Data Wrangling Report

Project objectives

The project main objectives were:

- Perform data wrangling (gathering, assessing and cleaning) on the provided sources of data.
- Store, analyze, and visualize the wrangled data.

Step 1: Gathering Data

In this phase, the three pieces of data were gathered and represented as pandas dataframes:

- The WeRateDogs Twitter archive (file on hand, manual download of 'twitter-archiveenhanced.csv')
- The tweet image predictions ('image-predictions.tsv'). This file was be downloaded programmatically using the Requests library from a provided URL.
- Each tweet's entire set of JSON data (with at minimum tweet ID, retweet count, and favorite count) in a file called 'tweet_json.txt' were stored using Twitter API and Python's Tweepy library. Each tweet's JSON data was written to its own line.

Step 2 and 3: Assessing and Cleaning Data

While working with data, a number of observations were made. In the below table there are the observations along with actions taken in the Cleaning Step.

Dataset	Observation	Solution
Twitter Archive	 Some of the column names are not meaningful (like: retweet, reply to the original tweet,) timestamp column is str instead of datetime Tidyness (structure) more than one stage is filled for a particular dog "source" and "expanded_urls" have several informations inside them. doggo, floofer, pupper and puppo refer to the same dog stage. rating_numerators should be of type float and 	 Replaced Non values with np.nan. Removed the rating score and tweet link from the tweets text column using RegEx and pandas exctract method. Converted timestamp to datedime data type using pandas to_datetime function. Removed retweets rows from data. Removed replys rows from data. Extracted the rating score correctly and converted it to float. Removed any rows with denominator more than 10.

	are not always correctly extracted.	 Removed rows with missing expanded urls as they are not valid data. Replaced None and unvalid names with np.nan.
Image Predictions	 Quality¶ there are 2075 tweet id, and the archive dataset has a total of 2356 ids which means 281 IDs are missing. columns name are not the best thing. p1, p2, and p3 contain underscores instead of spaces in the labels. img_num is not needed. 	 Removed other columns Renamed it to match the other 2 datasets
JSON File	• the original twitter_arch has 2356 tweet_id and JSON file 2354 (number of missing IDs = 2356-2354 = 2)	
All	All datasets should be combined into 1 dataset only	Combined all the 3 datasets into one pndas df

Result

A combined data set with all needed information was stored in CSV file called "twitter_archive_master_new.csv"