the parameter type of MyAny's constructor to *perfectly forward* the argument object into the appropriate storage; see "??" on page ??.

Using the (compile-time) alignof operator in the constructor above to check whether the alignment of T is compatible with the alignment of the small buffer is necessary to avoid attempting to store overly aligned objects in place — even if they would fit in the 39-byte buffer. As an example, consider long double, which on typical platforms has both a size and alignment of 16. Even though sizeof(long double) (16) is not greater than 39, alignof(long double) (16) is greater than that of d_buffer (8); hence, attempting to store an instance of long double in the small buffer, d_buffer, might — depending on where the MyAny object resides in memory — result in undefined behavior. User-defined types that either contain a long double or have had their alignments artificially extended beyond 8 bytes are also unsuitable candidates for the internal buffer even if they might otherwise fit:

```
struct Unsuitable1 { long double d_value };
    // Size is 16 (<= 39), but alignment is 16 (> 8).
struct alignas(32) Unsuitable2 { };
    // Size is 1 (<= 39), but alignment is 32 (> 8).
```

Monotonic memory allocation

conic-memory-allocation

A common pattern in software — e.g., request/response in client/server architectures — is to quickly build up a complex data structure, use it, and then quickly destroy it. A **monotonic allocator** is a special-purpose memory allocator that returns a monotonically increasing sequence of addresses into an arbitrary buffer, subject to specific size and alignment requirements. Especially when the memory is allocated by a single thread, there are prodigious performance benefits to having unsynchronized raw memory be taken directly off the (always hot) program stack. In what follows, we will provide the building blocks of a monotonic memory allocator wherein the **alignof** operator plays an essential role.

As a practically useful example, suppose that we want to create a lightweight MonotonicBuffer class template that will allow us to allocate raw memory directly from the footprint of the object. Just by creating an object of an (appropriately sized) instance of this type on the program stack, memory will naturally come from the stack. For didactic reasons, we will start with a first pass at this class — ignoring alignment — and then go back and fix it using alignof so that it returns properly aligned memory:

```
template <std::size_t N>
struct MonotonicBuffer // first pass at a monotonic memory buffer
{
    char d_buffer[N]; // fixed-size buffer
    char* d_top_p; // next available address

    MonotonicBuffer() : d_top_p(d_buffer) { }
```

⁸C++17 introduces an alternate interface to supply memory allocators via an abstract base class. The C++17 Standard Library provides a complete version of standard containers using this more interoperable design in a sub-namespace, std::pmr, where pmr stands for polymorphic memory resource. Also adopted as part of C++17 are two concrete memory resources, std::pmr::monotonic_buffer_resource and std::pmr::unsynchronized_pool_resource.

⁹see lakos16



Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

MonotonicBuffer is a class template with one integral template parameter that controls the size of the d_buffer member from which it will dispense memory. Note that, while d_buffer has an alignment of 1, the d_top_p member, used to keep track of the next available address, has an alignment that is typically 4 or 8 (corresponding to 32-bit and 64-bit architectures, respectively). The constructor merely initializes the next-address pointer, d_top_p, to the start of the local memory pool, d_buffer[N]. The interesting part is how the allocate function manages to return a sequence of addresses corresponding to objects allocated sequentially from the local pool:

```
MonotonicBuffer<20> mb; // On a 64-bit platform, the alignment will be 8. char* cp = static_cast<char* >(mb.allocate<char >()); // &d_buffer[ 0] double* dp = static_cast<double*>(mb.allocate<double>()); // &d_buffer[ 1] short* sp = static_cast<short* >(mb.allocate<short >()); // &d_buffer[ 9] int* ip = static_cast<int* >(mb.allocate<int >()); // &d_buffer[11] float* fp = static_cast<float* >(mb.allocate<float >()); // &d_buffer[15]
```

The predominant problem with this first attempt at an implementation of allocate is that the addresses returned do not necessarily satisfy the minimum alignment requirements of the supplied type. A secondary concern is that there is no internal check to see if sufficient room remains. To patch this faulty implementation, we will need a function that, given an initial address and an alignment requirement, returns the amount by which the address must be rounded up (i.e., necessary padding) for an object having that alignment requirement to be properly aligned:

```
std::size_t calculatePadding(const char* address, std::size_t alignment)
    // Requires: alignment is a (non-negative, integral) power of 2.
{
    // rounding up X to N (where N is a power of 2): (x + N - 1) & ~(N - 1)
    const std::size_t maxA = alignof(std::max_align_t);
    const std::size_t a = reinterpret_cast<std::size_t>(address) & (maxA - 1);
    const std::size_t am1 = alignment - 1;
    const std::size_t alignedAddress = (a + am1) & ~am1; // round up
    return alignedAddress - a; // return padding
}
```

Armed with the calculatePadding helper function (above), we are all set to write the final (correct) version of the allocate method of the MonotonicBuffer class template:

```
template <typename T>
void* MonotonicBuffer::allocate()
```

```
{
    // Calculate just the padding space needed for alignment.
    const std::size_t padding = calculatePadding(d_top_p, alignof(T));
    // Calculate the total amount of space needed.
    const std::size_t delta = padding + sizeof(T);
    // Check to make sure the properly aligned object will fit.
    if (delta > d_buffer + N - d_top_p) // if (Needed > Total - Used)
        return 0; // not enough properly aligned unused space remaining
    }
    // Reserve needed space; return the address for a properly aligned object.
    void* alignedAddress = d_top_p + padding; // Align properly for T object.
                                               // Reserve memory for T object.
    d_top_p += delta;
                                               // Return memory for T object.
    return alignedAddress;
}
```

Using this corrected implementation that uses alignof to pass the alignment of the supplied type T to the calculatePadding function, the addresses returned from the benchmark example (above) would be different¹⁰:

```
MonotonicBuffer<20> mb;  // Assume 64-bit platform (8-byte aligned).
char*  cp = static_cast<char* >(mb.allocate<char >());  // &d_buffer[ 0]
double*  dp = static_cast<double*>(mb.allocate<double>());  // &d_buffer[ 8]
short*  sp = static_cast<short* >(mb.allocate<short >());  // &d_buffer[16]
int*  ip = static_cast<int* >(mb.allocate<int >());  // 0 (out of space)
bool*  bp = static_cast<bool* >(mb.allocate<bool >());  // &d_buffer[18]
```

In practice, an object that allocates memory, such as a vector or a list, will be constructed with an object that allocates and deallocates memory that is guaranteed to be either maximally aligned, naturally aligned, or sufficiently aligned to satisfy an optionally specified alignment requirement.

Finally, instead of returning a null pointer when the buffer was exhausted, we would typically have the concrete allocator fall back to a geometrically growing sequence of dynamically allocated blocks; the allocate method would then fail (i.e., a std::bad_alloc exception would somehow be thrown) only if all available memory were exhausted and the new handler were unable to acquire more memory yet still opted to return control to its caller.

¹⁰Note that on a 32-bit architecture, the d_top_p character pointer would be only four-byte aligned, which means that the entire buffer might be only four-byte aligned. In that case, the respective offsets for cp, dp, sp, ip, and bp in the example for the aligned use case might sometimes instead be 0, 4, 12, 16, and nullptr, respectively. If desired, we can use the alignas attribute/keyword to artificially constrain the d_buffer data member always to reside on a maximally aligned address boundary, thereby improving consistency of behavior, especially on 32-bit platforms.

alignof

Annoyances

Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

annoyances-alignof

alignof (unlike sizeof) is defined only on types

defined-only-on-types

The (compile-time) **sizeof** operator comes in two different forms: one accepting a *type* and the other accepting an *expression*. The C++ Standard currently requires that **alignof** support only the former¹¹:

This asymmetry can result in a need to leverage decltype (see "??" on page ??) when inspecting an expression instead of a type:

```
int f()
{
    enum { e_SUCCESS, e_FAILURES } result;
    std::cout << "size: " << sizeof(result) << '\n';
    std::cout << "alignment:" << alignof(decltype(result)) << '\n';
}</pre>
```

The same sort of issue occurs in conjunction with modern **type inference** features such as **auto** (see "??" on page ??) and generic lambdas (see "??" on page ??). As a real-world example, consider the generic lambda (C++14) being used to introduce a small *local function* that prints out information regarding the size and alignment of a given **object**, likely for debugging purposes:

Because there is no explicit type available within the body of the printTypeInformation lambda, ¹² a programmer wishing to remain entirely within the C++ Standard ¹³ is forced to use the decltype construct explicitly to first obtain the type of object before passing it on to alignof.

¹¹Although the Standard does not require alignof to work on arbitrary expressions, alignof is a common GNU extension and most compilers support it. Both Clang and GCC will warn only if -wpedantic is set.

 $^{^{12}}$ In C++20, referring to the type of a generic lambda parameter explicitly is possible (due to the addition to lambdas of some familiar template syntax):

¹³Note that alignof(object) will work on every major compiler (GCC 10.x, Clang 10.x, and MSVC 19.x) as a nonstandard extension.



alignof C++11

see-also See Also

- "??" Safe C++11 feature that can be used to provide an artificially stricter alignment (e.g., more than natural alignment).
- "??" Safe C++11 feature that helps work around alignof's limitation of accepting only a type, not an expression (see *Annoyances* on page 12).

Further Reading

further-reading

None so far

"emcpps-internal" — 2021/3/28 — 20:05 — page 31 — #16

alignof C++14

sec-conditional-cpp14



"emcpps-internal" — 2021/3/28 — 20:05 — page 32 — #17









Chapter 3

Unsafe Features

ch-unsafe sec-unsafe-cpp11 Intro text should be here.







Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

sec-unsafe-cpp14