

# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Alg}$	bra	2	
	1.1	Noncommutative rings	. 2	
		1.1.1 Artinian Rings	. 2	
	1.2	Commutative Algebra	. 3	
		1.2.1 Primary Ideals	. 3	
2	Analysis			
	2.1	Basic Facts	. 4	
		2.1.1 Metric Spaces	. 4	
		2.1.2 Topologies on $C(X,Y)$	. 6	
		2.1.3 Measure	. 7	
		2.1.4 Integration	. 13	
		2.1.5 Banach spaces	. 15	

# Chapter 1

# Algebra

## 1.1 Noncommutative rings

**Definition 1.** If R is a ring, then the *Jacobson radical* J(R) (sometimes written rad(R)) is the intersection of the annihilators of all simple left R-modules.

**Definition 2.** A submodule N of M is *superfluous*, written  $N \subseteq_s M$  or  $N \ll M$ , if for all H we have  $N + H = M \implies H = M$ .

**Theorem 1.** We can replace "left" by "right" in the definition of the Jacobson radical of a ring. Furthermore, we have the following equivalent definitions:

- J(R) is the intersection of all maximal left ideals of R,
- J(R) is the sum of all superfluous left ideals of R,
- J(R) is the maximal left ideal of R such that for all  $x \in J(R)$ , 1-x has a left inverse,
- $J(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 + RxR \subseteq R^{\times}\}.$

**Lemma 1** (Nakayama's Lemma). If M is a finitely generated left R-module with M = J(R)M, then M = 0.

*Proof.* Consider a minimal generating set  $x_1, ..., x_n$  of M, and use  $\sum x_i \in J(R)M$  to write  $x_n$  as a linear combination of  $x_1, ..., x_{n-1}$ .

Proposition 1. J(R/J(R)) = 0.

#### 1.1.1 Artinian Rings

**Proposition 2.** If R, considered as a left R-module over itself, has a composition series of length k, then  $J(R)^k = 0$ .

**Theorem 2** (Hopkins' Theorem). If M is a left module over a left Artinian ring, then the following are equivalent:

• M is finitely generated,

- M has finite length,
- M is Noetherian,
- M is Artinian.

**Theorem 3** (Hopkins-Levitzki). If R is semiprimary - that is, if R/J(R) is semisimple and J(R) is nilpotent - then for left R-modules, being Noetherian, being Artinian, and having a composition series are equivalent.

**Proposition 3.** If J(R) = 0, then every minimal left ideal of R is a direct summand of R.

**Theorem 4.** R is semisimple if and only if it is left Artinian and has J(R) = 0.

## 1.2 Commutative Algebra

**Definition 3.** If R is a commutative ring, then  $I \triangleleft R$  means that I is an ideal of R.

**Definition 4.** If  $I, J \triangleleft R$ , set  $(I : J) = \{r \in R \mid rJ \subseteq I\}$ . If  $a \in R$ , we abbreviate (I : (a)) to (I : a).

#### 1.2.1 Primary Ideals

**Definition 5.**  $Q \triangleleft R$  is primary if  $\forall a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in Q$ , either  $b \in Q$  or  $\exists n$  such that  $a^n \in Q$ .

**Definition 6.** If  $I \triangleleft R$ , then  $rad(I) = \{r \in R \mid \exists n \ r^n \in I\}$ .

**Proposition 4.** Q is primary if and only if rad(Q) is prime. If  $Q_1, Q_2$  are primary and  $rad(Q_1) = rad(Q_2)$ , then  $Q_1 \cap Q_2$  is primary. If R is Noetherian and  $Q \triangleleft R$ , then  $\exists n$  such that  $rad(Q)^n \subseteq Q$ .

**Theorem 5** (Primary Decomposition). If R is Noetherian and  $I \triangleleft R$ , then  $\exists k \text{ and } Q_1, ..., Q_k \triangleleft R$  primary such that  $I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$ .

*Proof.* By R Noetherian,  $\forall a \in R \ \exists n \ \text{with} \ (I : a^n) = (I : a^{n+1})$ , and for this n we have  $(I + (a^n)) \cap (I : a) = I$ , so either I is already primary or we can write I as an intersection of bigger ideals, and apply Noetherian induction.

**Lemma 2.** If R is Noetherian, then for any  $I \triangleleft R$  and  $r \in R \setminus I$ , there exists  $s \in R$  such that (I:rs) is prime.

**Theorem 6** (Uniqueness of radicals). If R is Noetherian,  $I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$  with  $Q_i \triangleleft R$  primary and no  $Q_i$  containing  $\cap_{j\neq i}Q_j$ , and if  $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R$  is prime, then  $\exists r \in R$  with  $(I:r) = \mathfrak{p}$  if and only if there is an i with  $rad(Q_i) = \mathfrak{p}$ . In particular, the set  $\{rad(Q_i)\}_{i\leq k}$  is uniquely determined by I.

**Theorem 7** (Uniqueness of primaries with minimal radical). If R is Noetherian,  $I = Q_1 \cap \cdots \cap Q_k$  with  $Q_i \triangleleft R$  primary and  $\operatorname{rad}(Q_i) \not\subseteq \operatorname{rad}(Q_1)$  for i > 1, then for n sufficiently large we have  $(I : \operatorname{rad}(Q_2)^n \cdots \operatorname{rad}(Q_k)^n) = Q_1$ , so  $Q_1$  is uniquely determined by I and  $\operatorname{rad}(Q_1)$ .

# Chapter 2

# **Analysis**

### 2.1 Basic Facts

### 2.1.1 Metric Spaces

**Definition 7.** A metric space is *complete* if every Cauchy sequence has a limit. It is *totally bounded* if it can be covered by finitely many subsets of size  $\epsilon$ , for every  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 8.** A metric space is compact iff it is complete and totally bounded.

**Definition 8.** A metric space is *sequentially compact* if every sequence has a bounded subsequence.

**Theorem 9** (Bolzano-Weierstrauss). A subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is sequentially compact iff it is closed and bounded.

**Proposition 5.** A closed subset of a complete space is complete, and a complete subset of a metric space is closed.

**Theorem 10** (Baire Category Theorem). If M is either a complete metric space or a locally compact Hausdorff space, then a union of countably many nowhere dense subsets of M has empty interior.

**Definition 9.** A space is called a *Baire space* if the intersection of any countable collection of open dense sets is dense.

**Theorem 11** (Banach Fixed Point). Contraction mappings on complete metric spaces have unique fixed points.

Corollary 1 (Picard-Lindelöf). The initial value problem  $y'(t) = f(t, y(t)), y(t_0) = y_0$  for  $t \in [t_0 - \epsilon, t_0 + \epsilon]$  has a unique solution for some  $\epsilon > 0$  if f is Lipschitz continuous in y and continuous in t.

**Definition 10.** If X, Y are Banach spaces,  $U \subseteq X$  open, then  $f: U \to Y$  is called *Frechét differentiable* at x if there exists a bounded linear operator  $A: X \to Y$  such that  $||f(x+h) - f(x) - Ah||_Y = o(||h||_X)$  as  $h \to 0$ . In this case we write  $Df_x = A$ .

**Corollary 2** (Inverse Function Theorem). If X, Y are Banach spaces, U an open neighborhood of 0 in  $X, F: U \to Y$  continuously (Fréchet) differentiable and  $DF_0: X \to Y$  a bounded isomorphism from X to Y (with bounded inverse), then there exists an open neighborhood  $V \subseteq Y$  of F(0) and a continuously differentiable map  $G: V \to X$  such that F(G(y)) = y for all  $y \in V$ .

**Definition 11.** A topological space is called *separable* if it contains a countable dense set. It is called *second countable* if its topology has a countable base.

**Proposition 6.** Every second countable space is separable, and every separable metric space is second countable.

**Definition 12.** If X, Y are metric spaces, then  $f: X \to Y$  is called *uniformly continuous* if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in X$  such that  $d_X(x, y) < \delta$ , we have  $d_Y(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ .

**Definition 13.** A family of functions F is called equicontinuous at  $x_0 \in X$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall f \in F, x \in X$  such that  $d(x_0, x) < \delta$  we have  $d(f(x_0), f(x)) < \epsilon$ . F is uniformly equicontinuous if  $\forall \epsilon > 0 \ \exists \delta > 0$  such that  $\forall f \in F, x, y$  such that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  we have  $d(f(x), f(y)) < \epsilon$ .

**Theorem 12** (Arzelà-Ascoli). If  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  defined on [a,b] is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, then there is a subsequence which converges uniformly.

**Theorem 13** (Ascoli Version 2). If X is compact Hausdorff, then a subset of C(X) (with the uniform norm) is compact iff it is closed, pointwise bounded, and equicontinuous.

**Definition 14.** The Bernstein polynomials are defined by

$$b_{\nu,n}(x) = \binom{n}{\nu} x^{\nu} (1-x)^{n-\nu}.$$

**Theorem 14** (Weierstrauss approximation). If  $f:[a,b] \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  such that  $\forall x \in [a,b]$ , we have  $|f(x) - p(x)| < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Suppose [a,b] = [0,1], and define  $B_n(f)$  by

$$B_n(f) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n f(\frac{\nu}{n}) b_{\nu,n}.$$

If k is the number of times we flip heads in n independent random coinflips with bias x, then

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\frac{k}{n})] = B_n(f)(x),$$

so the law of large numbers shows that  $B_n(f)$  approximates f.

**Theorem 15** (Stone-Weierstrauss for  $\mathbb{R}$ ). X compact Hausdorff, A a subalgebra of  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  which contains a non-zero constant. Then A is dense in  $C(X,\mathbb{R})$  iff it separates points.

**Theorem 16** (Stone-Weierstrauss for  $\mathbb{C}$ ). X compact Hausdorff,  $S \subseteq C(X,\mathbb{C})$  separates points. Then the complex unital \*-algebra generated by S is dense in  $C(X,\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 17** (Stone-Weierstrauss, Boolean ring version). If X compact Hausdorff,  $B \subseteq C(X, \mathbb{R})$  separates points, contains 1, is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space, and contains  $\max(f, g)$  whenever it contains f, g, then B is dense in  $C(X, \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 3** (Finite Vitali Covering Lemma). If  $B_1, ..., B_n$  are balls in a metric space, then there is a subcollection  $B_{j_1}, ..., B_{j_k}$  which are disjoint, and which satisfy

$$B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_n \subseteq 3B_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup 3B_{j_k}$$

where  $3B_i$  is the ball with the same center as  $B_i$  and three times the radius.

*Proof.* Keep adding the biggest ball which is disjoint from the ones you have chosen so far to your collection. Then every ball you haven't chosen will intersect a larger ball that you have chosen.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 4** (Infinite Vitali Covering Lemma). If  $(B_i)_{i\in I}$  is a collection of balls in a metric space such that  $\sup_{i\in I} \operatorname{rad}(B_i) < \infty$ , then for any c > 1 there is a subcollection  $J \subseteq I$  such that the  $B_j$  with  $j \in J$  are disjoint, and  $\bigcup_{i\in I} B_i \subseteq \bigcup_{j\in J} (1+2c)B_j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R = \sup \operatorname{rad}(B_i)$ , and for each n choose a maximal disjoint subcollection of the balls with radius between  $R/c^n$  and  $R/c^{n+1}$  which are disjoint from the balls you have already chosen so far. Then every ball you haven't chosen will intersect a ball you have chosen, whose radius is at most a factor of c smaller.

### **2.1.2** Topologies on C(X,Y)

**Definition 15.** The *compact-open* topology on C(X,Y) has a subbase given by

$$V(K,U) = \{ f : X \to Y \mid f(K) \subseteq U \}$$

for K compact and U open.

**Proposition 7.** If Y is a metric space then  $f_n \to f$  in the compact-open topology iff  $\forall K \subseteq X$  compact we have  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on K, so in this case the compact-open topology is the "topology of compact convergence". If X is compact as well, this becomes the uniform convergence topology.

**Proposition 8.** If Y is locally compact Hausdorff, composition  $\circ: C(Y,Z) \times C(X,Y) \to C(X,Z)$  is continuous in the compact-open topology.

**Definition 16.** If X, Y Banach spaces,  $U \subseteq X$  open,  $\mathcal{C}^m(U, Y)$  the m-times continuously Frechétdifferentiable functions  $U \to Y$ , then the "compact-open" topology on  $\mathcal{C}^m(U, Y)$  is induced by the seminorms

$$\rho_K(f) = \sup\{\|D^j f_x\| \mid x \in K, \ 0 \le j \le m\}$$

for  $K \subseteq U$  compact.

**Definition 17.** The topology of *compact convergence* is defined by  $f_n \to f$  iff for all K compact,  $f_n|_K \to f|_K$  converges uniformly.

**Proposition 9.** A set F of functions is called normal if every sequence of functions from F contains a subsequence that converges compactly to a continuous function.

**Theorem 18** (Montel). Any uniformly bounded family of holomorphic functions defined on an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  is normal.

**Definition 18.** The topology of *pointwise convergence* is the product topology on  $Y^X$  - this has  $f_n \to f$  iff  $f_n(x) \to f(x)$  for all x.

#### 2.1.3 Measure

**Definition 19.** A set of subsets  $\Sigma$  of X is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over X if  $\Sigma$  staisfies:  $\emptyset \in \Sigma$ ,  $\forall A \in \Sigma$  we have  $X \setminus A \in \Sigma$ , and for any sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements of  $\Sigma$  we have  $\cup_n A_n \in \Sigma$ .

**Definition 20.** If X is a topological space, the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the open subsets of X (some authors replace "open" by "compact" in this definition).

**Proposition 10.** If X is metric, then the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra can be generated from the open sets by iterating the obvious construction (taking closure under countable unions and intersections) at most  $\omega_1$  times.

*Proof.* Every open subset of X is a countable union of closed subsets of X, and  $\omega_1$  has uncountable cofinality.

**Corollary 3.** The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$  has cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .

**Definition 21.**  $\mu: \Sigma \to [0,\infty]$  is a measure if  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$  whenever  $E_i \in \Sigma$  and  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ .  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is called a measure space if  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra over X and  $\mu: \Sigma \to [0,\infty]$  is a measure.

**Proposition 11.** If  $\mu$  is a measure and  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$  are measurable, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sup_i \mu(E_i)$ . If  $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq \cdots$  are measurable and  $\mu(F_1) < \infty$ , then  $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i) = \inf_i \mu(F_i)$ .

**Definition 22.** A signed measure is a map  $\mu: \Sigma \to [-\infty, \infty]$  which is countably additive (and doesn't take both  $\infty, -\infty$  as values).

**Theorem 19** (Hahn decomposition Theorem). If  $\mu$  is a signed measure, then there exist measurable sets P, N such that  $P \cup N = X, P \cap N = \emptyset$ , and for all  $E \subseteq P$  measurable we have  $\mu(E) \ge 0$ , while for all  $E \subseteq N$  measurable we have  $\mu(E) \le 0$ . This decomposition is unique up to null sets.

Proof. Assume WLOG that  $\mu$  doesn't take the value  $-\infty$ . Say a measurable set is negative if every measurable subset has measure  $\leq 0$ . First we show that for any measurable D with  $\mu(D) \leq 0$  there is a negative set  $A \subseteq D$  with  $\mu(A) \leq \mu(D)$ : define a sequence of sets  $A_n$ ,  $A_0 = D$ , each  $A_{n+1}$  given by removing a set of positive measure from  $A_n$  whose measure is at least half as large as the sup of measures of subsets (if finite), or at least 1 otherwise, and take  $A = \cap_n A_n$ . Next, we define N by making a sequence  $N_n$  with  $N_0 = \emptyset$ , and  $N_{n+1}$  given by adding a negative set to  $N_n$  whose measure is at least half as negative as the inf of measure of subsets (if finite), or at most -1 otherwise, and take  $N = \bigcup_n N_n$ .

**Theorem 20** (Jordan decomposition Theorem). If  $\mu$  is a signed measure, there is a unique decomposition  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  where  $\mu^+, \mu^-$  are positive measures (at least one of which is finite), such that  $\mu^+(E)$  is 0 for any negative set E and  $\mu^-$  is 0 for any positive set E.

**Definition 23.** If  $\mu$  is a signed measure and  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  is its Jordan decomposition, then we set  $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ .

**Definition 24.** A complex measure is a countably additive function  $\mu: \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ . Equivalently, it is a complex combination of finite measures.

**Definition 25.** If  $\mu, \nu$  are (possibly signed) measures, then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ , written  $\mu \ll \nu$ , if  $|\nu|(A) = 0 \implies |\mu|(A) = 0$ .

**Definition 26.** We say that two (possibly signed or complex) measures  $\mu, \nu$  on X are singular, written  $\mu \perp \nu$ , if there are measurable sets A, B with  $A \cup B = X$  such that B is  $\mu$ -null and A is  $\nu$ -null.

**Theorem 21** (Lebesgue decomposition Theorem). If  $\mu, \nu$  are (possibly signed)  $\sigma$ -finite measures over X, then there is a unique pair of  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu_{ac}, \mu_s$  such that  $\mu = \mu_{ac} + \mu_s, \ \mu_{ac} \ll \nu$ , and  $\mu_s \perp \nu$ .

*Proof.* We just need to prove this in the finite, unsigned case. Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the collection of  $\nu$ -null sets. Define  $\mu_{ac}$  by

$$\mu_{ac}(A) = \inf_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \mu(A \setminus N).$$

 $\mu_{ac}$  is clearly nonnegative and countably additive, and we clearly have  $\mu_{ac} \ll \nu$ . Set  $\mu_s = \mu - \mu_{ac}$ , taking A = X and noting that the infimum must actually be attained, we see that there is a  $\nu$ -null set N such that  $\mu_s(X \setminus N) = 0$ , so  $\mu_s \perp \nu$ .

For uniqueness, suppose that  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  with  $\mu_1 \ll \nu, \mu_2 \perp \nu$ . Since  $\mu_1 \leq \mu$  and  $\mu_1 \ll \nu$ , we have

$$\mu_1(A) = \inf_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \mu_1(A \setminus N) \le \inf_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \mu(A \setminus N) = \mu_{ac}(A),$$

so  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_{ac}$ . Thus  $\mu_{ac} - \mu_1 = \mu_2 - \mu_s$  is both  $\nu$ -absolutely continuous and  $\nu$ -singular, so  $\mu_1 = \mu_{ac}$ .  $\square$ 

#### Constructing measures

**Definition 27.** On any set, the *counting measure* takes every finite set to its size and every infinite set to  $\infty$ .

**Definition 28.** A measure space  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is *complete* if every subset of a null set (that is, a set with measure 0) is in  $\Sigma$ . If Z is the collection of all subsets of null sets, then define  $\Sigma_0$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Sigma$  and Z, and  $\mu_0(C) = \inf\{\mu(D) \mid C \subseteq D \in \Sigma\}$ , and define the *completion* of  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  to be  $(X, \Sigma_0, \mu_0)$ .

**Proposition 12.** The completion of a measure space is always a complete measure space, and in fact  $\Sigma_0 = \{A \cup B \mid A \in \Sigma, B \in Z\}.$ 

**Definition 29.**  $\varphi: 2^X \to [0, \infty]$  is an outer measure if  $\varphi(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $A \subseteq B \implies \varphi(A) \le \varphi(B)$ , and for any sequence  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  we have have  $\varphi(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A_i)$ .

**Definition 30.** If  $\varphi$  is an outer measure over X, we say that E is  $\varphi$ -measurable if  $\forall A \subseteq X$ , we have  $\varphi(A) = \varphi(A \cap E) + \varphi(A \cap E^c)$ . We write  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  for the collection of all  $\varphi$ -measurable sets.

**Theorem 22.** If  $\varphi$  is an outer measure, then  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\Sigma_{\varphi}$  is a complete measure.

*Proof.* If  $E_i \in \Sigma_{\varphi}$  are pairwise disjoint and  $E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ , then for any A we have

$$\varphi(A) \le \varphi(A \cap E^c) + \varphi(A \cap E) \le \varphi(A \cap E^c) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A \cap E_i) = \sup_{n} \left( \varphi(A \cap E^c) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(A \cap E_i) \right) \le \varphi(A).$$

Taking 
$$A = E$$
 shows that  $\varphi(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E_i)$ .

**Definition 31.** If X is a metric space and  $\varphi$  is an outer measure over X, we say that  $\varphi$  is a metric outer measure if  $d(E,F) > 0 \implies \varphi(E \cup F) = \varphi(E) + \varphi(F)$ .

**Theorem 23.** If  $\varphi$  is a metric outer measure, then all Borel sets are  $\varphi$ -measurable.

*Proof.* If U is open, let  $U_n = \{x \in U \mid B(x, \frac{1}{n}) \subseteq U\}$ , and note that for any n,  $d(U_n, U_{n+1}^c) \ge \frac{1}{n(n+1)} > 0$ . For any A with  $\varphi(A) < \infty$  we then have

$$\sum_{n \text{ odd}} \varphi(A \cap (U_{n+1} \setminus U_n)) \le \varphi(A) < \infty,$$

and similarly for n even, so the tails of the sum go to zero. Then for any A we have

$$\varphi(A) \leq \varphi(A \cap U^c) + \varphi(A \cap U) \leq \inf_{n} \left( \varphi(A \cap U^c) + \varphi(A \cap U_n) + \sum_{m \geq n} \varphi(A \cap (U_{m+1} \setminus U_m)) \right) \leq \varphi(A). \quad \Box$$

**Definition 32.** A collection of sets S is a *semi-ring* if  $\emptyset \in S$ , for any  $A, B \in S$  we have  $A \cap B \in S$ , and for any  $A, B \in S$  there exists n and pairwise disjoint  $C_1, ..., C_n \in S$  such that  $A \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ .

**Definition 33.** If S is a collection of sets, then a map  $\mu: S \to [0, \infty]$  is a pre-measure if  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and for any sequence  $A_n$  of pairwise disjoint sets in S such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in S$ , we have  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$ .

**Theorem 24** (Carathéodory Extension Theorem). If S is a semi-ring of subsets of X and  $\mu_0$ :  $S \to [0, \infty]$  is a pre-measure, then if we define  $\mu^*$  by

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_i) \mid A_i \in S, \ E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \Big\},\,$$

then  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure over X with  $\mu^*(A) = \mu_0(A)$  for all  $A \in S$ , and  $S \subseteq \Sigma_{\mu^*}$ .

**Definition 34.** A pre-measure  $\mu: S \to [0, \infty]$  with S a collection of subsets of X is  $\sigma$ -finite if there exists a sequence  $A_n \in S$  with  $\mu(A_i) < \infty$  and  $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ .

**Theorem 25** (Hahn-Kolmogorov). If  $\mu_0$  is a pre-measure on a semi-ring S, then it extends to a measure  $\mu$  on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  generated by S. If  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then this extension is unique.

Proof. Let  $\mu^*$  be the associated outer measure from the Carathéodory extension theorem, and suppose  $\mu'$  is a different measure extending  $\mu$  on  $\Sigma' \supseteq S$ . Then for any  $E \in \Sigma' \cap \Sigma_{\mu^*}$ , we clearly have  $\mu'(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$ . By  $\sigma$ -finiteness and the fact that  $\mu'$  is countably additive, we can assume WLOG that  $\mu^*(X) = \mu'(X) < \infty$ , but then  $\mu'(E^c) \leq \mu^*(E^c)$  implies  $\mu'(E) = \mu^*(E)$  since E is  $\mu^*$ -measurable.

**Proposition 13.** Let  $\mu_0, \mu^*, \mu, S, \Sigma, \Sigma_{\mu^*}$  be as above. If  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\Sigma_{\mu^*}$  is the completion of  $\Sigma$  - in fact, for any  $E \in \Sigma_{\mu^*}$ , there is a countable intersection of countable unions of elements of S which contains E and differs from it in a null set.

**Theorem 26** (Lebesgue outer measure). Let S be the collection of half-open intervals [a,b) for  $a \leq b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and define  $\lambda_0 : S \to [0,\infty)$  by  $\lambda_0([a,b)) = b-a$ . Then S is a semi-ring,  $\lambda_0$  is a pre-measure, and the associated outer measure  $\lambda^*$  is a translation-invariant metric outer measure over  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\lambda^*([0,1]) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $[a,b) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , where the  $A_i$  are pairwise disjoint half-open intervals. Then the set of left endpoints of the  $A_i$  is well-ordered (any descending sequence must have a limit in [a,b), and this limit must be contained in some  $A_i$ ), so we can show by well-founded induction that if  $A_i = [c,d)$ , then  $\sum_{A_i < A_i} \lambda_0(A_j) = c - a$ .

Alternate proof: Let  $A' = [a, b - \epsilon]$ , and if  $A_i = [c_i, d_i)$  let  $A'_i = (c_i - \epsilon/2^i, d_i)$ . Then by compactness, some finite subset of the  $A'_i$ s cover A'.

**Definition 35.** If  $\lambda^*$  is constructed as above, then a set is called *Lebesgue-measurable* if it is in  $\Sigma_{\lambda^*}$ , and  $\lambda^* \mid_{\Sigma_{\lambda^*}}$  is called the *Lebesgue measure*, and written as  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 27** (Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure). If I is an interval and  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is monotone increasing, set  $g_{-}(x) = \sup_{y < x} g(y)$ , then there is a unique Borel measure  $\mu_g$  such that  $\mu_g([a,b)) = g_{-}(b) - g_{-}(a)$ .

**Definition 36.** If g has bounded variation, then we define the signed Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure  $\mu_g$  by writing  $g = g_1 - g_2$  with  $g_1, g_2$  monotone increasing, and  $\mu_g = \mu_{g_1} - \mu_{g_2}$ .

**Definition 37.** A Borel measure  $\mu$  is *locally finite* if every point has an open neighborhood of finite measure. It is *inner regular* if for every Borel set B,  $\mu(B)$  is the supremum of  $\mu(K)$  over all compact  $K \subseteq B$ . It is *outer regular* if for all B,  $\mu(B)$  is the infimum of  $\mu(U)$  over all open U containing B. A measure is Radon if it is inner regular, outer regular, and locally finite.

**Proposition 14.** Every locally finite Borel measure over  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure, and every Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure is a Radon measure. More generally, every locally finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is Radon.

**Theorem 28** (Product measures). If  $\mu, \nu$  are pre-measures on semi-rings S, T, respectively, then the collection of rectangles  $S \times T$  is a semi-ring, and  $\mu \times \nu$  is a pre-measure on  $S \times T$ .

Proof. Suppose  $E \times F \in S \times T$  is a countable union of disjoint rectangles  $E_i \times F_i$ . We'll show that for any  $M < \mu(E)$  and  $N < \nu(F)$ , we have  $MN \leq \sum_i \mu(E_i)\nu(F_i)$ . Let  $A_n = \{x \in E \mid \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{x \in E_i} \cdot \nu(F_i) \geq N\}$ . Each  $A_n$  is a finite union of elements of S, and  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  since for each  $x \in E$ , the collection of  $F_i$ s with  $x \in E_i$  is disjoint and covers F, so some finite subset of them must have measure at least N. Thus there is some n such that  $\mu(A_n) \geq M$ , and for this n we have  $MN \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(E_i)\nu(F_i)$ .

**Theorem 29** (Infinite products). Let I be any index set. If  $\mu_i$  are pre-measures on semi-rings  $S_i$ , such that each  $S_i$  has an element  $X_i$  with  $\mu_i(X_i) = 1$ , and if we let  $S = \prod_{i \in I}' S_i$  be the set of rectangles  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$  such that  $A_i = X_i$  for all but finitely many i and define  $\mu = \prod_i \mu_i$ , then S is a semi-ring and  $\mu$  is a pre-measure on S.

Proof. Suppose that  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  with  $A, A_n \in S$  and the  $A_n$ s disjoint, but that  $\mu(A) > \sum_n \mu(A_n)$ . Each  $A_n$  only has finitely many coordinates i which are not equal to  $X_i$ , so at most countably many coordinates in I are relevant - rename these relevant coordinates as  $1, 2, \ldots$  Write  $A = E \times F$ ,  $A_n = E_n \times F_n$ , with  $E, E_n \in S_1$  and  $F, F_n \in \prod'_{i \neq 1} S_i$ , and write  $\mu^1 = \prod_{i \neq 1} \mu_i$ . By the argument for the finite case, there is some  $x_1 \in E$  such that  $\mu^1(F) > \sum_n 1_{x_1 \in E_n} \cdot \mu^1(F_n)$ . Continuing inductively, we find a sequence of coordinates  $x_1, x_2, \ldots$  such that for each k, when we restrict the first k coordinates to be  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ , the two sides don't add up. But then no point with  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots)$  as the relevant countably many coordinates can be an element of any  $A_n$  (take k to be larger than

the finitely many coordinates i of  $A, A_n$  which are not equal to  $X_i$ ), contradicting the assumption  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$ .

**Corollary 4** (Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ). For every n, there is a translation-invariant metric outer measure  $\lambda^*$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\lambda^*([0,1]^n) = 1$ . If T is a linear transformation and  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\lambda^*(T(A)) = |\det(T)|\lambda^*(A)$ . The associated measure  $\lambda$  is a Radon measure.

*Proof.* For the statement about linear transformations, it's enough to check this for shear and stretch transformations in the case A is a box, and this can done using a standard dissection argument (the pieces are Borel sets).

**Definition 38.** If X, Y are measure spaces with measures  $\mu, \nu$ , then  $X \times Y$  has a measure  $\mu \times \nu$  given by applying the Carathéodory extension Theorem 24 to the product pre-measure contructed in Theorem 28 - this measure is called the *maximal product measure* on  $X \times Y$ .

**Proposition 15.** If  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  is  $\mu \times \nu$ -null, then the set of  $y \in Y$  such that  $A_y = \{x \in X \mid (x,y) \in A\}$  is not  $\mu$ -null is  $\nu$ -null.

*Proof.* Pick  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let E be the set of  $y \in Y$  such that  $\mu(A_y) > \epsilon$ . If  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} R_n$  such that the  $R_n$  are measurable rectangles, and  $E_k$  is the set of y such that  $\mu((\bigcup_{n=1}^k R_k)_y) > \epsilon$ , then  $\bigcup_k E_k = E$ , so if  $\nu(E) > \delta$  then some  $\nu(E_k) > \delta/2$ , so  $\mu \times \nu(\bigcup_n R_n) > \epsilon \delta/2$ .

**Theorem 30** (Cavalieri Principle). If X, Y are  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces and  $A, B \subseteq X \times Y$  are measurable with  $\mu(A_y) = \mu(B_y)$  for  $\nu$ -almost every  $y \in Y$ , then  $\mu \times \nu(A) = \mu \times \nu(B)$ .

*Proof.* TODO: find a proof that doesn't use integrals.

Example 1. To see  $\sigma$ -finiteness is necessary, take X to be [0,1] with counting measure, Y to be [0,1] with Lebesgue measure, A to be  $\{0\} \times Y$ , and B to be the diagonal.

**Theorem 31** (Lebesgue Density Theorem). If  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , then for Lebesgue-a.e. x in E we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\lambda^*(E \cap B_r(x))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} = 1.$$

Proof. Let  $A_t$  be the set of points such that the left hand side (with a liminf instead) is less than 1-t, and let  $U_{\epsilon}$  be an open set containing  $A_t$  with  $\lambda^*(U\setminus A)\leq \epsilon$ . Then for each point x in  $A_t$ , we can find an r such that the left hand side of the above is at most 1-t and such that  $B_r(x)\subseteq U_{\epsilon}$ . Now apply the Vitali Covering Lemma to get a collection  $(B_i)_{i\in I}$  is disjoint balls contained in  $U_{\epsilon}$  such that  $A_t\subseteq \cup_i 5B_i$ . Then since  $\cup_i B_i\subseteq U_{\epsilon}$ , we have

$$\lambda(\cup_i B_i) - \epsilon \le \lambda^*(A \cap (\cup_i B_i)) \le \lambda^*(E \cap (\cup_i B_i)) \le \sum_i (1 - t)\lambda(B_i) = (1 - t)\lambda(\cup_i B_i),$$

so  $\lambda(\cup_i B_i) \leq \epsilon/t$ , and since  $A_t \subseteq \cup_i 5B_i$  we get  $\lambda^*(A_t) \leq 5^n \epsilon/t$ . Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $\lambda^*(A_t) = 0$ .

**Definition 39.** If X is a metric space and  $S \subseteq X$ , we set

$$H_{\delta}^{d}(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{diam}(U_{i})^{d} \mid S \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{i}, \operatorname{diam}(U_{i}) < \delta \right\}$$

and

$$H^d(S) = \sup_{\delta > 0} H^d_{\delta}(S).$$

This is a metric outer measure, called the *Hausdorff measure*.

**Theorem 32.** In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $H^n(B) = 2^n$ , where B is the unit ball.

*Proof.* This follows from the isodiametric inequality: the volume of a set of diameter 2 is at most the volume of the unit ball. Suppose that K has diameter 2, then  $K - K \subseteq 2B$ , so by Brunn-Minkowski we have  $\lambda(K) \le \lambda(\frac{1}{2}(K - K)) \le \lambda(B)$ .

**Definition 40.** If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then a Borel measure  $\mu$  is called a *Borel regular measure* if it is locally finite, outer regular, and inner regular on open sets (note that the only difference from a Radon measure is that we only require inner regularity on open sets).

**Definition 41.** A *field* of sets is a collection of sets which is closed under finite intersections, unions and complements. A *content* on a field of sets  $\mathcal{A}$  is a function  $\lambda : \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ , such that  $\lambda(A)$  is increasing in A, and such that for any  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  disjoint, we have  $\lambda(A_1 \cup A_2) = \lambda(A_1) + \lambda(A_2)$ .

**Definition 42.** A content on a locally compact Hausdorff space is a function  $\lambda : \mathcal{K} \to [0, \infty)$ , where  $\mathcal{K}$  is the collection of compact subsets of X, such that  $\lambda(K)$  is increasing in K,  $\lambda(K_1 \cup K_2) \le \lambda(K_1) + \lambda(K_2)$ , and such that for any  $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$  disjoint, we have  $\lambda(K_1 \cup K_2) = \lambda(K_1) + \lambda(K_2)$ . A content  $\lambda$  is regular if for any  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , we have  $\lambda(K) = \inf\{\lambda(L) \mid K \subseteq \operatorname{int}(L)\}$ .

**Lemma 5.** For every content  $\lambda$  on a locally compact Hausdorff space X, there is a unique Borel regular measure  $\mu$  on X such that for all open sets U we have  $\mu(U) = \sup\{\lambda(K) \mid K \subseteq U\}$ . If  $\lambda$  is a regular content, then  $\mu$  extends  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\mu$  on open sets as in the theorem statement, and define  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \to [0, \infty]$  by  $\mu^*(A) = \inf\{\mu(U) \mid A \subseteq U\}$ .  $\mu$  is finite on the interior of any compact set, so  $\mu^*$  is locally finite.

First we show that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure: If  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ , then pick  $U_n$  open with  $A_n \subseteq U_n$  and  $\mu^*(U_n) \leq \mu^*(A_n) + \epsilon/2^n$ , and let  $U = \bigcup_n U_n$ . Pick  $K \subseteq U$  compact with  $\mu(U) \leq \lambda(K) + \epsilon$ , then some finite subset of the  $U_n$  cover K, say  $U_1, ..., U_k$ . We just need to show that  $\lambda(K) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(U_i)$ , and this follows if we can construct compact  $K_i \subseteq U_i$  with  $K \subseteq \bigcup_i K_i$ , and for this, we may assume that k = 2. Let  $L_1 = K \setminus U_2, L_2 = K \setminus U_1$ , then  $L_1, L_2$  are disjoint compact sets of a Hausdorff space, so there are disjoint open sets  $V_1, V_2$  with  $L_i \subseteq V_i$ . Now take  $K_1 = K \setminus V_2, K_2 = K \setminus V_1$ .

Now we show that open sets are  $\mu^*$ -measurable. Let U be open and  $A \subseteq X$  be arbitrary. We want to show that for any open  $V \supseteq A$ , we have  $\mu(V) \ge \mu^*(A \cap U) + \mu^*(A \cap U^c)$ , so we just need to show that  $\mu(V) \ge \mu(V \cap U) + \mu^*(V \setminus U)$ . For any compact  $K \subseteq V \cap U$ , let  $W = V \setminus K$ , then for any compact  $L \subseteq W$  we have  $\mu(V) \ge \lambda(K \cup L) = \lambda(K) + \lambda(L)$ , so  $\mu(V) \ge \lambda(K) + \mu(W) \ge \lambda(K) + \mu^*(V \setminus U)$ , so  $\mu(V) \ge \mu(V \cap U) + \mu^*(V \setminus U)$ .

**Definition 43.** If G is a locally compact Hausdorff group and  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on G, then  $\mu$  is a left Haar measure on G if  $\mu(gE) = \mu(E)$  for  $g \in G$  and E Borel, and  $\mu$  is Borel regular.

**Theorem 33** (Haar measure). If G is a locally compact Hausdorff group, then there is a unique (up to scale) left Haar measure on G.

Sketch. For K compact and V with nonempty interior, let (K : V) be the minimum number of left translates of V that are needed to cover K. Pick  $K_0$  compact with nonempty interior. For every U, define  $\mu_U$  on compact sets by

$$\mu_U(K) = \frac{(K:U)}{(K_0:U)}.$$

Then for all K, U we have  $0 \le \mu_U(K) \le (K : K_0)$ . We consider each  $\mu_U$  as a point in  $\prod_K [0, (K : K_0)]$ . For each open V, let C(V) be the closure of the set of  $\mu_U$ s with  $U \subseteq V$ . By compactness, there exists  $\mu \in \cap_V C(V)$ . For  $K_1, K_2$  disjoint, find V open such that  $K_1V^{-1} \cap K_2V^{-1} = \emptyset$ , then from  $\mu \in C(V)$  we see that  $\mu(K_1 \cup K_2) = \mu(K_1) + \mu(K_2)$ . Thus  $\mu$  defines a left-invariant content on the compact sets of G, so there is a left-invariant Borel regular measure on G by Lemma 5.

To prove uniqueness, suppose  $\mu, \nu$  are left Haar measures and K, L are compact, L with nonempty interior. Since  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{\mu(Lx)}$  is integrable on compact sets (to see this, note for any continuous g supported in L, the function  $x \mapsto \int_G g(tx)d\mu(t)$  is continuous in x), then by a version of Fubini and left-invariance we have

$$\begin{split} \nu(K) &= \int_G \int_G \frac{1_{x \in K, yx \in L}}{\mu(Lx^{-1})} d\mu(y) d\nu(x) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \frac{1_{y^{-1}x \in K, x \in L}}{\mu(Lx^{-1}y)} d\mu(y) d\nu(x) \\ &= \int_G \int_G \frac{1_{y^{-1} \in K, x \in L}}{\mu(Ly)} d\mu(y) d\nu(x) \\ &= \nu(L) \int_G \frac{1_{y^{-1} \in K}}{\mu(Ly)} d\mu(y), \end{split}$$

so  $\nu(K)/\nu(L)$  does not depend on  $\nu$ . TODO: find a proof that doesn't require Fubini, or even integration.

If G is  $\sigma$ -compact, then we can prove uniqueness as follows instead: the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $h=\frac{d\mu}{d(\mu+\nu)}$  is left-invariant up to null sets, so by Fubini applied to  $\int_{G\times G}|h(gx)-h(x)|\;d(g,x)$ , we see that there is some x such that h(gx)=h(x) for almost all g, so  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  differ by a constant factor.

### 2.1.4 Integration

**Definition 44.** If  $f: X \to Y$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on Y, then  $\sigma(f)$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra on X generated by  $f^{-1}(S)$  for  $S \in \mathcal{B}$ . We say that  $f: (X, \Sigma) \to (Y, \mathcal{B})$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable, or just measurable if  $\Sigma$  is clear, if  $\sigma(f) \subseteq \Sigma$  (if unspecified,  $\mathcal{B}$  is usually taken to be the Borel sets of Y).

**Proposition 16.**  $f:(X,\Sigma) \to [-\infty,\infty]$  is measurable iff  $f^{-1}([-\infty,a]) \in \Sigma$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $f_1,...,f_n$  are measurable and  $g:\mathbb{R}^n \to [-\infty,\infty]$  is Borel measurable, then  $g(f_1,...,f_n)$  is measurable. If  $f_k$  is a sequence of measurable functions, then  $\sup f_k$  is measurable.

**Proposition 17.** If  $f_k: X \to Y$  is a sequence of measurable functions to a metric space and  $f_k \to f$  pointwise, then f is measurable.

*Proof.* For any open set U the collection of  $x \in X$  such that  $f_k(x)$  are eventually all in U is measurable, and this set contains  $f^{-1}(U)$  and is contained  $f^{-1}(\overline{U})$ . Since every open set in a metric space is a countable union of open subsets whose closures are contained in it, the preimage of every open set is measurable.

**Definition 45.** A *simple function* is a function which can be written as a finite linear combination of measurable sets.

**Definition 46.** For  $f \geq 0$  measurable (up to a null set), we define the *integral* of f with respect to a measure  $\mu: \Sigma \to [0, \infty]$  to be

$$\int f \ d\mu = \sup \Big\{ \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \mu(A_i) \mid c_1, ..., c_k \ge 0, \ A_1, ..., A_k \in \Sigma, \ \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \cdot 1_{x \in A_i} \le f(x) \Big\}.$$

A measurable (up to a null set) complex-valued function f is integrable if  $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$ . We extend the integral to all integrable functions by linearity.

**Proposition 18.** For  $f, g \ge 0$  measurable, we have  $\int f + g \ d\mu = \int f \ d\mu + \int g \ d\mu$ .

*Proof.* For any finite  $S \subset [0, \infty]$ , define  $f_S$  by

$$f_S(x) = \max\{s \in S \mid s \le f(x)\}.$$

Note  $f_S$  is a simple function and  $\int f d\mu = \sup_S \int f_S d\mu$ . For any S and any n, if we let  $S_n = \{\frac{k}{n}s \mid k \leq n, s \in S\}$ , then  $(f+g)_S \leq \frac{n-1}{n}(f_{S_n}+g_{S_n})$ .

**Proposition 19.** Any Riemann integrable function  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$  is Lebesgue integrable, with the same integral.

**Proposition 20.** If  $f: X \to [0, \infty]$  is measurable, then  $\{(x, t) \mid 0 \le t \le f(x)\}$  is measurable in  $X \times [0, \infty]$ , with  $\mu \times \lambda$ -measure  $\int_X f \ d\mu = \int_0^\infty \mu(\{x \mid f(x) \ge t\}) \ dt$ .

**Theorem 34** (Monotone Convergence Theorem). If  $f_k$  is a sequence of measurable functions with  $0 \le f_k \le f_{k+1}$  for all k and f is the pointwise limit of the  $f_k$ , then f is measurable and  $\int f \ d\mu = \lim_k \int f_k \ d\mu$ .

*Proof.* It's enough to prove this when f is the characteristic function of a measurable set A. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , and for each k set  $A_k = \{x \mid f_k(x) \geq 1 - \epsilon\}$ , then from  $\bigcup_k A_k = A$ , we have  $\lim_k \mu(A_k) = \mu(A)$ , so  $\lim_k \int f_k \ d\mu \geq (1 - \epsilon)\mu(A)$ .

**Lemma 6** (Fatou's Lemma). If  $f_k \ge 0$  are measurable, then  $\int \liminf_k f_k \ d\mu \le \liminf_k \int f_k \ d\mu$ .

*Proof.*  $\int \liminf_k f_k \ d\mu = \lim_k \int \inf_{l>k} f_l \ d\mu \leq \liminf_k \int f_k \ d\mu$ .

Corollary 5. If  $f_k$  measurable,  $|f_k| \leq g$ , g integrable, then

$$\int \liminf f_k \ d\mu \le \liminf \int f_k \ d\mu \le \limsup \int f_k \ d\mu \le \int \limsup f_k \ d\mu.$$

**Theorem 35** (Dominated Convergence Theorem). If  $f_k$  measurable,  $|f_k| \leq g$ , g integrable,  $f_k \to f$  pointwise, then  $\lim_k \int f_k \ d\mu = \int f \ d\mu$ , and  $\lim_k \int |f_k - f| \ d\mu = 0$ .

**Theorem 36** (Radon-Nikodym Theorem). If  $\mu, \nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on X ( $\nu$  possibly signed or complex) and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , then there exists a measurable function f (unique up to a  $\mu$ -null set) such that for any measurable set A,  $\nu(A) = \int_A f \ d\mu$ .

Proof. We just need to prove this in the positive, finite case. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of measurable functions f such that for all measurable A,  $\nu(A) \geq \int_A f \ d\mu$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under maximum, and by the Monotone Convergence Theorem 34  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable monotone limits, so there is some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\int_X f \ d\mu = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{F}} \int_X g \ d\mu$ . Let  $\nu_0 = \nu - \int f \ d\mu$ . If  $\nu_0(X) > 0$ , take  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\nu_0(X) > \epsilon \mu(X)$ , and let (N, P) be a Hahn decomposition 19 of  $\nu_0 - \epsilon \mu$ . But then  $f + \epsilon \cdot 1_P \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mu(P) > 0$ , contradicting our choice of f.

**Definition 47.** If  $\mu, \nu$  have  $\nu = \int f \ d\mu$ , then the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$  is defined to be the equivalence class of f when we quotient by  $\mu$ -null functions.

**Proposition 21.** Where the relevant Radon-Nikodym derivatives make sense, we have  $\frac{d(\nu+\mu)}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} + \frac{d\nu}{d\lambda}$ ,  $\frac{d\nu}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda}$ ,  $\frac{d|\nu|}{d\mu} = |\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}|$ , and  $\int g \ d\mu = \int g \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \ d\lambda$ .

**Proposition 22.** If  $E \subseteq X \times Y$  is measurable and  $\mu \times \nu(E) < \infty$ , then for  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in X$   $E_x$  is measurable up to a  $\nu$ -null set, the function  $g(x) = \mu(E_x)$  is measurable up to a  $\mu$ -null set, and  $\int g \ d\mu = \mu \times \nu(E)$ .

*Proof.* By definition of  $\mu \times \nu$ , there is an  $F \supseteq E$  which is a countable decreasing intersection of countable unions of measurable rectangles, such that  $\mu \times \nu(E) = \mu \times \nu(F)$ . Since  $\mu \times \nu(E) < \infty$ ,  $F \setminus E$  is  $\mu \times \nu$ -null, so we may replace E by F without changing g (aside from on a  $\mu$ -null set) by Proposition 15 and then apply monotone 34 and dominated 35 convergence to reduce to the case of a finite union of measurable rectangles.

**Theorem 37** (Fubini's Theorem). If  $\int_{X\times Y} |f(x,y)| \ d(x,y) < \infty$ , where d(x,y) is the maximal product measure on  $X\times Y$ , then for a.e.  $x\in X$  f(x,y) is integrable in y, and we have  $\int_{X\times Y} f(x,y) \ d(x,y) = \int_X \int_Y f(x,y) \ dy \ dx$ .

**Theorem 38** (Tonelli's Theorem). If X, Y are  $\sigma$ -finite, then  $\int_{X\times Y} |f(x,y)| \ d(x,y) = \int_X \int_Y |f(x,y)| \ dy \ dx$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $f \geq 0$ . The assumptions of either Fubini or Tonelli imply that f can be written as the pointwise limit of an increasing sequence  $\phi_n$  of nonnegative simple functions that each vanish outside a set of finite measure. Thus, using Proposition 22, for almost every fixed x the function  $y \mapsto f(x,y) = \lim_n \phi_n(x,y)$  is measurable up to a null set, and by monotone convergence 34 the function  $x \mapsto \int_Y f(x,y) \, dy = \lim_n \int_Y \phi_n(x,y) \, dy$  is measurable up to a null set. Applying monotone convergence and Proposition 22 again, we get

$$\int_{X} \int_{Y} f(x,y) \ dy \ dx = \lim_{n} \int_{X} \int_{Y} \phi_{n}(x,y) \ dy \ dx$$

$$= \lim_{n} \int_{X \times Y} \phi_{n}(x,y) \ d(x,y) = \int_{X \times Y} f(x,y) \ d(x,y).$$

#### 2.1.5 Banach spaces

**Definition 48.** If V is a vector space (over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), then  $p:V\to [0,\infty)$  is a seminorm if p(0)=0, p(cv)=|c|p(v) for c a scalar and  $v\in V$ , and  $p(v+w)\leq p(v)+p(w)$  for  $v,w\in V$ . p is a norm if additionally  $p(v)=0\iff v=0$ .

**Lemma 7** (Zabreiko's Lemma). If X is a Banach space and  $p: X \to [0, \infty)$  is a seminorm such that for all absolutely convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  in X we have  $p(\sum_n x_n) \leq \sum_n p(x_n)$ , then p is continuous, that is,  $p(x) \ll ||x||$ .

Proof. Let  $A_n = p^{-1}([0,n])$ , then since  $X = \bigcup_n \overline{A_n}$ , there is some n such that  $\overline{A_n}$  has nonempty interior by the Baire category theorem. Since  $\overline{A_n}$  is convex and symmetric, some open ball  $B_R(0)$  around 0 is contained in  $\overline{A_n}$ . We claim that  $B_R(0) \subseteq A_n$  as well: if  $\|x\| < R$ , pick 0 < q < 1 such that  $\frac{\|x\|}{1-q} < R$ , set  $y = \frac{R}{\|x\|} x$ , then since  $y \in \overline{A_n}$  there exists  $y_0 \in A_n$  with  $\|y-y_0\| < qR$ , and then inductively we find  $y_0, y_1, \ldots \in A_n$  such that for each k, we have  $\|y-\sum_{i < k} y_i\| < q^k R$ :  $y_k$  is taken to be a point in  $A_n$  with  $\|q^{-k}(y-\sum_{i < k} y_i) - y_k\| < qR$ . Since  $\|y_k\| < R + qR$  for each k, the sum  $\sum_k q^k y_k = y$  is absolutely convergent, so by hypothesis  $p(y) \le \sum_k q^k p(y_k) \le \frac{n}{1-q}$ , so  $p(x) \le \frac{\|x\|}{R} \frac{n}{1-q} < n$ , so  $x \in A_n$ .

**Theorem 39** (Open Mapping Theorem). If X, Y Banach spaces,  $A: X \to Y$  surjective and continuous, then A takes open sets to open sets.

*Proof.* For  $y \in Y$ , set  $p(y) = \inf\{||x|| \mid Ax = y\}$  in Zabreiko's Lemma.

**Theorem 40** (Bounded Inverse Theorem). If X, Y Banach spaces,  $A: X \to Y$  bijective and continuous, then  $A^{-1}$  is also bounded.

**Theorem 41** (Closed Graph Theorem). If X, Y Banach spaces, then  $A: X \to Y$  is bounded iff the graph is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* For  $x \in X$ , set p(x) = ||Ax|| in Zabreiko's Lemma.

**Theorem 42** (Uniform Boundedness Theorem/Banach Steinhaus). If X is Banach, Y a normed vector space, F a set of continuous linear functions  $T: X \to Y$ . If  $\forall x \in X$   $\sup_{T \in F} ||T(x)|| < \infty$ , then  $\sup_{T \in F} ||T|| < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Set  $p(x) \in \sup_{T \in F} ||T(x)||$  in Zabreiko's Lemma.

Corollary 6. If a sequence of bounded operators from a Banach space to a normed space converges pointwise, then the pointwise limit is a bounded operator.

# Bibliography