

SVD Applications

★ General pseudo inverse

⇒ If $A \neq 0$ has SVD $A = U \Sigma V^T$

$$A^+ = V \Sigma^{-1} U^T$$

is the pseudo-inverse or Moore-Penrose inverse of A .

⇒ If A is skinny & full rank

$$A^+ = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$$

• gives the least-squares solution $x_{ls} = A^+ y$

⇒ If A is fat & full rank

$$A^+ = A^T (A A^T)^{-1}$$

gives the least norm solution $x_{ln} = A^+ y$

⇒ In general case

$$X_{ls} = \{ z \mid \|Az - y\| = \min_w \|Aw - y\| \}$$

is set of least-squares solutions

⇒ $x_{pinv} = A^+ y \in X_{ls}$ has minimum norm on X_{ls} .

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{pinv} \text{ is the minimum norm.} \\ \text{least-squares solution} \end{array} \right\}$

★ Pseudo-inverse via regularization

⇒ for $\mu > 0$, let x_μ be (unique) minimizer of

$$\|Ax - y\|^2 + \mu \|x\|^2$$

$$x_\mu = (A^T A + \mu I)^{-1} A^T y$$

⇒ then we have $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} x_\mu = A^+ y$

$$A^+ = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} (A^T A + \mu I)^{-1} A^T$$

★ Full SVD

⇒ Let SVD of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ with $\text{Rank}(A) = r$

$$A = U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T \quad \text{--- ①} \quad \left\{ \text{Called Compact SVD} \right\}$$

⇒ find $U_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times (m-r)}$, $V_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-r)}$ st $U = [U_1, U_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$
& $V = [V_1, V_2] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal

⇒ add zero row/cols to Σ_1 to form $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

⇒ then we have

$$A = U \Sigma V^T \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$\left\{ \text{Called Full SVD} \right\}$

* Sensitivity of linear equations to data error

⇒ Consider $y = Ax$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ invertible; of course $x = A^{-1}y$

⇒ Suppose we have an error or noise in y .

$$\text{then, } x \rightarrow x + \delta x$$

$$y \rightarrow y + \delta y$$

$$\boxed{\delta x = A^{-1} \delta y}$$

⇒ hence we have $\|\delta x\| = \|A^{-1} \delta y\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|\delta y\|$

⇒ if $\|A^{-1}\|$ is large

- Small error in y can lead to large errors in x
- Can't solve for x given y (with small error)
- hence, A can be considered singular in practice

⇒ A more refined analysis uses relative instead of absolute errors in x and y

⇒ Since $y = Ax$

$$\|y\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$$

$$\frac{\|\delta x\|}{\|x\|} \leq \underbrace{\|A\| \|A^{-1}\|}_{K(A)} \frac{\|\delta y\|}{\|y\|}$$

$$K(A) = \frac{\sigma_{\max}(A)}{\sigma_{\min}(A)} \left\{ \text{called condition number of } A \right\}$$

$$\boxed{\left(\text{relative error in solution } x \right) \leq \left(\text{Condition number} \right) \cdot \left(\text{relative error in data } y \right)}$$

- A is well conditioned if K is small.
- A is poorly conditioned if K is large.

{ definition of small & large depends on application

⇒ Same analysis holds for least-squares solutions with A nonsquare.

★ Low rank approximations

⇒ Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\text{Rank}(A) = r$,

with SVD $A = U \Sigma V^T = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$

⇒ We seek matrix \hat{A} , $\text{Rank}(\hat{A}) \leq p < r$

s.t. $\hat{A} \approx A$ in the sense $\|A - \hat{A}\|$ is minimized.

Solution: Optimal rank p approximation is

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{i=1}^p \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

• hence $\|A - \hat{A}\| = \left\| \sum_{i=p+1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T \right\| = \sigma_{p+1}$

Interpretation: SVD dyads $u_i v_i^T$ are ranked in order of importance.

{ take p to get p rank approximation }

* Distance to Singularity

⇒ Another interpretation of σ_i

$$\sigma_i = \min \{ \|A - B\| \mid \text{Rank}(B) \leq i-1 \}$$

{ The distance to the nearest rank $i-1$ matrix }

