Etude 11 - 1-D Solitaire

TwoBobbyTables - Beckham Wilson & Dyrel Lumiwes
April 12, 2024

1 Introduction

One-dimensional solitaire is played on an infinite row of holes, initially containing some pegs. A move involves hopping a peg over an adjacent peg into an empty hole, removing the hopped-over peg. The goal is to win by reducing the board to a single peg. This report explores the strategy and findings while attempting to generate distinct winnable starting positions with 7 pegs.

2 Thought Process

$$Let \bullet = peg \ and \circ = a \ hole$$

Firstly, we began this project with the concept of working in reverse. We would start at the final winning board • and then work our way back to starting position via unhops.

If we simulate the unhop of, \bullet , we get $\circ \bullet \bullet$ or $\bullet \bullet \circ$. Since left or right peg can hop over the other peg to leave a board state of $\bullet \circ \circ$ or $\circ \circ \bullet$, which is a winning position.

$$\bullet \circ \circ \xrightarrow{unhop} \circ \bullet \bullet$$

$$\circ \circ \bullet \xrightarrow{unhop} \bullet \bullet \circ$$

Furthermore, the state of ••• and ••• can also be unhopped again. Both pegs in the middle had to have hopped over another peg to reach the centre.

Ergo, if we unhop the left centre \bullet we get $\bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ$, likewise, we get $\circ \bullet \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet$ if we unhop the right \bullet . We can also unhop both at the same time(from $\circ \bullet \bullet \circ \circ)$ to form $\bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\bullet \bullet & \xrightarrow{unhop \ left} & \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ & \text{since } & \text{unhop}(\circ \circ \bullet) \bullet = \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \\
\bullet \circ \circ & \xrightarrow{unhop \ right} & \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \bullet & \text{since } & \text{unhop}(\bullet \circ \circ) = \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \bullet \\
\circ \bullet \circ \circ & \xrightarrow{unhop \ both} & \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \bullet & \text{since } & \text{unhop}(\circ \circ \bullet) \text{unhop}(\bullet \circ \circ) = \bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \bullet
\end{array}$$

This also extends to holes that are in the centre of the board. If we go back to the example $\bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \bullet$, we can unhop left at index 0 to form, $\bullet \bullet \circ \bullet \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$ and also unhop at index 3 to form $\bullet \bullet \circ \circ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$. Interestingly, unhopping from the left shifts the $\circ \circ$ to the left.

From this we find that every time you get a scenario in where you have oo, we can replace this with oo with a left unhop and can turn oo into oo with a right unhop. But also only one oo is present in the board as we can only create it by doing a unhop both using the extra space from not having one if you try to do it again you won't have enough holes/space.

3 Magical Number 7

Using what we have now figured out, we know that if n < 4, then there is only 1 distinct solvable state for each.

If $n = 1 \bullet \circ$ If $n = 2 \bullet \bullet \circ$ If $n = 3 \bullet \bullet \circ \bullet$

To work out by hand we simply unhopped every situation we could find from n=3 using: $\bullet \circ \circ \xrightarrow{unhop} \circ \bullet \bullet$

The following are winnable board states with 7 pegs:

•••••••••

••••••

••••••

••••••••

••••••

••••••••

•••••

••••

4 Tid Bits

4.1 Patterns

After coding up a solution to the problem we found that interesting pattern emerged. As seen in the table below, the difference between the distinct states at n and n-1 (d) go up by a constant (1) as n increases. Ergo, when you plot the n vs d this results in a linear relationships, as seen in the plot below.

Number of Pegs (n)	Distinct States (S)	S(n) - S(n-1)
4	2	
5	3	1
6	5	2
7	8	3
8	12	4
9	17	5
10	23	6
11	30	7
12	38	8
13	47	9
14	57	10
15	68	11
16	80	12
17	93	13
18	107	14
19	122	15
20	138	16

