

MATH 425A HW4, DUE 09/23/2022, 6PM

JUAN SERRATOS

2.4 in Chapter 3.

CHAPTER 2. §5.

Exercise 0.1 (5.2.). Let a_1, a_2, \dots be any enumeration of the negative rational numbers; let b_1, b_2, \dots be any enumeration of the positive rational numbers. Show that the following two equalities hold:

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j) = \{0\}, \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j) = \mathbf{R}$$

Proof. For the first equality, take $\ell \in T = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} (a_j, b_j)$, that is, ℓ is in every $(a_j, b_j) \subseteq \mathbf{R}$. So then $a_j < \ell < b_j$ for $\ell \in \mathbf{R}$, but as a_j is essentially a negative rational number, and b_j is a positive rational, then we have that ℓ is squished between every negative and positive rational number.

□

CHAPTER 2. § 6.

Exercise 0.2 (6.1.). Prove that the addition and multiplication operations in $(\mathbf{C}, +, \cdot)$ satisfy the field axioms of Definition 2.1.

Proof. We essentially need to show that five axioms hold true from Definition 2.1. From now on, let $x, y, z \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} (= \mathbf{C})$, which is the underlying set of \mathbf{C} , where $x = (a, b), y = (c, d), z = (s, t)$ where $a, b, c, d, s, t \in \mathbf{R}$.

(1) The set $\mathbf{C} := (\mathbf{C}, +, \cdot)$, as the operations are defined in Chapter 2, §6., is closed since $x + y = (a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ and $xy = (a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + bc) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ since $a + c, b + d, ac - bd, ad + bc \in \mathbf{R}$ as \mathbf{R} is a field, and so $x + y \in \mathbf{C}$ and $xy \in \mathbf{C}$.

(2) For commutativity: $x + y = (a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d) = (c + a, d + b) = (c, d) + (a, b) = y + x$ since \mathbf{R} is a field, and, similarly, $xy = (a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac - bd, ad + bc) = (ca - db, cb + da) = (c, d) \cdot (a, b) = yx$ as \mathbf{R} is a field. Now for associativity:

$$\begin{aligned} x + (y + z) &= (a, b) + ((c, d) + (s, t)) = (a, b) + (c + s, d + t) \\ &= (a + (c + s), b + (d + t)) = ((a + c) + s, (b + d) + t) \quad (\mathbf{R} \text{ is a field}) \\ &= (a + c, b + d) + (s, t) = (x + y) + z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(yz) &= (a, b) \cdot ((c, d) \cdot (s, t)) = (a, b) \cdot (cs - dt, ct + ds) \\ &= (a(cs - dt) - b(ct + ds), a(ct + ds) + b(cs - dt)) \quad (\mathbf{R} \text{ is a field}) \\ &= (acs - adt - bct - bds, act + ads + bcs - bdt) \quad (\mathbf{R} \text{ is a field}) \\ &= ((ac - bd)s - (ad + bc)t, (ad + bc)s + (ac - bd)t) \quad (\mathbf{R} \text{ is a field}) \\ &= (ac - bd, ad + bc) \cdot (s, t) = ((a, b) \cdot (c, d)) \cdot (s, t) \\ &= (xy)z \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have associativity and commutativity with the defined operations on \mathbf{C} .

(3) The additive identity of \mathbf{C} is defined to be $0 = (0, 0) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$, and so $x + 0 = (a, b) + (0, 0) = (a + 0, b + 0) = (a, b) = (0 + a, 0 + b) = (0, 0) + (a, b) = 0 + x$. Similarly, the multiplicative identity is defined to be $1 = (1, 0)$, and so $x \cdot 1 = (a, b) \cdot (1, 0) = (a(1) - b(0), a(0) + b(1)) = (a, b) = x = 1 \cdot x = (1, 0) \cdot (a, b) = (1(a) - 0(b), 1(b) + 0(a)) = (a, b) = x$.

(4) The multiplicative inverse of $x = (a, b)$, where $x \neq 0$, can be found to be

$x^{-1} = \left(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{-b(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2})}{a} \right)$, and we can tediously calculate to get that

$$x \cdot x^{-1} = (a, b) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{-b(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2})}{a} \right) = \left(\frac{a}{a^2 + b^2}, \frac{-b}{a^2 + b^2} \right) = (1, 0) = 1. \quad (1)$$

The additive inverse is much easier: for $y = (c, d)$, the additive inverse is $-y = (-c, -d)$, and so $y + (-y) = (c + (-c), d + (-d)) = (0, 0) = 0$.

(5) Lastly, we need to check distributivity: Let $t := y + z = (c + s, d + t)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot t &= (a, b) \cdot (c + s, d + t) = (a(c + s) - b(d + t), a(d + t) + b(c + s)) \\ &= (ac + as - bd - bt, ad + at + bc + bs) \\ &= ((ac - bd) + (as - bt), (ad + bc) + (at + bs)) \\ &= (a, b) \cdot (c, d) + (a, b) \cdot (s, t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the distributive law holds.

Hence \mathbf{C} is indeed a field. \square

Exercise 0.3 (6.2.). Prove that there exists no order \leq that makes $(\mathbf{C}, +, \cdot, \leq)$ into an ordered field. (Hint: If there were such an ordering, then $i = \sqrt{-1}$ would necessarily be either positive or negative.)

Proof. Suppose that there does exist an ordering that makes \mathbf{C} into an ordered field. Then, by definition, we have that either $i \leq 0$ or $i > 0$, but we do not have that $i = 0$, so we simply have that either i is negative or positive. Suppose, for the first case, that $i < 0$. Then $0 < -i$ so $0^2 < (-i)^2 = 1(-1) = -1$ and once again, $0^2 < (-1)^2 = 1$; hence a contradiction. Thus we cannot have that i is negative. Now, for the second/last case, then assume that $i > 0$. Then $i^2 = -1 > 0^2 = 0$ and so $(-1) + 1 = 0 > 0 + 1 = 1$, and multiplying by 1, $i \cdot 0 = 0 > 1 \cdot i = i$; thus a contradiction. Hence we cannot have that i is not positive either. Therefore we cannot have that there exists an order on \mathbf{C} that makes it into an ordered field. \square

1. CHAPTER 3. § 1

Exercise 1.1 (1.1.). Let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on a real vector space V . Prove the *reverse triangle inequality*:

$$|||x| - |y||| \leq \|x - y\|$$

Exercise 1.2 (1.2.). Prove that any complex inner product is conjugate linear in its second argument; that is,

$$\langle x, \lambda y + z \rangle = \overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle,$$

for any scalar λ . (Note that this implies that any real inner product is linear in its second argument.)

Proof. We are considering a complex inner product and so we have a mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with some properties. Let $x, y, z \in V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $\langle x, \lambda y + z \rangle = \overline{\langle \lambda y + z, x \rangle} = \overline{\lambda \langle y, x \rangle + \langle z, x \rangle} = \overline{\lambda} \overline{\langle y, x \rangle} + \overline{\langle z, x \rangle} = \overline{\lambda} \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x, z \rangle$. \square

Exercise 1.3 (1.3.-Polarization identity). If $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a real inner product space, then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\|v + w\|^2 - \|v - w\|^2], \text{ for all } v, w \in V.$$

If $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a complex inner product space, then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [(\|v + w\|^2 - \|v - w\|^2) + i(\|v + iw\|^2 - \|v - iw\|^2)]$$

Proof. Suppose that $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a real inner product space. Then $\|v + w\|^2 = \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$, and, similarly, $\|v - w\|^2 = \langle v - w, v - w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle - \langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} [\|v + w\|^2 - \|v - w\|^2] &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle v, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle - (\langle v, v \rangle - 2\langle v, w \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [2\langle v, w \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [4\langle v, w \rangle] = \langle v, w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a complex inner product. Similar to the first computations we did for the real case, we can find that $\|v + w\|^2 = \langle v + w, v + w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle + \overline{\langle v, w \rangle} + \langle w, w \rangle$, and $\|v - w\|^2 = \langle v - w, v - w \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle - \overline{\langle v, w \rangle} + \langle w, w \rangle$. Moreover, $\|v + iw\|^2 = \langle v + iw, v + iw \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + \langle v, iw \rangle + \overline{\langle v, iw \rangle} + \langle iw, iw \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle + i\langle v, w \rangle + i\langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$, and $\|v - iw\|^2 = \langle v - iw, v - iw \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - \langle v, iw \rangle - \overline{\langle v, iw \rangle} + \langle iw, iw \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle - i\langle v, w \rangle - i\langle w, v \rangle + \langle w, w \rangle$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v + w\|^2 - \|v - w\|^2 &= 2\langle v, w \rangle + 2\langle w, v \rangle, \text{ and} \\ \|v + iw\|^2 - \|v - iw\|^2 &= 2i\langle w, v \rangle - 2i\langle v, w \rangle = 2i[\langle w, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} [(2\langle v, w \rangle + 2\langle w, v \rangle) + i(2i(\langle w, v \rangle - \langle v, w \rangle))] &= \frac{1}{4} [2\langle v, w \rangle + 2\langle w, v \rangle + (-2\langle w, v \rangle + 2\langle v, w \rangle)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [4\langle v, w \rangle + 2\langle w, v \rangle - 2\langle w, v \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} [4\langle v, w \rangle] = \langle v, w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

2. CHAPTER 3 §2

Exercise 2.1 (2.2). For each of (a), (b), and (c), determine whether the given function d_j is a metric on \mathbf{R} , and prove that your answer is correct.

- (a) $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|}$
- (b) $d_2(x, y) = |x - 2y|$
- (c) $d_3(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{1 + |x - y|}$

Proof. (a) Indeed a metric. We need to show that $d_1: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ satisfies nonnegativity, symmetry, and the triangle inequality:

Firstly, let's fix some arbitrary $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|}$, which is the root of some positive number, or 0, in \mathbf{R} , and so $d_1(x, y) \geq 0$; if $x = y$, then $\sqrt{|x - y|} = \sqrt{|x - x|} = 0$, and if we first assumed $d_1(x, y) = 0$, then $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|} = 0$ and so $|x - y| = 0$ and in either case of $x - y \geq 0$ or $x - y < 0$, we get that $x = y$.

For symmetry, suppose we have $d_1(x, y)$ and $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Now, consider $d_1(x, y) - d_1(y, x)$, and so $\sqrt{|x - y|} - \sqrt{|y - x|}$ —if $x - y > 0$ then $0 > y - x$, which implies $\sqrt{x - y} - \sqrt{-(y - x)} = \sqrt{x - y} - \sqrt{x - y} = 0$ and so $d_1(x, y) = d_1(y, x)$ if $x - y > 0$; if $x - y < 0$ then $0 < y - x$, so $\sqrt{-(x - y)} - \sqrt{y - x} = \sqrt{y - x} - \sqrt{y - x} = 0$ and so $d_1(x, y) = d_1(y, x)$ if $x - y < 0$; if $x - y = 0$ then $x = y$ and $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x - y|} = \sqrt{|y - x|} = d_2(y, x)$. Thus d_1 is symmetric. [Could use instead the fact that $|\cdot|$ is a metric, and so $(d_1(x, y))^2 = |x - y| = |y - x| = (d_1(y, x))^2$, and so $d_1(x, y) = d_1(y, x)$.]

Lastly, we need to show that the triangle inequality holds. This is shown easiest if we show that, for any $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $s, t \geq 0$, we have that $\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t} \geq \sqrt{s+t}$. This is true as we clearly have that $2\sqrt{st} \geq 0$ and so this leads to $s + \sqrt{2st} + t \geq s + t$ which is the same as $(\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t})^2 \geq s + t$, and thus $\sqrt{s} + \sqrt{t} \geq \sqrt{s+t}$. Now, $d_1(x, y) = \sqrt{|x-y|} = \sqrt{|(x-z) + (z-y)|} \leq \sqrt{|x-z|} + \sqrt{|z-y|} = d_1(x, z) + d_1(z, y)$ —note that if $x - y < 0$ then the inequality would still work out in the end.

Therefore we have that $d_1: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ where $d_1: (x, y) \mapsto \sqrt{|x-y|}$ does define a metric.

(b) $d_2(x, y) = |x - 2y|$ does not define a metric on \mathbf{R} , since it does not, at the very least, satisfy the symmetry condition: Let $X = \mathbf{R}$. Then $d_2: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, where $d_2: (x, y) \mapsto |x - 2y|$, is not a metric since, for example, $d_2(2, 3) = |2 - 2(3)| = |2 - 6| = |-4| = 4$ but $d_2(3, 2) = |3 - 2(2)| = |3 - 4| = |-1| = 1$, and so a counter example against the symmetry property.

(c) Indeed a metric.

Suppose $(x, y) \in X \times X$. Then $\frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|}$ is always positive since $|x-y| \geq 0$ for any choice $x \neq y$ and $x = y$ gives us that $d_3(x, y) = 0$. Now if $x = y$, then $\frac{0}{1+0} = 0$. If instead assumed firstly that $\frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} = 0$, then: $x - y > 0$ implies $\frac{x-y}{1+x-y} = 0$ and so $x = y$ clearly, and similarly, $x - y < 0$ gives us $\frac{y-x}{1+y-x} = 0$ and so $x = y$; if $x - y = 0$, then the result follows immediately. Thus $d_3(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d_3(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

For symmetry, we proceed as follows. If $x - y = 0$ then the result is clear. Now if $x - y > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} - \frac{|y-x|}{1+|y-x|} &= \frac{x-y}{1+x-y} - \frac{-(y-x)}{1+(-1)(y-x)} \\ &= \frac{x-y}{1+x-y} + \frac{y-x}{1+(x-y)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_1(x, y) = d_1(y, x)$ if $x - y > 0$. If $x - y < 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} - \frac{|y-x|}{1+|y-x|} &= \frac{-(x-y)}{1+(-1)(x-y)} - \frac{y-x}{1+y-x} \\ &= \frac{y-x}{1+y-x} + \frac{-y+x}{1+y-x} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d_3(x, y) = d_3(y, x)$ if $x - y < 0$. Lastly, we have that if $x - y = 0$, then $x = y$, and so, trivially, $d_3(x, y) = d_3(y, x)$. Therefore d_3 is symmetric.

For the triangle inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} + \frac{|y-z|}{1+|y-z|} &\geq \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|+|y-z|} + \frac{|y-z|}{1+|x-y|+|y-z|} \\ &= \frac{|x-y|+|y-z|}{1+|x-y|+|y-z|} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{1+|x-y|+|y-z|} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{1+|x-z|} = \frac{1+|x-z|-1}{1+|x-z|} = \frac{|x-z|}{1+|x-z|} = d_3(x, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the triangle inequality holds and $d_3: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defines a metric. \square

Exercise 2.2 (2.3). Consider the function $d: \mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$d(x, y) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|, \quad [x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2)]$$

(a) Prove that d is a metric on \mathbf{R}^2 .

- (b) On a sheet of graph paper, draw the set $B_d((5, 1), 3)$. Use dotted lines to indicate the boundary, which is not included in the set you are drawing. (Hint: it may be easier to figure out what the set looks like if you first consider $B_d((0, 0), 3)$.)
- (c) On the same graph as in the previous part, draw $B_{d_u}((3, 2), 1)$, where d_u denotes the square metric.

Proof. (a) We always have that $d(x, y) \geq 0$ since $|\cdot|$ is itself a metric, and so $|x_1 - y_1| \geq 0$ and $|x_2 - y_2| \geq 0$. Now if $d(x, y) = 0$. We want to show that $x = (x_1, x_2) = (y_1, y_2) = y$, i.e. $x_1 - x_2 = 0$ and $y_1 - y_2 = 0$.

We have that the symmetry property holds as a consequence of the fact that $|\cdot|$ is a metric, and so $d(x, y) = d((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| = |y_1 - x_1| + |y_2 - x_2| = d((y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)) = d(y, x)$. \square

Email address: jserrato@usc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90007