



Chapter 1

Safe Features

sec-safe-cpp11 Intro text should be here.





Chapter 1 Safe Features

sec-safe-cpp14





Chapter 2

Conditionally Safe Features

sec-conditional Intro text should be here.

 \oplus

Chapter 2 Conditionally Safe Features

sec-conditional-cpp14

4





Chapter 3

Unsafe Features

sec-unsafe-cpp11 Intro text should be here.

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

Reference-Qualified Member Functions

fied-memb**efqնaևևtiens**

Ref-qualifiers allow developers to overload a nonstatic member function based on the value category of the class object.

_____Description

Even before standardization, C++ supported decorating nonstatic member functions with cv-qualifiers and allowed overloading on those qualifiers:

```
struct Class1
                              // (1) const-qualified member
    void member1() const;
    void member2();
                              // (2) no qualifiers
    void member2() volatile; // (3) volatile-qualified overload of (2)
};
void f1()
    Class1
                    v1;
    const Class1
                    v2;
    volatile Class1 v3;
    v1.member1(); // Calls function (1).
    v2.member1(); // Calls function (1).
    v1.member2(); // Calls overloaded function (2).
    v3.member2(); // Calls overloaded function (3).
    v3.member1(); // Error, no member1 overload matches a volatile object.
    v2.member2(); // Error, " member2
}
```

The cv-qualifiers, const and/or volatile, appearing after the parameter list in the member function prototype apply to the object on which the member is called and allow us to overload on the cv-qualification of that object. Overload resolution will select the closest match whose cv-qualifiers are the same as, or more restrictive than, the object's cv-qualification; hence, v1.member1() calls a const-qualified member even though v1 is not const. A qualifier cannot be dropped during overload resolution, however, so v3.member1() and v2.member2() are ill formed.

C++11 introduced a similar feature, adding optional qualifiers that indicate the valid value categories for the expression a member function may be invoked on. Declaring a member function overload specifically for *rvalue* expressions, for example, allows library writers to make better use of move semantics. Note that readers of this feature are presumed to be familiar with value categories (see ??) and, in particular, the distinction between *lvalue* and *rvalue* references (see Section 2.1."??" on page ??:

```
struct Class2
```

6

```
{
    void member() &; // (1)
    void member() &&; // (2)
};
```

Each member function with a trailing & or && is said to be **ref-qualified**; the trailing & or && symbols are called **ref-qualifiers**. The & **ref-qualifier** on overload (1), above, restricts that overload to *lvalue* expressions. The && **ref-qualifier** on overload (2) restricts that overload to *rvalue* expressions:

```
void f2()
{
    Class2 v;
    v.member();    // Calls overloaded function (1)
    Class2().member();  // Calls overloaded function (2)
}
```

The expression, v, is an lvalue , so v.member() calls the lvalue -qualified overload of member, whereas the expression, Class2(), is an rvalue , so calling member on it chooses the rvalue -qualified overload.

At the heart of understanding both cv-qualifiers and ref-qualifiers on member functions is recognizing the existence of an implicit parameter by which the class object is passed to the function:

In each of the four overloads of mf, there is a hidden reference parameter (shown in square brackets in the comments) in addition to the explicitly declared <code>int</code> parameter. The qualifiers at the end of the declarator, i.e., after the parameter list, specify the <code>cv-qualifiers</code> and <code>ref-qualifier</code> for this implicit reference. The <code>this</code> pointer holds the address of the object passed for this implicit parameter:

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

```
void Class3::mf(/* [const Class3& __self,] */ int i) const &
{
    // Implicit const Class3 *const this = &__self
    // ...
}

void Class3::mf(/* [const Class3&& __self,] */ int i) const &&
{
    // Implicit const Class3 *const this = &__self
    // ...
}
```

For descriptive purposes, we will refer to the implicit reference parameter as __self throughout this section; in reality, it has no name and cannot be accessed from code. The this pointer within the function is, therefore, the address of __self. Note that the type of this does not reflect whether __self is an *lvalue* reference or an *rvalue* reference; pointer types do not convey the value category of the pointed-to object.

When a member function of an object is called, overload resolution finds the best match for the value category and cv-qualification of all of its arguments, including the implicit __self argument:

```
#include <utility> // std::move
Class3 makeObj();
void f3()
{
   Class3
                    obj1;
   const Class3
                    obj2;
   volatile Class3 obj3;
   const Class3&
                    r1 = obj1;
                    r2 = std::move(obj1); // Note: r2 is an lvalue
   Class3&&
   obj1.mf(0); // Call mf(int) &
   obj2.mf(0); // Call mf(int) const &
   obj3.mf(0); // Error, no overload, mf(int) volatile &
                 // Call mf(int) const &
   r1.mf(0);
   r2.mf(0);
                 // Call mf(int) &
   makeObj().mf(0);
                            // Call mf(int) &&
   std::move(obj1).mf(0); // Call mf(int) &&
    std::move(obj2).mf(0); // Call mf(int) const &&
}
```

The three objects, obj1, obj2, and obj3, are *lvalues*, so calls to mf will match only the *lvalue* reference overloads, i.e., those with a & ref-qualifier. As always, overload resolution will pick the version of mf that best matches the cv-qualification of the object, without dropping any qualifiers. Thus, the call to obj2.mf(0) selects the **const** overload whereas the call to obj3.mf(0) fails because all candidate functions would require dropping the **volatile**

qualifier. The **const** *lvalue* reference r1 matches the **const** *lvalue*-qualified __self even though the object to which r1 is bound is not **const**. Though declared as an *rvalue* reference, a named reference such as r2 is always an *lvalue* when used in an expression; hence, r2.mf(0) calls the non**const** *lvalue*-qualified overload of mf.

The function makeObj returns an rvalue of type Class3. When mf is called on that rvalue, the nonconst rvalue reference overload is selected. The expression std::move(obj1) also binds to an rvalue reference and thus selects the same overload. An rvalue reference to const occurs relatively rarely in real code, but when it happens, it is usually the result of calling std::move on a const object (e.g., obj2), especially in generic code. Note, however, that a const lvalue reference can be bound to an rvalue; thus, if a matching rvalue reference overload is not found, and a const lvalue reference overload exists, then the latter will match an rvalue reference to the class object:

```
class Class4
{
public:
    void mf1() &;
    void mf1() const &;
    void mf1() &&;
    // No void mf1() const && overload.
    void mf2() &;
    void mf2() const &;
    // No void mf2() && overload.
    // No void mf2() const && overload.
};
void f4()
{
    const Class4 obj1;
    Class4
                 obj2;
    std::move(obj1).mf1(); // Calls mf1() const &
    std::move(obj2).mf2(); // Calls mf2() const &
}
```

Syntax and restrictions

A ref-qualifier is an optional part of a nonstatic member function declaration. If present, it must come after any cv-qualifiers and before any exception specification. A constructor or destructor may not have a ref-qualifier:

```
void f1() &; // Error, ref-qualifier on a nonmember function

class Class1
{
    // ...
public:
```

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

A member function that does not have a ref-qualifier can be called for *either* an *lvalue* or an rvalue. Thus, C++03 code continues to compile and work as before:

```
#include <utility> // std::move
class Class2
    // ...
public:
    void mf();
    void mf() const;
};
void f2()
{
    Class2
                 obj1;
    const Class2 obj2;
    obj1.mf();
                           // Calls mf()
    obj2.mf();
                           // Calls mf() const
    std::move(obj1).mf(); // Calls mf()
    std::move(obj2).mf(); // Calls mf() const
}
```

For a set of overloads having the same name and the same parameter types, ref-qualifiers must be provided for *all* members in that set or for *none* of them:

```
class Class3
{
   // ...
public:
   void mf1(int*);
   int mf1(int*) const &; // Error, prior mf1(int*) is not ref-qualified
   int mf2(int) const;
   void mf2(int);
                             // OK, neither mf2(int) is ref-qualified
   int&
               mf3() &;
   const int&
               mf3() const &;
   int&&
               mf3() &&;
                            // OK, all mf3() overloads are ref-qualified
```

Note that the overload of mf1 is ill formed even though the unqualified and ref-qualified versions have different return types and different cv-qualifiers.

Member function templates may also have ref-qualifiers:

```
class Class4
{
    // ...
public:
    template <typename T> Class4& mf(const T&) &;
    template <typename T> Class4&& mf(const T&) &&;
};
```

Within a member function's body, regardless of whether the member has a & ref-qualifier, a && ref-qualifier, or no ref-qualifier at all, uses of *this and of any nonstatic data members yield *lvalues*. Although arguably counterintuitive, this behavior is identical to the way that other reference parameters work:

```
#include <cassert> // standard C assert macro
template <typename T> bool isLvalue(T&) { return true; }
template <typename T> bool isLvalue(T&&) { return false; }
class Class5
{
    int d_data;
public:
    void mf(int&& arg) &&
        assert(isLvalue(arg));
                                  // OK, named reference is an lvalue
        assert(isLvalue(*this)); // OK, pointer dereference is an lvalue
        assert(isLvalue(d_data)); // OK, member of an lvalue is an lvalue
   }
   void mf(int& arg) &
        assert(isLvalue(arg));
                                  // OK, named reference is an lvalue
        assert(isLvalue(*this));
                                  // OK, pointer dereference is an lvalue
        assert(isLvalue(d_data)); // OK, member of an lvalue is an lvalue
    }
};
```

If a member function calls another member function on the same object, only the *lvalue*-qualified overloads are considered:

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

```
#include <cassert> // standard C assert macro

struct Class6
{
   bool mf1() & { return false; } // Return false if called on Ivalue
   bool mf1() && { return true; } // " true " " "rvalue

   void mf2() && { assert(false == mf1()); } // Calls Ivalue overload
};
```

Function mf2, although rvalue-qualified, nevertheless calls the lvalue-qualified overload of mf1 because *this is an lvalue. If the desired behavior is to propagate the value category of the object on which it was called, std::move (or another reference cast) must be used:

```
class Class7
{
   int d_data;

public:
   bool mf1() & { return false; } // Return false if called on Ivalue
   bool mf1() && { return true; } // " true " " "rvalue

   void mf2(int&& arg) &&
   {
       assert(! isLvalue(std::move(arg)));
       assert(! isLvalue(std::move(*this)));
       assert(! isLvalue(std::move(d_data)));

      assert(std::move(*this).mf1());
   }
};
```

In this example, each call to std::move reconstitutes the value category of the original object. Note that we must mention *this explicitly in order to call the rvalue-qualified overload of mf1.

The ref-qualifier, if any, is part of a member function's signature and is, therefore, part of its type and the type of a corresponding pointer to member function:

```
struct Class8
{
                      // (1)
   void mf1(int) &;
   void mf1(int) &&;
                     // (2)
   void mf2(int);
                      // (3)
};
using Plqf = void (Class8::*)(int)&;
                                      // pointer to lvalue-qualified function
using Prqf = void (Class8::*)(int)&&; // "
                                                 " rvalue-qualified
using Puqf = void (Class8::*)(int);
                                     //
                                                 " unqualified
void f8()
```

12

Note that Plqf, Prqf, and Puqf are three different, mutually-incompatible, types that reflect the ref-qualifier of the member function they each point to.

use-cases-refqualifier Use Cases

. .

subobject-of-an-rvalue

Returning a subobject of an *rvalue*

Many classes provide accessors that return a reference to a member of the class. We can gain performance benefits if those accessors returned *rvalue* references when called on an *rvalue* object:

```
#include <utility> // std::move
#include <string> // std::string
class RedString
   std::string d_value;
    RedString(const char* s = "") : d_value("Red: ") { d_value += s; }
                                        { return d_value; }
    std::string&
                        value() &
    std::string const& value() const & { return d_value; }
    std::string&&
                        value() &&
                                        { return std::move(d_value); }
    // ...
};
void f2()
    RedString
                    rs1("hello");
    const RedString rs2("world");
    std::string h1 = rs1.value();
                                                    // "Red: hello"
    std::string h2 = rs2.value();
                                                    // "Red: world"
    std::string h3 = RedString("goodbye").value(); // "Red: goodbye"
```



Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

The RedString class provides three ref-qualified overloads of value. When value is called on rs1 and rs2, the nonconst and const lvalue-qualified overloads, respectively, are selected. Both return an lvalue reference to std::string, so h1 and h2 are constructed using the copy constructor, as usual. In the case of the temporary variable created by RedString("goodbye"), however, the rvalue-qualified overload of value is selected. It returns an rvalue reference, so h3 is invoked using the move constructor, which is more efficient.

As in the case of most such code, it is assumed that an *rvalue* reference refers to an object whose state no longer matters after evaluation of the expression. When that assumption doesn't hold, unexpected results may occur, as in the case of h5, which is initialized from a moved-from string, yielding a valid but unspecified string value.

The value method is not overloaded for a **const** *rvalue*-qualified object. Invoking it for such a (rarely encountered) type selects the **const** *lvalue*-qualified overload, as *rvalues* can always be bound to **const** *lvalue* references. As a result, h6 is initialized from a **const** std::string&, invoking the copy constructor and leaving rs2 unmodified.

One danger of this design is that the reference returned from the $\it rvalue$ -qualified overload could outlive the RedString object:

```
void f3()
{
    std::string&& s = RedString("goodbye").value();
    char c = s[0]; // Bug, s refers to a deleted string
}
```

The temporary variable created by the expression <code>RedString("goodbye")</code> is destroyed at the end of the statement; <code>lifetime extension</code> does not come into play because <code>s</code> is not bound to the temporary object itself, but to a reference returned by the <code>value</code> method. This situation can be avoided by returning by <code>value</code> rather than by reference:

```
class BlueString
 {
    std::string d_value;
     BlueString(const char* s = "") : d_value("Blue: ") { d_value += s; }
     std::string&
                          value() &
                                           { return d_value; }
     std::string const&
                          value() const & { return d_value; }
                                          { return std::move(d_value); }
     std::string
                          value() &&
     // ...
 };
 void f4()
14
```

```
C++11

{
    std::string h = BlueString("hello").value();
    std::string&& s = BlueString("goodbye").value();
    char c = s[0]; // OK, lifetime of s has been extended
}
```

The expression BlueString("hello").value() yields a temporary std::string initialized via move-construction from the member variable d_value . The variable h is, in turn, move-constructed from the temporary variable. Compared to the RedString version of value that returned an rvalue reference, this sequence logically has one extra move operation (two move-constructor calls instead of one). This extra move does not pose a problem in practice because (a) move construction of std::string objects is cheap and (b) most C++11 and C++14 compilers will elide the extra move anyway, yielding equivalent code to the RedString case.

Similarly, the expression BlueString("goodbye").value() yields a temporary std::string, but in this case the temporary variable is bound to the reference, s, which causes its lifetime to be extended until s goes out of scope. Thus, s[0] safely indexes a string that is still live.

Note one more, rather subtle, difference between the behavior of value for RedString versus BlueString:

```
void f5()
{
    RedString rs("hello");
    BlueString bs("hello");

    std::move(rs).value(); // rs.d_value is unchanged
    std::move(bs).value(); // bs.d_value is moved from
}
```

Calling value on an *rvalue* of type RedString doesn't actually change the value of d_value; it is not until the returned *rvalue* reference is actually used (e.g., in a move constructor) that d_value is changed. Thus, if the return value is ignored, nothing happens. Conversely, for BlueString, the return of value is always move constructed, causing d_value to end up in a moved-from state, even if the return value is ultimately ignored. This difference in behavior is seldom important in practice, as reasonable code will assume nothing about the value of a variable after it was used as the argument to std::move.

Forbidding modifying operations on rvalues

-operations-on-rvalues

Modifying an *rvalue* means modifying a temporary object that is about to go out of scope. A common example of a bug resulting from this behavior is accidental assignment to a temporary object. Consider a simple **Employee** class with a **name** accessor and a function that attempts to set the name:

Ref-Qualifiers

¹Beginning with C++17, the description of the way return values are initialized changed so as to no longer materialize a temporary variable in this situation. This change is sometimes referred to as mandatory copy/move elision because, in addition to defining a more consistent and portable semantic, it effectively legislates the optimization that was previously optional.



Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

The programmer probably thought that the assignment to e.name() would result in updating the name of the Employee object referenced by e. Instead, it modifies the temporary string returned by e.name(), having no effect whatsoever except, possibly, to consume CPU resources.

One way to prevent these sorts of accidents is to design a class interface with ref-qualified modifiers that are callable only for non**const** *lvalues*:

```
class Name
{
    std::string d_value;

public:
    Name() = default;
    Name(const char* s) : d_value(s) {}
    Name(const Name&) = default;
    Name(Name&&) = default;

    Name& operator=(const Name&) & = default;
    Name& operator=(Name&&) & = default;
    // ...
};
```

Note that both the copy- and move-assignment operators for Name are ref-qualified for *lvalues* only. Overload resolution will not find an appropriate match for assignment to an *rvalue* of type Name:

```
class Employee2
{
    Name d_name;

public:
    // ...
    Name name() const { return d_name; }
    // ...
};
```

```
void f2(Employee2& e)
{
    e.name() = "Fred"; // Error, cannot assign to rvalue of type Name
}
```

Now, assignment to the temporary returned by e.name() fails to find a matching assignment operator, so the accidental assignment is avoided by an error message. The same approach can be used to avoid many other accidental modifications on *rvalues*, including inserting elements, erasing elements, etc. Note, however, that modifying a temporary is not always a bug; see ?? — Forbidding modifications to rvalues breaks legitimate use cases on page 22.

Forbidding operations on *lvalues*

operations-on-lvalues

If an instance of a class is intended to exist only for the duration of a single expression, then it might be desirable to disable most operations on *lvalues* of that type. For example, an object of type LockableStream, below, works like an std::ostream except that it acquires a mutex for the duration of a single streaming expression. It does this by creating a proxy object, LockedStream, that is useful only as an *rvalue*:

```
#include <iostream> // std::ostream, std::cout, std::endl
#include <mutex>
                     // std::mutex
#include <cassert>
                     // standard C assert macro
class LockableStream; // forward reference
class LockedStream
    friend class LockableStream;
    LockableStream* d_lockable;
    explicit LockedStream(LockableStream* ls) : d_lockable(ls) { }
public:
   LockedStream(const LockedStream&) = delete;
    LockedStream(LockedStream&& other) : d_lockable(other.d_lockable)
    {
        other.d_lockable = nullptr;
   }
    LockedStream& operator=(const LockedStream&) = delete;
    LockedStream& operator=(LockedStream&&) = delete;
    ~LockedStream();
    template <typename T> LockedStream operator<<(const T& v) &&;
};
```

A LockedStream object holds a pointer to a LockableStream. It is move-only (not copyable) and not assignable. Its move constructor transfers ownership of the LockableStream,



Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

which, as we shall see, implicitly transfers ownership of the mutex. The streaming operator, **operator**<<, can be invoked only on an *rvalue*. Note that the only way to construct a LockedStream is through a private constructor that is invoked by the **friend** class, LockableStream:

A LockableStream holds an std::mutex and a reference to an std::ostream object. The streaming operator, operator<<, locks the mutex, constructs a LockedStream object, and delegates the actual streaming operation to the LockedStream. The return value of operator<< is an *rvalue* of type LockedStream.

Each invocation of **operator**<< on a LockedStream outputs to the stored **std::ostream**, then returns ***this** by move construction:

```
template <typename T>
LockedStream LockedStream::operator<<(const T& v) &&
{
    assert(d_lockable); // assert *this is not in moved-from state
    d_lockable->d_os << v;
    return std::move(*this);
}</pre>
```

When the last invocation of **operator**<< in a chain of such invocations completes, the returned **LockedStream** has ownership of the **LockableStream**. Its destructor then unlocks the mutex:

```
LockedStream::~LockedStream()
{
    if (d_lockable)
    {
        d_lockable->unlock();
    }
}
```

18

Note that <code>d_lockable</code> will be <code>nullptr</code> if the <code>LockedStream</code> is in the moved-from state, as most of the temporary <code>LockedStream</code> objects in the chain will be. Finally, we can create a <code>LockableStream</code> and print to it:

```
LockableStream lockableCout(std::cout);

void f5()
{
   lockableCout << "Hello, " << 2021 << "\n";

   LockedStream ls(lockableCout << "Hello, ");
   ls << 2021; // Error, can't stream to lvalue
}</pre>
```

Similar code in other threads can print to lockableCout concurrently; the locking protocol will prevent them from creating a race condition. The first statement in f5 acquires the lock, prints "Hello, 2021" followed by a newline, then releases the lock automatically. An attempt to break this sequence into multiple statements fails because an *lvalue* of type LockedStream cannot be used for streaming.

Note that this idiom is intended to protect the user from casual errors only. If the user is intent on doing so, they can cast an *lvalue* of LockedStream to an *rvalue* using std::move, and they can prevent a LockedStream from going out of scope by using lifetime extension. These workarounds can be used safely, if applied consciously, so it is not necessary to protect against such usage.

Optimizing immutable types and builder classes

es-and-builder-classes

An immutable type is a type that has no modifying operations except for assignment. Among other benefits, the representation of an immutable type can be shared by all objects that have the same value, including in concurrent threads. Every object that logically "modifies" an object of immutable type does so by returning a new object having the modified value; the original object remains unchanged. An ImmutableString class, for example, might have an insert method that takes a second string argument and returns a copy of the original string with the second string inserted in the specified location:



Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

```
ImmutableString(const char* s)
        : d_dataPtr(std::make_shared<std::string>(s)) { }
    ImmutableString(std::string s)
        : d_dataPtr(std::make_shared<std::string>(std::move(s))) { }
    ImmutableString insert(size_type pos, const ImmutableString& s) const
        std::string dataCopy(asStdString());
                                                 // Copy string from this object.
        dataCopy.insert(pos, s.asStdString()); // Do insert.
        return std::move(dataCopy);
                                                 // Move into return value.
    }
    const std::string& asStdString() const
        return d_dataPtr ? *d_dataPtr : s_emptyString;
    }
    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& os, const ImmutableString& s)</pre>
        return os << s.asStdString();</pre>
    }
    // ...
};
const std::string ImmutableString::s_emptyString{};
```

The internal representation of an ImmutableString is an std::string object allocated on the heap and accessed via an instantiation of the C++ Standard reference-counted smart pointer, std::shared_ptr. The copy and move constructors and assignment operators are defaulted; when an ImmutableString is copied or moved, only the smart pointer member is affected. Thus, even large string values can be copied in constant time.

Note that the <code>insert</code> method begins by making a copy of the <code>internal representation</code> of the immutable string. The copy is modified then returned; the representation in the original <code>ImmutableString</code> is not modified:

Immutable types are often paired with *builder* classes — mutable types that are used to "build up" a value, which is then "frozen" into an object of the immutable type. Let's define a StringBuilder class with mutating append and erase methods that modify its internal state, and a conversion operator that returns an ImmutableString containing the built-up value:

```
class StringBuilder
{
    std::string d_string;

public:
    using size_type = std::string::size_type;

    StringBuilder& append(const char* s) & { d_string += s; return *this; } 
    StringBuilder&& append(const char* s) && { return std::move(append(s)); } 

    StringBuilder& erase(size_type pos, size_type n) & { 
        d_string.erase(pos, n); 
        return *this; 
    } 
    StringBuilder&& erase(size_type pos, size_type n) && { 
        return std::move(erase(pos, n)); 
    } 

    operator ImmutableString() && { return std::move(d_string); } 
};
```

The append and erase methods are each ref-qualified and overloaded for both *lvalues* and *rvalues*. The only difference between the overloads is that the *lvalue* overloads each return an *lvalue* reference and the *rvalue* overloads each return an *rvalue* reference. In fact, in each case, the *rvalue* overload simply calls the corresponding *lvalue* overload, then calls std::move on the result. This technique works, and does not cause infinite recursion within the *rvalue* overload, because *this is always an *lvalue*, just as a parameter of *rvalue* reference is always an *lvalue* within a function.

The operator to convert from StringBuilder to ImmutableString is destructive in that it moves the built-up value out of the builder into the returned string. It is ref-qualified for rvalue references only — if the builder is not an rvalue, then the user must deliberately call std::move. This protocol acts a signal to the future maintainer that the builder object is in a moved-from state after the conversion and cannot be reused after its value has been extracted:

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

The builder object is an *lvalue* and is intended to be modified several times before yielding a built-up ImmutableString value. After it is modified using append and erase — selecting the *lvalue* overloads in both cases — attempting to convert it directly to ImmutableString fails because there is no such conversion from an *lvalue* builder. The initialization of s2, conversely, succeeds, *moving* the value from the StringBuilder into the result.

The expression <code>StringBuilder()</code> constructs an <code>rvalue</code>, which is then modified by a chain of calls to <code>append</code> and <code>erase</code>. The <code>rvalue</code> overload of <code>append</code> is selected, which returns an <code>rvalue</code> reference that, in turn, drives the selection of the <code>rvalue</code> overload of <code>erase</code>. Because the result of the chain of modifiers is an <code>rvalue</code> reference, <code>operatorImmutableString</code> can be invoked without calling <code>std::move</code>. This usage is safe because the temporary <code>StringBuilder</code> object is destroyed immediately afterward, so there is no opportunity for improperly reusing the builder object.

pitfalls-refqualifer

legitimate-use-cases

Potential Pitfalls

Forbidding modifications to rvalues breaks legitimate use cases

An earlier use case, Use Cases — Forbidding modifying operations on rvalues on page 15, is also the subject of a potential pitfall. Consider a string class with a tolower modifier method:

```
class String
{
public:
    // ...
    String& toLower();
        // Convert all uppercase letters to lowercase, then return modified
        // *this object.
};

void test()
{
    String x{ /*...*/ };  // Variable of type String
    String f();  // Function returning String

    String& a = x.toLower();  // OK, a refers to x
    f().toLower();  // Bug (1), modifies temporary variable; no-op
    String& b = f().toLower();  // Bug (2), b is a dangling reference
}
```

Bug (1) is a statement that modifies a temporary variable and, hence, has no effect. Bug (2) illustrates how tolower unintentionally acts as an *rvalue*-to-*lvalue* reference cast because it returns an *lvalue* reference to a (possibly *rvalue*) object. The *lvalue* reference, b, is bound to the modified temporary String returned by f(), after it is modified by tolower. At the end of the statement, the temporary object is destroyed, causing b to become a dangling reference.

Given these issues, it is tempting to add a ref-qualifier to toLower so that it can be called only on *lvalues*:

```
class String
{
public:
    // ...
    String& toLower() &;
};
```

Although this ref-qualification prevents do-nothing modifications to a temporary String, it also prevents legitimate uses of toLower on an *rvalue*:

```
String c = f().toLower(); // Error, toLower cannot be called on an rvalue
```

Here, the return value of <code>tolower</code> would be used to initialize <code>c</code> to a copy of the modified <code>String</code>. Unfortunately, we've prohibited calling <code>tolower</code> with an <code>rvalue</code>, so the call is ill formed. This pitfall might manifest any time we suppress modification of <code>rvalues</code> for a method that returns a value or has a side effect.

We could, of course, create ref-qualified overloads for both lvalue and rvalue objects, returning by lvalue-reference or by value, respectively, as we saw in the BlueString class in Use Cases — Returning a subobject of an rvalue on page 13 Returning a subobject of an rvaluexref, but doing so ubiquitously can become a maintenance burden; see Annoyances — Providing ref-qualified overloads may be a maintenance burden on page 23.

Annoyances

annoyances-refqualifier

e-a-maintenance-burden

Providing ref-qualified overloads may be a maintenance burden

Having two or more ref-qualified overloads of a member function can confer expressiveness and safety to a class. The trade-off is that these overloads expand the class interface and usually require code duplication, which can become a maintenance burden:

```
#include <string> // std::string
#include <vector> // std::vector
class Thing
{
    std::string
                     d_name;
    std::vector<int> d_data;
    // ...
public:
    // ...
    std::string const& name() const & { return d_name; }
    std::string
                       name() &&
                                      { return std::move(d_name); }
                                           & { return d_data; }
    std::vector<int>
                          &
                            data()
    std::vector<int> const& data() const & { return d_data; }
                                          && { return std::move(d_data); }
    std::vector<int>
                          && data()
```

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

```
std::vector<int> const&& data() const && { return std::move(d_data); }

Thing& rename(const std::string& n) & { d_name = n; return *this; }
Thing& rename(std::string& n) & { d_name = std::move(n); return *this; }
Thing rename(const std::string& n) &&
    {
        d_name = n;
        return *this; // Bug, should be return std::move(*this).
}
Thing rename(std::string&& n) &&
    {
        return std::move(rename(std::move(n))); // Delegate to Ivalue overload
}
};
```

The name member is a classic accessor. Overloading it based on ref-qualification provides an optimization so that the d_name string can be moved instead of copied when the Thing object is expiring. Because it is an accessor, only the **const** *lvalue* and non**const** *rvalue* overloads are needed; other cv-qualifications do not make sense.

A modifiable Thing object can be modified via the return type of its data member function, but a **const** Thing cannot. We are used to overloading based on **const**, but adding ref-qualification doubles the number of overload combinations.

Finally, the rename member illustrates a different kind of combinatorial overload set. This member is overloaded on the value category of both the Thing argument and the n argument. In addition to the total number of overloads for a single function, this example illustrates a potential performance bug that occurs easily when cutting-and-pasting numerous similar function bodies: by returning *this instead of std::move(*this) in the first rvalue-qualified overload, the return value is copy constructed instead of move constructed.

One way to mitigate the maintenance burden of having many overloads is for the *rvalue*-qualified overloads to delegate to the the *lvalue*-qualified ones, as seen in the last *rvalue*-qualified overload of rename. Note that *this is *always* an *lvalue*, even within the *rvalue*-qualified overloads, so the call to rename within the *rvalue*-qualified version does not result in a recursive call to itself but instead results in a call to the *lvalue*-qualified version.

See Also

Further Reading



"emcpps-internal" — 2021/4/15 — 2:31 — page 25 — #25



C++14

Ref-Qualifiers

sec-unsafe-cpp14



"emcpps-internal" — 2021/4/15 — 2:31 — page 26 — #26



