

MATH 425A HW7, OCT. 12, 6PM

1. CHAPTER 4.

Chapter 4 §1.2: Exercise 1.8.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let E be a subset of X . Prove that $\text{Lim}_X(E)$ is a closed set of X .

Proof. Our strategy is to show that $\text{Lim}_X(\text{Lim}_X(E)) \subseteq \text{Lim}_X(E)$. Take $x \in \text{Lim}_X(\text{Lim}_X(E))$. Then we have that $\text{Lim}_X(E) \cap B_X(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \neq \emptyset$ and let ℓ be this intersection. Then $d(x, \ell) < \epsilon/2$ and $\ell \in \text{Lim}_X(E)$. So then $E \cap B_X(\ell, d(x, \ell)) \setminus \{\ell\} \neq \emptyset$ and take p to be in this intersection. And thus $d(x, p) \leq d(p, \ell) + d(\ell, x) < d(x, \ell) + d(x, \ell) = 2d(x, \ell) < 2(\frac{\epsilon}{2}) = \epsilon$ as $p \in B_X(\ell, d(x, \ell))$ and $\ell \in B_X(x, \frac{\epsilon}{2})$. Now $p \neq x$ as $d(p, \ell) < d(x, \ell)$. So thus $p \in E \cap B_X(x, \epsilon) \setminus \{x\}$. Hence $x \in \text{Lim}_X(E)$ and we can conclude the claim of the exercise. \square

Chapter 4 §1.2: Exercise 1.9.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let E be a subset of X . Prove that $X \setminus \text{Cl}_X(E) = \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E)$

Proof. (\supseteq) Note that $\text{Int}_X(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus E$, and also $E = X \setminus (X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E)$. Thus $X \setminus \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E)$ is a closed set that contains E , which means that $\text{Cl}_X(E) \subseteq X \setminus \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E)$ and so $X \setminus (X \setminus \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E)) = \text{Int}_X(X \setminus E) \subseteq X \setminus \text{Cl}_X(E)$. Hence we have the backwards inclusion. (\subseteq) As $E \subseteq \text{Cl}_X(E)$, then we have that $X \setminus \text{Cl}_X(E) \subseteq E$. And as $\text{Cl}_X(E)$ is a closed set, then we have an open set $X \setminus \text{Cl}_X(E)$ contained in E . \square

Chapter 4 §1.2: Exercise 1.10.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let E and Y be subsets of X such that $E \subseteq Y$. Prove that

$$\text{Cl}_Y(E) = \text{Cl}_X(E) \cap Y.$$

Proof. (\subseteq) Suppose $p \in \text{Cl}_Y(E)$. Then $p \in \text{Lim}_Y(E) \cup E$. If $p \in E$, then $p \in \text{Cl}_X(E) = \text{Lim}_X(E) \cup E$, as $p \in E$, and as $E \subseteq Y$ we also have $p \in Y$. Thus $p \in \text{Cl}_X(E) \cap Y$. On the other hand, if $p \in \text{Lim}_Y(E)$, then we have that $p \in Y$ such that for any open neighborhood $p \in V$ of Y such that $E \setminus \{p\} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. As V is open in Y and $Y \subseteq X$, then $V = U \cap Y$ for some open set U of X . But as $U \cap Y \subseteq U$, then $p \in U$ and U is an open neighborhood of p such that $U \cap E \setminus \{p\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $p \in \text{Lim}_X(E)$, and hence $p \in \text{Cl}_X(E) \cap Y$. The forward inclusion follows from these two cases.

(\supseteq) Suppose that $\ell \in \text{Cl}_X(E) \cap Y$. Then $\ell \in \text{Lim}_X(E) \cup E$ and $\ell \in Y$. If $\ell \in E$, then $\ell \in \text{Cl}_Y(E) = \text{Lim}_Y(E) \cup E$, as $\ell \in E$. On the other hand, let $\ell \in \text{Lim}_X(E)$. Then $\ell \in X$ and for any open neighborhood $\ell \in W$ of X we have $W \cap E \setminus \{\ell\} \neq \emptyset$. As W is open in X , then $L = W \cap Y$ is open in Y . But as ℓ in both Y and W then L is an open neighborhood of ℓ in X . Lastly, as $L = W \cap Y \subseteq W$, then $L \cap E \setminus \{\ell\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $\ell \in \text{Cl}_Y(E)$. Hence the backwards inclusion follows. \square

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (a) Prove that for any $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we have $\overline{B_X(x, r)} \subseteq \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$. Note that the inclusion $\overline{B_X(x, r)} \subseteq B_X(x, r + \epsilon)$ follows for any $\epsilon > 0$.
- (b) Give an example using the discrete metric that demonstrates that equality need not hold in the inclusion $\overline{B_X(x, r)} \subseteq \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$ that you proved in part (a)
- (c) Prove that in \mathbb{R}^n under the Euclidean metric $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$, we have $\overline{B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x, r)} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x - y\| \leq r\}$.
- (d) Using part (a), prove that if A is bounded in (X, d) , then \overline{A} is also bounded in (X, d) .

Proof. (a) Let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$. Then by Remark 1.18 in the course notes, if $p \in \overline{B_X(x, r)}$ if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have that $B_X(x, r) \cap B_X(p, \epsilon) \neq \emptyset$. By contrapositive suppose $d(x, y) > r$. Now consider $\epsilon = d(x, y) - r > 0$. So then $B_X(x, r) \cap B_X(p, d(x, y) - r) = \emptyset$. Thus we have that $p \notin \overline{B_X(x, r)}$.

(b) Consider \mathbb{R}_{disc} with the discrete metric $d_{\text{disc}}(x, y) = 0$ if $x = y$ in \mathbb{R} or 1 if $x \neq y$. Now consider $S = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : d_{\text{disc}}(3, y) \leq 1\}$. WLOG, consider $\ell \in S$ such that $\ell \neq 3$. Then $d_{\text{disc}}(3, \ell) = 0 \leq 1$. So then clearly $\ell \notin B_{\mathbb{R}}(3, 1) = \{3\}$. Now we claim that $\ell \notin \text{Lim}_{\mathbb{R}}(B_{\mathbb{R}}(3, 1))$. This is simple as $\text{Lim}_{\mathbb{R}}(B_{\mathbb{R}}(3, 1)) = \text{Lim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{3\})$. Now suppose that $\ell \in \text{Lim}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{3\})$. Then this would mean that $B_{\mathbb{R}}(\ell, 1) \cap (\{3\} \setminus \{\ell\})$ intersect as $B_{\mathbb{R}}(\ell, 1)$ is an open neighborhood of ℓ . So then if $p \in B_{\mathbb{R}}(\ell, 1) = \{\ell\}$ (i.e. $p = \ell$) and $p \in \{3\} \setminus \{\ell\}$ (i.e. $p = 3$ and $p \neq \ell$), then we have a contradiction. Therefore the equality in part (a) need not hold.

(c) The forward inclusion follows from part (a). Thus it remains to show the backwards inclusion: It suffices to show that if we take $p \in \{y \in X : d(x, y) = r\}$. But $B_X(x, r) \subseteq \overline{B_X(x, r)} \subseteq \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}$.

(d) Suppose A is bounded in X , i.e. we have $A \subseteq B_X(x, \epsilon)$, which is to say that $d(x, q) < \epsilon$ for all $q \in A$. So then for any $a \in \overline{A}$ we have that we have that $B_1(a, 1)$ intersects with A ; let t be a point in this intersection. Then $d(a, x) \leq d(a, t) + d(t, x) < 1 + \epsilon$. Therefore we are done. \square

Exercise 1.15.

Let (X, d) be a metric space.

- (a) If X is totally bounded, then it is bounded.
- (b) If $Y \subseteq X$, then (Y, d) is totally bounded if and only if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $a_1, \dots, a_J \in X$ such that $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^J B_X(a_j, \epsilon)$.
- (c) If X is totally bounded and $Y \subseteq X$, then Y is totally bounded.
- (d) If $Y \subseteq X$ and (Y, d) is totally bounded, then (\overline{Y}, d) is totally bounded.

Proof. (a) Suppose X is totally bounded. Then $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_X(x_j, \epsilon)$, and let $\epsilon = 1$ and $x, y \in X$. So $x \in B_X(x_j, 1)$ and $y \in B_X(x_i, 1)$ for some $1 \leq j, i \leq n$. Then $d(x, x_j) < 1$ and $d(x_i, y) < 1$. Write $\ell = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \{d(x_i, x_j)\}$. So then $d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_j) + d(x_j, x_i) + d(x_i, y) \leq 2 + \ell$. Hence $\text{diam}(\bigcup_{j=1}^n B_X(x_j, \epsilon)) = \text{diam}(X) \leq 2 + \ell$, and thus we can conclude that X is bounded.

(b) Suppose $Y \subseteq X$. (\Rightarrow) Assume that (Y, d) is totally bounded, i.e. $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_Y(y_j, \epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Each open ball $B_Y(y_j, \epsilon)$ is open in Y so it can be written as $B_X(a_i, \epsilon) \cap Y$, so then $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^J (B_X(a_j, \epsilon) \cap Y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^J B_X(a_j, \epsilon) \cap Y$. So then clearly $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^J B_X(a_i, \epsilon)$. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $a_1, \dots, a_J \in X$ such that $Y \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^J B_X(a_j, \epsilon)$. Then for every open ball $B_X(a_j, \epsilon)$, we consider $B_X(a_j, \epsilon) \cap Y$ but then this just $B_Y(a_j, \epsilon)$. So then $Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_Y(a_j, \epsilon)$ is clear.

(c) Let X be totally bounded and let $Y \subseteq X$. Then $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_X(x_i, 1)$. Then, for each 1-ball open in X , we have open 1-balls of Y where $B_Y(x_\alpha, 1) = B_X(x_\alpha, 1) \cap Y \subseteq X$ with $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. So then $X \cap Y = \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_X(x_i, 1) \cap Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_Y(x_k, 1)$, but as $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \cap Y = Y$, so then $Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_Y(x_j, 1)$.

(d) Suppose $Y \subseteq X$ and let Y be totally bounded. Take $\epsilon > 0$. So then we have that $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_X(x_i, \epsilon/2)$. Now take $y \in \overline{Y}$. Then, by Remark 1.18, we have that $B_X(y, \epsilon/2)$ and Y intersect. Now let $p \in B_X(y, \epsilon/2) \cap Y$. Then we have that $p \in B_X(x_\alpha, \epsilon/2)$ for some $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ as $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_X(x_i, \epsilon/2)$. So then $d(x_\alpha, y) \leq d(x_\alpha, p) + d(p, y) < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$. Hence we have that $d(x_\alpha, y) < \epsilon$ so we have that $y \in B_X(x_\alpha, \epsilon/2) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_X(x_i, \epsilon/2)$. Hence \overline{Y} is totally bounded. \square