

## Performance Analysis

All the three models were executed on the same dataset after same pre-processing. Our target column is the category column which consists of 4 distinct values, DoS, DDoS, Normal and Reconnaissance.

### Accuracy Score

#### 1. Base model

XGBoost	0.6174
Logistic Regression	0.9798
SVM	0.8990

#### 2. K-Fold Cross Validation

XGBoost	0.6889
Logistic Regression	0.9867
SVM	0.9280

#### 3. Hyper Parameter Tuning

XGBoost	0.9048
Logistic Regression	0.9883
SVM	0.9973

### Computational Time

#### 1. Base Model

XGBoost	32.2 seconds
Logistic Regression	24.95 seconds
SVM	3138.75 seconds

## 2. Hyper Parameter Tuning

XGBoost	350 min (5 sets of tuning model)
Logistic Regression	33.0 mins
SVM	36.9min

### Classification Report

#### 1. XG Boost

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	0.98	0.99	385309
1	0.99	0.81	0.89	330112
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107
3	0.21	1.00	0.35	18163
accuracy			0.90	733691
macro avg	0.80	0.95	0.81	733691
weighted avg	0.98	0.90	0.93	733691

#### 2. Logistic Regression

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.98	0.99	0.98	385309
1	0.99	0.97	0.98	330112
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	107
3	0.83	0.96	0.89	18163
4	0.00	0.00	0.00	14
accuracy			0.98	733705
macro avg	0.76	0.78	0.77	733705
weighted avg	0.98	0.98	0.98	733705

#### 3. SVM

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.89	0.93	0.91	385309
1	1.00	0.86	0.92	330112
2	1.00	0.99	1.00	107
3	0.38	0.93	0.54	18163
accuracy			0.90	733691
macro avg	0.82	0.93	0.84	733691
weighted avg	0.92	0.90	0.91	733691

Model	Precision	Recall	F1 Score
XG Boost	0.98	0.90	0.93
Logistic Regression	0.98	0.98	0.98
SVM	0.92	0.90	0.91

### Confusion Matrix

#### 1. XG Boost

```
[[378939  1481      0  4889]
 [   181 266694      0 63237]
 [      0      0   107      0]
 [      5      0      0 18158]]
```

#### 2. Logistic Regression

```
(([[379950, 2001, 0, 3358, 0],
 [ 8428, 321510, 0, 174, 0],
 [ 0, 0, 107, 0, 0],
 [ 384, 426, 0, 17353, 0],
 [ 0, 13, 0, 1, 0]]))
```

#### 3. SVM

```
[[359050  157      0 26102]
 [ 44781 283591      0  1740]
 [      0      0   106      1]
 [   339   933      0 16891]]
```

### Inferences

Out of the three models used i.e. **Logistic regression, XG Boost and SVM**, SVM performed best after hyper parameter tuning. **Accuracy** of the three models are

Logistic Regression: ~ 97%

SVM: ~ 99%

XGBoost: ~ 91%

For the **Time Analysis** we found that SVM took the most time. It took more time because if it takes the wrong parameters then it has to compute again. After hyperparameter tuning, when we input the exact parameters, time taken to execute the SVM model decreases sharply.

Logistic Regression: ~ 166.57seconds

SVM: ~ 3138.75seconds

XGBoost: ~ 32.2seconds



Our target column was category & subcategory column. So, I have made a new column in our dataset that contains concatenated values of category and subcategory. All the three models are trained and tested on the same pre-processed dataset.

I have trained and validated our model on the training dataset and then applied that model on the testing dataset to get the results. The following results are thus for both training models as well as for testing models.

## Accuracy Score

Base Training model

XGBoost	0.9998
Logistic Regression	0.8727
SVM	0.9085

Base Testing model

XGBoost	0.7929
Logistic Regression	0.9668
SVM	0.9647

K-Fold Cross Validation

XGBoost	0.9998
Logistic Regression	0.8797
SVM	0.9083

Hyper Parameter Tuning  
(Training Model)

XGBoost	0.9998
Logistic Regression	0.9016
SVM	0.9915

Hyper Parameter Tuning  
(Testing Model)

XGBoost	0.9224
Logistic Regression	0.9699
SVM	0.9964

## Computational Time

Base Model

XGBoost	78.64 seconds
---------	---------------

Logistic Regression	190.63 seconds
SVM	2171.62 seconds

## Hyper Parameter Tuning

XGBoost	286 min (4 sets of tuning models)
Logistic Regression	53.2 mins
SVM	217.7 mins (2 sets of tuning models)

## Classification Report

### Training model

- XG Boost

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	1.00	1.00	1.00	3000
1	1.00	1.00	1.00	14000
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	14000
3	1.00	1.00	1.00	4000
4	1.00	1.00	1.00	13000
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	14000
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1600
7	1.00	1.00	1.00	6000
8	1.00	1.00	1.00	11719
accuracy			1.00	81319
macro avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	81319
weighted avg	1.00	1.00	1.00	81319

- Logistic Regression

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.60	0.59	0.59	3000
1	0.91	0.92	0.92	14000
2	1.00	1.00	1.00	14000
3	0.74	0.45	0.56	4000
4	0.86	0.96	0.91	13000
5	1.00	1.00	1.00	14000
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1600
7	0.61	0.64	0.62	6000
8	0.76	0.73	0.74	11719
accuracy			0.87	81319
macro avg	0.83	0.81	0.82	81319
weighted avg	0.87	0.87	0.87	81319

- SVM

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.73	0.55	0.63	3000
1	0.91	0.91	0.91	14000
2	1.00	0.99	0.99	14000
3	0.76	0.86	0.81	4000
4	0.89	0.98	0.93	13000
5	0.99	1.00	0.99	14000
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	1600
7	0.95	0.53	0.68	6000
8	0.81	0.92	0.86	11719
accuracy			0.91	81319
macro avg	0.89	0.86	0.87	81319
weighted avg	0.91	0.91	0.90	81319

## Testing model

- XG Boost

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.64	1.00	0.78	202
1	1.00	0.97	0.99	195149
2	1.00	0.98	0.99	189948
3	0.41	1.00	0.59	300
4	0.98	0.62	0.76	123183
5	0.98	1.00	0.99	206620
6	1.00	1.00	1.00	98
7	0.07	0.59	0.13	3615
8	0.38	1.00	0.55	14530
accuracy			0.92	733645
macro avg	0.72	0.91	0.75	733645
weighted avg	0.97	0.92	0.94	733645

## Confusion Matrix

## Training Model

## XG Boost

[illegible]

```

array([[78318, 1],
       [ 0, 3000]],

      [[67319, 0],
       [ 7, 13993]],

      [[67319, 0],
       [ 0, 14000]],

      [[77319, 0],
       [ 0, 4000]],

      [[68313, 6],
       [ 0, 13000]],

      [[67319, 0],
       [ 0, 14000]],

      [[79719, 0],
       [ 0, 1600]],

      [[75314, 5],
       [ 1, 5999]],

      [[69599, 1],
       [ 5, 11714]]], dtype=int64)

```

## Logistic Regression

```

array([[77165, 1154],
       [1244, 1756]],

      [[66081, 1238],
       [1120, 12880]],

      [[67307, 12],
       [ 0, 14000]],

      [[76675, 644],
       [2199, 1801]],

      [[66233, 2086],
       [ 463, 12537]],

      [[67315, 4],
       [ 5, 13995]],

      [[79719, 0],
       [ 0, 1600]],

      [[72875, 2444],
       [2172, 3828]],

      [[66832, 2768],
       [3147, 8572]])

```

## SVM

```

array([[77700, 619],
       [1343, 1657]],

      [[66119, 1200],
       [1320, 12680]],

      [[67315, 4],
       [152, 13848]],

      [[76246, 1073],
       [ 550, 3450]],

      [[66695, 1624],
       [ 322, 12678]],

      [[67167, 152],
       [ 4, 13996]],

      [[79719, 0],
       [ 0, 1600]],

      [[75141, 178],
       [2816, 3184]],

      [[67017, 2583],
       [ 926, 10793]])

```



Testing model

XG Boost

	DDoS_HTTP	DDoS_TCP	DDoS_UDP	DoS_HTTP	DoS_TCP	DoS_UDP	Normal_Normal	OS_Fingerprint	Service_Scan
DDoS_HTTP	202	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DDoS_TCP	70	189777	0	36	1544	0	0	89	3633
DDoS_UDP	0	0	186482	0	0	3465	0	1	0
DoS_HTTP	0	0	0	299	0	0	0	0	1
DoS_TCP	46	32	0	386	76634	0	0	27759	18326
DoS_UDP	0	0	2	0	0	206616	0	2	0
Normal_Normal	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	0	0
OS_Fingerprint	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	2149	1462
Service_Scan	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	14520

```
array([[ [733327, 116],
        [ 0, 202]],

       [[538459, 37],
        [ 5372, 189777]],

       [[543695, 2],
        [ 3466, 186482]],

       [[732923, 422],
        [ 1, 299]],

       [[608916, 1546],
        [ 46549, 76634]],

       [[523560, 3465],
        [ 4, 206616]],

       [[733547, 0],
        [ 0, 98]],

       [[702172, 27858],
        [ 1466, 2149]],

       [[695693, 23422],
        [ 10, 14520]]], dtype=int64)
```

## Logistic Regression

```
array([[77189, 1130],
       [ 804, 2196]],

      [[66843, 476],
       [1017, 12983]],

      [[67315, 4],
       [ 0, 14000]],

      [[76381, 938],
       [1055, 2945]],

      [[66966, 1353],
       [ 450, 12550]],

      [[67319, 0],
       [ 8, 13992]],

      [[79719, 0],
       [ 0, 1600]],

      [[73786, 1533],
       [2610, 3390]],

      [[67016, 2584],
       [2074, 9645]]])
```

## SVM

```
array([[733408, 35],
       [ 0, 202]],

      [[538481, 15],
       [1366, 193783]],

      [[543679, 18],
       [ 4, 189944]],

      [[733334, 11],
       [ 1, 299]],

      [[609372, 1090],
       [ 30, 123153]],

      [[527024, 1],
       [ 22, 206598]],

      [[733547, 0],
       [ 98, 0]],

      [[729094, 936],
       [132, 3483]],

      [[718620, 495],
       [ 948, 13582]]],
```

## Inferences

Out of the three models used i.e. **Logistic regression, XG Boost and SVM**, SVM performed best after hyper parameter tuning. **Accuracy** of the three models after hyper parameter tuning are:

XGBoost: ~ 92.2%

Logistic Regression: ~ 96.9%

SVM: ~ 99.6%

For the Time Analysis it was found that SVM took the most time. It took more time because if it takes the wrong parameters then it has to compute again. After hyperparameter tuning, when we input the exact parameters, time taken to execute the model decreases sharply.

XGBoost: ~ 78.6 seconds

Logistic Regression: ~ 190.6 seconds

SVM: ~ 2171.6 seconds

