MODERN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY: THE ARITHMETIC SURFACE

JUAN SERRATOS

1. PRESENTATION

If not stated otherwise, A is a commutative ring with 1, and if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of A, to avoid confusion, we denote the corresponding point of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ by $[\mathfrak{p}]$. The collection of all prime ideals of A is denoted by $\operatorname{Spec} A$ and is said to be the **spectrum** of A. As of now, all we have is a set theoretic description of it, however, we may endow $\operatorname{Spec} A$ with a topology, and additionally, with a sheaf of rings on it, i.e. the structure sheaf.

Discussion 1.1. For a ring A, we can't think of elements of A as functions into some fixed field k. But there is still an analogy between the elements $f \in A$ and some sort of function on $\operatorname{Spec} A$. If $[\mathfrak{p}] \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, the localization $A_\mathfrak{p}$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}A_\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{p}$, and one has the *residue field* $\kappa(\mathfrak{p}) = A_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{p}$, which is also used of in terms of points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$, i.e. $\kappa([p])$. The element f reduced moduluo $\mathfrak{p}$ gives an element $f([\mathfrak{p}]) \in \kappa(\mathfrak{p})$, which is said to be the 'value' of f at $[\mathfrak{p}]$; additionally, we can see that $f([\mathfrak{p}])$ if and only if $f \in \mathfrak{p}$. To reiterate, the value at the point $[\mathfrak{p}]$ will be $f \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. For example, the "function" $5 \in \mathbf{Z}$ on $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$ takes the value 1 (mod 2) at $[(2)]$ and 2 (mod 3) at $[(3)]$, and 0 (mod 5) at $[(5)]$. This last example is important, Ravi Vakil states: "An element a of the ring lying in a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$' translate to 'a function a that is 0 at the point $[\mathfrak{p}]$" or a function a vanishing at the point $[\mathfrak{p}]$'". As we did above, $5 \in \mathbf{Z}$ lies in the prime ideal (5) and so we have 5 vanishes at the point (5), or you could equivalently say that 5 is 0 at the point (5).

When considering $\mathbf{Z}$, the residue field at a closed point $((p))$ is given by $\kappa((p)) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}/(p)\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} = \mathbf{F}_p$, and the residue field at (0) produces $\mathbf{Q}$, i.e. $\kappa((0)) = \mathbf{Q}$. To add onto the last paragraph, the elements $f \in \mathbf{Z}$ give rise to so-called 'regular functions' into the various residue fields. For instance, $f = 17 \in \mathbf{Z}$ takes the values $f((0)) = 17$, $f((2)) = \overline{1}, f((3)) = \overline{2}, f((5)) = \overline{2}, f((7)) = \overline{3}$, ..., in the fields $\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_3, \mathbf{F}_5, \mathbf{F}_7$,..., respectively.

Definition 1.1. An **affine scheme** is a locally ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that there is an isomorphism of locally ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq (\operatorname{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A})$ for some ring A.

Example 1.1. $\mathbf{A}_\mathbf{C}^1 := \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[x]$. As we're working with $\mathbf{C}[x]$, then we know that all its ideals are principal, and additionally, as $\mathbf{C}[x]$ is a PID then an ideal is prime if and only if it is maximal. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, all maximal ideals in $\mathbf{C}[x]$ are of the form $(x - a)$ where $a \in \mathbf{C}$. Thus all prime ideals in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{C}[x]$ are of the form $(x - a)$ with $a \in \mathbf{C}$.

Remark 1.1. The simplest affine schemes to write down are of the form $(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} R})$ for some ring R, or for a given ring A, and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ indeterminants, $\operatorname{Spec} A[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n] := \mathbf{A}_A^n$ (note that we are going to omitting the strucutre sheaf in the notation when we talk about affine schemes of this form simply for simplicity).

For the purposes of this presentation we will consider the affine scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$. Sometimes this scheme is called an arithmetic scheme, and as David Mumford has called it, *the arithmetic surface.*

To approach visualizing what $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$ we first need to first describe what the points in it are. If we take some point $[\mathfrak{p}] \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$, then what could it be? As we have the natural inclusion $\mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}[x]$, then we have an induced map of schemes $\pi \colon \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$. To establish the prime ideals of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$ we will look at the fibers of this map. We do this by pulling this back to $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa([p]) \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$. We have to establish two cases: if $[\mathfrak{p}] = [0]$ or $[\mathfrak{p}] \neq [0]$. If $[p] = 0$, then the residue field of $[0]$ is simply $\mathbf{Z}_{(0)}/(0)\mathbf{Z}_{(0)} = \mathbf{Q}$. If $[(p)] \neq 0$, then the residue field is given by $\kappa([p]) = \mathbf{Z}_{(p)}/(p)\mathbf{Z}_{(p)} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathbf{F}_p$. Thus the fibers of the map is given by $\operatorname{Spec}(k([p]) \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$. For $[p] = [0]$, we have $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q} \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x] = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{Z}[x] \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Q}) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}[x]$. When $[p] \neq [0]$, we have $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{F}_p \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x] = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_p \otimes_\mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}[x]) = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{F}_p[x]$. Thus we have an association to the points in $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$: the prime ideals are in bijection with $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{F}_p[x]$ and $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}[x]$, and essentially what we did here was describe $\pi^{-1}((p)) = (p) \cap \mathbf{Z} \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$ and $\pi^{-1}((0))$. We should note here as that as we had a morphism π between schemes, then for any point $[\ell] \in \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$, the fibered point $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x] \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Spec}(\kappa([\ell]))$ is homeomorphic to the preimage $\pi^{-1}([\ell])$. This means that $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$ has prime ideals:

- (0);
- principal prime ideals (f) where f is either a prime ideal p, or a $\mathbf{Q}$-irreducible integral polynomial written so that its coefficients have greatest common divisor 1; and
- maximal ideals (p, f), p a prime and f a monic integral polynomial irreducible modulo p.

Theorem 1.1. Let X be a scheme and let A be a ring. To every morphism $f\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} A$, associate the homomorphism:

$$A \simeq \Gamma(\operatorname{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}) \xrightarrow{f^*} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X).$$

Then this induces a bijection between $\operatorname{Hom}(X, \operatorname{Spec} A)$ in the category of schemes and $\operatorname{Hom}(R, \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))$ in the category of rings.

Corollary 1.1. The category of affine schemes is equivalent to the category of commutative rings, with the arrows reversed.

Remark 1.2. Let X be any scheme. By Theorem 1.1., there is a unique map $\pi\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$, induced by the unique homomorphism $\pi^*\colon \mathbf{Z} \to \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Moreover, on the level of set theory, we have a morphism $\pi\colon X \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}$ that is given by $\pi\colon x \mapsto [\operatorname{char}(\kappa(x))]$. If we we have $\pi(x) = y$, then we have an inclusion map

$$\kappa(y) \xrightarrow{\pi_x^*} \kappa(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \\ \text{or} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{cases}$$

and so we have $\operatorname{char}\kappa(x) = p > 0 \implies \pi(x) = [(p)]$ or $\operatorname{char}\kappa(x) = 0 \implies \pi(x) = [(0)]$. Hence every scheme X is a kind of fibered object, made out of separate schemes, with the possibility of being empty, of each characteristic :

$$X \times_{\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Spec} \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \\ \text{or} \\ \mathbf{Q} \end{cases}$$

This is how we will understand $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$: we will depict it as a union of affine lines $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Q}}$.


FIGURE 1. Describing this image and what it actually depicts will take up, likely, most of the presentation, as Mumford packs a lot of information into this picture in some subtle ways. For example, the closed point $[(3, x^2 + 1)]$ on $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}}$. The reason that this closed point is given a bigger 'dot' than, say, $[(3, x + 2)]$, is because as we quotient them: $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(3, x + 2) = (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})[x]/(x + 2) = \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathbf{F}_3$ and $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(3, x^2 + 1) = (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})[x]/(x^2 + 1) = \mathbf{F}_3[x]/(x^2 + 1) = \mathbf{F}_{3^2}$. The reasoning for the last quotient is that as $x^2 + 1$ remains irreducible over $\mathbf{F}_3$, then we have that the quotient is no longer $\mathbf{F}_3$ but instead a quadratic extension of it, i.e. the field consisting of nine elements. And so we continue with line of reasoning to attribute larger dots to those closed points whose produce greater finite fields $\mathbf{F}_{p^n}$ when they are quotiented with $\mathbf{Z}[x]$.

Remark 1.3. $(\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x] \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z})$:

(Generic Point: (0)) In the top left corner we should notice the more than apparent generic point: it is the generic point, that is, as (0) is a prime ideal of $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ (as it is an integral domain), then geometrically, it should contain all other geometric objects in the arithemetic plane. (The reason to draw it in the top right corner in the way that it is drawn is because it wouldn't make too much sense to only draw $[(0)]$ largely and then say everything is contained in it... we would get nothing from this!)

$(\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Q}} = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Q}(x))$ The geometric objects this "affine line" represent geometric objects corresponding to principal prime ideals $(f(X))$, where $f(X)$ is a $\mathbf{Q}$-irreducible integral polynomial written so that its coefficients have gcd 1 (easier use that $f(X)$ is an irreducible integral polynomial as it would then be irreducible in $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ as well.). We should see this curve as being sort of the horizontal axis in the plane. The "first" little scribble on this corresponds to the prime (x), containg all polynomials divisible by x so that when we divide it out we get, as expected, a domain $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$. Additionally, the scribble above it corresponding to $(x^2 + 1)$, containg all polynomials divisble by $x^2 + 1$, "which can be proved to be prime ideals of $\mathbf{Z}[x]$" by looking at the quotienting $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^2 + 1) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[i]$ we get another domain. The depictions of the points $[(x)]$ and $([x^2 + 1])$ have a necessary horizontal aspect to them as they need to encapsulate more information that just from $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Q}}$ but also from the other affine lines: $[(x)]$ depicts the fact that all other points lying on the line, to its left, belong it, and $[(x^2 + 1)]$ does the same, but it has a stronger role because it must claim inclusion of all points lying on the quadratic it determines. So we see the the importance of $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Q}}$ having a horizontal aspect to it.

the prime ideals (p) We also have vertical lines, those corresponding to $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}}$, that have prime ideals (p) all the way at the top as these principal prine ideals contain the polynomials, all of which coefficients are divisible by the prime number p. These are indeed prime ideals of $\mathbf{Z}[x]$ as their quotient $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(p) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z})[x]$ are domains, being the ring of polynomials over the finite field $\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathbf{F}_p$. "The scribbles correspond to these prime ideals having a predominant vertical component (depicting the vertical lines)" and have uniform thickness for all prime numbers p as each of them only has to claim ownership of the points lines that lie beneath them.

$\mathfrak{m} = (p, f(X))$ These are the closed points of the plane as they are maximal ideals, and they are known to be of the form $\mathfrak{m} = (p, f(X))$, where p is a prime number and $f(X)$ is an irreducible polynomial, which remains irreducible modulo p (i.e. we reduce the coefficients of $f(X) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]$ by mod p and we obtain an irreducible polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$). By the geometric/algebra we should see the maximal ideals to be the intersection of a horizational curve $(\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Q}})$ and the vertical lines $(\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}})$. As maximal ideals do not contain any other prime ideals (think of the inclusion reversion) we don't associate a scribble to $\mathfrak{m}$ and we just depict it by a point in the plane, that is, the intersection-point of the horizontal curve with the vertical line determined by $\mathfrak{m} = (p, f(X))$. However, the depiction given by Mumford stil doesn't treat all points equally. For example, the point corresponding to the maximal ideal $(3, x + 2)$ depicted by a solid dot, while the maximal ideal $(p, x^2 + 1)$ is represented by the dotted circle below $(3, x + 2)$. Mumford makes this distinction $[(3, x^2 + 1)]$ on $\mathbf{A}^1_{\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z}}$. The reason that this closed point is given a bigger 'dot' than, say, $[(3, x + 2)]$, is because as we quotient them: $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(3, x + 2) = (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})[x]/(x + 2) = \mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z} \simeq \mathbf{F}_3$ and $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(3, x^2 + 1) = (\mathbf{Z}/3\mathbf{Z})[x]/(x^2 + 1) = \mathbf{F}_3[x]/(x^2 + 1) = \mathbf{F}_{3^2}$. The reasoning for the last quotient is that as $x^2 + 1$ remains irreducible over $\mathbf{F}_3$, then we have that the quotient is no longer $\mathbf{F}_3$ but instead a quadratic extension of it, i.e. the field consisting of nine elements. And so we continue with line of reasoning to attribute larger dots to those closed points whose produce greater finite fields $\mathbf{F}_{p^n}$ when they are quotiented with $\mathbf{Z}[x]$. We make the depiction of a point on the vertical lines corresponding to the principal prime ideal (p) when its residue field (that is, their quotient with $\mathbf{Z}[x]$) is the prime field $\mathbf{F}_p$, and make large points depending wheter or not they produce greater prime fields $\mathbf{F}^1_{p^n}$.

Direct Quote: "In fact, the fat-point signs in Mumfords treasure map are an attempt to depict the fact that an affine scheme contains a lot more information than just the set of all prime ideals. In fact, an affine scheme determines (and is determined by) a "functor of points". That is, to every field (or even every commutative ring) the affine scheme assigns the set of its points defined over that field (or ring). For example, the $\mathbf{F}_p$-points of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$ re the solid $\cdot$ points on the vertical line (p), the $\mathbf{F}^2_p$ -points of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$ are the solid $\cdot$ points and the slightly bigger $\circ$ points on that vertical line, and so on."

(2)   (3)   (11)   (0)

(4x + 1)

(3, x − 2)   (11, x − 2)   (x − 2)

(2, x − 1)   (3, x − 1)   (11, x − 1)   (x − 1)

(2, x)   (3, x)   (11, x)   (x)

?

(2)   (3)   (11)   (0)

"The

3. Background

3.1. Zariski Topology.

Definition 3.1. For ever subset $S \subseteq A$, let

$$V(S) = \{x \in \operatorname{Spec} A \colon f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in S\} = \{[\mathfrak{p}] \colon \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal and } S \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

We call this set the **Vanishing set** of S, and it defines a topology known as the **Zariski topology**.

The set has the following properties, which verify that $V(S)$ is indeed a topology of closed sets:
- If $\mathfrak{a}$ is the ideal generated by S, then $V(S) = V(\mathfrak{a})$,
- $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \implies V(S_2) \subseteq V(S_1)$,
- $V(S) = \varnothing \Leftrightarrow$ [1is in the ideal generated by S].
- $V\left(\bigcup_\alpha S_\alpha\right) = \bigcap V(S_\alpha)$ for any family of subsets S_α, and $V\left(\sum_\alpha \mathfrak{a}_\alpha\right) = \bigcap_\alpha V(\mathfrak{a}_\alpha)$ for any family of ideals $\mathfrak{a}_\alpha$.
- $V(\mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \mathfrak{a}_2) = V(\mathfrak{a}_1) \cup V(\mathfrak{a}_2)$.
- $V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}})$.

Definition 3.2. For $f \in A$,

$$\operatorname{Spec} A_f = \{x \in \operatorname{Spec} A \colon f(x) \neq 0\} = \operatorname{Spec} A - V(f).$$

Since $V(f)$ is closed, we have that $\operatorname{Spec} A_f$ is open, and we call these the *distinguished open subsets* of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. We have as a consequence of fourth and fifths bullets that we can take the sets $V(\mathfrak{a})$ to be the closed sets of a topology on $\operatorname{Spec} A$, that is, the Zariski topology we defined above. This set is also written (conicides) with commonly writing: for $f \in A$, define $D(f) = \{[\mathfrak{p}] \in \operatorname{Spec} A \colon f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} = \{[\mathfrak{p}] \in \operatorname{Spec} A \colon f([\mathfrak{p}]) \neq 0\}$, i.e. the locus that doesn't vanish at f. In practice, some write $D(f \neq 0)$ to remind themselves of this definition. Moreover, these distinguished open sets form a base for the (Zariski) topology.

Remark 3.1. The closed points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ are in bijection with the maximal ideals of A. A proof goes, loosely, as follows: by definition $[\mathfrak{p}]$ is a closed point if and only if there is no other prime ideals containing $\mathfrak{p}$ other than itself. But every prime ideal (in fact, every ideal) must be contained in some maximal ideal, and maximal ideals are prime. Moreover, the generic points of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ correspond to the minimal prime ideals of A. It follows that $\operatorname{Spec} A$ is irreducible if and only if A has only one minimal prime ideal if and only if $\operatorname{rad} A$ is a prime ideal.

Remark 3.2. For the reader with Galois theory experience, for any field k, the closed points of $\mathbf{A}_k^n = \operatorname{Spec} k[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n]$ are in bijection with the Galois orbits in $\overline{k}^n$. As most of this paper speaks of $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbf{Z}[x]$, the points of $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_p}^1$ correspond to orbits of the action of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}_p/\mathbf{F}_p)$ on $\mathbf{F}_p$.

3.2. Structure Sheaf.
The next thing we seek to do is transform the ring A into a whole sheaf of rings on $\operatorname{Spec} A$, written $\mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A}$, and it is called the *structure sheaf* of $\operatorname{Spec} A$. For simplify notation, let $\mathfrak{X} = \operatorname{Spec} A$. We ant to define rings $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U)$ for general open sets. We skip a lot of the story here as this process goes to provide the main results: we seek to define $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}_f) = A_f =$ localization of A with respect to the multiplcative system $1, f, f^2, \ldots$; or ring of fraction a/f^n, where $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Ultimately, we get that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a sheaf of distinguished open sets, which extends to a sheaf on all open sets of $\mathfrak{X}$; that is, $\Gamma(D(f), \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) = A_f$, and, additionally, $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}) = A$. It stalks are simple to compute: if $x = [\mathfrak{p}] \in \mathfrak{X}$, then, for all open U,

$$\mathcal{O}_{x,\mathfrak{X}} = \varinjlim_{x \in U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(U) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\text{dist. open } \mathfrak{X}_f \\ f(x)=0}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}_f) = \varinjlim_{f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}} A_f = A_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

where $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the usual ring of fractions a/f, $a \in A$ and $f \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. We see here that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local ring, with associated maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \kappa(x)$, and so the stalks of our structure sheaf are local rings, and the evalutation of functions $f \in A$ (as spoken in Discussion 1.1.) defined above is the map:

$$A = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X}) \to \mathcal{O}_{x,\mathfrak{X}} \to \kappa(x).$$

Definition 3.3. Let $X \to Y$ be a continuous map of topological space and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a presheaf on X. The **direct image** $\varphi_* \mathcal{F}$ is a presheaf on Y defined by

$$\varphi_* \mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(\varphi^{-1}(U)).$$

Definition 3.4. A **ringed space** $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ consists of a topological space X and a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}_X$ on X, called the **structure sheaf**. A **locally ringed space** is a ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that every stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a local ring, $x \in X$. A **morphism of ringed spaces** $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a pair (φ, θ), where $\varphi \colon X \to Y$ is a continous map, and $\theta \colon \mathcal{O}_Y \to \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a map of sheaves. The pair (φ, θ) is a **morphism of locally ringed spaces**, if, additionally, each induced map of stalks $\theta_x^\sharp \colon \mathcal{O}_{Y,\varphi(x)} \to \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a **local homomorphism** of local rings.

Lemma 3.1. There exists a unique sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ on $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ satisfying
$$\mathcal{O}_X(D(f)) \simeq A_f, \text{ for } f \in A.$$
Its stalks are $\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \simeq A_x(= A_{\mathfrak{j}_x})$.

Definition 3.5. A **scheme** is a ringed space $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that every point has an open **affine** neighbordhood U (i.e. $(U, \mathcal{O}_X\mid_U)$ is an affine scheme). A **morphism** $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a morphism of locally ringed space.

Discussion 3.1 (Simple Example)**.** We want describe the set $\operatorname{Spec} K[x]_{(x)}$. Firstly we should identify that the space we're working with is $K[x]_{(x)}$, that is, we're localizing $K[x]$ at (x), so we're localizing with respect to a prime ideal:
$$K[x]_{(x)} = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} : f \in K[x], g \in K[x] \setminus (x) \right\}$$
Recall that for any commutative ring A and prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, the prime ideals of A localized at $\mathfrak{p}$ are in bijection with the primes of R that are contained in $\mathfrak{p}$. So our question is answered by looking at the prime ideals of $K[x]$ contained in (x). We cannot have any prime ideals contained in (x) however as all prime ideals in $K[x]$ are maximal, so it does not properly contain any prime ideal other than (0). Thus the only prime ideals of $K[x]_{(x)}$ are (x) and (0). That is, $\operatorname{Spec} K[x]_{(x)} = \{(0), (x)\}$.

Definition 3.6. A ring homomorphism $\varphi B \to A$ gives rise to a morphism of affine schemes $X = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $Y = \operatorname{Spec} B$:
$$({}^a\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) \colon (\operatorname{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} A} \to (\operatorname{Spec} B, \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} B}, \text{ where}$$
- ${}^a\varphi(x) = y$ if and only if $\mathfrak{j}_y = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{j}_x)$ (i.e. ${}^a\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$);
- $\tilde{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{O}_Y \to^a \varphi_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is characterised by (for $g \in B$):

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{O}_Y(D(g)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}(D(g))} \mathcal{O}_X({}^a\varphi^{-1}(D(g))) & \!\!=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=\!\! & \mathcal{O}_X(D(\varphi(g))) \\
\Big\Vert \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad & & \qquad \Big\Vert \\
B_g \xrightarrow{\quad b/g^n \mapsto \varphi(b)/\varphi(g)^n \quad} & & A_{\varphi(g)}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 3.3. It turns out that every morphism of affine schemes is induced by a ring homomorphism.

Proposition 3.1. There is a canonical isomorphism
$$\operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Spec} A, \operatorname{Spec} B) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(B, A).$$

Corollary 3.1. The functors
$$A \longmapsto \operatorname{Spec} A$$
$$\mathcal{O}_X(X) \longleftarrow X$$
define an arrow reversing equivalence of categories between the category of commutative rings and the category of affine schemes.

More generally:

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a scheme, and let A be a ring. There is a canonical isomorphism
$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{X}, \operatorname{Spec} A) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(A, \Gamma(\mathfrak{X})),$$
where $\Gamma(\mathfrak{X}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathfrak{X})$ is the global sections functor.

Definition 3.7. Let $\varphi \colon X \to S$ be a morphism, and let $s \in S$. There exists a natural morphism $\operatorname{Spec} \kappa(s) \to S$. The fibre of φ over s is
$$X_s = X \times_S \operatorname{Spec} \kappa(s).$$

Remark 3.4. The fibre X_s should be though of as $\varphi^{-1}(s)$, except that the above definition gives it a structure of a $\kappa(s)$-scheme.