

ICPSR 36717

Census of Problem-Solving Courts, 2012

*United States Department of Justice.
Office of Justice Programs. Bureau of
Justice Statistics*

Codebook

Inter-university Consortium for
Political and Social Research
P.O. Box 1248
Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106
www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at:
<http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/36717/terms>

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE

WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

Table of Contents

Study Description.....	4
Citation.....	4
Study Scope.....	4
Subject Information.....	4
Abstract.....	4
Summary Data Description.....	4
Methodology and Processing.....	5
Data Collection Methodology.....	5
Data Appraisal Information.....	6
Data Access.....	6
Dataset Availability.....	6
File Description.....	7
.....	7
Variable Description.....	8
.....	9

Study Description

Citation

Title Statement

Title: Census of Problem Solving Courts, 2012

Identification No.: 36717

Responsibility Statement

Authoring Entity: United States Department of Justice. Office of Justice Programs. Bureau of Justice Statistics

Distribution Statement

Distributor: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Study Scope

Subject Information

Keyword(s): communities, courts, domestic violence, drugs, drug courts, homelessness, justice, mental health, personnel, prostitution, recidivism

Topic Classification(s): Social Institutions and Behavior, Crime and the Criminal Justice System

Abstract

With the creation of the first drug court in Miami-Dade County, Florida in 1989, problem-solving courts emerged as an innovative effort to close the revolving door of recidivism. Designed to target the social and psychological problems underlying certain types of criminal behavior, the problem-solving model boasts a community-based, therapeutic approach. As a result of the anecdotal successes of early drug courts, states expanded the problem-solving court model by developing specialized courts or court dockets to address a number of social problems. Although the number and types of problem-solving courts has been expanding, the formal research and statistical information regarding the operations and models of these programs has not grown at the same rate. Multiple organizations have started mapping the variety of problem-solving courts in the country; however, a national catalogue of problem-solving court infrastructure is lacking. As evidence of this, different counts of problem-solving courts have been offered by different groups, and a likely part of the discrepancy lies in disagreements about how to define and identify a problem-solving court. What is known about problem-solving courts is therefore limited to evaluation or outcome analyses of specific court programs.

In 2010, the Bureau of Justice Statistics awarded the National Center for State Courts a grant to develop accurate and reliable national statistics regarding problem-solving court operations, staffing, and participant characteristics. The NCSC, with assistance from the National Drug Court Institute (NDCI), produced the resulting Census of Problem-Solving Courts which captures information on over 3,000 problem-solving courts that were operational in 2012.

Summary Data Description

Time Period: January 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012

Date(s) of Collection: January 30, 2013 - January 31, 2014

Geographic Coverage: United States

Geographic Unit: city, county, court jurisdiction.

Unit of Analysis: problem-solving court

Universe: Problem-solving courts that were operational in 2012 in the United States.

Kind of Data: survey data

Methodology and Processing

Data Collection Methodology

Time Method: Cross-sectional

Sampling Procedure:

A standard and accepted definition of problem-solving courts does not exist. Therefore, adopting a working definition for purposes of the Census was the first step for project staff. NCSC convened a group of national experts, the Advisory Council, to discuss and agree upon essential elements or core components that define problem-solving courts and to develop the definition to apply to the Census project. To prepare, NCSC staff reviewed more than 20 published definitions from existing literature and state statutes and presented the most frequently mentioned components of what constitutes a problem-solving court to the Advisory Council as a starting point for discussion. The Council agreed upon nine core components common to problem-solving courts.

Given the wide variety of programs and models in existence, the Advisory Council concluded that not all courts would employ each of the nine chosen components. For purposes of the Census, the Council wanted a definition that would be inclusive enough to capture the entire population of specialty dockets. Thus the Census definition would need to balance the competing goals of being inclusive and adhering to some objective set of inclusion criteria by various stakeholders. For the purposes of this Census project, a problem-solving court is a docket, calendar, or program operating within the judicial branch, with a dedicated judicial officer that uses therapeutic justice to reduce recidivism. Specific to the Census, the NCSC required that the court was operational, or matriculating participants, in calendar year 2012.

Based on the Census definition for problem-solving courts, some exclusions were necessary. For instance, the requirement that the program operate within the judiciary and have ongoing case supervision by a judicial officer eliminated many diversion programs where entry into a program (and possibly resolution) occurs prior to judicial involvement. Youth courts that operated as community-based or operated by private non-profits or school-based systems, were also generally excluded from the Census. Additionally, courts that operated under a youth judge or peer jury model were excluded. To be included, youth or teen courts must be justice-involved. In other words, a charge must be brought to the prosecutor before intervention by the program, otherwise, the court was excluded. An example of such an exclusion is if in a program the school resource officer agrees not to charge the youth upon completion of the diversion program.

The NCSC took a multi-level, user-driven approach to operationalizing the definition of a problem-solving court. Survey items were designed to align with the nine previously identified components. At the conclusion of the Census survey, the NCSC reviewed the resulting dataset and created six variables to represent key and measurable definitional components.

1. Specialized Court Docket or Program - Court has a dedicated docket or program.
2. Judicial Authority, Ongoing Supervision - Court provides ongoing judicial interactions with participants.
3. Team Collaboration, Community Involvement, Information Sharing - Court fosters partnerships between the court and outside agencies and between members of the problem-solving court team.
4. Specialized Team Expertise - Members of the court team receive training that contributes to the successful implementation and/or operation of the problem-solving court.
5. Individualized Treatment and Responses to Risk/Needs - There is a coordinated strategy in place to respond to participants' compliance or noncompliance and individual needs.
6. Therapeutic, Rehabilitative - Some type of therapeutic treatment service is offered to participants.

Individually or in combination, these variables may serve as filters to select relevant subsamples of programs or courts along a range of stakeholder perspectives and dimensions.

- Study 36717 -

Unknown populations, such as the population of problem-solving courts this project identifies, can be validated through cross-verification and respondent-driven sampling (Heckathorn, 1997; Salganik & Heckathorn, 2004). Thus, NCSC used a triangulation approach to compile a comprehensive list of problem-solving courts. Triangulation combines several (preferably 3 or more) research methodologies and sources of information to identify unknown populations. Researchers can be more confident about each particular result if multiple methods identify the same source.

Since the literature emphasizes the importance of maximizing the sources of referral or reference as a means of mitigating any biases associated with respondent-driven or referral sampling, the NCSC employed multiple supplemental approaches and sources of information to further improve the confidence that the project derived a valid and complete census.

Mode of Data Collection: web-based survey

Weighting: The following weight variable is in the data file: WEIGHT "Weight for non-Responding in-Scope Courts"

Data Appraisal Information

Response Rate: Surveys were sent in two rounds. The first round launched January 30, 2013 and included the majority of the identified problem-solving courts. If project staff were unable to verify that a court was in operation or verify the contact information for an existing court, the court was removed from the first round launch and additional research was conducted. The NCSC compiled a list of those courts that were subsequently verified, resolved any undeliverable e-mail addresses from the first round, and launched the second round of the survey on March 27, 2013. At the end of five months there was a 76% response rate. The survey was extended to increase that response rate, and after eight months, the response rate was at 85%, an increase of almost 10%. The NCSC then conducted additional follow-up to reach a final response rate of 86% at the close of the data collection efforts on January 31, 2014.

Data Access

Dataset Availability

Location: Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research

Data Files Description

File-by-File Description

File Structure (rectangular)

File Dimensions:

- No. of Cases: 3,633
- No. of Variables: 371
- Record Length: 8,412
- Records per Case: 1
- Overall No. of Records: 3,633

Type of File: ASCII data file

Data Format: Logical record length

Extent of Processing Checks: The archive produced a codebook, checked for undocumented codes, performed recodes, and standardized missing values.

Notes

Please note that the weights are non-integer weights. All statistics in the BJS report were produced using SUDAAN. Using other statistical programs (e.g., SPSS, SAS, STATA, R) will likely produce different estimates.

ICPSR 36717

Census of Problem Solving Courts, 2012

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

Census of Problem Solving Courts, 2012

RESP - RESPONDED TO ORIGINAL OR FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

Location: 1-1 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Non-responder	502	13.8 %
1	Responder	3131	86.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ROUND - ROUND 1, 2, OR 3 (FOLLOW-UP)

Location: 2-2 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Round 1	2477	68.2 %
2	Round 2	779	21.4 %
3	Round 3 (follow-up)	377	10.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

CONF - CONFIDENCE LEVEL FOR COURT'S EXISTENCE

Location: 3-3 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Unlikely that the courts exists	21	0.6 %
1	Court may exist, but there is no confirmation that it does	219	6.0 %
2	Court existence is based on indirect evidence	136	3.7 %
3	Court existence is based on direct contact with an official who is not the court point of contact	75	2.1 %
4	Court existence is based on direct communication with the court point of contact	51	1.4 %
5	The court responded to the survey	3131	86.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ID - RESPONDENT ID

Location: 4-7 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CITY - CITY IN WHICH PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT IS LOCATED

Location: 8-28 (width: 21; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

STATE - STATE IN WHICH PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT IS LOCATED (ABBR)

Location: 29-30 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
AK	-	24	0.7 %
AL	-	111	3.1 %
AR	-	63	1.7 %
AZ	-	93	2.6 %
CA	-	284	7.8 %
CO	-	93	2.6 %
CT	-	17	0.5 %
DC	-	13	0.4 %
DE	-	26	0.7 %
FL	-	194	5.3 %
GA	-	114	3.1 %
GU	-	5	0.1 %
HI	-	15	0.4 %
IA	-	37	1.0 %
ID	-	73	2.0 %
IL	-	127	3.5 %
IN	-	72	2.0 %
KS	-	18	0.5 %
KY	-	96	2.6 %
LA	-	69	1.9 %
MA	-	24	0.7 %
MD	-	56	1.5 %
ME	-	9	0.2 %
MI	-	154	4.2 %
MN	-	49	1.3 %
MO	-	138	3.8 %
MS	-	45	1.2 %
MT	-	29	0.8 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
NC	-	78	2.1 %
ND	-	10	0.3 %
NE	-	23	0.6 %
NH	-	25	0.7 %
NJ	-	27	0.7 %
NM	-	64	1.8 %
NV	-	59	1.6 %
NY	-	262	7.2 %
OH	-	130	3.6 %
OK	-	72	2.0 %
OR	-	86	2.4 %
PA	-	99	2.7 %
PR	-	17	0.5 %
RI	-	8	0.2 %
SC	-	35	1.0 %
SD	-	17	0.5 %
TN	-	64	1.8 %
TX	-	174	4.8 %
UT	-	66	1.8 %
VA	-	44	1.2 %
VT	-	6	0.2 %
WA	-	88	2.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

SCOPE - SCOPE: MEETS CRITERIA FOR OPERATING WITHIN JUDICIARY, WITH A JUDICIAL OFFICER, AND OPERATIONAL IN 2012

Location: 31-32 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Out of scope	198	5.5 %
1	In scope	2800	77.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	635	17.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

MISSINGSCOPE2 - MISSING SCOPE RECODED FOR BJS

Location: 33-34 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	In scope	2793	76.9 %
2	Missing scope	259	7.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	BJS coded out of scope	581	16.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

WEIGHT - WEIGHT FOR NON-RESPONDING IN-SCOPE COURTS

Location: 35-41 (width: 7; decimal: 5)

Variable Type: numeric

Text: Please note that the weights are non-integer weights. All statistics in the BJS report were produced using SUDAAN. Using other statistical programs (e.g., SPSS, SAS, STATA, R) will likely produce different estimates.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000	-	259	7.1 %
1.02235	-	179	4.9 %
1.05714	-	420	11.6 %
1.05728	-	419	11.5 %
1.08130	-	123	3.4 %
1.08360	-	311	8.6 %
1.09383	-	405	11.1 %
1.09677	-	155	4.3 %
1.10127	-	237	6.5 %
1.12435	-	193	5.3 %
1.19231	-	26	0.7 %
1.19672	-	122	3.4 %
1.20197	-	203	5.6 %
	Missing Data		
.	-	581	16.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

SINGLEHYBRID_O - SELF-REPORTED SINGLE COURT TYPE THAT BEST DESCRIBES THE COURT, OR THE COURT IS A HYBRID

Location: 42-43 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q1. Does your Problem-Solving Court use a hybrid approach? That is, does this single Problem-Solving Court integrate principles from multiple different problem-solving court models? One such example would be a hybrid DWI/Drug Court.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Do not check "yes" if you are responsible for overseeing several problem-solving courts. Check "yes" only if the individual court/docket addressed in this survey is a hybrid court/docket. A separate survey should be completed on behalf of each problem-solving court in your jurisdiction. If you are aware of a problem-solving court that has not been separately identified for inclusion in this Census, please notify us at CensusPSC@ncsc.org.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Hybrid	680	18.7 %
2	(General) drug	55	1.5 %
3	Adult drug	708	19.5 %
4	Juvenile drug	278	7.7 %
5	Tribal healing to wellness	16	0.4 %
6	DWI/DUI	160	4.4 %
7	Campus drug	0	0.0 %
8	(General) community	10	0.3 %
9	Adult community	12	0.3 %
10	Juvenile community	6	0.2 %
11	Gun	2	0.1 %
12	Prostitution	6	0.2 %
13	Gambling	0	0.0 %
14	Homelessness	14	0.4 %
15	(General) youth/teen	286	7.9 %
19	Truancy	39	1.1 %
20	(General) co-occurring disorders	2	0.1 %
21	Adult co-occurring disorders	31	0.9 %
22	Juvenile co-occurring disorders	6	0.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	(General) reentry	5	0.1 %
24	Adult reentry	14	0.4 %
25	Juvenile reentry	2	0.1 %
26	Reentry drug	0	0.0 %
27	Parole violation	0	0.0 %
28	(General) veterans	59	1.6 %
29	Veterans drug treatment	15	0.4 %
30	Veterans mental health treatment	4	0.1 %
31	(General) mental health	16	0.4 %
32	Adult mental health	176	4.8 %
33	Juvenile mental health	24	0.7 %
34	Domestic violence	100	2.8 %
35	Integrated domestic violence	33	0.9 %
36	Family dependency/family drug	215	5.9 %
37	Elder abuse	2	0.1 %
38	Child support/fathering	9	0.2 %
39	(General) treatment	3	0.1 %
40	(General) problem-solving	4	0.1 %
41	Other	28	0.8 %
50	Gang	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	613	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

SINGLE_TEXT_O - OTHER: SINGLE COURT TYPE

Location: 44-370 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

COURTTYPE - COURT TYPE (ASSIGNED)

Location: 371-372 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Question:

q2. If No to q1: Identify the single category label that best describes your Problem-Solving Court

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Adult drug	1141	31.4 %
2	Juvenile drug	395	10.9 %
3	Tribal healing to wellness	26	0.7 %
4	DWI/DUI	199	5.5 %
5	Adult community	35	1.0 %
6	Homelessness	29	0.8 %
7	Youth/teen	326	9.0 %
8	Truancy	47	1.3 %
9	Adult co-occurring	46	1.3 %
10	Adult reentry	33	0.9 %
11	Veterans drug treatment	15	0.4 %
12	Adult mental health	301	8.3 %
13	Juvenile mental health	36	1.0 %
14	Domestic violence	145	4.0 %
15	Integrated domestic violence	47	1.3 %
16	Family dependency/family drug	306	8.4 %
17	(General) problem-solving	11	0.3 %
18	DWI and drug	227	6.2 %
19	Tribal hybrid	11	0.3 %
20	Unspecified	4	0.1 %
21	Reentry drug	6	0.2 %
22	(General) veterans	137	3.8 %
23	Veterans mental health treatment	5	0.1 %
24	Other	105	2.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

COURTYPE_TEXT - COURT TYPE OTH TXT (ASSIGNED)

Location: 373-530 (width: 158; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

COURT_TYPE_BJS - COURT TYPE, RECODED BJS

Location: 531-532 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Drug	1330	36.6 %
2	Mental health	337	9.3 %
3	Family	261	7.2 %
4	Youth specialty	244	6.7 %
5	Hybrid DWI/drug	217	6.0 %
6	DWI	183	5.0 %
7	Domestic violence	170	4.7 %
8	Veterans	133	3.7 %
9	Tribal wellness	31	0.9 %
10	Other	146	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Out of scope	581	16.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

JUV_ADULT - ADULT/JUVENILE DRUG AND MENTAL HEALTH

Location: 533-534 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Adult drug	981	27.0 %
2	Juvenile drug	349	9.6 %
3	Adult mental health	301	8.3 %
4	Juvenile mental health	36	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1966	54.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

HYBRID - HYBRID COURT TYPE (ASSIGNED)

Location: 535-536 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -7

Question:

HYBRID to HY_TEXT_O. q2. If Yes to q1: Please mark all court types below that describe your court (e.g., for a hybrid DWI/Drug Court, check both "Adult Drug" and "DWI/DUI").

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	3307	91.0 %
1	Yes	322	8.9 %
	Missing Data		
-7	Don't know	4	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL DRUG

Location: 537-538 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	510	14.0 %
1	Yes	170	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_ADRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: ADULT DRUG

Location: 539-540 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	169	4.7 %
1	Yes	511	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_JDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: JUVENILE DRUG

Location: 541-542 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	599	16.5 %
1	Yes	81	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_TRIDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: TRIBAL DRUG

Location: 543-544 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	667	18.4 %
1	Yes	13	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_DWI_O - O_HYBTYP: DWI/DUI

Location: 545-546 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	323	8.9 %
1	Yes	357	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_CAMDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: CAMPUS DRUG

- Study 36717 -

Location: 547-548 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	673	18.5 %
1	Yes	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GCOMM_O - O_HYBTYP: GENENERL COMMUNITY

Location: 549-550 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	500	13.8 %
1	Yes	180	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_ACOMM_O - O_HYBTYP: ADULT COMMUNITY

Location: 551-552 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	331	9.1 %
1	Yes	349	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_JCOMM_O - O_HYBTYP: JUVENILE COMMUNITY

Location: 553-554 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	608	16.7 %
1	Yes	72	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GUN_O - O_HYBTYP: GUN

Location: 555-556 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	653	18.0 %
1	Yes	27	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_PROS_O - O_HYBTYP: PROSTITUTION

Location: 557-558 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	627	17.3 %
1	Yes	53	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GAM_O - O_HYBTYP: GAMBLING

Location: 559-560 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	642	17.7 %
1	Yes	38	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_HOME_O - O_HYBTYP: HOMELESSNESS

Location: 561-562 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	539	14.8 %
1	Yes	141	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GANG_O - O_HYBTYP: GANG

Location: 563-564 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	644	17.7 %
1	Yes	36	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_TEEN_O - O_HYBTYP: GENENRAL YOUTH/TEEN

Location: 565-566 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	620	17.1 %
1	Yes	60	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_TRU_O - O_HYBTYP: TRUANCY

Location: 567-568 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	645	17.8 %
1	Yes	35	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GCO_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL CO-DISORDERS

Location: 569-570 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	525	14.5 %
1	Yes	155	4.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_ACO_O - O_HYBTYP: ADULT CO-DISORDERS

Location: 571-572 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	324	8.9 %
1	Yes	356	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_JCO_O - O_HYBTYP: JUVENILE CO-DISORDERS

Location: 573-574 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	611	16.8 %
1	Yes	69	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GRE_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL REENTRY

- Study 36717 -

Location: 575-576 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	604	16.6 %
1	Yes	76	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_ARE_O - O_HYBTYP: ADULT REENTRY

Location: 577-578 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	491	13.5 %
1	Yes	189	5.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_JRE_O - O_HYBTYP: JUVENILE REENTRY

Location: 579-580 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	643	17.7 %
1	Yes	37	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_REDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: REENTRY DRUG

Location: 581-582 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	583	16.0 %
1	Yes	97	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_PARVIO_O - O_HYBTYP: PAROLE VIOLATION

Location: 583-584 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	605	16.7 %
1	Yes	75	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GVET_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL VETERANS

Location: 585-586 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	541	14.9 %
1	Yes	139	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

HY_VETDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: VETERANS DRUG TREATMENT

Location: 587-588 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	565	15.6 %
1	Yes	115	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_VETMENT_O - O_HYBTYP: VETERANS MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT

Location: 589-590 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	593	16.3 %
1	Yes	87	2.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GMENT_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL MENTAL HEALTH

Location: 591-592 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	563	15.5 %
1	Yes	117	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_AMENT_O - O_HYBTYP: ADULT MENTAL HEALTH

Location: 593-594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	440	12.1 %
1	Yes	240	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_JMENT_O - O_HYBTYP: JUVENILE MENTAL HEALTH

Location: 595-596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	634	17.5 %
1	Yes	46	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_FDRUG_O - O_HYBTYP: FAMILY DEPENDENCY/FAMILY DRUG

Location: 597-598 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	617	17.0 %
1	Yes	63	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_DV_O - O_HYBTYP: DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

Location: 599-600 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	540	14.9 %
1	Yes	140	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_IDV_O - O_HYBTYP: INTEGRTD DOMVIO

Location: 601-602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	638	17.6 %
1	Yes	42	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_ELDABU_O - O_HYBTYP: ELDER ABUSE

- Study 36717 -

Location: 603-604 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	668	18.4 %
1	Yes	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_CHISUP_O - O_HYBTYP: CHILD SUPPORT/FATHERING

Location: 605-606 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	635	17.5 %
1	Yes	45	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GTREAT_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL TREATMENT

Location: 607-608 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	493	13.6 %
1	Yes	187	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_GPROB_O - O_HYBTYP: GENERAL PROBLEM-SOLVING

Location: 609-610 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	517	14.2 %
1	Yes	163	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_OTHER_O - O_HYBTYP: OTHER

Location: 611-612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a hybrid	2339	64.4 %
0	No	672	18.5 %
1	Yes	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

HY_TEXT_O - O_HYBTYP: OTHER TEXT

Location: 613-685 (width: 73; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

TOTAL_POP_SERVE - TOTAL 2010 POPULATION SERVED

Location: 686-692 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	Tribal population not available	31	0.9 %
2377	-	1	0.0 %
2984	-	1	0.0 %
3779	-	1	0.0 %
4042	-	1	0.0 %
4294	-	1	0.0 %
4456	-	1	0.0 %
4776	-	2	0.1 %
4857	-	1	0.0 %
5105	-	1	0.0 %
5346	-	1	0.0 %
5662	-	5	0.1 %
5792	-	1	0.0 %
5907	-	1	0.0 %
5911	-	2	0.1 %
6411	-	1	0.0 %
6617	-	1	0.0 %
6699	-	1	0.0 %
6721	-	1	0.0 %
6825	-	1	0.0 %
6982	-	2	0.1 %
7022	-	2	0.1 %
7077	-	2	0.1 %
7210	-	1	0.0 %
7269	-	1	0.0 %
7290	-	1	0.0 %
7360	-	1	0.0 %
7693	-	1	0.0 %
7827	-	1	0.0 %
7840	-	1	0.0 %
7867	-	1	0.0 %
7876	-	1	0.0 %
7957	-	1	0.0 %
8487	-	1	0.0 %
8503	-	1	0.0 %
8563	-	1	0.0 %
8748	-	2	0.1 %
9287	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9303	-	2	0.1 %
9384	-	1	0.0 %
9472	-	1	0.0 %
9487	-	1	0.0 %
9674	-	1	0.0 %
9689	-	1	0.0 %
9735	-	1	0.0 %
9753	-	1	0.0 %
9830	-	1	0.0 %
9906	-	1	0.0 %
10064	-	2	0.1 %
10165	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	581	16.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

TOTAL_POP_CAT - TOTAL POPULATION SERVED BY CATEGORIES

Location: 693-694 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	50,000 or fewer	714	19.7 %
2	50,001-100,000	455	12.5 %
3	100,001-500,000	1081	29.8 %
4	500,001 or more	771	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	612	16.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

JUDICIARY - COURT IS WITHIN JUDICIARY

Location: 695-696 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q5. Does your Problem-Solving Court...

a. operate within the judiciary (i.e., as part of the judicial rather than executive branch)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	124	3.4 %
1	Yes	2895	79.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

DOCKET - COURT HAS DEDICATED DOCKET/CALENDAR

Location: 697-698 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q5. Does your Problem-Solving Court...

b. have a dedicated docket/calendar?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	162	4.5 %
1	Yes	2857	78.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

JUDICIAL_OFFICER - COURT HAS JUDGE/JUDICIAL OFFICER

Location: 699-700 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

- Study 36717 -

q5. Does your Problem-Solving Court... c. have a judge(s)/judicial officer(s) (i.e., judicial officer oversees proceedings has the authority of the court)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	137	3.8 %
1	Yes	2882	79.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

BIFURCATE - ADJUDICATION & SENTENCING IS BIFURCATED

Location: 701-702 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q6. Is adjudication and sentencing a bifurcated process? For example, the traditional court may handle the case for adjudication, but the Problem-Solving Court may oversee sentencing.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: 'judiciary' and 'judicial_officer' =1	2819	77.6 %
0	No	158	4.3 %
1	Yes	42	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADJ_JUDICIARY - ADJUDICATION IS WITHIN JUDICIARY

Location: 703-704 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q7. Does the adjudication component of the process operate within the judiciary (i.e., as part of the judicial rather than executive branch)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a bifurcated process	2999	82.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	6	0.2 %
1	Yes	14	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADJ_JUDICIAL_OFFICER - JUDICIAL OFFICER PRESIDES OVER ADJUDICATION

Location: 705-706 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q8. Does a judicial officer (e.g., someone who has the same authority as a judge) preside over the adjudication component of the process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: not a bifurcated process	2988	82.2 %
0	No	18	0.5 %
1	Yes	13	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEDICATED_PROSECUTOR - COURT HAS DEDICATED PROSECUTOR(S)

Location: 707-708 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have a dedicated prosecutor(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	670	18.4 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2292	63.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	671	18.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEDICATED_PD - COURT HAS DEDICATED DEFENSE ATTORNEY(S)/PUBLIC DEFENDER(S)

Location: 709-710 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have a dedicated defense attorney(s)/public defender(s)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	788	21.7 %
1	Yes	2174	59.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	671	18.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEDICATED_COURT_STAFF - COURT HAS DEDICATED STAFF

Location: 711-712 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have dedicated court staff?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	405	11.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2558	70.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	670	18.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEDICATED_COORD - COURT HAS DEDICATED OPERATIONS MGR/PROG COORDINATOR

Location: 713-714 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have a dedicated operations manager/program coordinator?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	291	8.0 %
1	Yes	2671	73.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	671	18.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

OPERATIONS_MANUAL - COURT HAS OPERATIONS MANUAL

Location: 715-716 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have an operations manual for the court? A manual provides a clear model for operating the court and includes all Problem-Solving Court policies.

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	465	12.8 %
1	Yes	2496	68.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	672	18.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

MISSION - COURT HAS MISSION STATEMENT

Location: 717-718 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...
have a mission statement?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	395	10.9 %
1	Yes	2566	70.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	672	18.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

LEVEL_GOVT - LEVEL OF GOV'T AT WHICH THE COURT OPERATES IS

Location: 719-720 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q10. At what level of government does your Problem-Solving Court operate?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Municipal	226	6.2 %
2	County	1479	40.7 %
3	District/circuit	1022	28.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	State	208	5.7 %
5	Tribal	30	0.8 %
6	Multi-jurisdictional	32	0.9 %
7	Other:	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	629	17.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

LEVEL_GOV'T_TEXT - LEVEL OF GOV'T AT WHICH THE COURT OPERATES OTHER TEXT

Location: 721-805 (width: 85; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CERTIFIED - COURT HAS BEEN OFFICIALLY CERTIFIED BY A STATE-LEVEL AGENCY

Location: 7962-7963 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q11. Has your Problem-Solving Court been officially certified by a state-level agency (or otherwise approved as meeting prescribed PSC standards set by the state)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1188	32.7 %
2	No	868	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	672	18.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	905	24.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

CERT_TEXT - CERTIFICATION: OPTIONAL COMMENTS

Location: 7964-8347 (width: 384; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

PLAN_STAKE - STAKEHOLDERS HELPED PLAN THE COURT

Location: 806-807 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 36717 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q12. Were stakeholders (e.g. judge, attorneys, service providers) involved in planning of this Problem-Solving Court?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	58	1.6 %
1	Yes	2737	75.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	671	18.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	167	4.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_JUD - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: JUDGE(S)

Location: 808-809 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	12	0.3 %
1	Yes	2637	72.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	743	20.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	16	0.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_PROS - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: PROSECUTOR(S)

Location: 810-811 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	123	3.4 %
1	Yes	2376	65.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	809	22.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	100	2.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_DEF - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: DEF ATTY(S)/PUBLIC DEFENDER(S)

Location: 812-813 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	169	4.7 %
1	Yes	2242	61.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	846	23.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	151	4.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_ADMIN - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: COURT ADMINISTRATOR(S)

Location: 814-815 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	234	6.4 %
1	Yes	2148	59.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	867	23.9 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	159	4.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_PROB - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: PROBATION OR OTH SUPERV AGENCY

Location: 816-817 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	181	5.0 %
1	Yes	2283	62.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	818	22.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	126	3.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_LAW - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

Location: 818-819 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	506	13.9 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	1716	47.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	925	25.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	261	7.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLAN_SERV - STAKEHOLDERS INCLUDE: SERVICE PROVIDER(S)

Location: 820-821 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders were not involved in planning/no data	225	6.2 %
0	No	104	2.9 %
1	Yes	2377	65.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	805	22.2 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	122	3.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

STAFF_FULL - NUMBER OF FULL-TIME STAFF

Location: 822-824 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q14. How many full-time and part-time staff members are currently employed by your Problem-Solving Court?
This count should not include those fully employed by an external agency (e.g., community service provider or other government agency), but those paid, at least in part, through the judiciary.

a. Number of full-time Problem-Solving Court personnel

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	460	12.7 %
1	-	747	20.6 %
2	-	443	12.2 %
3	-	249	6.9 %
4	-	158	4.3 %
5	-	114	3.1 %
6	-	66	1.8 %
7	-	54	1.5 %
8	-	35	1.0 %
9	-	28	0.8 %
10	-	24	0.7 %
11	-	16	0.4 %
12	-	12	0.3 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	6	0.2 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
16	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	6	0.2 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
200	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	692	19.0 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	494	13.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

STAFF_PART - NUMBER OF PART-TIME STAFF

Location: 825-826 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q14. How many full-time and part-time staff members are currently employed by your Problem-Solving Court?
This count should not include those fully employed by an external agency (e.g., community service provider or other government agency), but those paid, at least in part, through the judiciary.

b. Number of part-time Problem-Solving Court personnel

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1277	35.2 %
1	-	578	15.9 %
2	-	222	6.1 %
3	-	155	4.3 %
4	-	70	1.9 %
5	-	47	1.3 %
6	-	26	0.7 %
7	-	12	0.3 %
8	-	16	0.4 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	5	0.1 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	5	0.1 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	691	19.0 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	514	14.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

SESSION_O - O_HOW FREQUENTLY IS COURT IN SESSION

- Study 36717 -

Location: 827-828 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

q15. How frequently is the Problem-Solving Court in session?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Daily	123	3.4 %
2	More than once a week but less than daily	177	4.9 %
3	Weekly	1477	40.7 %
4	More than once a month but less than weekly	678	18.7 %
5	Monthly	224	6.2 %
98	Other	266	7.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
-6	Blank	4	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

SESSION_TEXT_O - O_HOW FREQUENTLY IS COURT IN SESSION TEXT

Location: 829-1155 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SESSION - SESSION, RECODED

Location: 1156-1158 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-98	Not operational	13	0.4 %
1	Daily	123	3.4 %
2	More than once a week but less than daily	189	5.2 %
3	Weekly	1492	41.1 %
4	More than once a month but less than weekly	818	22.5 %
5	Monthly	237	6.5 %
6	As needed/scheduled/referred	19	0.5 %
7	Other	54	1.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	688	18.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

SESSION_TEXT - HOW FREQUENTLY IS COURT IS IN SESSION TXT

Location: 1159-1325 (width: 167; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

KEY_SUBS_O - ORIGINAL_SUBSTANCE USE/ABUSE-RELATED ISSUE(S)(KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) YOUR COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1326-1327 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Substance use/abuse-related issues(s)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	398	11.0 %
1	Yes	2551	70.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_BEHAV_O - ORIGINAL_BEHAVIORAL ADDICTION(S)(KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1328-1329 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

- Study 36717 -

Behavioral addiction(s) (e.g., gambling addiction)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2255	62.1 %
1	Yes	694	19.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_MENT_O - ORIGINAL_MENTAL HEALTH ISSUE(S) (KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) IS YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1330-1331 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Mental health issue(s)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1430	39.4 %
1	Yes	1519	41.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_NUIS_O - ORIGINAL_QUALITY-OF-LIFE OR NUISANCE OFFENSE(S) (KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) IS YOUR COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1332-1333 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

- Study 36717 -

Quality-of-life or nuisance offense(s) (e.g., homelessness)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2100	57.8 %
1	Yes	849	23.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_COMPLY_O - ORIGINAL_POOR JURISDICTIONAL COMPLIANCE WITH COURT ORDERS

Location: 1334-1335 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Poor offender compliance with court orders (e.g., restitution, child support, fines paid in full)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2189	60.3 %
1	Yes	760	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_UNPRIV_O - ORIGINAL_CHALLENGES THAT HINDER ACCESS TO THE JUDICIAL SYSTEM FOR CERTAIN UNDERPRIVILEGED POPULATIONS (KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1336-1337 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Challenges that hinder access to the judicial system for certain underprivileged populations

- Study 36717 -

(please list the type of underprivileged population)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2485	68.4 %
1	Yes	464	12.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_INTEG_O - ORIGINAL_CHALLENGES FACED BY OFFENDERS SEEKING TO INTEGRATE BACK INTO THE COMMUNITY (KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1338-1339 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Challenges faced by offenders seeking to integrate back into the community

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2115	58.2 %
1	Yes	834	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_VIC_O - ORIGINAL_VICTIM SAFETY (WHAT KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) IS YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1340-1341 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

- Study 36717 -

Victim safety

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2389	65.8 %
1	Yes	560	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (WHAT KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) IS YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1342-1343 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2410	66.3 %
1	Yes	539	14.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	684	18.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

KEY_OTHER_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (WHAT KEY UNDERLYING PROBLEM(S) IS YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT DESIGNED TO ADDRESS)

Location: 1344-1670 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

EXPERT - EXPERTISE IS A MANDATORY QUALIFICATION FOR AT LEAST SOME NEW PROFESSIONAL STAFF POSITIONS

Location: 1671-1672 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q17. Is expertise in the relevant problem-solving area a mandatory qualification for at least some new professional staff positions within the Problem-Solving Court? For example, the drug court judge has professional experience working with individuals with substance abuse or addiction problems or the mental health court program coordinator has an educational background in the psychological sciences.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1007	27.7 %
1	Yes	1936	53.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	690	19.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRAIN_JUD - MANDATED TRAINING SPECIFIC TO THE NEEDS OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS: DEDICATED JUDICIAL OFFICER(S)

Location: 1673-1674 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

TRAIN_JUD to TRAIN_COORD.

Training. Training for stakeholders can range from a formal training curriculum to informal brown bag sessions on key topics such as underlying causes of the offender's justice system involvement, relevant health or behavioral problems like drug addiction, mental illness, sex offending, domestic violence.

q18. For each of the following stakeholders, does your Problem-Solving Court mandate training specific to the needs of program participants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1153	31.7 %
1	Yes	1436	39.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	694	19.1 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	350	9.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRAIN_PROS - MANDATED TRAINING SPECIFIC TO THE NEEDS OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS: DEDICATED PROSECUTOR(S)

Location: 1675-1676 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1446	39.8 %
1	Yes	972	26.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	701	19.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	514	14.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRAIN_DEF - MANDATED TRAINING SPECIFIC TO THE NEEDS OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS: DEDICATED DEFENSE ATTORNEY(S)/PUBLIC DEFENDER(S)

Location: 1677-1678 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1470	40.5 %
1	Yes	932	25.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	698	19.2 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	533	14.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRAIN_STAFF - MANDATED TRAINING SPECIFIC TO THE NEEDS OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS: DEDICATED COURT STAFF

Location: 1679-1680 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1156	31.8 %
1	Yes	1468	40.4 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	694	19.1 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	315	8.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRAIN_COORD - MANDATED TRAINING SPECIFIC TO THE NEEDS OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS: DEDICATED OPERATIONS MANAGER/PROGRAM COORDINATOR

Location: 1681-1682 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	885	24.4 %
1	Yes	1794	49.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	701	19.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	253	7.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASE_CRIM_O - ORIGINAL_CRIMINAL (INCLUDE CRIMINAL CHARGES FOR DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, ABUSE, OR NEGLECT) (CASE TYPES THAT FALL UNDER JURISDICTION OF YOUR COURT)

Location: 1683-1684 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

CASE_CRIM_O to CASE_TEXT_O. q19. Please check all case types (subject matters) that fall under the jurisdiction of your Problem-Solving Court.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	967	26.6 %
1	Yes	1969	54.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASE_FAM_O - ORIGINAL_FAMILY/DOMESTIC RELATIONS (INCLUDE DEPENDENCY, ORDERS OF PROTECTION, ADJUDICATION OF PARENTAL RIGHTS, CUSTODY, VISITATION)

Location: 1685-1686 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2464	67.8 %
1	Yes	472	13.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASE_JUV_O - ORIGINAL_JUVENILE (INCLUDE DELINQUENCY OR STATUS OFFENSES) (CASE TYPES THAT FALL UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 1687-1688 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2209	60.8 %
1	Yes	727	20.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASE_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (PLEASE SELECT ALL CASE TYPES (SUBJECT MATTERS) THAT FALL UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 1689-1690 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2606	71.7 %
1	Yes	330	9.1 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASE_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (PLEASE SELECT ALL CASE TYPES (SUBJECT MATTERS) THAT FALL UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 1691-2017 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

OFF_FEL_O - ORIGINAL_FELONY (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2018-2019 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

OFF_FEL_O to OFF_TEXT_O.

q20. Please check all levels of offenses that apply.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	987	27.2 %
1	Yes	1949	53.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

OFF_MISD_O - ORIGINAL_MISDEMEANOR (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2020-2021 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1118	30.8 %
1	Yes	1818	50.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

OFF_DEL_O - ORIGINAL DELINQUENCY (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2022-2023 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2379	65.5 %
1	Yes	557	15.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

OFF_STAT_O - ORIGINAL STATUS OFFENSE (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2024-2025 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2493	68.6 %
1	Yes	443	12.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

OFF_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2026-2027 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2580	71.0 %
1	Yes	356	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	685	18.9 %
-6	Blank	12	0.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

OFF_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER (PLEASE SELECT ALL LEVELS OF OFFENSES THAT APPLY)

Location: 2028-2249 (width: 222; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ADMITPART - COURT IS CURRENTLY ADMITTING PARTICIPANTS

Location: 2250-2251 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q21. Is your Problem-Solving Court currently admitting participants?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	98	2.7 %
1	Yes	2881	79.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	654	18.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADMIT_MONTH - MONTH THE COURT ADMITTED ITS FIRST PARTICIPANT

Location: 2252-2253 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

ADMIT_MONTH to ADMIT_YEAR.

- Study 36717 -

q22. When did/will your Problem-Solving Court admit its first participant?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	January	733	20.2 %
2	February	192	5.3 %
3	March	215	5.9 %
4	April	223	6.1 %
5	May	157	4.3 %
6	June	195	5.4 %
7	July	228	6.3 %
8	August	176	4.8 %
9	September	261	7.2 %
10	October	289	8.0 %
11	November	157	4.3 %
12	December	122	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	664	18.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	21	0.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADMIT_YEAR - YEAR THE COURT ADMITTED ITS FIRST PARTICIPANT

Location: 2254-2257 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A	0	0.0 %
1989	-	31	0.9 %
1990	-	11	0.3 %
1991	-	6	0.2 %
1992	-	13	0.4 %
1993	-	19	0.5 %
1994	-	30	0.8 %
1995	-	51	1.4 %
1996	-	67	1.8 %
1997	-	80	2.2 %
1998	-	92	2.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1999	-	128	3.5 %
2000	-	147	4.0 %
2001	-	167	4.6 %
2002	-	167	4.6 %
2003	-	160	4.4 %
2004	-	203	5.6 %
2005	-	202	5.6 %
2006	-	197	5.4 %
2007	-	219	6.0 %
2008	-	198	5.5 %
2009	-	185	5.1 %
2010	-	183	5.0 %
2011	-	178	4.9 %
2012	-	173	4.8 %
2013	-	56	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	664	18.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	6	0.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

YEAR_ESTAB - YEAR COURT ESTABLISHED, BY CATEGORIES

Location: 2258-2259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	1989-1995	138	3.8 %
2	1996-2000	470	12.9 %
3	2001-2005	870	23.9 %
4	2006-2010	959	26.4 %
5	2011-2012	340	9.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	180	5.0 %
-6	Blank	676	18.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

CEASE - COURT IS CURRENTLY PREPARING TO CEASE OPERATIONS

Location: 2260-2261 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q23. Is your Problem-Solving Court currently preparing to cease operations?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is in pilot phase	195	5.4 %
0	No	2693	74.1 %
1	Yes	41	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	704	19.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

CEASE_MONTH - MONTH THE COURT ADMITTED ITS LAST PARTICIPANT

Location: 2262-2263 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

CEASE_MONTH to CEASE_YEAR.

q23. Is your Problem-Solving Court currently preparing to cease operations?

If Yes: When did/will your Problem-Solving Court admit its last participant?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not ceasing operations	2888	79.5 %
1	January	4	0.1 %
2	February	4	0.1 %
3	March	6	0.2 %
4	April	5	0.1 %
5	May	4	0.1 %
6	June	5	0.1 %
7	July	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	August	3	0.1 %
9	September	1	0.0 %
10	October	3	0.1 %
11	November	2	0.1 %
12	December	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	704	19.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

CEASE_YEAR - YEAR THE COURT ADMITTED ITS LAST PARTICIPANT

Location: 2264-2267 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not ceasing operations	2888	79.5 %
2009	-	2	0.1 %
2010	-	1	0.0 %
2011	-	6	0.2 %
2012	-	13	0.4 %
2013	-	18	0.5 %
2014	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	704	19.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

PILOT - COURT IS A PILOT PROGRAM

Location: 2268-2269 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2822	77.7 %
1	Yes	154	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	657	18.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

PILOT_MONTH - MONTH THE COURT'S PILOT PHASE WAS/WILL BE OVER

Location: 2270-2271 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not a pilot program	2824	77.7 %
1	January	23	0.6 %
2	February	4	0.1 %
3	March	6	0.2 %
4	April	3	0.1 %
5	May	7	0.2 %
6	June	10	0.3 %
7	July	12	0.3 %
8	August	6	0.2 %
9	September	33	0.9 %
10	October	10	0.3 %
11	November	3	0.1 %
12	December	35	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	657	18.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

PILOT_YEAR - YEAR THE COURT'S PILOT PHASE WAS/WILL BE OVER

Location: 2272-2275 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not a pilot program	2824	77.7 %
2012	-	10	0.3 %
2013	-	64	1.8 %
2014	-	44	1.2 %
2015	-	34	0.9 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	657	18.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

MERGE - COURT IS MERGING OPERATIONS WITH ANOTHER PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT

Location: 2276-2277 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

MERGE to MERGE_YEAR.

q25. Is this existing Problem-Solving Court merging operations with another problem-solving court?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	681	18.7 %
1	Yes	10	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2942	81.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

MERGE_MONTH - MONTH FIRST PARTICIPANT WILL BE ADMITTED TO MERGED PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT

Location: 2278-2279 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not merging	681	18.7 %
1	January	1	0.0 %
2	February	0	0.0 %
3	March	1	0.0 %
4	April	0	0.0 %
5	May	1	0.0 %
6	June	0	0.0 %
7	July	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	August	0	0.0 %
9	September	0	0.0 %
10	October	0	0.0 %
11	November	1	0.0 %
12	December	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2942	81.0 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	5	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

MERGE_YEAR - YEAR FIRST PARTICIPANT WILL BE ADMITTED TO MERGED PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT

Location: 2280-2283 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not merging	681	18.7 %
2012	-	1	0.0 %
2013	-	4	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2942	81.0 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	5	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_FEDGRANT - SOURCE OF FUNDING: FEDERAL GRANT

Location: 2284-2285 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

FUND_FEDGRANT to OTHER_CATBJS.

q26. Funding. Please indicate how your Problem-Solving Court is currently funded by checking the box in the "Funding Source" column for each active source of funding for your court in the 2012 calendar year. Then, in the second column, for only those funding sources you checked, please indicate what percentage of your court's funding for the calendar year 2012 came from each, totaling 100%. If you do not know the exact percentages, please provide an estimate and check the estimate box below the

question.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1780	49.0 %
1	Yes	512	14.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_STGRANT - SOURCE OF FUNDING: STATE GRANT

Location: 2286-2287 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1732	47.7 %
1	Yes	560	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_STBUDGET - SOURCE OF FUNDING: STATE BUDGET ITEM

Location: 2288-2289 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1424	39.2 %
1	Yes	868	23.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_LOCGRANT - SOURCE OF FUNDING: LOCAL/PRIVATE GRANT

Location: 2290-2291 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1458	40.1 %
1	Yes	834	23.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_PRIVBUDGET - SOURCE OF FUNDING: LOCAL BUDGET ITEM

Location: 2292-2293 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2106	58.0 %
1	Yes	186	5.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_INKIND - SOURCE OF FUNDING: IN-KIND/AGENCY FUNDING

Location: 2294-2295 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1712	47.1 %
1	Yes	580	16.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_FEESFINES - SOURCE OF FUNDING: COLLECTED FEES AND FINES

Location: 2296-2297 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1644	45.3 %
1	Yes	648	17.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_OTHER - SOURCE OF FUNDING: OTHER

Location: 2298-2299 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2171	59.8 %
1	Yes	121	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_TEXT - SOURCE OF FUNDING: OTHER

Location: 2300-2397 (width: 98; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

FUND_FEDGRANT_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: FEDERAL GRANT

Location: 2398-2400 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1781	49.0 %
1	-	5	0.1 %
2	-	5	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	22	0.6 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	36	1.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	14	0.4 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	28	0.8 %
22	-	3	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	35	1.0 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	15	0.4 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.1 %
34	-	4	0.1 %
35	-	8	0.2 %
38	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	18	0.5 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	10	0.3 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
49	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
50	-	36	1.0 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	19	0.5 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	5	0.1 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	2	0.1 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	10	0.3 %
75	-	35	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_STGRANT_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: STATE GRANT

Location: 2401-2403 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1732	47.7 %
1	-	4	0.1 %
2	-	4	0.1 %
4	-	5	0.1 %
5	-	18	0.5 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	43	1.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	2	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	20	0.6 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	32	0.9 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	26	0.7 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	25	0.7 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	3	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	8	0.2 %
36	-	3	0.1 %
38	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	16	0.4 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	4	0.1 %
45	-	6	0.2 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	56	1.5 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	4	0.1 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	23	0.6 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	5	0.1 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
69	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
70	-	14	0.4 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_STBUDGET_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: STATE BUDGET ITEM

Location: 2404-2406 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1425	39.2 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	1	0.0 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	11	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	3	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	16	0.4 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	7	0.2 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	24	0.7 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	3	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	18	0.5 %
28	-	4	0.1 %
30	-	16	0.4 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	7	0.2 %
36	-	3	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	15	0.4 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	6	0.2 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	50	1.4 %
51	-	1	0.0 %
53	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	5	0.1 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	23	0.6 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	8	0.2 %
67	-	2	0.1 %
69	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	20	0.6 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
73	-	1	0.0 %
74	-	7	0.2 %
75	-	48	1.3 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_LOCGRANT_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: LOCAL/PRIVATE GRANT

Location: 2407-2409 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

- Study 36717 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1462	40.2 %
1	-	4	0.1 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	31	0.9 %
6	-	3	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	4	0.1 %
9	-	5	0.1 %
10	-	52	1.4 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	5	0.1 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	24	0.7 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	48	1.3 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	3	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	3	0.1 %
25	-	42	1.2 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	32	0.9 %
31	-	5	0.1 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	15	0.4 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	27	0.7 %
42	-	6	0.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	10	0.3 %
48	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	43	1.2 %
55	-	6	0.2 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	22	0.6 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
63	-	2	0.1 %
64	-	2	0.1 %
65	-	4	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_PRIVBUDGET_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: LOCAL BUDGET ITEM

Location: 2410-2412 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2106	58.0 %
1	-	16	0.4 %
2	-	6	0.2 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	36	1.0 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
10	-	26	0.7 %
11	-	3	0.1 %
12	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	7	0.2 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.2 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	12	0.3 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	4	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	3	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	8	0.2 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	3	0.1 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	2	0.1 %
85	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	1	0.0 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	13	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_INKIND_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: IN-KIND/AGENCY FUNDING

- Study 36717 -

Location: 2413-2415 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1730	47.6 %
1	-	6	0.2 %
2	-	5	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.1 %
4	-	5	0.1 %
5	-	49	1.3 %
6	-	1	0.0 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	7	0.2 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	74	2.0 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	6	0.2 %
13	-	3	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	19	0.5 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	3	0.1 %
20	-	41	1.1 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	3	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	49	1.3 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	23	0.6 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	22	0.6 %
42	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
45	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	30	0.8 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	4	0.1 %
64	-	1	0.0 %
65	-	4	0.1 %
70	-	6	0.2 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	8	0.2 %
78	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	13	0.4 %
85	-	9	0.2 %
90	-	10	0.3 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_FEESFINES_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: COLLECTED FEES AND FINES

Location: 2416-2418 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1644	45.3 %
1	-	43	1.2 %
2	-	22	0.6 %
3	-	11	0.3 %
4	-	10	0.3 %
5	-	127	3.5 %
6	-	9	0.2 %
7	-	4	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	4	0.1 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	104	2.9 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	3	0.1 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	30	0.8 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	3	0.1 %
20	-	47	1.3 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	28	0.8 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	22	0.6 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	10	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	8	0.2 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	32	0.9 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
56	-	2	0.1 %
60	-	7	0.2 %
62	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	3	0.1 %
75	-	11	0.3 %
80	-	9	0.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
85	-	3	0.1 %
90	-	10	0.3 %
92	-	1	0.0 %
95	-	5	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FUND_OTHER_PER - PERCENT OF BUDGET PROVIDED BY: OTHER SOURCE

Location: 2419-2421 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	2183	60.1 %
1	-	5	0.1 %
2	-	6	0.2 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	11	0.3 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	6	0.2 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	3	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	7	0.2 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	7	0.2 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
68	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	4	0.1 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	4	0.1 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	28	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	714	19.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

FUND_EST - FUNDING PERCENTAGES ARE ESTIMATES ONLY

Location: 2422-2423 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: don't know funding	714	19.7 %
0	Not an estimate	935	25.7 %
1	Estimate	1357	37.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	627	17.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

PCT_FEDGRANT_BJS - PERCENT FEDERAL BUDGET CATEGORIES

Location: 2424-2425 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0%	1686	46.4 %
1	1-50%	264	7.3 %
2	51-100%	227	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

FEDGRANT_CATBJS - FEDERAL FUNDING BY CATEGORIES, FINAL

Location: 2426-2427 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0% (no funding)	1686	46.4 %
2	1-25% from this source	159	4.4 %
3	26-50% from this source	105	2.9 %
4	51-75% from this source	79	2.2 %
5	76-99% from this source	58	1.6 %
6	100% (all from this source)	90	2.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

PCT_STATEFUN_BJS - PERCENT OF STATE TOTAL FUNDING CATEGORIES

Location: 2428-2429 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0%	853	23.5 %
1	1-50%	417	11.5 %
2	51-100%	907	25.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

STATE_CATBJS - STATE FUNDING BY CATEGORIES, FINAL

Location: 2430-2431 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0% (no funding)	853	23.5 %
2	1-25% from this source	215	5.9 %
3	26-50% from this source	202	5.6 %
4	51-75% from this source	199	5.5 %
5	76-99% from this source	215	5.9 %
6	100% (all from this source)	493	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

PCT_LOCFUN_BJS - PERCENT OF LOCAL TOTAL FUNDING CATEGORIES

Location: 2432-2433 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0%	1301	35.8 %
1	1-50%	438	12.1 %
2	51-100%	438	12.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

LOCAL_CATBJS - LOCAL FUNDING BY CATEGORIES, FINAL

Location: 2434-2435 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0% (no funding)	1301	35.8 %
2	1-25% from this source	281	7.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	26-50% from this source	157	4.3 %
4	51-75% from this source	108	3.0 %
5	76-99% from this source	91	2.5 %
6	100% (all from this source)	239	6.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

PCT_FEEFINE_BJS - PERCENT OF FEE/FINES CATEGORIES

Location: 2436-2437 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0%	1562	43.0 %
1	1-50%	512	14.1 %
2	51-100%	103	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

FEES_CATBJS - FEES/FINES FUNDING BY CATEGORIES, FINAL

Location: 2438-2439 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0% (no funding)	1562	43.0 %
2	1-25% from this source	434	11.9 %
3	26-50% from this source	78	2.1 %
4	51-75% from this source	28	0.8 %
5	76-99% from this source	27	0.7 %
6	100% (all from this source)	48	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

PCT_OTHERFUN_BJS - PERCENT OF TOTAL OTHER FUNDING CATEGORIES

Location: 2440-2441 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0%	1576	43.4 %
1	1-50%	374	10.3 %
2	51-100%	227	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

OTHER_CATBJS - OTHER AND INKIND FUNDING BY CATEGORIES, FINAL

Location: 2442-2443 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0% (no funding)	1576	43.4 %
2	1-25% from this source	276	7.6 %
3	26-50% from this source	98	2.7 %
4	51-75% from this source	31	0.9 %
5	76-99% from this source	57	1.6 %
6	100% (all from this source)	139	3.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1456	40.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_BATT_O - ORIGINAL_BATTERER PROGRAM: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2444-2445 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

- Study 36717 -

SERV_BATT_O to SERV_OFFVICTEXT_O.

q27. Commonly used services. Please identify the types of services commonly used by active participants in your Problem-Solving Court. For the purpose of this Census, "commonly used" services are those services that are used by at least 10% of your active Problem-Solving Court program participants. Check all that apply.

Offender/Victim Services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2499	68.8 %
1	Yes	409	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_ANG_O - ORIGINAL_ANGER MANAGEMENT: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2446-2447 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1506	41.5 %
1	Yes	1402	38.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_PROS_O - ORIGINAL_PROSTITUTION PROGRAM: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2448-2449 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2857	78.6 %
1	Yes	51	1.4 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_MEDIAT_O - ORIGINAL_VICTIM-DEFENDANT MEDIATION: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2450-2451 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2787	76.7 %
1	Yes	121	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_OFFVICOTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER OFFENDER/VICTIM SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2452-2453 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2498	68.8 %
1	Yes	410	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_OFFVICTEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER OFFENDER/VICTIM SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2454-2780 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SERV_TREREA_O - ORIGINAL_TREATMENT READINESS PROGRAM: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

- Study 36717 -

Location: 2781-2782 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

SERV_TREREA_O to SERV_COUNTTEXT_O.

q27. Commonly used services. Please identify the types of services commonly used by active participants in your Problem-Solving Court. For the purpose of this Census, "commonly used" services are those services that are used by at least 10% of your active Problem-Solving Court program participants. Check all that apply.

Counseling or Treatment Services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2110	58.1 %
1	Yes	798	22.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_INDCOUN_O - ORIGINAL_INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2783-2784 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	395	10.9 %
1	Yes	2513	69.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_EMER_O - ORIGINAL_EMERGENCY PSYCHIATRIC SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2785-2786 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2016	55.5 %
1	Yes	892	24.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_INMENT_O - ORIGINAL_INPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2787-2788 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2143	59.0 %
1	Yes	765	21.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_OUTMENT_O - ORIGINAL_OUTPATIENT MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2789-2790 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1244	34.2 %
1	Yes	1664	45.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_LESS90_O - ORIGINAL_SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT LESS THAN 90 DAYS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2791-2792 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 36717 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1147	31.6 %
1	Yes	1761	48.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_MORE90_O - ORIGINAL_SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT 90 DAYS OR MORE: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2793-2794 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	876	24.1 %
1	Yes	2032	55.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_INTEG_O - ORIGINAL_INTEGRATED SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2795-2796 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1229	33.8 %
1	Yes	1679	46.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_MED_O - ORIGINAL_MEDICATION AS A TREATMENT STRATEGY: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

- Study 36717 -

Location: 2797-2798 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2128	58.6 %
1	Yes	780	21.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_CBT_O - ORIGINAL_COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL THERAPY: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2799-2800 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1310	36.1 %
1	Yes	1598	44.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_COUNOTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER COUNSELING/TREATMENT SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2801-2802 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2308	63.5 %
1	Yes	599	16.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_COUNTTEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER COUNSELING/TREATMENT SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 2803-3302 (width: 500; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SERV_EMPTRAIN_O - ORIGINAL_EMPLOYMENT READINESS PROGRAM OR OTHER JOB-RELATED TRAINING: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3303-3304 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

SERV_EMPTRAIN_O to SERVCULTURAL_BJS .

q27. Commonly used services. Please identify the types of services commonly used by active participants in your Problem-Solving Court. For the purpose of this Census, "commonly used" services are those services that are used by at least 10% of your active Problem-Solving Court program participants. Check all that apply.

General Supportive Services

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1407	38.7 %
1	Yes	1501	41.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_HEALED_O - ORIGINAL_HEALTH EDUCATION: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3305-3306 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2005	55.2 %
1	Yes	903	24.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_LIFESKILL_O - ORIGINAL_LIFE SKILLS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3307-3308 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	879	24.2 %
1	Yes	2029	55.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_GED_O - ORIGINAL_GED-RELATED CLASS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3309-3310 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1326	36.5 %
1	Yes	1582	43.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_FINAN_O - ORIGINAL_FINANCIAL COUNSELING SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3311-3312 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2210	60.8 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	698	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_LOCHOUS_O - ORIGINAL_ASSISTANCE IN LOCATING HOUSING: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3313-3314 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1517	41.8 %
1	Yes	1391	38.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_FINANHOUS_O - ORIGINAL_ASSISTANCE IN FINANCING HOUSING: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3315-3316 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2349	64.7 %
1	Yes	559	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_BENEFITS_O - ORIGINAL_ASSISTANCE IN ACCESSING BENEFITS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3317-3318 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1571	43.2 %
1	Yes	1337	36.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_TRANS_O - ORIGINAL_TRANSPORTATION: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3319-3320 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1503	41.4 %
1	Yes	1405	38.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_CHILD_O - ORIGINAL_CHILD CARE DURING PROGRAM APPOINTMENTS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3321-3322 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2627	72.3 %
1	Yes	281	7.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_SUPPEMP_O - ORIGINAL_SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3323-3324 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2496	68.7 %
1	Yes	412	11.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_ALUM_O - ORIGINAL_COURT SPONSORED "ALUMNI" GROUPS: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3325-3326 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2405	66.2 %
1	Yes	503	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_CIVIL_O - ORIGINAL_CIVIL (LEGAL) SERVICES ASSISTANCE: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3327-3328 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2530	69.6 %
1	Yes	378	10.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_ETH_O - ORIGINAL_CULTURAL, ETHNIC, OR RACIAL-SPECIFIC SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3329-3330 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 36717 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2556	70.4 %
1	Yes	349	9.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	728	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_SUPPOTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SUPPORTIVE SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3331-3332 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2619	72.1 %
1	Yes	286	7.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	728	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_SUPPTXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SUPPORTIVE SERVICES: TYPES OF SERVICES COMMONLY USED BY ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN YOUR COURT

Location: 3333-3659 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SERVBATTER_BJS - BATTERER IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3660-3661 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2305	63.4 %
1	Yes	396	10.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	932	25.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

SERVANGER_BJS - ANGER MGMT IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3662-3663 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1372	37.8 %
1	Yes	1329	36.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	932	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

DRUGTREAT_BJS - ANY SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT

Location: 3664-3665 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	335	9.2 %
1	Yes	2365	65.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	933	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVSUBABUS_BJS - SUBSTANCE ABUSE RECODE, IF NONE SELECTED EQUAL MISSING

Location: 3666-3667 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	335	9.2 %
1	Yes	2365	65.1 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing or out of scope	933	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVINTEGRATE_BJS - INTEGRATED SUBS MH, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3668-3669 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1060	29.2 %
1	Yes	1639	45.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	934	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVMED_BJS - ADDICTION MEDS, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3670-3671 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1937	53.3 %
1	Yes	760	20.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	936	25.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVCBT_BJS - CBT IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3672-3673 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1140	31.4 %
1	Yes	1556	42.8 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	937	25.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVEMPSYCH_BJS - EMERGENCY PSYCH, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3674-3675 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1831	50.4 %
1	Yes	871	24.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	931	25.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVINDCOUNS_BJS - INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3676-3677 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	282	7.8 %
1	Yes	2418	66.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	933	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVINPATIENT_BJS - INPATIENT MH IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3678-3679 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1951	53.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	747	20.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	935	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVOUTPATIENT_BJS - OUTPATIENT COUNSEL, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3680-3681 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1087	29.9 %
1	Yes	1615	44.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	931	25.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVEJOBTRAIN_BJS - JOB TRAINING IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3682-3683 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1238	34.1 %
1	Yes	1462	40.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	933	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVHEALTHED_BJS - HEALTH ED IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3684-3685 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1823	50.2 %
1	Yes	875	24.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	935	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVLIFESKILLS_BJS - LIFE SKILLS IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3686-3687 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	760	20.9 %
1	Yes	1941	53.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	932	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVEGED_BJS - GED IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3688-3689 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1162	32.0 %
1	Yes	1540	42.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	931	25.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVFINANCE_BJS - FINANCIAL COUNSEL IF NONE SELECTED EQUAL MISSING

Location: 3690-3691 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2039	56.1 %
1	Yes	692	19.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	902	24.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVELOCHOUSE_BJS - LOCATE HOUSING IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3692-3693 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1336	36.8 %
1	Yes	1365	37.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	932	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVBENEFITS_BJS - ACCESS BENEFITS IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3694-3695 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1412	38.9 %
1	Yes	1323	36.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	898	24.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVTRANSPORT_BJS - TRANSPORTATION IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3696-3697 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1342	36.9 %
1	Yes	1389	38.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	902	24.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_CIVIL_BJS - CIVIL LEGAL SERVICES IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3698-3699 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2335	64.3 %
1	Yes	369	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	929	25.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERV_CULTURAL_BJS - CULTURAL SERVICES, IF NONE SELECTED EQUALS MISSING

Location: 3700-3701 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2367	65.2 %
1	Yes	336	9.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	930	25.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

GENDERSERVE - PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT IS DESIGNED TO SERVE WHICH GENDER

Location: 3702-3703 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q28. Is your program designed to serve:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Females only	21	0.6 %
2	Males only	14	0.4 %
3	Both males and females	2867	78.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	731	20.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_VIOLOFF_O - ORIGINAL_PRIOR CONVICTION FOR A VIOLENT OFFENSE: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3704-3705 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

ELIG_VIOLOFF_O to ELIG_SEXOFF_O.

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Prior Criminal History

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	602	16.6 %
2	Ineligible	1672	46.0 %
3	N/A	625	17.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_SEXOFF_O - ORIGINAL_PRIOR CONVICTION FOR A SEX OFFENSE: CHARACTERISTICS ARE REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3706-3707 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	356	9.8 %
2	Ineligible	1895	52.2 %
3	N/A	648	17.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_CLINICDX_O - ORIGINAL_CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3708-3709 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

ELIG_CLINICDX_O to ELIG_MH_TEXT_O.

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Mental Health-related criteria

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	1250	34.4 %
2	Ineligible	493	13.6 %
3	N/A	1156	31.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_DEVDISORD_O - ORIGINAL_INTELLECTUAL OR DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3710-3711 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	705	19.4 %
2	Ineligible	987	27.2 %
3	N/A	1207	33.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_MH_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER MENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3712-3713 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	242	6.7 %
2	Ineligible	82	2.3 %
3	N/A	1395	38.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1914	52.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_MH_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER MENTAL HEALTH CRITERIA: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY - TEXT

Location: 3714-3921 (width: 208; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_ADDDX_O - ORIGINAL_DIAGNOSIS OF ADDICTION OR SUBSTANCE DEPENDENCY: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3922-3923 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

ELIG_ADDDX_O to ELIG_SUB_TEXT_O.

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Substance-related criteria

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	2227	61.3 %
2	Ineligible	85	2.3 %
3	N/A	587	16.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_DC_O - ORIGINAL_DRUG OF CHOICE: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3924-3925 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	450	12.4 %
2	Ineligible	33	0.9 %
3	N/A	1314	36.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	973	26.8 %
-6	Blank	863	23.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_DC_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_DRUG OF CHOICE: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 3926-4078 (width: 153; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_SUB_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SUBSTANCE-RELATED CRITERIA: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4079-4080 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	60	1.7 %
2	Ineligible	11	0.3 %
3	N/A	370	10.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1030	28.4 %
-6	Blank	2162	59.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_SUB_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SUBSTANCE-RELATED CRITERIA: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY - TEXT

Location: 4081-4163 (width: 83; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_GAMB_O - ORIGINAL_GAMBLING: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4164-4165 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

ELIG_GAMB_O to ELIG_BEHAV_TEXT_O.

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Behavioral addiction

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	637	17.5 %
2	Ineligible	222	6.1 %
3	N/A	2040	56.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_BEHAV_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER BEHAVIORAL ADDICTION: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

- Study 36717 -

Location: 4166-4167 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	91	2.5 %
2	Ineligible	52	1.4 %
3	N/A	1578	43.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	737	20.3 %
-6	Blank	1175	32.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_BEHAV_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER BEHAVIORAL ADDICTION: CHARACTERISTICS ARE REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY - TEXT

Location: 4168-4263 (width: 96; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_HOME_O - ORIGINAL_HOMELESS OR IMMINENT RISK OF BECOMING HOMELESS: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4264-4265 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Residential status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	1122	30.9 %
2	Ineligible	199	5.5 %
3	N/A	1578	43.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_GEN_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4266-4267 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

ELIG_GEN_OTHER_O- CRITERIA_OTHER2.

q29. Eligibility. Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court?

Other

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	209	5.8 %
2	Ineligible	65	1.8 %
3	N/A	940	25.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %
-6	Blank	1683	46.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_GEN_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4268-4450 (width: 183; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_GEN_OTHER2_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4451-4452 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	88	2.4 %
2	Ineligible	33	0.9 %
3	N/A	981	27.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-6	Blank	1795	49.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_GEN_TEXT2_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4453-4544 (width: 92; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_CRIM_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4545-4546 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	548	15.1 %
2	Ineligible	327	9.0 %
3	N/A	1148	31.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	733	20.2 %
-6	Blank	877	24.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_CRIM_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4547-4792 (width: 246; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_CRIM_OTHER2_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4793-4794 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Eligible	248	6.8 %
2	Ineligible	162	4.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	N/A	1355	37.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	732	20.1 %
-6	Blank	1136	31.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ELIG_CRIM_TEXT2_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER PRIOR CRIMINAL HISTORY: CHARACTERISTICS REQUIRED TO ESTABLISH PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY

Location: 4795-5092 (width: 298; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ELIG_DC_MHC - ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA FOR DRUG COURTS AND MENTAL HEALTH COURTS

Location: 5093-5094 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court is not a drug or mental health court	888	24.4 %
0	None required	0	0.0 %
1	Mental illness required	73	2.0 %
2	Developmental disability required	1	0.0 %
3	Substance abuse required	1002	27.6 %
4	MI and/or DD required	48	1.3 %
5	MI and/or SA required	465	12.8 %
6	DD and/or SA required	34	0.9 %
7	MI and/or DD and/or SA required	428	11.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	694	19.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_VIOL_OFF - ADMISSION CRITERIA: VIOLENT OFFENSE

Location: 5095-5096 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	1223	33.7 %
1	Disqualified	1676	46.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_SEX_OFF - ADMISSION CRITERIA: SEX OFFENSE

Location: 5097-5098 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	1004	27.6 %
1	Disqualified	1895	52.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_WEAP_OFF - ADMISSION CRITERIA: WEAPONS OFFENSE

Location: 5099-5100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	53	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
-7	DK: no text provided	2846	78.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_DRUG_SALES - ADMISSION CRITERIA: DRUG SALES, DELIVERY, TRAFFICKING, AND/OR MANUFACTURING

Location: 5101-5102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	122	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
-7	DK: no text provided	2777	76.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_CRIM_HIST_OTH - ADMISSION CRITERIA: CRIMINAL HISTORY: OTHER

Location: 5103-5104 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	248	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1606	44.2 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1779	49.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_CRIM_HIST_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: CRIMINAL HISTORY: OTHER TEXT

Location: 5105-5318 (width: 214; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_CRIM_HIST2_OTH - ADMISSION CRITERIA: CRIMINAL HISTORY: OTHER 2

Location: 5319-5320 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	40	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1855	51.1 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1738	47.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_CRIM_HIST2_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: CRIMINAL HISTORY: OTHER 2 TEXT

- Study 36717 -

Location: 5321-5495 (width: 175; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_CLINICALDIAGN - ADMISSION CRITERIA: CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS

Location: 5496-5497 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	2406	66.2 %
1	Disqualified	493	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_DD - ADMISSION CRITERIA: INTELLECTUAL OR DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER

Location: 5498-5499 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	1912	52.6 %
1	Disqualified	987	27.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_MH_OTH - ADMISSION CRITERIA: MENTAL HEALTH: OTHER

Location: 5500-5501 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	84	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1910	52.6 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1639	45.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_MH_OTH_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: MENTAL HEALTH: OTHER TEXT

Location: 5502-5592 (width: 91; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_SA - ADMISSION CRITERIA: DIAGNOSIS OF ADDICTION OR SUBSTANCE DEPENDENCY

Location: 5593-5594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	2814	77.5 %
1	Disqualified	85	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_SA_OTH - ADMISSION CRITERIA: SUBSTANCE ABUSE: OTHER

Location: 5595-5596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	29	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	3168	87.2 %
-7	DK: no text provided	436	12.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_SA_OTH_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: SUBSTANCE ABUSE: OTHER TEXT

Location: 5597-5656 (width: 60; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_GAMBL - ADMISSION CRITERIA: GAMBLING ADDICTION

- Study 36717 -

Location: 5657-5658 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	2677	73.7 %
1	Disqualified	222	6.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_BEHADDICT_OTH - ADMISSION CRITERIA: BEHAVIORAL ADDICTION: OTHER

Location: 5659-5660 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	21	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1912	52.6 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1700	46.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_BEHADD_OTH_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: BEHAVIORAL ADDICTION: OTHER TEXT

Location: 5661-5688 (width: 28; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_HOMELESS - ADMISSION CRITERIA: HOMELESS

Location: 5689-5690 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Not disqualified	2700	74.3 %
1	Disqualified	199	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	734	20.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_OTHER - ADMISSION CRITERIA: OTHER

Location: 5691-5692 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	65	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2417	66.5 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1151	31.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_OTHER_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: OTHER TEXT

Location: 5693-5809 (width: 117; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

CRITERIA_OTHER2 - ADMISSION CRITERIA: OTHER 2

Location: 5810-5811 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Disqualified	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2531	69.7 %
-7	DK: no text provided	1094	30.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

CRITERIA_OTHER2_TEXT - ADMISSION CRITERIA: OTHER 2 TEXT

Location: 5812-5897 (width: 86; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ENTRY_PREPLEA_O - ORIGINAL_PRE-PLEA (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5898-5899 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

ENTRY_PREPLEA_O to ENTRY_VALID.

q30. At what point(s) in the justice system process are participants admitted into your Problem-Solving Court? Check all that apply.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1834	50.5 %
1	Yes	864	23.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	935	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTPLEA_PRESEN_O - ORIGINAL_POST-PLEA/PRE-SENTENCE (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5900-5901 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1296	35.7 %
1	Yes	873	24.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1464	40.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTPLEA_CONDITION_O - ORIGINAL_POST-PLEA/CONDITION OF SENTENCE (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5902-5903 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1056	29.1 %
1	Yes	1114	30.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1463	40.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTCON_PRESEN_O - ORIGINAL_POST-CONVICTION/PRE-SENTENCE (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5904-5905 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1698	46.7 %
1	Yes	471	13.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1464	40.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTSEN_O - ORIGINAL_POST-SENTENCE (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5906-5907 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1477	40.7 %
1	Yes	692	19.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1464	40.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTREL_O - ORIGINAL_POST-RELEASE (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS ARE PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 5908-5909 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1968	54.2 %
1	Yes	201	5.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1464	40.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_FILE_O - ORIGINAL_UPON FILING OR CASE INITIATION (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5910-5911 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	547	15.1 %
1	Yes	195	5.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2891	79.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_AFTADJUD_O - ORIGINAL_AFTER ADJUDICATION OF RELIEF N) (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5912-5913 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	609	16.8 %
1	Yes	132	3.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2892	79.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTPLEA_PREDISP_O - ORIGINAL_POST-PLEA/PRE-DISPOSITION (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5914-5915 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

- Study 36717 -

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	698	19.2 %
1	Yes	308	8.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2626	72.3 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTDISP_O - ORIGINAL_POST-DISPOSITION (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5916-5917 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	731	20.1 %
1	Yes	277	7.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2625	72.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_ORDISS_O - ORIGINAL_AFTER ORDER ISSUED (TEMPORARY OR FINAL) (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO COURT)

Location: 5918-5919 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	605	16.7 %
1	Yes	136	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	2892	79.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS ARE PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 5920-5921 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2603	71.6 %
1	Yes	283	7.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_PREPLEA_BJS - ENTRY PRE PLEA OR AT CASE FILING

Location: 5922-5923 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1749	48.1 %
1	Yes	949	26.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	935	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTPLEA_BJS - ENTRY POST PLEA

Location: 5924-5925 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	835	23.0 %
1	Yes	1863	51.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	935	25.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_POSTSENREL_BJS - ENTRY POST SENTENCE OR POST RELEASE

Location: 5926-5927 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1716	47.2 %
1	Yes	974	26.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	943	26.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_JUDORDER_BJS - ENTRY AFTER ORDER ISSUED (TEMPORARY, FINAL)

Location: 5928-5929 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2539	69.9 %
1	Yes	153	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	941	25.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_OTHER_BJS - ENTRY OTHER POINT

Location: 5930-5931 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2573	70.8 %
1	Yes	123	3.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	935	25.7 %
-6	Blank	2	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: (AT WHAT POINT(S) IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM PROCESS ARE PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED INTO YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 5932-6258 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

ENTRY_VALID - VALID ENTRY POINT IDENTIFIED

Location: 6259-6260 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No valid entry point identified	382	10.5 %
1	At least one valid entry point identified	2697	74.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Out of scope	554	15.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_MOSTCOMMON_O - ORIGINAL_PLEASE IDENTIFY THE MOST COMMON POINT OF ENTRY FOR PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED TO YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT

Location: 6261-6262 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

ENTRY_MOSTCOMMON_O to ENTRY_MOSTCOMMON

q31. If your Problem-Solving Court has multiple points of entry, please identify the most common point of entry for participants admitted to your Problem-Solving Court. Check only one point of entry.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court did not select more than one entry point	1298	35.7 %
1	Pre-plea	238	6.6 %
2	Post-plea/pre-sentence	216	5.9 %
3	Post-plea/condition of sentence	321	8.8 %
4	Post-conviction/pre-sentence	41	1.1 %
5	Post-sentence	115	3.2 %
6	Post-release	10	0.3 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	Upon filing or case initiation	56	1.5 %
8	After order issued (temporary or final)	16	0.4 %
9	After adjudication of relief (e.g., custody, visitation)	17	0.5 %
10	Post-plea/pre-disposition	39	1.1 %
11	Post-disposition	52	1.4 %
12	Other	59	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	830	22.8 %
-6	Blank	325	8.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

ENTRY_MOSTCOMMON - MOST COMMON POINT OF ENTRY FOR PARTICIPANTS

Location: 6263-6264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court did not select more than one entry point	1750	48.2 %
1	Pre-plea/upon filing or case initiation	272	7.5 %
2	Post-plea/pre-sentence	212	5.8 %
3	Post-plea/condition of sentence	310	8.5 %
4	Post-conviction/pre-sentence/after order issued (temporary or final)	60	1.7 %
5	Post-sentence	106	2.9 %
6	Post-release	10	0.3 %
7	Post-plea/pre-disposition	49	1.3 %
8	Post-disposition/after adjudication of relief (e.g., custody, visitation)	78	2.1 %
9	Upon probation violation/revocation	21	0.6 %
10	Other entry text	10	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	755	20.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

CASEMGMT - PARTICIPANTS REQUIRED TO ATTEND ONGOING CASE MANAGEMENT OR PROBATION SUPERVISION MEETINGS

Location: 6265-6266 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q32. Are Problem-Solving Court participants required to attend ongoing case management or probation supervision meetings?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	337	9.3 %
1	Yes	2549	70.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

STATUSHEARING - PARTICIPANTS REQUIRED TO ATTEND REGULAR JUDICIALLY-SUPERVISED STATUS HEARINGS

Location: 6267-6268 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

STATUSHEARING to STATUSHEARINGJUDGE.

q33. Are Problem-Solving Court participants required to attend regular judicially-supervised status hearings?

If Yes: As part of these regular judicially-supervised status hearings, does the Problem-Solving Court judge directly interact with participants (e.g., by conversing with each participant to affirm his/her understanding of responsibilities or to discuss behavioral progress)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	350	9.6 %
1	Yes	2536	69.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

STATUSHEARINGJUDGE - AS PART OF THESE REGULAR JUDICIALLY-SUPERVISED STATUS HEARINGS, JUDGE DIRECTLY INTERACTS WITH PARTICIPANTS

Location: 6269-6270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: participants are not required to attend status hearings	350	9.6 %
0	No	27	0.7 %
1	Yes	2509	69.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVUPDATE - COURT OBTAINS REGULAR UPDATES FROM SERVICE PROVIDERS ON PARTICIPANT PROGRESS

Location: 6271-6272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

SERVUPDATE to SERVUSE.

q34. Does your Problem-Solving Court obtain regular updates from service providers on participant progress?

If Yes: Does the court use indicators of participant progress from service providers to modify the case/treatment plan (e.g., to change service quantity or quality)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	124	3.4 %
1	Yes	2762	76.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SERVUSE - COURT USES INDICATORS OF PARTICIPANT PROGRESS FROM SERVICE PROVIDERS TO MODIFY THE CASE/TREATMENT PLAN

Location: 6273-6274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court does not receive regular updates from service providers	124	3.4 %
0	No	169	4.7 %
1	Yes	2593	71.4 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVTEAM - TEAM MEETS INFORMALLY OR FORMALLY TO REVIEW CASES IN PREPARATION FOR EACH JUDICIALLY-SUPERVISED STATUS HEARING

Location: 6275-6276 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

REVTEAM to REVSEVPVPROV.

q35. Does a team (or representatives from collaborating agencies) meet informally/formally to review cases in preparation for each judicially-supervised status hearing?

If Yes: Are the following Problem-Solving Court stakeholders typically represented in these case review meetings?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	413	11.4 %
1	Yes	2473	68.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVJUDGE - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: JUDGE

Location: 6277-6278 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	201	5.5 %
1	Yes	2272	62.5 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVPROS - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: PROSECUTING ATTORNEY(S)

Location: 6279-6280 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	529	14.6 %
1	Yes	1943	53.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVDEFENSE - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: DEFENSE ATTORNEY(S)/PUBLIC DEFENDER(S)

Location: 6281-6282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	532	14.6 %
1	Yes	1940	53.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVPROB - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: PROBATION/SUPERVISING AGENCY

Location: 6283-6284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	271	7.5 %
1	Yes	2201	60.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVLAWENF - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY

Location: 6285-6286 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	1478	40.7 %
1	Yes	994	27.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

REVSERVPROV - STAKEHOLDER REPRESENTED IN CASE REVIEW HEARINGS: SERVICE PROVIDERS

Location: 6287-6288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: stakeholders do not meet to review cases for each status hearing	413	11.4 %
0	No	189	5.2 %
1	Yes	2283	62.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

INCENTIVE - COURT MAINTAINS A SCHEDULE LINKING PARTICIPANT COMPLIANCE/ACHIEVEMENTS WITH A CONTINUUM OF INCENTIVES

- Study 36717 -

Location: 6289-6290 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q36. Does your Problem-Solving Court maintain a schedule linking participant... a. compliance/achievements with a continuum of incentives?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	435	12.0 %
1	Yes	2448	67.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	750	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SANCTION - COURT MAINTAINS A SCHEDULE LINKING PARTICIPANT NONCOMPLIANCE WITH A CONTINUUM OF APPROPRIATE SANCTIONS

Location: 6291-6292 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q36. Does your Problem-Solving Court maintain a schedule linking participant... b. noncompliance with a continuum of appropriate sanctions?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	314	8.6 %
1	Yes	2569	70.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	750	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_DISMISS_O - ORIGINAL_CASE DISMISSED (WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 6293-6294 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Question:

CLOSE_DISMISS_O to CLOSE_TEXT_O

q37. Case closure. What are the benefits for participants who successfully complete your Problem-Solving Court program? Check all that apply.

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1194	32.9 %
1	Yes	1691	46.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_SUSPEND_O - ORIGINAL_SENTENCE SUSPENDED (WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 6295-6296 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1817	50.0 %
1	Yes	1068	29.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_EXPUNGE_O - ORIGINAL_RECORD EXPUNGED (WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 6297-6298 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2252	62.0 %
1	Yes	633	17.4 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_EXPEDITE_O - ORIGINAL_EXPEDITED SETTLEMENT OR PLACEMENT (THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE COURT)

Location: 6299-6300 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2582	71.1 %
1	Yes	303	8.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SCENARIO: (WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 6301-6302 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1942	53.5 %
1	Yes	942	25.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
-6	Blank	2	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

CLOSE_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER SCENARIO: (WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS FOR PARTICIPANTS WHO SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETE YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT)

Location: 6303-6629 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2691	74.1 %
"diversion completed" meaning no adjudication	-	1	0.0 %
"sobriety"	-	1	0.0 %
17b can apply to juveniles cases by district attorney office	-	1	0.0 %
90% chance they will not re-offend	-	1	0.0 %
92 % never offend again	-	1	0.0 %
ACD	-	1	0.0 %
ACD, CD	-	1	0.0 %
ACD, return of children	-	1	0.0 %
ARY petition dismissed	-	1	0.0 %
Adjournment in Contemplation of Dismissal	-	1	0.0 %
Adjud w/held, reduce court costs, credit time served	-	1	0.0 %
Adjudicated as sex offender. No other benefits.	-	1	0.0 %
Adjudication withheld	-	3	0.1 %
All cases will be eligible for expungement of the record after successfully completing Drug Court.	-	1	0.0 %
All of these could apply but are dealt with on case by case basis	-	1	0.0 %
All types of findings	-	1	0.0 %
Alternate disposition	-	1	0.0 %
Alternative to Prison	-	1	0.0 %
Alternative to incarceration	-	1	0.0 %
Arrest charge erased if no offenses for six months following graduation	-	1	0.0 %
Assistance with completion of Probation/Parole	-	1	0.0 %
Attempt to reduce some charges, if DA will agree	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid Petition to Revoke/probation violation hearing	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid VOP and possible early release from parole.	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid jail sentence	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid jail, chance to get license, benefits from program	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid placement	-	1	0.0 %
Avoid prison time	-	1	0.0 %
Avoidance of jail sentence	-	1	0.0 %
Avoidance of prison time.	-	1	0.0 %
Become a productive member of the Community and reconnect with family	-	1	0.0 %
Become eligible for early discharge from probation after a year of regular probation, if all other special conditions have been fulfilled.	-	1	0.0 %
Becoming clean & sober and being able to get driving privileges reinstated.	-	1	0.0 %
CLEAN AND SOBER AND EDUCATION PLAN	-	1	0.0 %
CLEAn, and sober, employment, housing, GED, Family relationships, treatment. mental health,relationship in recovery, better self image	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
CPS case dismissed	-	1	0.0 %
Can file for expungement	-	1	0.0 %
Case Deferred (for select candidates)	-	1	0.0 %
Case closed	-	3	0.1 %
Case closed, terminated from courts jurisdiction	-	1	0.0 %
Case discharged from probation	-	1	0.0 %
Case is completed, never dismissed	-	1	0.0 %
Case is marked off calendar with sentence satisfied.	-	1	0.0 %
Case nolle prossed	-	1	0.0 %
Case not filed	-	1	0.0 %
Case not reported to department of licensing	-	1	0.0 %
Case placed on STET docket or Nolle Prosequi	-	1	0.0 %
Case reviewed for early termination or reduction of probationary status	-	1	0.0 %
Cases are dismissed for Deferred participants	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

CAPACITY - NUMBER OF ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS COURT IS DESIGNED TO HANDLE AT ANY ONE TIME (CAPACITY)

Location: 6630-6633 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

CAPACITY to CAPACITY_BJS q38. Capacity. How many active participants is your Problem-Solving Court program designed to handle at any one time?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	Capacity does not apply: see general comments	30	0.8 %
0	-	10	0.3 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	12	0.3 %
3	-	6	0.2 %
4	-	6	0.2 %
5	-	24	0.7 %
6	-	23	0.6 %
7	-	7	0.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	26	0.7 %
9	-	4	0.1 %
10	-	167	4.6 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	67	1.8 %
13	-	12	0.3 %
14	-	8	0.2 %
15	-	195	5.4 %
16	-	12	0.3 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	18	0.5 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	257	7.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	4	0.1 %
23	-	7	0.2 %
24	-	14	0.4 %
25	-	280	7.7 %
26	-	3	0.1 %
27	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	7	0.2 %
29	-	3	0.1 %
30	-	244	6.7 %
31	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	5	0.1 %
33	-	3	0.1 %
34	-	2	0.1 %
35	-	59	1.6 %
36	-	7	0.2 %
37	-	3	0.1 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	3	0.1 %
40	-	163	4.5 %
42	-	4	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
45	-	51	1.4 %
46	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	252	6.9 %
52	-	3	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	793	21.8 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	4	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

CAPACITY_EST - CAPACITY IS AN ESTIMATE

Location: 6634-6635 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1295	35.6 %
1	Yes	1590	43.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	748	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

CAPACITY_BJS - CAPACITY WITH CATEGORIES

Location: 6636-6637 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<9	76	2.1 %
2	10-19	451	12.4 %
3	20-49	1072	29.5 %
4	50-99	530	14.6 %
5	100 or more	505	13.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	998	27.5 %
-6	Blank	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

ACTIVEPART - ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS CURRENTLY IN THE PROGRAM

Location: 6638-6641 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

ACTIVEPART to ACTIVE_JUVADULT_BJS.

q39. Active participants. How many active participants are currently in your Problem-Solving Court program?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	73	2.0 %
1	-	21	0.6 %
2	-	38	1.0 %
3	-	50	1.4 %
4	-	51	1.4 %
5	-	80	2.2 %
6	-	80	2.2 %
7	-	64	1.8 %
8	-	74	2.0 %
9	-	65	1.8 %
10	-	113	3.1 %
11	-	57	1.6 %
12	-	69	1.9 %
13	-	55	1.5 %
14	-	46	1.3 %
15	-	97	2.7 %
16	-	50	1.4 %
17	-	45	1.2 %
18	-	63	1.7 %
19	-	43	1.2 %
20	-	99	2.7 %
21	-	38	1.0 %
22	-	36	1.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
23	-	36	1.0 %
24	-	30	0.8 %
25	-	92	2.5 %
26	-	25	0.7 %
27	-	18	0.5 %
28	-	31	0.9 %
29	-	15	0.4 %
30	-	83	2.3 %
31	-	11	0.3 %
32	-	26	0.7 %
33	-	17	0.5 %
34	-	21	0.6 %
35	-	43	1.2 %
36	-	12	0.3 %
37	-	20	0.6 %
38	-	20	0.6 %
39	-	11	0.3 %
40	-	67	1.8 %
41	-	10	0.3 %
42	-	18	0.5 %
43	-	12	0.3 %
44	-	13	0.4 %
45	-	31	0.9 %
46	-	9	0.2 %
47	-	9	0.2 %
48	-	17	0.5 %
49	-	4	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	707	19.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	13	0.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

ACTIVEPART_EST - NUMBER OF ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IS AN ESTIMATE

Location: 6642-6643 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2042	56.2 %
1	Yes	888	24.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	703	19.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

ACTIVE_BJS - ACTIVE PARTICIPANTS IN CATEGORIES

Location: 6644-6645 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<=9	504	13.9 %
2	10-19	606	16.7 %
3	20-49	840	23.1 %
4	50-99	370	10.2 %
5	100 or more	414	11.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	899	24.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

ACTIVE_JUVADULT_BJS - ACTIVE PARTICIPANT CATEGORIES FOR JUVENILE ADULT TABLES

Location: 6646-6647 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	9 or fewer	499	13.7 %
2	10-19	599	16.5 %
3	20-49	837	23.0 %
4	50-99	372	10.2 %
5	100 or more	406	11.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing, out of scope or not applicable	920	25.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

CMS - COURT USES AN ELECTRONIC CASE MANAGEMENT/INFORMATION SYSTEM

Location: 6648-6649 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q40. Does your Problem-Solving Court use an electronic case management/information system?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	829	22.8 %
1	Yes	2060	56.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	744	20.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

CMS_SHARE - CASE MANAGEMENT/INFORMATION SYSTEM ALLOWS DATA TO BE SHARED WITH EXTERNAL AGENCIES

Location: 6650-6651 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q40. Does your Problem-Solving Court use an electronic case management/information system? If Yes: Does this case management/information system allow data to be... a. ...shared with external agencies (e.g., service providers)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court does not use a case management system	829	22.8 %
0	No	1288	35.5 %
1	Yes	772	21.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	744	20.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

CMS_ENTER - CASE MANAGEMENT/INFORMATION SYSTEM ALLOWS DATA TO BE ENTERED BY EXTERNAL AGENCIES

Location: 6652-6653 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q40.Does your Problem-Solving Court use an electronic case management/information system? b. ...entered by external agencies (e.g. service providers)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court does not use a case management system	829	22.8 %
0	No	1501	41.3 %
1	Yes	559	15.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	744	20.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRACK_OUTCOME - COURT TRACKS PARTICIPANT OUTCOMES AFTER GRADUATION

Location: 6654-6655 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Question:

q41. Does your Problem-Solving Court track participant outcomes after graduation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1619	44.6 %
1	Yes	1269	34.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	745	20.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

TRACK_OUTC_MONTHS - NUMBER OF MONTHS AFTER GRADUATION THE COURT TRACKS EACH PARTICIPANT'S OUTCOMES

Location: 6656-6658 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q41. Does your Problem-Solving Court track participant outcomes after graduation? If Yes, please answer the following. a. For how many months after graduation does your court track each participant's outcomes? -- months

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court does not track participant outcomes	1619	44.6 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
2	-	6	0.2 %
3	-	42	1.2 %
4	-	6	0.2 %
5	-	4	0.1 %
6	-	143	3.9 %
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	396	10.9 %
18	-	26	0.7 %
24	-	238	6.6 %
36	-	226	6.2 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
48	-	19	0.5 %
60	-	90	2.5 %
72	-	6	0.2 %
84	-	2	0.1 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	13	0.4 %
120	-	22	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	746	20.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	20	0.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

SHAREOUTCOME - COURT SHARES PROGRAM-LEVEL DATA ON PARTICIPANT OUTCOMES WITH KEY STAKEHOLDERS

Location: 6659-6660 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q41. Does your Problem-Solving Court track participant outcomes after graduation? b. Does your court share program-level data on participant outcomes with key stakeholders?

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: court does not track participant outcomes	1619	44.6 %
0	No	70	1.9 %
1	Yes	1198	33.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	746	20.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL - EVALUATION(S) HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED ON THE COURT BY AN OUTSIDE PARTY

Location: 6661-6662 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

EVAL to EVAL_TEXT. q42. Which of the following types of evaluations has been conducted on your Problem-Solving Court by an external party?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	861	23.7 %
1	Yes	1313	36.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	739	20.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	720	19.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_PROCESS_O - ORIGINAL_PROCESS EVALUATION CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6663-6664 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1936	53.3 %
1	Yes	958	26.4 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %
-6	Blank	3	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_OUTCOME_O - ORIGINAL_OUTCOME/IMPACT EVALUATION CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6665-6666 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2068	56.9 %
1	Yes	826	22.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %
-6	Blank	3	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_OTHER_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6667-6668 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2638	72.6 %
1	Yes	256	7.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %
-6	Blank	3	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_OTHER_TEXT_O - ORIGINAL_OTHER: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6669-6995 (width: 327; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	3377	93.0 %
AJ Meyer just evaluated program	-	1	0.0 %
AOC	-	2	0.1 %
Addiction Assessments	-	1	0.0 %
Administration Office of Courts	-	1	0.0 %
Adult Recidivism, treatment, school achievement	-	1	0.0 %
American University	-	2	0.1 %
American University Evaluation 1998	-	1	0.0 %
Annual Recidivism Report	-	1	0.0 %
Audits by ODMHSAS	-	1	0.0 %
BJA Grant on site review American University	-	1	0.0 %
BJA grant data reports	-	1	0.0 %
Best Practices Reports	-	1	0.0 %
CARF	-	1	0.0 %
COMPAS	-	1	0.0 %
Center for Court Innovation Process/Operations Assessment; Never recieved outcomes	-	1	0.0 %
Children and Family Services Research and Evaluation unti	-	1	0.0 %
Civil Grand Jury review of program	-	1	0.0 %
Client Satisfaction Surveys	-	1	0.0 %
Client Survey's	-	1	0.0 %
Client satisfaction	-	1	0.0 %
Client satisfaction surveys	-	2	0.1 %
Comparison	-	1	0.0 %
Comparison study	-	1	0.0 %
Competency evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Cost Analysis / Book published about the program	-	1	0.0 %
Cost Analysis NPC research	-	1	0.0 %
Cost Benefit	-	2	0.1 %
Cost analysis	-	2	0.1 %
Cost benefit	-	1	0.0 %
Cost benefit analysis	-	3	0.1 %
Cost effective evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Cost evaluation based on recidivism (NPC Research)	-	1	0.0 %
Cost-Benefit analysis	-	1	0.0 %
Cost/benefit evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
County review	-	1	0.0 %
Court evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Currently Working to Set up Outcome/Impact Evaluation	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Currently drafting a RFP for External Evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
DCSET	-	1	0.0 %
Data Analysis	-	1	0.0 %
Data of convictions to enrollments in programs	-	1	0.0 %
Demographics only	-	1	0.0 %
Economic Evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Evaluated never given information	-	1	0.0 %
Evaluation is still in progress	-	1	0.0 %
Evaluation performed by Judge Ronald Ibarra in 2011	-	1	0.0 %
Evaluation process is in planning stage now. Court is less than one year old.	-	1	0.0 %
Exit Interviews	-	1	0.0 %
Exit survey	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

EVAL_DK_O - ORIGINAL_DON'T KNOW: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6996-6997 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2206	60.7 %
1	Yes	688	18.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	736	20.3 %
-6	Blank	3	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_NONE_O - ORIGINAL_NONE: TYPES OF EVALUATIONS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED ON YOUR PROBLEM-SOLVING COURT BY AN EXTERNAL PARTY

Location: 6998-6999 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -6

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	2048	56.4 %
1	Yes	846	23.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	735	20.2 %
-6	Blank	4	0.1 %
	Total	3633	100%

EVAL_TEXT - OTHER: TYPE(S) OF EVALUATION TEXT

Location: 7000-7089 (width: 90; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	2321	63.9 %
BJA Grant on site review American University	-	1	0.0 %
Children and Family Services Research and Evaluation unit	-	1	0.0 %
Civil Grand Jury review of program	-	1	0.0 %
DCSET	-	1	0.0 %
Grant Studies	-	1	0.0 %
HITDA	-	1	0.0 %
Idaho Peer Review	-	1	0.0 %
Informal Evaluation/Self-reporting	-	1	0.0 %
Internal Evaluation	-	8	0.2 %
Judicial data warehouse thru SCAO	-	1	0.0 %
Local college	-	1	0.0 %
Master and bachelor's level research by students at the local college	-	1	0.0 %
Master's-level research project similar to Outcome/Impact evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Michigan SCAO Staff	-	1	0.0 %
NCIC Background checks	-	2	0.1 %
NIJ Evaluation in progress	-	1	0.0 %
NiATX Project	-	1	0.0 %
OSCA Audits	-	1	0.0 %
OSCA Personnel	-	1	0.0 %
Office of State Court Administrator drug court administrator evaluations	-	1	0.0 %
Office of Traffic Safety evaluates program for grant purposes	-	1	0.0 %
Ohio RECLAIM	-	1	0.0 %
Outcome	-	289	8.0 %
Process	-	374	10.3 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
Process; ODYS State Certification/State Audits	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Outcome	-	590	16.2 %
Process; Outcome; Safety Audit; Grant reports to OVW	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Outcome; State Audit	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Outcome; Statewide Managed Information System	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Outcome; Technical Assistance through BJA	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Performance review/site visit by Supreme Court Specialized Dockets section	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Police Review	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Site Review by Administrative Office of Courts	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Site Review by State Coordinator	-	1	0.0 %
Process; State Audit/Review	-	1	0.0 %
Process; State Court Administrative Office Audit	-	1	0.0 %
Process; Technical Assistance Project	-	1	0.0 %
Process; University of Cincinnati CPAI	-	1	0.0 %
Process; WY Citizens Review Panel	-	1	0.0 %
Process; participant interviews	-	1	0.0 %
Program Audits	-	1	0.0 %
Program Service - Exit	-	1	0.0 %
Report to state of NM	-	1	0.0 %
Reported submitted to grantor	-	1	0.0 %
Semi-annual reports are forwarded to OVW-DOJ for review	-	1	0.0 %
State Audit	-	1	0.0 %
State Court Administration Office evaluation	-	1	0.0 %
Study completed by University of Nebraska at Omaha in the past	-	1	0.0 %
Substance Abuse Assessments	-	1	0.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

ADMIT - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED TO THE COURT IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR

Location: 7090-7094 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

ADMIT to ADMIT_JUVADULT_BJS.

- Study 36717 -

q43. How many participants were admitted to your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	28	0.8 %
1	-	23	0.6 %
2	-	35	1.0 %
3	-	59	1.6 %
4	-	45	1.2 %
5	-	54	1.5 %
6	-	80	2.2 %
7	-	59	1.6 %
8	-	72	2.0 %
9	-	54	1.5 %
10	-	88	2.4 %
11	-	50	1.4 %
12	-	82	2.3 %
13	-	45	1.2 %
14	-	60	1.7 %
15	-	96	2.6 %
16	-	52	1.4 %
17	-	46	1.3 %
18	-	43	1.2 %
19	-	29	0.8 %
20	-	86	2.4 %
21	-	27	0.7 %
22	-	39	1.1 %
23	-	34	0.9 %
24	-	33	0.9 %
25	-	70	1.9 %
26	-	30	0.8 %
27	-	24	0.7 %
28	-	23	0.6 %
29	-	16	0.4 %
30	-	67	1.8 %
31	-	20	0.6 %
32	-	33	0.9 %
33	-	17	0.5 %
34	-	17	0.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	-	35	1.0 %
36	-	21	0.6 %
37	-	16	0.4 %
38	-	17	0.5 %
39	-	17	0.5 %
40	-	28	0.8 %
41	-	9	0.2 %
42	-	17	0.5 %
43	-	9	0.2 %
44	-	14	0.4 %
45	-	21	0.6 %
46	-	9	0.2 %
47	-	5	0.1 %
48	-	13	0.4 %
49	-	7	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	783	21.6 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	246	6.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

ADMIT_EST - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS ADMITTED IS AN ESTIMATE ONLY

Location: 7095-7096 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no data entered	246	6.8 %
0	No	1583	43.6 %
1	Yes	1023	28.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	781	21.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADMIT_BJS - ADMISSIONS WITH CATEGORIES

- Study 36717 -

Location: 7097-7098 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<=9	487	13.4 %
2	10-19	578	15.9 %
3	20-49	743	20.5 %
4	50-99	328	9.0 %
5	100-499	287	7.9 %
6	500 or more	68	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	1142	31.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

ADMIT_JUVADULT_BJS - ADMIT CATEGORIES FOR JUVENILE ADULT TABLES

Location: 7099-7100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<=9	279	7.7 %
2	10-19	351	9.7 %
3	20-49	453	12.5 %
4	50-99	178	4.9 %
5	100 or more	148	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing, out of scope, or not applicable	2224	61.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

EXIT - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED THE COURT PROGRAM IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR

Location: 7101-7105 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

EXIT to EXIT_JUVADULT_BJS.

- Study 36717 -

q44. How many participants exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	107	2.9 %
1	-	44	1.2 %
2	-	69	1.9 %
3	-	78	2.1 %
4	-	77	2.1 %
5	-	113	3.1 %
6	-	91	2.5 %
7	-	59	1.6 %
8	-	81	2.2 %
9	-	60	1.7 %
10	-	100	2.8 %
11	-	51	1.4 %
12	-	78	2.1 %
13	-	51	1.4 %
14	-	47	1.3 %
15	-	70	1.9 %
16	-	48	1.3 %
17	-	31	0.9 %
18	-	41	1.1 %
19	-	29	0.8 %
20	-	65	1.8 %
21	-	32	0.9 %
22	-	25	0.7 %
23	-	26	0.7 %
24	-	23	0.6 %
25	-	41	1.1 %
26	-	25	0.7 %
27	-	22	0.6 %
28	-	26	0.7 %
29	-	20	0.6 %
30	-	55	1.5 %
31	-	13	0.4 %
32	-	20	0.6 %
33	-	20	0.6 %
34	-	21	0.6 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35	-	23	0.6 %
36	-	13	0.4 %
37	-	9	0.2 %
38	-	13	0.4 %
39	-	14	0.4 %
40	-	35	1.0 %
41	-	11	0.3 %
42	-	13	0.4 %
43	-	10	0.3 %
44	-	11	0.3 %
45	-	18	0.5 %
46	-	8	0.2 %
47	-	11	0.3 %
48	-	16	0.4 %
49	-	5	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	783	21.6 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	320	8.8 %
Total		3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

EXIT_EST - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED IS AN ESTIMATE ONLY

Location: 7106-7107 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no data entered	320	8.8 %
0	No	1603	44.1 %
1	Yes	928	25.5 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
Total		3633	100%

EXIT_BJS - EXIT WITH CATEGORIES

- Study 36717 -

Location: 7108-7109 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<=9	755	20.8 %
2	10-19	532	14.6 %
3	20-49	615	16.9 %
4	50-99	267	7.3 %
5	100-499	210	5.8 %
6	500 or more	42	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	1212	33.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

EXIT_JUVADULT_BJS - EXIT CATEGORIES FOR JUVENILE ADULT TABLES

Location: 7110-7111 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	<=9	419	11.5 %
2	10-19	326	9.0 %
3	20-49	393	10.8 %
4	50-99	151	4.2 %
5	100 or more	99	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing, out of scope or not applicable	2245	61.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

EX_SUCC - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: SUCCESSFUL COMPLETION/GRADUATION

Location: 7112-7115 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

EX_SUCC to SUCCEX_TOTEX_BJS.

- Study 36717 -

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

i. successful completion/graduation?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	331	9.1 %
0	-	290	8.0 %
1	-	90	2.5 %
2	-	137	3.8 %
3	-	119	3.3 %
4	-	140	3.9 %
5	-	118	3.2 %
6	-	109	3.0 %
7	-	81	2.2 %
8	-	94	2.6 %
9	-	57	1.6 %
10	-	83	2.3 %
11	-	61	1.7 %
12	-	69	1.9 %
13	-	55	1.5 %
14	-	43	1.2 %
15	-	60	1.7 %
16	-	36	1.0 %
17	-	25	0.7 %
18	-	35	1.0 %
19	-	25	0.7 %
20	-	40	1.1 %
21	-	24	0.7 %
22	-	19	0.5 %
23	-	22	0.6 %
24	-	32	0.9 %
25	-	32	0.9 %
26	-	17	0.5 %
27	-	12	0.3 %
28	-	15	0.4 %
29	-	10	0.3 %
30	-	20	0.6 %
31	-	10	0.3 %
32	-	17	0.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
33	-	13	0.4 %
34	-	11	0.3 %
35	-	14	0.4 %
36	-	8	0.2 %
37	-	8	0.2 %
38	-	6	0.2 %
39	-	8	0.2 %
40	-	17	0.5 %
41	-	8	0.2 %
42	-	14	0.4 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	3	0.1 %
45	-	10	0.3 %
46	-	9	0.2 %
47	-	8	0.2 %
48	-	8	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	80	2.2 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

PCTSUCCEXIT_BJS - PERCENT OF EXITS THAT WERE SUCCESSFUL

Location: 7116-7130 (width: 15; decimal: 11)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9.000000000000

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00000000000	-	275	7.6 %
4.82115085537	-	1	0.0 %
5.55555555556	-	1	0.0 %
5.97014925374	-	1	0.0 %
6.25000000000	-	1	0.0 %
6.66666666667	-	3	0.1 %
7.69230769231	-	1	0.0 %
8.00000000000	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8.33333333334	-	1	0.0 %
9.37500000000	-	1	0.0 %
10.00000000000	-	2	0.1 %
11.11111111112	-	1	0.0 %
11.61048689139	-	1	0.0 %
12.50000000000	-	5	0.1 %
12.69841269842	-	1	0.0 %
13.33333333334	-	2	0.1 %
13.79310344828	-	1	0.0 %
14.28571428572	-	6	0.2 %
14.70588235295	-	1	0.0 %
15.15151515152	-	1	0.0 %
15.38461538462	-	2	0.1 %
15.51724137932	-	1	0.0 %
16.66666666667	-	15	0.4 %
17.50000000000	-	1	0.0 %
17.64705882353	-	2	0.1 %
18.10089020772	-	1	0.0 %
18.18181818182	-	4	0.1 %
18.75000000000	-	1	0.0 %
18.91891891892	-	1	0.0 %
19.76744186047	-	1	0.0 %
20.00000000000	-	9	0.2 %
20.58823529412	-	1	0.0 %
21.42857142858	-	4	0.1 %
22.00000000000	-	1	0.0 %
22.22222222223	-	3	0.1 %
22.72727272728	-	2	0.1 %
22.76422764228	-	1	0.0 %
23.07692307693	-	3	0.1 %
23.33333333334	-	1	0.0 %
23.46938775511	-	1	0.0 %
23.52941176471	-	3	0.1 %
24.00000000000	-	2	0.1 %
24.87373737374	-	1	0.0 %
25.00000000000	-	31	0.9 %
25.45454545455	-	1	0.0 %
26.31578947369	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
26.47058823530	-	1	0.0 %
26.53061224490	-	1	0.0 %
26.66666666667	-	5	0.1 %
26.72064777328	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9.00000000000	Could not be calculated	1208	33.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

SUCCEX_TOTEX_BJS - SUCCESSFUL EXITS DIVIDED BY TOTAL EXITS, CATEGORIZED

Location: 7131-7132 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	No exits (0 divided by 0)	92	2.5 %
1	No successful exits	178	4.9 %
2	1-25% of exits successful	124	3.4 %
3	26-50% of exits successful	659	18.1 %
4	51-75% of exits successful	722	19.9 %
5	76-99% of exits successful	381	10.5 %
6	100% successful exits	181	5.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing or out of scope	1296	35.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

EX_CLOS - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSURE

Location: 7133-7136 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

ii. administrative closure?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	462	12.7 %
0	-	1770	48.7 %
1	-	162	4.5 %
2	-	109	3.0 %
3	-	62	1.7 %
4	-	35	1.0 %
5	-	28	0.8 %
6	-	12	0.3 %
7	-	5	0.1 %
8	-	7	0.2 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	13	0.4 %
11	-	5	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	4	0.1 %
16	-	4	0.1 %
17	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	5	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	4	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	4	0.1 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.1 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
47	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
54	-	2	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
61	-	1	0.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	1	0.0 %
91	-	1	0.0 %
126	-	1	0.0 %
200	-	1	0.0 %
283	-	1	0.0 %
450	-	1	0.0 %
1520	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	108	3.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

EX_VOL - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: VOLUNTARY WITHDRAWAL

Location: 7137-7139 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

iii. voluntary withdrawal?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	469	12.9 %
0	-	1717	47.3 %
1	-	192	5.3 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	-	110	3.0 %
3	-	65	1.8 %
4	-	38	1.0 %
5	-	39	1.1 %
6	-	7	0.2 %
7	-	10	0.3 %
8	-	13	0.4 %
9	-	6	0.2 %
10	-	16	0.4 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	4	0.1 %
13	-	4	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	6	0.2 %
16	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	3	0.1 %
19	-	4	0.1 %
20	-	8	0.2 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
31	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	2	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.1 %
43	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
53	-	1	0.0 %
70	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
102	-	1	0.0 %
135	-	1	0.0 %
192	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
222	-	1	0.0 %
260	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	103	2.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

EX_DIS - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: GENERAL DISCHARGE

Location: 7140-7143 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

iv. general discharge?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	482	13.3 %
0	-	1986	54.7 %
1	-	81	2.2 %
2	-	49	1.3 %
3	-	25	0.7 %
4	-	16	0.4 %
5	-	16	0.4 %
6	-	11	0.3 %
7	-	5	0.1 %
8	-	3	0.1 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	3	0.1 %
14	-	3	0.1 %
15	-	7	0.2 %
17	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
18	-	1	0.0 %
19	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	3	0.1 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
44	-	1	0.0 %
49	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	3	0.1 %
58	-	1	0.0 %
66	-	1	0.0 %
84	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	1	0.0 %
116	-	1	0.0 %
120	-	1	0.0 %
123	-	2	0.1 %
126	-	1	0.0 %
238	-	1	0.0 %
271	-	1	0.0 %
380	-	1	0.0 %
697	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	104	2.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

- Study 36717 -

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

EX_TRAN - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: TRANSFER

Location: 7144-7147 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...
v. transfer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	485	13.3 %
0	-	1936	53.3 %
1	-	137	3.8 %
2	-	59	1.6 %
3	-	32	0.9 %
4	-	15	0.4 %
5	-	18	0.5 %
6	-	5	0.1 %
7	-	5	0.1 %
8	-	10	0.3 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	8	0.2 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	4	0.1 %
17	-	2	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	7	0.2 %
24	-	2	0.1 %
25	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
32	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
37	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
87	-	1	0.0 %
306	-	1	0.0 %
652	-	1	0.0 %
2055	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	104	2.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

EX_FAIL - NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS EXITED BY: FAILURE/TERMINATION

Location: 7148-7151 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

vi. failure/termination?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	350	9.6 %
0	-	495	13.6 %
1	-	203	5.6 %
2	-	243	6.7 %
3	-	178	4.9 %
4	-	151	4.2 %
5	-	167	4.6 %
6	-	96	2.6 %
7	-	96	2.6 %
8	-	69	1.9 %
9	-	51	1.4 %
10	-	69	1.9 %
11	-	38	1.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12	-	32	0.9 %
13	-	30	0.8 %
14	-	45	1.2 %
15	-	43	1.2 %
16	-	28	0.8 %
17	-	22	0.6 %
18	-	18	0.5 %
19	-	16	0.4 %
20	-	28	0.8 %
21	-	13	0.4 %
22	-	15	0.4 %
23	-	14	0.4 %
24	-	16	0.4 %
25	-	23	0.6 %
26	-	8	0.2 %
27	-	8	0.2 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
29	-	12	0.3 %
30	-	15	0.4 %
31	-	3	0.1 %
32	-	6	0.2 %
33	-	7	0.2 %
34	-	5	0.1 %
35	-	8	0.2 %
36	-	10	0.3 %
37	-	5	0.1 %
38	-	8	0.2 %
39	-	3	0.1 %
40	-	4	0.1 %
41	-	4	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
43	-	4	0.1 %
44	-	3	0.1 %
45	-	4	0.1 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	3	0.1 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	87	2.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

EX_EST - EXIT NUMBERS ARE ESTIMATES ONLY

Location: 7152-7153 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited in 2012	400	11.0 %
0	No	1668	45.9 %
1	Yes	783	21.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

AVESTAY - AVERAGE LENGTH (IN DAYS) FROM ADMISSION TO EXIT FOR ALL PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN 2012

Location: 7154-7157 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44b. Of all participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what was the average length (in days) from admission to exit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	1	0.0 %
1	-	3	0.1 %
2	-	1	0.0 %
3	-	4	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	-	3	0.1 %
8	-	5	0.1 %
9	-	3	0.1 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
11	-	3	0.1 %
12	-	15	0.4 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	4	0.1 %
15	-	4	0.1 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
17	-	1	0.0 %
18	-	5	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	5	0.1 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	30	0.8 %
33	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	14	0.4 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
55	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	54	1.5 %
65	-	2	0.1 %
70	-	2	0.1 %
74	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	4	0.1 %
77	-	1	0.0 %
78	-	1	0.0 %
80	-	1	0.0 %
84	-	2	0.1 %
85	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
88	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	107	2.9 %
95	-	2	0.1 %
96	-	1	0.0 %
100	-	15	0.4 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	442	12.2 %
Total		3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

AVESTAY_EST - LENGTH OF STAY IS AN ESTIMATE ONLY

Location: 7158-7159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	Na: no average length data	549	15.1 %
0	No	736	20.3 %
1	Yes	1212	33.4 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	1136	31.3 %
Total		3633	100%

FEMALE - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: FEMALE

Location: 7160-7162 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44c. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage was identified as female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	178	4.9 %
1	-	59	1.6 %
2	-	48	1.3 %
3	-	34	0.9 %
4	-	25	0.7 %
5	-	37	1.0 %
6	-	23	0.6 %
7	-	21	0.6 %
8	-	14	0.4 %
9	-	14	0.4 %
10	-	62	1.7 %
11	-	7	0.2 %
12	-	21	0.6 %
13	-	9	0.2 %
14	-	15	0.4 %
15	-	38	1.0 %
16	-	15	0.4 %
17	-	27	0.7 %
18	-	25	0.7 %
19	-	11	0.3 %
20	-	94	2.6 %
21	-	19	0.5 %
22	-	12	0.3 %
23	-	10	0.3 %
24	-	11	0.3 %
25	-	100	2.8 %
26	-	20	0.6 %
27	-	15	0.4 %
28	-	23	0.6 %
29	-	13	0.4 %
30	-	87	2.4 %
31	-	15	0.4 %
32	-	13	0.4 %
33	-	85	2.3 %
34	-	16	0.4 %
35	-	65	1.8 %
36	-	15	0.4 %
37	-	17	0.5 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
38	-	23	0.6 %
39	-	16	0.4 %
40	-	119	3.3 %
41	-	9	0.2 %
42	-	16	0.4 %
43	-	13	0.4 %
44	-	19	0.5 %
45	-	41	1.1 %
46	-	15	0.4 %
47	-	11	0.3 %
48	-	12	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	241	6.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

FEMALE_EST - PERCENTAGE OF FEMALE PARTICIPANTS IS AN ESTIMATE ONLY

Location: 7163-7164 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no data or no participants exited	348	9.6 %
0	No	1293	35.6 %
1	Yes	854	23.5 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

RACE_WHITE - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: WHITE

Location: 7165-7167 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

- Study 36717 -

q44d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

White?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	69	1.9 %
1	-	20	0.6 %
2	-	11	0.3 %
3	-	6	0.2 %
4	-	3	0.1 %
5	-	25	0.7 %
6	-	4	0.1 %
7	-	1	0.0 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	24	0.7 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	3	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	5	0.1 %
15	-	13	0.4 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	6	0.2 %
19	-	4	0.1 %
20	-	17	0.5 %
21	-	4	0.1 %
22	-	5	0.1 %
23	-	1	0.0 %
24	-	4	0.1 %
25	-	30	0.8 %
26	-	5	0.1 %
27	-	3	0.1 %
28	-	2	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	15	0.4 %
31	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
32	-	5	0.1 %
33	-	16	0.4 %
34	-	6	0.2 %
35	-	7	0.2 %
36	-	8	0.2 %
37	-	2	0.1 %
38	-	7	0.2 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	39	1.1 %
41	-	11	0.3 %
42	-	3	0.1 %
43	-	3	0.1 %
44	-	6	0.2 %
45	-	19	0.5 %
46	-	4	0.1 %
47	-	5	0.1 %
48	-	9	0.2 %
49	-	9	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	343	9.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

RACE_BLACK - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN

Location: 7168-7170 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

black or African American?

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	789	21.7 %
1	-	79	2.2 %
2	-	51	1.4 %
3	-	40	1.1 %
4	-	24	0.7 %
5	-	65	1.8 %
6	-	18	0.5 %
7	-	21	0.6 %
8	-	29	0.8 %
9	-	19	0.5 %
10	-	105	2.9 %
11	-	17	0.5 %
12	-	26	0.7 %
13	-	13	0.4 %
14	-	15	0.4 %
15	-	33	0.9 %
16	-	13	0.4 %
17	-	18	0.5 %
18	-	11	0.3 %
19	-	9	0.2 %
20	-	57	1.6 %
21	-	8	0.2 %
22	-	8	0.2 %
23	-	9	0.2 %
24	-	7	0.2 %
25	-	46	1.3 %
26	-	4	0.1 %
27	-	7	0.2 %
28	-	7	0.2 %
29	-	10	0.3 %
30	-	39	1.1 %
31	-	4	0.1 %
32	-	8	0.2 %
33	-	17	0.5 %
34	-	5	0.1 %
35	-	20	0.6 %
36	-	14	0.4 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
37	-	6	0.2 %
38	-	9	0.2 %
39	-	6	0.2 %
40	-	39	1.1 %
41	-	1	0.0 %
42	-	4	0.1 %
43	-	8	0.2 %
44	-	4	0.1 %
45	-	16	0.4 %
46	-	6	0.2 %
47	-	5	0.1 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
Missing Data			
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	343	9.4 %
Total		3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

RACE_ASIAN - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: ASIAN

Location: 7171-7172 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

ASIAN?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	1761	48.5 %
1	-	115	3.2 %
2	-	42	1.2 %
3	-	25	0.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	-	11	0.3 %
5	-	40	1.1 %
6	-	10	0.3 %
7	-	5	0.1 %
8	-	4	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.0 %
10	-	11	0.3 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
13	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	4	0.1 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	343	9.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

RACE_INDIAN_ALASKAN - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE

Location: 7173-7175 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

American Indian or Alaska Native?

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	1692	46.6 %
1	-	76	2.1 %
2	-	32	0.9 %
3	-	18	0.5 %
4	-	9	0.2 %
5	-	38	1.0 %
6	-	10	0.3 %
7	-	11	0.3 %
8	-	7	0.2 %
9	-	7	0.2 %
10	-	22	0.6 %
11	-	1	0.0 %
12	-	5	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.0 %
14	-	5	0.1 %
15	-	8	0.2 %
16	-	5	0.1 %
17	-	3	0.1 %
18	-	2	0.1 %
19	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	9	0.2 %
21	-	1	0.0 %
22	-	2	0.1 %
23	-	4	0.1 %
25	-	8	0.2 %
26	-	1	0.0 %
27	-	1	0.0 %
28	-	1	0.0 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	4	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.0 %
33	-	5	0.1 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
35	-	4	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.0 %
38	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
40	-	3	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	9	0.2 %
52	-	1	0.0 %
55	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	2	0.1 %
80	-	2	0.1 %
90	-	2	0.1 %
100	-	25	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	343	9.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

RACE_PACF_ISL - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: NATIVE HAWAII'IAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER

Location: 7176-7177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	1913	52.7 %
1	-	45	1.2 %
2	-	21	0.6 %
3	-	10	0.3 %
4	-	1	0.0 %
5	-	20	0.6 %
6	-	2	0.1 %
7	-	2	0.1 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
8	-	1	0.0 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	3	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.0 %
15	-	1	0.0 %
16	-	1	0.0 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.0 %
25	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	1	0.0 %
34	-	1	0.0 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	1	0.0 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
50	-	2	0.1 %
56	-	1	0.0 %
57	-	1	0.0 %
59	-	1	0.0 %
60	-	1	0.0 %
67	-	1	0.0 %
71	-	1	0.0 %
75	-	1	0.0 %
85	-	1	0.0 %
90	-	1	0.0 %
98	-	1	0.0 %
99	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	343	9.4 %
	Total	3633	100%

RACE_EST - ABOVE PERCENTAGES ARE ESTIMATES ONLY

Location: 7178-7179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no data or no participants exited	450	12.4 %
0	No	1220	33.6 %
1	Yes	825	22.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1138	31.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

HISP - PERCENTAGE OF PARTICIPANTS WHO EXITED IN THE 2012 CALENDAR YEAR IDENTIFIED AS: HISPANIC/LATINO

Location: 7180-7182 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9 , -7

Question:

q44e. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as Hispanic/Latino?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no participants exited	107	2.9 %
0	-	1111	30.6 %
1	-	106	2.9 %
2	-	58	1.6 %
3	-	49	1.3 %
4	-	41	1.1 %
5	-	90	2.5 %
6	-	18	0.5 %
7	-	22	0.6 %
8	-	14	0.4 %
9	-	17	0.5 %
10	-	80	2.2 %
11	-	12	0.3 %
12	-	21	0.6 %
13	-	8	0.2 %
14	-	18	0.5 %
15	-	27	0.7 %
16	-	6	0.2 %
17	-	17	0.5 %
18	-	7	0.2 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
19	-	10	0.3 %
20	-	46	1.3 %
21	-	3	0.1 %
22	-	10	0.3 %
23	-	7	0.2 %
24	-	5	0.1 %
25	-	29	0.8 %
26	-	6	0.2 %
27	-	8	0.2 %
28	-	3	0.1 %
29	-	1	0.0 %
30	-	22	0.6 %
31	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	4	0.1 %
33	-	11	0.3 %
34	-	4	0.1 %
35	-	10	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.0 %
37	-	3	0.1 %
38	-	5	0.1 %
39	-	1	0.0 %
40	-	15	0.4 %
42	-	2	0.1 %
43	-	2	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	5	0.1 %
46	-	1	0.0 %
47	-	7	0.2 %
48	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	23	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1102	30.3 %
-7	Respondent answered don't know	352	9.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

HISP_EST - PERCENTAGE OF HISPANIC/LATINO PARTICIPANTS IS AN ESTIMATE ONLY

Location: 7183-7184 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-8	N/A: no data or no participants exited	459	12.6 %
0	No	1424	39.2 %
1	Yes	648	17.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	1102	30.3 %
	Total	3633	100%

GENERALCOMMENTS - GENERAL COMMENTS TEXT

Location: 7185-7565 (width: 381; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

DEFNCOMP_DOCKET - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: SPECIALIZED COURT DOCKET OR PROGRAM CRITERIA MET

Location: 7566-7567 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	162	4.5 %
1	Yes	2857	78.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	614	16.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEFNCOMP_JUDGE - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: JUDICIAL AUTHORITY, ONGOING SUPERVISION CRITERIA MET

Location: 7568-7569 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	498	13.7 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	2388	65.7 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEFNCOMP_TEAM - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: TEAM COLLABORATION, COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT, INFORMATION SHARING CRITERIA MET

Location: 7570-7571 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	388	10.7 %
1	Yes	2498	68.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEFNCOMP_EXPERTISE - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: SPECIALIZED TEAM EXPERTISE CRITERIA MET

Location: 7572-7573 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	516	14.2 %
1	Yes	2427	66.8 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	690	19.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEFNCOMP_INDIV - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: INDIVIDUALIZED TREATMENT AND RESPONSES TO RISK/NEEDS CRITERIA MET

Location: 7574-7575 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	487	13.4 %
1	Yes	2364	65.1 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	782	21.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

DEFNCOMP_THERAPEUTIC - DEFINITIONAL COMPONENT: THERAPEUTIC, REHABILITATIVE CRITERIA MET

Location: 7576-7577 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -9

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	89	2.4 %
1	Yes	2819	77.6 %
	Missing Data		
-9	Missing	725	20.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

REASONEXCLUDED - REASON COURT WAS EXCLUDED FROM DATASET

Location: 7578-7578 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Included	3053	84.0 %
1	Court was not operational in 2012	62	1.7 %
2	Court does not meet judiciary/judicial officer criteria	123	3.4 %
3	Court was not operational in 2012 and does not meet judiciary/judicial officer criteria	4	0.1 %
4	Court is a duplicate of another in the survey	8	0.2 %
5	Excluded by bjs	383	10.5 %
	Total	3633	100%

HARDCOPY - SURVEY WAS SUBMITTED IN PAPER FORM

Location: 7579-7580 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -8

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	Online	3047	83.9 %
1	Hard copy	84	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
-8	N/a (survey was not submitted)	502	13.8 %
	Total	3633	100%

COMMENTS2 - GENERAL COMMENTS TEXT

Location: 7581-7961 (width: 381; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

COUNTY1_FIPS - COUNTY 1 FIPS CODE

Location: 8348-8352 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1001	-	2	0.1 %
1003	-	2	0.1 %
1007	-	1	0.0 %
1013	-	1	0.0 %
1015	-	1	0.0 %
1017	-	2	0.1 %
1019	-	2	0.1 %
1021	-	1	0.0 %
1027	-	1	0.0 %
1039	-	1	0.0 %
1045	-	1	0.0 %
1047	-	2	0.1 %
1053	-	1	0.0 %
1055	-	2	0.1 %
1059	-	1	0.0 %
1061	-	2	0.1 %
1063	-	1	0.0 %
1065	-	1	0.0 %
1073	-	7	0.2 %
1077	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1079	-	1	0.0 %
1083	-	1	0.0 %
1089	-	2	0.1 %
1093	-	1	0.0 %
1095	-	3	0.1 %
1099	-	1	0.0 %
1101	-	4	0.1 %
1103	-	1	0.0 %
1105	-	1	0.0 %
1109	-	1	0.0 %
1113	-	2	0.1 %
1115	-	1	0.0 %
1117	-	5	0.1 %
1123	-	1	0.0 %
1125	-	2	0.1 %
1127	-	1	0.0 %
1131	-	1	0.0 %
2020	-	4	0.1 %
2050	-	1	0.0 %
2090	-	3	0.1 %
2110	-	3	0.1 %
2130	-	1	0.0 %
2150	-	1	0.0 %
2170	-	1	0.0 %
4001	-	2	0.1 %
4003	-	1	0.0 %
4005	-	5	0.1 %
4007	-	3	0.1 %
4009	-	1	0.0 %
4013	-	13	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	747	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

- Study 36717 -

Location: 8353-8359 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2899	-	1	0.0 %
2984	-	1	0.0 %
3779	-	1	0.0 %
4042	-	1	0.0 %
4294	-	1	0.0 %
4776	-	2	0.1 %
4857	-	1	0.0 %
5023	-	2	0.1 %
5027	-	2	0.1 %
5051	-	2	0.1 %
5057	-	1	0.0 %
5085	-	1	0.0 %
5105	-	1	0.0 %
5123	-	2	0.1 %
5131	-	1	0.0 %
5143	-	3	0.1 %
5346	-	1	0.0 %
5792	-	1	0.0 %
5907	-	1	0.0 %
5911	-	2	0.1 %
6411	-	1	0.0 %
6617	-	1	0.0 %
6699	-	1	0.0 %
6721	-	1	0.0 %
6825	-	1	0.0 %
6982	-	2	0.1 %
7022	-	2	0.1 %
7077	-	2	0.1 %
7210	-	1	0.0 %
7290	-	1	0.0 %
7360	-	1	0.0 %
7693	-	1	0.0 %
7827	-	1	0.0 %
7840	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7867	-	1	0.0 %
7876	-	1	0.0 %
7957	-	1	0.0 %
8487	-	1	0.0 %
8503	-	1	0.0 %
8748	-	2	0.1 %
9005	-	1	0.0 %
9287	-	1	0.0 %
9303	-	2	0.1 %
9384	-	1	0.0 %
9487	-	1	0.0 %
9674	-	1	0.0 %
9735	-	1	0.0 %
9753	-	1	0.0 %
9830	-	1	0.0 %
9906	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	749	20.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

COUNTY2_FIPS - COUNTY 2 FIPS

Location: 8360-8364 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1031	-	1	0.0 %
1035	-	1	0.0 %
1037	-	1	0.0 %
1041	-	1	0.0 %
1119	-	1	0.0 %
1133	-	1	0.0 %
5003	-	1	0.0 %
5031	-	1	0.0 %
5047	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5059	-	1	0.0 %
5065	-	1	0.0 %
5079	-	2	0.1 %
5127	-	1	0.0 %
8059	-	1	0.0 %
13039	-	1	0.0 %
13069	-	1	0.0 %
13073	-	1	0.0 %
16037	-	1	0.0 %
16051	-	1	0.0 %
16067	-	2	0.1 %
18203	-	1	0.0 %
19055	-	1	0.0 %
19057	-	1	0.0 %
20017	-	1	0.0 %
22117	-	6	0.2 %
26089	-	4	0.1 %
27005	-	1	0.0 %
27069	-	1	0.0 %
27103	-	1	0.0 %
28109	-	1	0.0 %
29027	-	1	0.0 %
29073	-	2	0.1 %
35049	-	2	0.1 %
36061	-	2	0.1 %
37033	-	1	0.0 %
39155	-	9	0.2 %
40107	-	2	0.1 %
40143	-	1	0.0 %
42093	-	2	0.1 %
42113	-	1	0.0 %
45019	-	3	0.1 %
45055	-	1	0.0 %
45065	-	1	0.0 %
46099	-	1	0.0 %
48329	-	1	0.0 %
48381	-	2	0.1 %
49005	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
53021	-	2	0.1 %
54009	-	1	0.0 %
54029	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3556	97.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

COUNTY2_POP - COUNTY 2 POP

Location: 8365-8371 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2790	-	1	0.0 %
4370	-	1	0.0 %
4546	-	1	0.0 %
6431	-	1	0.0 %
10236	-	1	0.0 %
11279	-	1	0.0 %
11539	-	1	0.0 %
12228	-	2	0.1 %
13222	-	1	0.0 %
13671	-	1	0.0 %
13758	-	1	0.0 %
13878	-	1	0.0 %
14134	-	2	0.1 %
15238	-	2	0.1 %
17785	-	1	0.0 %
18128	-	1	0.0 %
18306	-	2	0.1 %
20082	-	2	0.1 %
21703	-	4	0.1 %
21840	-	1	0.0 %
23718	-	1	0.0 %
24006	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
24395	-	1	0.0 %
26215	-	1	0.0 %
30651	-	1	0.0 %
32538	-	1	0.0 %
32740	-	1	0.0 %
32938	-	1	0.0 %
40288	-	1	0.0 %
42650	-	1	0.0 %
44400	-	1	0.0 %
47069	-	6	0.2 %
50167	-	1	0.0 %
50513	-	1	0.0 %
55818	-	1	0.0 %
61859	-	1	0.0 %
65746	-	1	0.0 %
79215	-	2	0.1 %
96705	-	1	0.0 %
113324	-	1	0.0 %
121233	-	2	0.1 %
124934	-	1	0.0 %
137006	-	1	0.0 %
144497	-	2	0.1 %
169941	-	1	0.0 %
209972	-	9	0.2 %
351336	-	3	0.1 %
535455	-	1	0.0 %
605413	-	1	0.0 %
1587481	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3556	97.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

COUNTY3_FIPS - COUNTY 3 FIPS

Location: 8372-8376 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1085	-	1	0.0 %
1091	-	1	0.0 %
5011	-	1	0.0 %
13025	-	1	0.0 %
13033	-	1	0.0 %
26009	-	4	0.1 %
27165	-	1	0.0 %
35028	-	2	0.1 %
45081	-	1	0.0 %
48011	-	2	0.1 %
54029	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3617	99.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

COUNTY3_POP - COUNTY 3 POP

Location: 8377-8381 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1901	-	2	0.1 %
11227	-	1	0.0 %
11270	-	1	0.0 %
11501	-	1	0.0 %
18031	-	2	0.1 %
18400	-	1	0.0 %
19926	-	1	0.0 %
20980	-	1	0.0 %
23379	-	1	0.0 %
23527	-	4	0.1 %
30651	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3617	99.6 %
	Total	3633	100%

COUNTY4_FIPS - COUNTY 4 FIPS

Location: 8382-8385 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5041	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3632	100.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

COUNTY4_POP - COUNTY 4 POP

Location: 8386-8390 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
12986	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3632	100.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

COUNTY5_FIPS - COUNTY 5 FIPS

Location: 8391-8394 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5017	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3632	100.0 %
	Total	3633	100%

COUNTY5_POP - COUNTY 5 POP

Location: 8395-8400 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

- Study 36717 -

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
11799	-	1	0.0 %
191380	-	1	0.0 %
795526	-	1	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3630	99.9 %
	Total	3633	100%

PLACE_FIPS - CITY FIPS

Location: 8401-8405 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3000	-	2	0.1 %
4000	-	1	0.0 %
5000	-	1	0.0 %
5100	-	1	0.0 %
5280	-	1	0.0 %
6607	-	1	0.0 %
7000	-	3	0.1 %
7850	-	1	0.0 %
8675	-	1	0.0 %
11000	-	1	0.0 %
12144	-	1	0.0 %
13330	-	1	0.0 %
18256	-	1	0.0 %
19000	-	2	0.1 %
23620	-	2	0.1 %
24229	-	1	0.0 %
25380	-	1	0.0 %
27000	-	1	0.0 %
28745	-	1	0.0 %
29340	-	1	0.0 %
29464	-	1	0.0 %
31900	-	1	0.0 %
35000	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
35672	-	1	0.0 %
37000	-	1	0.0 %
38000	-	3	0.1 %
38077	-	1	0.0 %
38264	-	1	0.0 %
40000	-	5	0.1 %
40189	-	1	0.0 %
47042	-	1	0.0 %
49121	-	2	0.1 %
50000	-	1	0.0 %
50034	-	1	0.0 %
50617	-	1	0.0 %
51055	-	1	0.0 %
51800	-	1	0.0 %
53682	-	1	0.0 %
58016	-	1	0.0 %
60600	-	4	0.1 %
60788	-	1	0.0 %
63000	-	2	0.1 %
65000	-	2	0.1 %
66128	-	1	0.0 %
68400	-	1	0.0 %
68850	-	5	0.1 %
70500	-	2	0.1 %
73000	-	5	0.1 %
75484	-	3	0.1 %
76540	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3549	97.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

PLACE_POP - CITY POPULATION

Location: 8406-8412 (width: 7; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Range of Missing Values (M): -6

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4456	-	1	0.0 %
8563	-	1	0.0 %
10545	-	1	0.0 %
11183	-	1	0.0 %
12610	-	1	0.0 %
15205	-	1	0.0 %
15541	-	1	0.0 %
18141	-	1	0.0 %
23662	-	1	0.0 %
28086	-	1	0.0 %
28866	-	1	0.0 %
29200	-	1	0.0 %
29574	-	1	0.0 %
30014	-	1	0.0 %
31146	-	1	0.0 %
31568	-	1	0.0 %
31681	-	1	0.0 %
33506	-	1	0.0 %
47376	-	1	0.0 %
50129	-	3	0.1 %
50193	-	1	0.0 %
56662	-	5	0.1 %
56853	-	1	0.0 %
62235	-	2	0.1 %
65976	-	2	0.1 %
67292	-	2	0.1 %
68153	-	2	0.1 %
77062	-	1	0.0 %
81074	-	1	0.0 %
90506	-	1	0.0 %
97572	-	1	0.0 %
117022	-	1	0.0 %
120083	-	1	0.0 %
145170	-	4	0.1 %
162132	-	1	0.0 %
180732	-	1	0.0 %
195244	-	1	0.0 %
195976	-	1	0.0 %

- Study 36717 -

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
212271	-	2	0.1 %
217294	-	1	0.0 %
225816	-	4	0.1 %
258127	-	1	0.0 %
261310	-	1	0.0 %
261855	-	1	0.0 %
293227	-	1	0.0 %
319156	-	2	0.1 %
383155	-	1	0.0 %
422334	-	1	0.0 %
460852	-	3	0.1 %
521104	-	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-6	Blank	3551	97.7 %
	Total	3633	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Census of Problem-Solving Courts: Methodology

PREPARED FOR THE BUREAU OF JUSTICE STATISTICS

PROJECT DIRECTOR

Nicole L. Waters, Ph.D.

PROJECT STAFF

Jennifer Elek

Kathryn Holt

Kathryn Lewis

Anne Gallegos

Shauna Strickland

Deborah Smith

Tracey Johnson Jr.

RESEARCH DIVISION | NATIONAL CENTER FOR STATE COURTS

June 2014



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
Defining Problem-Solving Courts	3
Identifying Problem-Solving Courts	5
Unit of Count	7
Creating and Disseminating the Census of Problem-Solving Courts Survey	8
Validating and Cleaning Survey Data	11
Appendix A: Advisory Council Members	12
Appendix B: Defining “Problem-Solving Courts”	13
Sources	16

Introduction

With the creation of the first drug court in Miami-Dade County, Florida in 1989, problem-solving courts emerged as an innovative effort to close the revolving door of recidivism. Designed to target the social and psychological problems underlying certain types of criminal behavior, the problem-solving model boasts a community-based, therapeutic approach. As a result of the anecdotal successes of early drug courts, states expanded the problem-solving court model by developing specialized courts or court dockets to address a number of social problems. Although the number and types of problem-solving courts has been expanding, the formal research and statistical information regarding the operations and models of these programs has not grown at the same rate. Multiple organizations have started mapping the variety of problem-solving courts in the county;¹ however, a national catalogue of problem-solving court infrastructure is lacking. As evidence of this, different counts of problem-solving courts have been offered by different groups, and a likely part of the discrepancy lies in disagreements about how to define and identify a problem-solving court. What is known about problem-solving courts is therefore limited to evaluation or outcome analyses of specific court programs.

In 2010, the Bureau of Justice Statistics awarded the National Center for State Courts a grant to develop accurate and reliable national statistics regarding problem-solving court operations, staffing, and participant characteristics. The NCSC, with its partner the National Drug Court Institute (NDCI), produced the resulting Census of Problem-Solving Courts which captures information on over 3,000 problem-solving courts that were operational in 2012.

This document traces the development of the Census of Problem-Solving Courts (hereinafter, Census) and describes the methodological process used for its implementation. Identifying and surveying the universe of problem-solving courts across the country is a significant undertaking, and the project has undergone a rigorous process of thoughtful development with input from numerous problem-solving court experts and practitioners.

Defining Problem-Solving Courts

A standard and accepted definition of problem-solving courts does not exist. Therefore, adopting a working definition for purposes of the Census was the first step for project staff. NCSC convened a group of national experts, the Advisory Council (see Appendix A for a list of Advisory Council members), to discuss and agree upon essential elements or core components that define problem-solving courts and to develop the definition to apply to the Census project. To prepare, NCSC staff reviewed more than 20 published definitions from existing literature and state statutes and presented the most frequently mentioned components of what constitutes a problem-solving court to the Advisory Council as a starting point for discussion. The Council agreed upon nine core components common to problem-solving courts (see Appendix B for a list of common elements and sources).

Given the wide variety of programs and models in existence, the Advisory Council concluded that not all courts would employ each of the nine chosen components. For purposes of the Census, the Council wanted a definition that would be inclusive enough to capture the entire population of specialty dockets. Thus the Census definition would need to balance the competing

¹ NDCI publishes, "Painting the Current Picture" which provides an aggregate number of drug courts and other problem-solving courts by state. NDCI polls state-level points of contact to provide this regularly updated figure.

goals of being inclusive and adhering to some objective set of inclusion criteria by various stakeholders. For the purposes of this Census project,

“A problem-solving court is a docket, calendar, or program operating within the judicial branch, with a dedicated judicial officer that uses therapeutic justice to reduce recidivism.”

Specific to the Census, the NCSC required that the court was operational, or matriculating participants, in calendar year 2012.

The NCSC took a multi-level, user-driven approach to operationalizing the definition of a problem-solving court. Survey items were designed to align with the nine previously identified components. At the conclusion of the Census survey, the NCSC reviewed the resulting dataset and created six variables to represent key and measurable definitional components (see additional detail in the User Guide).

1. *Specialized Court Docket or Program* – Court has a dedicated docket or program.
2. *Judicial Authority, Ongoing Supervision* – Court provides ongoing judicial interactions with participants.
3. *Team Collaboration, Community Involvement, Information Sharing* – Court fosters partnerships between the court and outside agencies and between members of the problem-solving court team.
4. *Specialized Team Expertise* – Members of the court team receive training that contributes to the successful implementation and/or operation of the problem-solving court.
5. *Individualized Treatment and Responses to Risk/Needs* – There is a coordinated strategy in place to respond to participants’ compliance or noncompliance and individual needs.
6. *Therapeutic, Rehabilitative* – Some type of therapeutic treatment service is offered to participants.

Individually or in combination, these variables may serve as filters to select relevant subsamples of programs or courts along a range of stakeholder perspectives and dimensions. This approach combines inclusivity with the utility of user-specificity.

Exclusions

Based on the Census definition for problem-solving courts, some exclusions were necessary. For instance, the requirement that the program operate within the judiciary and have ongoing case supervision by a judicial officer eliminated many diversion programs where entry into a program (and possibly resolution) occurs prior to judicial involvement. Youth courts that operated as community-based or operated by private non-profits or school-based systems, were also generally excluded from the Census. Additionally, courts that operated under a youth judge or peer jury model were excluded. To be included, youth or teen courts must be justice-involved. In other words, a charge must be brought to the prosecutor before intervention by the program, otherwise, the court was excluded. An example of such an exclusion is if in a program the school resource officer agrees not to charge the youth upon completion of the diversion program.

Exclusions also applied to federal courts, tribal courts (as a general rule, unless they are specialized to address an underlying social issue such as a tribal drug court), and civil programs.

Civil programs that have a contractual component (e.g., mortgage foreclosure, business courts, complex litigation programs) are developed for expediency in case processing rather than introducing a therapeutic component and were thus excluded. However, civil courts/programs addressing family, domestic relations, or domestic violence issues were not automatically excluded; instead family and domestic programs were reviewed and, as was the case with the youth/teen programs, if the other definitional requirements were met, they were included in the project.

Identifying Problem-Solving Courts

Unknown populations, such as the population of problem-solving courts this project identifies, can be validated through cross-verification and respondent-driven sampling (Heckathorn, 1997; Salganik & Heckathorn, 2004). Thus, NCSC used a triangulation approach to compile a comprehensive list of problem-solving courts. Triangulation combines several (preferably 3 or more) research methodologies and sources of information to identify unknown populations. Researchers can be more confident about each particular result if multiple methods identify the same source.

Since the literature emphasizes the importance of maximizing the sources of referral or reference as a means of mitigating any biases associated with respondent-driven or referral sampling, the NCSC employed multiple supplemental approaches and sources of information to further improve the confidence that the project derived a valid and complete census. More explicitly, project staff pursued the following two-step strategy to identify problem-solving courts nationwide.

Step 1

With the assistance of the NDCI², a state-level contact for each state³ was identified as the initial point of contact for the Census. If the initial recommended contact was no longer in the position, not responsive, or unable to be of assistance, a replacement contact in a state leadership position (e.g., new state-level coordinator, state court administrator or administrative judge) was chosen to serve as the state point of contact.

NCSC reached out to state points of contact and asked each to identify all problem-solving courts in the state and provide, for each court, a court-level point of contact (e.g., court coordinator) who would be able to complete a Census survey on behalf of the court. State-level contacts were also asked to provide any inclusion criteria specific to their state used for identifying problem-solving courts. These court-level lists provided by the state contacts were used to form the initial list of survey respondents.

² NDCI maintains a copy of state-level problem-solving court coordinators that is updated every six-months.

³ The Census includes all 50 states and four territories: the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam and the Virgin Islands. In this document, the term states is used to include both states and territories. However, the Virgin Islands do not have any problem-solving courts and therefore no courts are included in the final Census. Also, per the direction of BJS, US territories American Samoa and Northern Mariana Islands were not included.

Step 2

To supplement, verify, and complete the initial respondent lists, NCSC reviewed five additional sources before generating the master list of Census respondents.

1. **Existing Lists**. NCSC supplemented the state-level lists using lists that were available from other known and reliable sources. Some examples of organizations maintaining specialized lists of problem-solving court include the following:
 - a. National Drug Court Institute (NDCI),
 - b. Council of State Governments (CSG),
 - c. Center for Court Innovation (CCI), and
 - d. National Association of Youth Courts (NAYC).
2. **Court websites**. NCSC searched all known state court websites for listings of problem-solving courts. Additionally, some lists of problem-solving courts are maintained by the executive branch and, when applicable, were reviewed.
3. **News media and press releases**. NCSC monitored agencies that compile and release news reports on the proliferation of new problem-solving courts and the improvement of existing courts to identify current and supplemental records. For example, the Council of State Governments regularly releases a bulletin with news stories about mental health courts.
4. **Marketing efforts**. NCSC identified national associations of problem-solving court sub-groups and worked with the organizers or other connected attendees to market the Census by making materials available to attendees via conference booths or informational sessions. Additionally, NCSC created a project-specific e-mail address to triage inquiries about the project and compile a list of potential, self-selected respondents generated from these marketing efforts. Examples of association meetings include:
 - a. National Association of Drug Court Professionals (NADCP) annual meeting,
 - b. Center for Court Innovation's Community Justice International Conference of Community Courts,
 - c. State Drug Court Coordinators' Symposium (annual meeting),
 - d. NCSC's National Summit on Problem-Solving Courts – attended by subject matter experts, state court leaders, statewide problem-solving court coordinators, and various federal representatives of the Office of Justice Programs (e.g., National Institute of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics), and
 - e. NCSC's Court Technology Conference (attended by state court clerks, administrators, and judges).
5. **NCSC Associations**. The NCSC is the secretariat for numerous state court associations and informed key leadership in those associations of the Census project, asking for the leadership to pass along Census information to known problem-solving courts.

- a. The Problem-Solving Court Sub-Committee of the Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators (CCJ/COSCA)
- b. National Association of Court Managers (NACM)

The NCSC verified all supplemental records through further inquiry with the court (and state-level contact, if needed) and reviewed court information to determine if the program warranted inclusion or exclusion on the master list of respondents (e.g., verify the program was not a duplicate of a program already on the initial list).

Unit of Count

The unit of count for the Census is a unique court program or docket. When determining what would constitute a unique program or docket, and therefore require a separate Census survey response, the NCSC adhered to the following counting rules:

1. If the target population served by the programs varied (i.e., eligibility criteria for program admission differed), the programs were counted as separate entities.
2. If court jurisdictions hosting the program differed (i.e., general jurisdiction vs. limited jurisdiction courts), the programs were counted as separate entities.
3. If key program characteristics differed (i.e., services offered, funding sources, policies and procedures), the programs were counted as separate entities.

Other indicators that were considered when making determinations on unit of count included whether the location of the court and/or team members (e.g., treatment agencies, judges, coordinators, attorneys) differed between the two entities in question. These additional indicators were reviewed to enhance the decision making about units of count, but were not sufficient to qualify as separately counted entities.

To further illustrate the counting rules, consider these examples:

- One county operated a drug court in three different locations. The eligibility criteria and level of court jurisdiction were the same across the three locations. Participants were offered the same services regardless of the location. For this project, these separate locations were counted as one court program in the dataset.
- One county court operated three dockets: drug court, mental health court, and veterans court. One judge presided over all three dockets, and all three dockets were housed within the same court jurisdiction. However, the team was primarily composed of different representatives for each docket. The eligibility criteria differed significantly for the three dockets as three distinct target populations were clearly articulated. Thus, for this project, the three dockets were counted as three separate programs.

Creating and Disseminating the Census of Problem-Solving Courts Survey

Survey Development

The survey was developed after consultation with the funding agent, BJS, the Advisory Council, and NCSC's partners, National Drug Court Institute (NDCI) and the National Opinion Research Center (NORC). The goal was to identify all problem-solving courts nationwide and better understand the processes and models under which they operate. In addition to input from the organizations named above, to inform survey content NCSC also relied on existing literature including previous surveys in the problem-solving court field⁴ (see "Sources" in the Appendix for additional details), theoretical models, and principles of various problems-solving courts (e.g., 10 key components of drug courts,⁵ 10 essential elements of mental health courts, common practices and key elements of community courts and domestic violence courts, and 6 core elements of re-entry courts). Questions were aligned, when possible, to the 9 core components identified by the Advisory Council.

To meet the goals of the project, the final Census survey was composed of three sections:

1. Basic court information (e.g., court type and location),
2. Court processes and operations (e.g., staff, point of entry, exclusionary criteria), and
3. Court data collection practices (e.g., number of active participants and participant demographics).

The finalized questions were programmed into Conformat (NCSC's licensed, web-based survey program).

Pilot Test

In August-September of 2011, before launching the Census survey nationwide, the NCSC conducted a pilot test of the survey instrument. The goals of the pilot test included testing the survey's clarity and validity, assessing the feasibility of the data elements requested in section three, and testing the functionality and technical aspects of the online survey form. For example, NCSC discovered through the list generation procedure that some court points of contact oversaw multiple problem-solving court programs, as such, the pilot survey tested the functionality of a delegation command that allowed the court point of contact to delegate sections of the survey to another respondent.

With recommendations from the Advisory Council, NCSC invited select problem-solving courts in nine states to pilot test the Census survey. Those states were: California, Idaho, Indiana, Michigan, New York, Utah, Virginia, and Washington. The NCSC selected pilot sites that maximized variety for the following criteria: geographic location, court type, capacity (number of participants served), and data capability (as determined by nominating source). The pilot courts included representatives from domestic violence court, adult drug court, family dependency court, DUI court, homeless court, mental health court, re-entry court, prostitution

⁴ Consulted surveys include: Consensus Project Survey, Mental Health Performance Measures, Problem-Solving Court Models and Trends, 2007 Community Court Survey, 2005 GAO Drug Court Report, and Office of Justice Programs Drug Court Clearinghouse.

⁵ The National Adult Drug Court Standards had not been released by the time of survey development.

court, and community court. After being informed of the purpose and need for pilot testing, the sites were sent a survey link and help desk information. NCSC invited respondents to provide feedback while completing the survey and, at the completion of the pilot testing, NCSC invited the respondents to attend a webinar with project staff to discuss their experiences and offer suggestions.

NCSC reviewed the results of the pilot test and, in October 2011, presented a report on the findings to BJS. Generally, the respondents indicated that the data questions required a significant investment of time. The respondents suggested the survey should take no longer than 30 minutes to complete. Additionally, many courts do not track detailed data unless they overlap with reporting requirements for a governing or funding body. Also, although the respondents felt the survey was generally clear and easy to understand, terminology used in the pilot survey did not always accommodate less common problem-solving court processes (e.g., domestic violence or family dependency). The pilot test also revealed that the delegation function operated as designed and did not result in any technical problems. The NCSC, with advice from BJS and the Advisory Council, considered this feedback and made appropriate modifications to the Census survey. The result was a shorter survey that ultimately reduced respondent burden. NCSC also edited the survey to incorporate options to accommodate less common court types (e.g., in a family dependency response options to include “court expedited settlement or placement” was added as a potential benefit for program completion).

Pre-notice

Approximately 10 days before the national launch of the Census survey, each court point of contact received a pre-notice e-mail. This e-mail explained the general goals and content of the Census and included an attached cover letter from BJS. The purpose of this pre-notice was threefold. First, the pre-notice was used to improve response rates as recommended by Dillman’s Tailored Design Method (Dillman, 2007). Second, the NCSC was able to verify contact information and locate replacement contacts, as needed prior to the survey’s launch. Third, NCSC was able to verify operational information from the point of contact, including whether the program was still in operation. In the event that contact person e-mail addresses no longer existed or were misspelled in the list provided to NCSC (as determined in a review of e-mails returned to the NCSC server), project staff contacted the respondents via phone or consulted additional sources to identify an updated e-mail address or new contact for the court. Problem-solving court programs that NCSC discovered were no longer operational were removed from the Census master list.

Survey Launch

After updating contact lists based on information gathered through the pre-notice procedure and other contact information verification procedures, the NCSC launched the Census survey. For all respondents with e-mail addresses, the NCSC sent an initial e-mail invitation to participate in the Census survey online. Each e-mail invitation included a link to the online survey, a unique User ID and an Access Code to permit the respondent to log in to a unique survey form for the identified problem-solving court, and contact information for the NCSC. Respondents responsible for multiple problem-solving courts therefore received multiple e-mail invitations (one for each court). The Census survey included a dedicated Help Desk e-mail that was triaged to technical support. NCSC staff provided ongoing technical assistance and continued to monitor the Help Desk e-mail until the survey closed. Common issues included: clarification of question

wording, technical issues (trouble logging in to survey), and contact clarification (the e-mail had been sent to the wrong staff and needed to be resent to the correct respondent).

Surveys were sent in two rounds. The first round launched January 30, 2013 and included the majority of the identified problem-solving courts. If project staff were unable to verify that a court was in operation or verify the contact information for an existing court, the court was removed from the first round launch and additional research was conducted. The NCSC compiled a list of those courts that were subsequently verified, resolved any undeliverable e-mail addresses from the first round, and launched the second round of the survey on March 27, 2013. At the end of five months there was a 76% response rate. The survey was extended to increase that response rate, and after eight months, the response rate was at 85%, an increase of almost 10%. The NCSC then conducted additional follow-up (see details below) to reach a final response rate of 96%⁶ at the close of the data collection efforts on January 31, 2014.

Follow-up

As mentioned previously, NCSC applied Dillman's Tailored Design Method to enhance survey response rates (Dillman, 2007). Dillman recommends up to five distinct contacts with survey respondents, noting that a different look and feel for each contact triggers the respondent's memory of the survey. Variations in messages, along with the appropriate timing, have been shown to increase survey response rates. Furthermore, the NCSC was able to maximize response rates because (1) the NCSC and its key collaborators have strong professional ties within the court community, thereby facilitating cooperation, and (2) NCSC put in place procedures for monitoring respondent participation.

Through Confirmit, NCSC sent automated reminder e-mails beginning 12 days after the invitation was sent. Additional reminders were sent weekly for four weeks, then bi-weekly for four more weeks.

When the automatic reminders ended, the NCSC reached out to state-level contacts of states with low response rates (65% or below statewide) to update them on the progress of the project, ask for their help in encouraging court responses, and offered suggestions for encouraging non-respondents to participate. State-level contacts and/or NCSC staff sent personal e-mails to non-responders to verify receipt of the survey invitation, to encourage participation, and to correct outdated contact information. All non-responders received two personalized e-mails and/or phone calls from NCSC staff offering individual assistance to complete the Census survey.

After all automated and personalized follow-up efforts, project staff identified states where participation remained relatively low. For these states, NCSC consulted the Advisory Council members and NDCI partners to seek additional ways to connect with non-responders. Based on this outreach, the NCSC devised a plan to maximize its professional ties with the court community and other state-level leadership (e.g., state-level problem-solving court leaders, state court administrators, chief justices) to increase participation for each state. NCSC targeted states with less than 90% response rates and efforts included the following: the NCSC made

⁶ A total of 478 courts did not respond. For non-responders, NCSC could not apply inclusion/exclusion criteria, so alternatively, the NCSC applied a confidence rating for the source of information used to identify the problem-solving court. The response rate was calculated only including those courts whose information was confirmed with direct contact with an official from the state or jurisdiction or direct communication from the point of contact (i.e., *Conf*>2).

presentations at several annual meetings of court leaders, including the CCJ/COSCA's sub-committee on problem-solving courts; NCSC enlisted the assistance of its partner, NDCI, to reach out to state-level problem-solving court coordinators to endorse the survey and encourage participation; NCSC reached out to local and state-level contacts within the court community to encourage participation (e.g., problem-solving court judges and coordinators, state court administrators, trial court administrators); and NCSC tapped internal experts to make additional connections with non-responders (e.g., domestic violence expert reached out to domestic violence court contacts). Additionally, the NCSC sent out two final automated messages to all remaining non-responders at the close of the survey time frame, stressing the importance of participation and reminding courts of the option to complete paper copies, if desired.

After consultation with BJS, NCSC conducted additional follow-up efforts to achieve an overall 90% response rate. As part of this additional effort, in January of 2014, the NCSC contacted remaining non-responders with a shortened version of the survey that included key variables, agreed upon by BJS and NCSC. In addition to increasing the response rates, the goal of the shortened survey was to identify whether the remaining courts met the definition of a problem-solving court and operated in calendar year 2012 and should be retained in the court for the Census.

Validating and Cleaning Survey Data

The NCSC programmed basic data validation and verification rules into the survey software (e.g., requiring responses to key questions, including filter questions that only displayed when a preceding question's answer dictated). After all surveys were submitted, NCSC staff performed additional data validation and cleaning including: entering hard copy responses into database (e.g., resolving duplication if both hard copies and online surveys were completed), resolving counting errors when possible (e.g., one survey completed for multiple courts), cleaning and standardizing open text field responses, creating new response options from open-text fields as warranted, and removing identifying information. Once NCSC completed the cleaning process, a dataset was delivered to BJS in May, 2014 for final review and approval.

Appendix A: Advisory Council Members

- *Court Leaders*
 - Frank Broccolina, State Court Administrator, Maryland, *past*
 - Hon. William Ray Price, Jr., Chief Justice, Missouri
 - Dale R. Koch, National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, Nevada
 - Richard Schwermer, Assistant State Court Administrator, Utah
- *Policy Advisors*
 - Hallie Fader-Towe, Council of State Governments Justice Center
 - Kim Ball Norris, Bureau of Justice Assistance
- *Substantive Experts*
 - Mike Rempel, Center for Court Innovation
 - Doug Marlowe, National Drug Court Institute
 - Carolyn Hardin, National Drug Court Institute
 - Fred Cheesman, National Center for State Courts
 - David Rottman, National Center for State Courts
 - Pamela Casey, National Center for State Courts
- *Project Monitor, Funding Agency*
 - Don Farole, Bureau of Justice Statistics, *past*

Appendix B: Defining “Problem-Solving Courts”

Listed below are common elements across existing definitions (with examples from various sources).

1. **Court, docket, program**

- “a particular session of court” (The Supreme Court of Ohio & The Ohio Judicial System, 2011)
- “specialized courtrooms” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
- “specialized court dockets within the existing structure of Virginia’s court system” (Commitment of Convicted Person for Treatment for Substance Abuse, 1950)
- “wide range of specialized courtrooms” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
- “judicial case processing” (Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators, n.d.)

2. **Judicial authority, supervision**

- “leverage” (Longshore et al., 2001)
- “coercive leverage to achieve therapeutic goals” (Young, 1996)
- “judicial monitoring” (NY Courts.gov, n.d.; Commitment of Convicted Person for Treatment for Substance Abuse, 1950)
- “ongoing juridical intervention” (Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators, n.d.)
- “intensive judicial monitoring” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
- “judicial authority is used to compel offenders to undergo treatment” (Phelan, 2003)
- “explicit use of judicial authority to motivate individuals to accept needed services and monitor their compliance and progress” (Winick, 2003)

3. **Collaboration, team, community**

- “early intervention and rapid placement” (Casey, Rottman, & Bromage, 2007)
- “coordinated management of court process through collaboration with court actors and relevant community-based organizations” (Casey, Rottman, & Bromage, 2007)
- “coordinated response” (NADCP Drug Court Standards Committee, 1997)
- “community engagement” (Wolf, 2007)
- “links with ... justice system partners to form a treatment team for each individual offender” (Winick, 2003)
- “cultivation of creative partnerships among criminal justice and social service agencies and the community” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
- “multidisciplinary involvement, and collaboration with community-based and government organizations” (Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators, n.d.)
- “a team approach to decision making, integration of social and treatment services” (California Courts, n.d.)
- “engaging the community to assist with problem solving, collaboration with community services and other stakeholders” (Ind. Code)

4. **Underlying social problem/issue that defines class of participants**
 - “attempt to get at the root of the individual and social problems that motivate criminal behavior” (Mirchandi, 2008)
 - “types of quality-of-life crimes” describing community court participants (Slinger & Roesch, 2010)
 - “addressing long-standing community issues underlying criminal conduct” (American Council of Chief Defenders, 2012)
 - “underlying chronic behaviors of criminal defendants” (Maine Corrections Alternative Advisory Committee, 2005)
5. **Enhanced information**
 - “enhanced information” (Wolf, 2007)
 - “interdisciplinary education” (NADCP Drug Court Standards Committee, 1997)
 - “decision making that is informed through enhanced information sharing” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
 - “enhanced information to improve decision making” (Ind. Code)
6. **Individualized, specific needs/ risks**
 - “individualized justice” (Wolf, 2007)
 - “tailored to address the specific and unique offender, victim, and community circumstance” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
 - “providing appropriate, individualized treatment” (American Council of Chief Defenders, 2012)
 - “linking participants with community services based on risk and needs” (Ind. Code)
7. **Therapeutic**
 - “integration of treatment services” (Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators, n.d.)
 - “therapeutically-oriented judicial approach” (The Supreme Court of Ohio & The Ohio Judicial System, 2011)
 - Although a therapeutic component is most often associated with problem-solving courts, there are a handful of courts in which it is less prominent - “some models, notably domestic violence and to a lesser extent community courts, focus less on therapeutic interventions for the defendant and more on defendant responsibility to the party harmed” (Sack, 2002; Sviridoff, Rottman, Ostrom, & Curtis, 1997)
8. **Alternative sanctions, creative approaches, rehabilitation**
 - “use of alternative sanctions” (Casey, Rottman, & Bromage, 2007)
 - “expanding the role of court process from the administration of law to a factor in remedying the problem of re-offending” (Porter, Rempel, & Mansky, 2010)
 - “proactive role for the judge inside and outside the courtroom” (California Courts, n.d.)
 - “rehabilitation emphasis” (Longshore et al., 2001)
 - “rehabilitative means to address the perceived causes of criminal offending” (Hiller, Knight, & Simpson, 1996)

- “achieving outcomes such as rehabilitation, rather than simply penalizing wrong behavior” (Mirchandi, 2008)

9. **Goal to reduce recidivism, stop revolving door**

- “focus on outcomes” (Wolf, 2007)
- “attempts to internally motivate the offender and produce long-lasting change” (Winick, 2003)
- “results that measure impact, such as offender rehabilitation, victim safety, and community satisfaction” (Berman & Feinblatt, 2005)
- “focused on recovery” (California Courts, n.d.)
- “underlying chronic behaviors of criminal defendants” (Maine Corrections Alternative Advisory Committee, 2005)

Sources

State-specific Definitions

Az. Rev. Stat. §13-3422 (2012).

Administrative Office of the Courts. (2009). *Maryland's Problem-Solving Courts Annual Report*.
Retrievable from
<http://www.courts.state.md.us/opsc/pdfs/annualreports/fy2009opscannualreport.pdf>

California Courts (n.d.). *Collaborative Justice Courts*. Retrieved June 12, 2014, from
<http://www.courts.ca.gov/programs-collabjustice.htm>

Commitment of Convicted Person for Treatment for Substance Abuse, Virginia Code §18.2-254.1(1950).

Ind. Code Title 33, Art. 23, Chp. 16. Retrievable at
<http://www.in.gov/legislative/ic/code/title33/ar23/ch16.pdf>

Judicial Conference Committee on Problem-Solving Courts. (2007). *Maryland's Components of Problem-Solving Courts*. [On file with NCSC].

Maine Corrections Alternative Advisory Committee. (2005). [Presentation].

NY Courts.gov (n.d.). *Problem Solving Courts Overview*. Retrieved June 12, 2014, from
http://www.courts.state.ny.us/courts/problem_solving/

The Supreme Court of Ohio & The Ohio Judicial System (2011). Supreme Court Seeks Comment on Specialized Dockets Rule. Retrieved June 12, 2014, from
http://www.supremecourt.ohio.gov/PIO/news/2011/specdocketsrule_020711.asp

Wisconsin Court System. (n.d.). Problem Solving Courts. Retrieved June 13, 2014, from
<http://www.wicourts.gov/courts/programs/altproblemsolving.htm>

Definitions in the Literature & Methodological Approaches to Identifying a Population

American Council of Chief Defenders. (2012). *Ten Tenets of Fair and Effective Problem Solving Courts*.
Retrievable at http://www.nlada.org/DMS/Documents/1019501190.93/document_info

Center for Program Evaluation and Performance Measurement. (n.d.). *What are Problem-Solving Courts?*
Retrievable at <http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/BJA/evaluation/program-adjudication/problem-solving-courts.htm>

Berman, G., & Feinblatt, J. (2005). *Good Courts: The Case for Problem-Solving Justice*. New York: New Press. Retrievable at
http://www.politicalreviewnet.com/polrev/reviews/PUAR/R_0033_3352_238_1006128.asp

Casey, P. M., Rottman, D. B., & Bromage, C. G. (2007). *Problem-Solving Justice Toolkit*. Retrievable at
<http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/ref/collection/spcts/id/147>

Casey, P., & Rottman, D. (2003). *Problem-Solving Courts: Models and Trends*. Retrievable at
<http://cdm16501.contentdm.oclc.org/cdm/singleitem/collection/spcts/id/169/rec/1>

Conference of Chief Justices/Conference of State Court Administrators. (n.d.). *Resolution 22: In Support of Problem-Solving Court Principles and Methods*. Retrievable at

<http://cosca.ncsc.org/~media/microsites/files/cosca/policy%20papers/resolution-natl%20agenda-final-aug-04.ashx>

- Dillman, D. A. (2007). *Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Heckathorn, D. D. (1997). "Respondent-driven sampling: A new approach to the study of hidden populations". *Social Problems*, 44(2): 174–199. doi:10.1525/sp.1997.44.2.03x0221m
- Hiller, M., Knight, K., & Simpson, D. (1996). Compulsory community-based substance abuse treatment for the mentally ill criminal offender. *The Prison Journal*, 76, 180-191.
- Longshore D., Turner, S., Wenzel, S., Morral, A. Harrell, A., McBride, Deschenes, E., & Iguchi, M. (2001). Drug courts: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Drug Issues*, 31, 7-26.
- Mirchandani, R. (2008). Beyond therapy: Problem-solving courts and the deliberative democratic state. *Law & Social Inquiry*. 33, 853-893. doi: 10.1111/j.1747-4469.2008.00126.x
- NADCP Drug Court Standards Committee. (1997). *Defining Drug Courts: The Key Components*. Retrieval at <https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/bja/205621.pdf>
- Phelan, A. (2003). Solving human problems or deciding cases? Judicial innovation in New York and its relevance to Australia: Part I. *Journal of judicial administration*, 13(2), 98-130.
- Porter, R., Rempel, M., & Mansky, A. (2010). What Makes a Court Problem-Solving? Universal Performance Indicators for Problem-Solving Justice. Retrieval at http://www.courtinnovation.org/sites/default/files/What_Makes_A_Court_P_S.pdf
- Sack, E. (2002). *Creating a Domestic Violence Court: Guidelines and Best Practices*. San Francisco, CA: Family Violence Prevention Fund.
- Salganik, M. J., & Heckathorn, D. D. (2004). Sampling and estimation in hidden populations using respondent-driven sampling. *Sociological Methodology*, 34, 193–239. doi:10.1111/j.0081-1750.2004.00152.x.
- Slinger, E., & Roesch, R. (2010). Problem-solving courts in Canada: A review and a call for empirically-based evaluation methods. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 33, 258-264.
- Sviridoff, M., Rottman, D., Ostrom, B., & Curtis, R. (1997). *Dispensing Justice Locally: The Implementation and Effects of the Midtown Community Court*. Washington, D.C.: National Institute of Justice.
- Winick, B. (2003). Therapeutic jurisprudence and problem-solving courts. *Fordham Urban Law Journal*, 30, 1055-1103.
- Wiener, R. L., Winick, B. J., Georges, L. S., & Castro, A. (2010). A testable theory of problem solving courts: Avoiding past empirical and legal failures. *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 33, 417-427. doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2010.09.012.
- Wolf, R. V. (2007). *Principles of Problem-Solving Justice*. New York, NY: Center for Court Innovation.
- Young, D. (1996). *Retaining Offenders in Mandatory Drug Treatment Programs: The Role of Perceived Legal Pressure*. New York, NY: Vera Institute of Justice.

Census of **Problem-Solving Courts**

Glossary of **Terms**

Court Type

Drug

Drug (General): addresses underlying problems contributing to drug-related crimes through nontraditional judicial proceedings and treatment with team-based services.

Adult Drug: aims to achieve a reduction in recidivism and substance abuse among substance abusing offenders and increase the offenders' likelihood of successful habilitation. Interventions may include early, continuous, and intensive judicially supervised treatment, mandatory periodic drug testing, community supervision, and the use of appropriate sanctions, incentives, and habilitation services.

Juvenile Drug: located within the juvenile or family court system, a program to which selected delinquency cases, and in some instances status offenders, are referred for handling. The youths referred to this docket are identified as having problems with alcohol and/or other drugs.

Tribal Drug: within the tribal justice system, a court that incorporates and adapts the wellness concept to meet the specific substance abuse needs of each tribal community. The treatment team incorporates tribal elders and traditional healers to utilize the unique strengths and history of each tribe.

Driving While Impaired /Driving While Under the Influence (DWI/DUI): dedicated to changing the behavior of the alcohol or drug-dependent repeat offender or high- blood alcohol content (BAC) offender arrested for DWI or DUI. The goal of the court is to protect public safety while addressing the root cause of impaired driving.

Campus Drug: targets college students whose excessive use of drugs or alcohol has created serious consequences for themselves or others and is jeopardizing their ability to complete their college education. Campus Drug courts adopt components of the Drug Court model and apply them to the college environment. These programs may unite campus leaders, student development practitioners, treatment providers, and health professionals with their governmental, judicial, and treatment counterparts to facilitate and monitor treatment.

Community Issues

Community: addresses "quality of life" crimes, such as petty theft, turnstile jumping, vandalism, prostitution, and loitering. With community boards and the local police as partners, Community courts have the bifurcated goal of solving the problems of the defendants appearing before court, while using the leverage of the court to encourage offenders to give back to their community in compensation for the damage they and others have caused.

Gun: designed for youths and young adults who have committed gun offenses that have not resulted in serious physical injury. Gun court focuses on educating defendants about gun safety

and provides an infrastructure for direct and immediate response to defendants who violate court orders.

Prostitution: addresses solicitation charges against the defendant and attempts to resolve solicitation cases through a community based approach. Services may include employment programs, counseling, health care, housing assistance, and drug treatment. See also Community courts.

Gambling: operates under the same protocols and guidelines utilized within the Drug Court model, serving individuals who are suffering from a pathological or compulsive gambling disorder and, as a result, face criminal charges. Participants may enroll in a contract-based, judicially supervised gambling recovery program and are exposed to an array of services, which may include Gamblers Anonymous, psychotherapeutic intervention, debt counseling, and group or individual counseling.

Homeless: assists homeless people charged with summary or nuisance offenses secure housing and obtain social services needed for stabilization. Participation in services may substitute for fines and custody. Services may include mental health treatment, health care, life-skills, literacy classes, and vocational training.

Youth

Youth (General): serves juvenile offenders and addresses underlying issues that resulted in court involvement.

Teen: considered a juvenile intervention and prevention program that may be geared toward non-violent and first-time juvenile offenders. As an alternative to the juvenile justice system offenders may participate in sentencing by a jury of their peers.

Girls: operates as a youth or teen court tailored specifically for female offenders. These courts incorporate services such as mental health treatment and mentoring that are customized to be gender specific.

Boys: operates as a youth or teen court tailored specifically for male offenders. These courts incorporate services such as mental health treatment and mentoring that are customized to be gender-specific.

Truancy: designed to assist school-aged children with truancy-related charges to overcome the underlying causes of truancy by reinforcing and combining efforts from the school, courts, mental health providers, families, and the community.

Co-occurring

Co-occurring Disorders: addresses both mental illness and a substance use disorder underlying the defendant's charges. Both the mental illness and substance disorder are considered primary and neither are the symptoms of the other. The judge may require a treatment plan involving comprehensive services that address both disorders and utilize a cross-trained staff.

Reentry

Reentry (General): seeks to stabilize returning parolees during the initial phases of their community reintegration by helping them find jobs, secure housing, remain drug-free, and assume familial and personal responsibilities.

Reentry Drug: utilizes the Drug Court model to facilitate reintegration of drug-involved offenders into the community upon release from the local or state correctional facilities. The offender is involved in judicial monitoring, community supervision, and other specialized ancillary services needed for successful reentry into the community.

Parole Violation: serves parole violators. The case management strategies and collaboration are designed to increase the probability of success for the defendant on probation. Services include cooperation between corrections agencies and local services.

Veterans

Veterans (General): serves justice-involved veterans and sometimes active duty personnel. Courts link veterans facing mental illness, drug addiction/abuse, and/or reintegration issues to services, intensive treatment, and support while promoting sobriety, recovery, and stability. Services may include a coordinated response from traditional partners as well as the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare networks, Veterans Benefits Administration, State Departments of Veterans Affairs, volunteer veteran mentors, and organizations that support veterans' families.

Veterans Drug Treatment: integrates Drug Court principles to serve justice-involved military veterans and sometimes active-duty personnel. The courts promote sobriety, recovery, and stability through a coordinated response from traditional partners as well as the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare networks, Veterans Benefits Administration, State Departments of Veterans Affairs, volunteer veteran mentors, and organizations that support veterans' families.

Veterans Mental Health Treatment: integrates Mental Health court principles to serve justice-involved military veterans and sometimes active-duty personnel. The courts promote sobriety, recovery, and stability through a coordinated response from traditional partners as well as the Department of Veterans Affairs healthcare networks, Veterans Benefits Administration, State Departments of Veterans Affairs, volunteer veteran mentors, and organizations that support veterans' families.

Mental Health

Mental Health: diverts select defendants with mental illness, traumatic brain injury, and/or developmental disabilities into judicially supervised, community-based treatment. A team of court and mental health professionals work together to develop treatment plans and supervise participants.

Juvenile Mental Health: located within the juvenile or family court system, a program to which select juveniles with mental illness, traumatic brain injury, and/or developmental disabilities are

diverted into judicially supervised, community-based treatment. A team of court and mental health professionals work together to develop treatment plans and supervise participants.

Domestic Relations

Domestic Violence (DV): addresses criminal cases that involve offenses against persons who are related in some way, most often through intimate partnership or family relationship. The overall goals of DV courts are to provide greater victim safety, promote offender accountability, and connect victims to appropriate services through collaboration with justice system and community partners.

Integrated Domestic Violence (IVD): integrates a docket for both domestic violence and family issues (criminal, civil protection orders, custody). IVD courts hear both civil and criminal cases involving the same defendant or family members and may incorporate many of the same services provided in DV courts.

Family Dependency/ Family Drug: addresses juvenile or family court cases of child abuse or neglect, adjudication of parental rights, and/or custody and visitation, in which parental substance abuse is a contributing factor. The goal is to provide safe, nurturing, and permanent homes for children while simultaneously providing parents with the necessary support and services they need to become drug and alcohol abstinent.

Elder Abuse: addresses a range of issues involving older victims and defendants (e.g., restraining orders, criminal charges, probate, and landlord/tenant). The courts typically collaborate with community partners to address the needs of neglected and abused older adults.

Child Support/Fathering: an alternative to prosecution and incarceration for men with significant unfulfilled child support obligations. Goals address increasing the fathers' financial and emotional support to their children. Components of a fathering court may include needs assessment and skill development, case management, counseling and treatment, peer support and curriculum, health and education services for children, and employment assistance.

Other

Treatment/Problem-Solving (General): addresses underlying problems that are best handled through nontraditional judicial proceedings and then treated with team-based services. This court addresses multiple case types and serves a variety of defendants.

Active participants

Includes both participants who are compliant and non-compliant in the program, but have not yet been terminated or had their case closed (successful completion, administrative closure, voluntary withdrawal, general discharge, transfer, or failure/termination).

Addiction

See substance dependence

Currently in Operation

Currently: the court is in operation during the time of this survey.

In Operation: the court is providing services or supervision to participants and/or conducting hearings. It does not mean that the court is accepting new participants. However, if that function has ceased, the program is “planning to cease operations.”

Cease Operations

The court will no longer be providing services or supervision to participants and/or conducting hearings within the next 12 months. If the court will be merging with another problem-solving court within the next 12 months consider this court to be “planning to cease operations.”

Drug(s) of Choice

A ranking by preference of drugs of abuse by a drug court participant at a particular point in time.

Electronic Case Management/Information System

Computer-based network used to file, store, and manage case information allowing for queried access to data records.

Exit Type

Successful completion: Participants who have met all requirements of the program and successfully graduated.

Administrative closure: Participants who left the program due to some extenuating circumstance completely outside of the court’s control. Examples of these events would include death and deportation.

Voluntary withdrawal: Participants who voluntarily withdraw from the problem-solving court while in compliance (i.e., the court was not considering termination).

General discharge: Participants who are discharged from the program, including participants who are compliant but unable to meet graduation requirements or those who become incompetent after entering the program.

Transfer: For jurisdictions with multiple treatment courts, this category consists of participants who are terminated from the problem-solving court and transferred to another treatment court. Typically, these

participants were either initially placed incorrectly or other issues arose during treatment or case management to indicate a better fit in another program.

Failure/termination: Participants who do not fulfill the requirements of the program and are thereby terminated. Also included here are participants who withdraw from the program while non-compliant.

Evaluation

An evidence-based assessment of a problem-solving court conducted by a neutral third-party and resulting in documentation and/or a report of findings (e.g. Cost-Benefit, Outcome, Process Evaluation). Applications or certification processes to become a recognized “problem-solving court” within a state are not considered formal evaluations for this Census.

Homeless

An individual who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence and/or an individual who has a primary nighttime residence that is a publically or privately operated shelter, an institution that provides temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized, or a public or private place not designed for, or ordinarily used as, a sleeping accommodation for human beings.

Judicially-supervised Status Hearings

Any appearance by the participant before the problem-solving court’s judicial officer with the purpose to determine the participant’s progress in the program.

Dedicated Judicial Officer

Dedicated: assigned to a court docket or calendar. A dedicated judicial officer is assigned to be responsible for all aspects of the problem-solving court case. It does not require that the assignment is exclusive to the problem-solving court docket.

Judicial Officer: Any official recognized by the court as having the power to make decisions regarding the application of the law. Examples of judicial officers may include but are not limited to: judges, attorney and non-attorney referees, magistrates, and probate registers.

Operations Manual

A document detailing the policies, procedures, and operations necessary to run the problem-solving court.

PTSD

Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) may occur following the experience or witnessing of threatening events such as physical or sexual assaults, military combat, natural disasters, acts of terrorism, or serious accidents. Following the traumatic event or events, some people will develop stress reactions that do not remit and may worsen. People who suffer from PTSD often relive the experience through nightmares and flashbacks, have difficulty sleeping, and feel detached or estranged.

Record Expunged

The removal from the defendant's criminal history record of the conviction that brought the defendant into the problem-solving court.

Schedule

A record tracking participants' behavior and participation in services by date.

Services

Type of programming ordered by the court. Possible service modalities include, but are not limited to: counseling, life skills classes, and medical treatment.

Service Providers

Organizations, agencies, or individuals who are not part of the court that administer or offer services to the participant. Examples would include, but are not limited to: offender or victim services, counseling or treatment services (e.g., support groups), or general supportive services (e.g., social services, housing assistance, life skills training).

SPMI

Serious and Persistent Mental Illness (SPMI), also referred to as Serious Mental Illness (SMI), is defined as having at some time during the past year a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder that met the criteria in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders and interfered with or limited one or more major life activities.

Substance Dependence (Addiction)

A maladaptive pattern of substance use, leading to clinically significant impairment or distress occurring at any time within one year. Impairment may include tolerance, withdrawal, increased usage, an inability to cut back on usage, great amounts of time spent obtaining/using, decrease in social/recreational activities, and continued use despite knowledge of problem.

Census of Problem-Solving Courts



The National Center for State Courts, on behalf of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, is conducting this Census of Problem-Solving Courts. The information you provide in this survey will help to establish an accurate national picture of the organization and operation of problem-solving courts.

Thank you for your participation. Should questions arise while you are completing the survey, please call the NCSC at 800-616-6109 or send an e-mail to CensusPSC@ncsc.org.



OFFICE OF JUSTICE PROGRAMS

BJS



Paperwork Reduction Act Burden Statement: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. If you have comments regarding the survey, or suggestions on how to simplify this form, write to the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, 810 7th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20531.

OMB NO. 1121-0337 / Exp 09/30/2015.

Part I: General Information

1. Does your Problem-Solving Court use a **hybrid approach**? That is, does this single Problem-Solving Court integrate principles from multiple different problem-solving court models? *One such example would be a hybrid DWI/Drug Court.*

IMPORTANT NOTE: Do not check “yes” if you are responsible for overseeing several problem-solving courts. Check “yes” only if the individual court/docket addressed in this survey is a hybrid court/docket. A separate survey should be completed on behalf of each problem-solving court in your jurisdiction. If you are aware of a problem-solving court that has not been separately identified for inclusion in this Census, please notify us at CensusPSC@ncsc.org.

☐ Yes ☐ No

2. **If Yes to #1 above:** Please mark **all** court types below that describe your court (e.g., for a hybrid DWI/Drug Court, check both “Adult Drug” and “DWI/DUI”).

If No to #1 above: Identify the **single** category label that **best** describes your Problem-Solving Court from the list below.

Drug	Community Issues	Youth
<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Community	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Youth/Teen
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Community	<input type="checkbox"/> Truancy
<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Community	
<input type="checkbox"/> Tribal Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> Gun	
<input type="checkbox"/> DWI/DUI	<input type="checkbox"/> Prostitution	
<input type="checkbox"/> Campus Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> Gambling	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Homelessness	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Gang	
Co-Occurring	Reentry	Veterans
<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Co-occurring disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Reentry	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Veterans
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Co-occurring disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Reentry	<input type="checkbox"/> Veterans Drug Treatment
<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Co-occurring disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Reentry	<input type="checkbox"/> Veterans Mental Health Treatment
	<input type="checkbox"/> Reentry Drug	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Parole Violation	

(list continues on next page)

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Mental Health	Domestic Relations	Other
<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Mental Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Violence	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Treatment
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Mental Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Integrated Domestic Violence	<input type="checkbox"/> (General) Problem-Solving
<input type="checkbox"/> Juvenile Mental Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Family Dependency/ Family Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
	<input type="checkbox"/> Elder Abuse	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Child Support/Fathering	

3. Is your Problem-Solving Court a pilot program?

☐ Yes ☐ No

4. Please provide name and contact information for your court.

- a. Name of your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- b. Street address for your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- c. City of your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- d. State of your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- e. Zip code for your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- f. Phone number for your Problem-Solving Court: _____
- g. Your professional information:
 - i. Your name (first and last): _____
 - ii. Your position title: _____
 - iii. Your organizational affiliation: _____
 - iv. Your e-mail address: _____
 - v. Your phone number: _____

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Part II: Court Operations

5. Does your Problem-Solving Court...

a. ...operate within the judiciary (i.e., as part of the judicial rather than executive branch)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

b. ...have a dedicated docket/calendar?

☐ Yes

☐ No

c. ...have a judge(s)/judicial officer(s) (i.e., judicial officer oversees proceedings has the authority of the court)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If you answered "YES" to 5a, 5b, and 5c, skip to Question 9 on the next page.

If you answered "NO" to any of the above, please continue on this page.

6. Is adjudication and sentencing a bifurcated process? For example, the traditional court may handle the case for adjudication, but the Problem-Solving Court may oversee sentencing.

☐ Yes

☐ No

7. Does the adjudication component of the process operate within the judiciary (i.e., as part of the judicial rather than executive branch)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

8. Does a judicial officer (e.g., someone who has the same authority as a judge) preside over the adjudication component of the process?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

9. Does your Problem-Solving Court...

	Yes	No
...have a dedicated prosecutor(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
...have a dedicated defense attorney(s)/public defender(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
...have dedicated court staff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
...have a dedicated operations manager/program coordinator?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
...have an operations manual for the court? A manual provides a clear model for operating the court and includes all Problem-Solving Court policies.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
...have a mission statement?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

10. At what level of government does your Problem-Solving Court operate?

- ☐ Municipal
- ☐ County
- ☐ District/Circuit
- ☐ State
- ☐ Other: _____

11. Has your Problem-Solving Court been officially certified by a state-level agency (or otherwise approved as meeting prescribed PSC standards set by the state)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Don't know

Optional comments:

12. Were stakeholders (e.g. judge, attorneys, service providers) involved in the planning of this Problem-Solving Court?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No (**skip to Question 14**)
- ☐ Information about planning efforts is not available (**skip to Question 14**)

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

13. Which stakeholders were part of the planning process?

	Yes	No	Don't Know
Judge(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Prosecuting attorney(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Defense attorney(s)/public defender(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Court administrator(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Probation or other supervision agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service provider(s) (e.g., offender/victim services, counseling or treatment services, or general supportive services)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

14. How many full-time and part-time staff members are currently employed by your Problem-Solving Court?

This count should not include those fully employed by an external agency (e.g., community service provider or other government agency), but those paid, at least in part, through the judiciary.

a. Number of full-time Problem-Solving Court personnel: _____

☐ Don't know number of full-time personnel

b. Number of part-time Problem-Solving Court personnel: _____

☐ Don't know number of part-time personnel

15. How frequently is the Problem-Solving Court in session?

☐ Daily

☐ More than once a week but less than daily

☐ Weekly

☐ More than once a month but less than weekly

☐ Monthly

☐ Other: _____

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

16. What key underlying problem(s) is your Problem-Solving Court designed to address in your community?
Check all that apply.

- ☐ Substance use/abuse-related issues(s)
- ☐ Behavioral addiction(s) (e.g., gambling addiction)
- ☐ Mental health issue(s)
- ☐ Quality-of-life or nuisance offense(s) (e.g., homelessness)
- ☐ Poor offender compliance with court orders (e.g., restitution, child support, fines paid in full)
- ☐ Challenges that hinder access to the judicial system for certain underprivileged populations
(please list the type of underprivileged population): _____
- ☐ Challenges faced by offenders seeking to integrate back into the community
- ☐ Victim safety
- ☐ Other: _____

17. Is expertise in the relevant problem-solving area a mandatory qualification for at least some new professional staff positions within the Problem-Solving Court?

For example, the drug court judge has professional experience working with individuals with substance abuse or addiction problems or the mental health court program coordinator has an educational background in the psychological sciences.

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

Training. Training for stakeholders can range from a formal training curriculum to informal brown bag sessions on key topics such as underlying causes of the offender's justice system involvement, relevant health or behavioral problems like drug addiction, mental illness, sex offending, domestic violence.

18. For each of the following stakeholders, does your Problem-Solving Court mandate training specific to the needs of program participants?

	Yes	No	Don't Know	N/A
Dedicated judicial officer(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dedicated prosecutor(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dedicated defense attorney(s)/public defender(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dedicated court staff	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dedicated operations manager/program coordinator	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

19. Please check all case types (subject matters) that fall under the jurisdiction of your Problem-Solving Court.

- ☐ Criminal (include criminal charges for domestic violence, abuse, or neglect),
- ☐ Family/Domestic Relations (include dependency, orders of protection, adjudication of parental rights, custody, visitation)
- ☐ Juvenile (include delinquency, or status offenses)
- ☐ Other: _____

20. Please check all levels of offenses that apply.

- ☐ Felony
- ☐ Misdemeanor
- ☐ Delinquency
- ☐ Status Offense
- ☐ Other: _____

21. Is your Problem-Solving Court currently admitting participants?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

22. When did/will your Problem-Solving Court admit its **first** participant?

Month: _____ Year: _____

23. Is your Problem-Solving Court currently preparing to cease operations?

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: When did/will your Problem-Solving Court admit its **last** participant?

Month: _____ Year: _____

24. If your Problem-Solving Court was/is a pilot program, when was/will your Problem-Solving Court's pilot phase be over?

Month: _____ Year: _____

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

25. Is this existing Problem-Solving Court merging operations with another problem-solving court?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: Please enter the following information about the new Problem-Solving Court.

a. Name of merged Problem-Solving Court:

b. Phone number for this court:

c. Admitting first participant as of (month/year):

Contact person (e.g., program coordinator) for this court:

i. Position title:

ii. Organizational affiliation:

iii. E-mail address:

iv. Phone number:

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

If your Problem-Solving Court has not yet begun admitting participants, please answer the subsequent questions to the best of your ability and base your responses on your program's theorized model.

26. **Funding.** Please indicate how your Problem-Solving Court is currently funded by checking the box in the "Funding Source" column for each active source of funding for your court in the 2012 calendar year. Then, in the second column, for only those funding sources you checked, please indicate what percentage of your court's funding for the calendar year 2012 came from each, totaling 100%. If you do not know the exact percentages, please provide an estimate and check the estimate box below the question.

Funding Source	Percentage
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent item in state government budget	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Permanent item in local government budget	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Federal start-up fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Federal enhancement fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Federal block grant funding	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> State start-up fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> State enhancement fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Private foundation start-up fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Private foundation enhancement fund(s)/grant(s)	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> In-kind services	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Client fees	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	_____

- ☐ Check this box if the percentages you listed above are **estimates** only.
- ☐ Check this box if you **don't know** funding information and cannot estimate.

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

27. **Commonly used services.** Please identify the types of services commonly used by active participants in your Problem-Solving Court. For the purpose of this Census, “commonly used” services are those **services that are used by at least 10%** of your active Problem-Solving Court program participants. Check all that apply.

OFFENDER/VICTIM SERVICES:

- ☐ Batterer program
- ☐ Anger management
- ☐ Prostitution program
- ☐ Victim-defendant mediation
- ☐ Other offender/victim services: _____

COUNSELING OR TREATMENT SERVICES:

- ☐ Treatment readiness program
- ☐ Individual counseling
- ☐ Emergency psychiatric services (crisis stabilization)
- ☐ Inpatient mental health treatment
- ☐ Outpatient mental health treatment
- ☐ Substance abuse treatment – less than 90 days
- ☐ Substance abuse treatment – 90 days or more
- ☐ Integrated substance abuse and mental health treatment
- ☐ Medication (e.g., methadone, buprenorphin) as a treatment strategy
- ☐ Cognitive behavioral therapy
- ☐ Other counseling/treatment services: _____

GENERAL SUPPORTIVE SERVICES:

- ☐ Employment readiness program or other job-related training
- ☐ Health education
- ☐ Life skills (e.g., parenting, self-management, decision-making, risk reduction)
- ☐ GED-related class
- ☐ Financial counseling services
- ☐ Assistance in locating housing
- ☐ Assistance in financing housing
- ☐ Assistance in accessing benefits (e.g., Medicaid, SSI, SSDI, veterans)
- ☐ Transportation (e.g., bus fare, rides to program-related appointments)
- ☐ Child care during program appointments
- ☐ Supported employment
- ☐ Court sponsored “alumni” groups
- ☐ Civil (legal) services assistance
- ☐ Cultural, ethnic, or racial-specific services
- ☐ Other supportive service(s): _____

28. Is your program designed to serve:

- ☐ Females only
- ☐ Males only
- ☐ Both males and females

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

29. **Eligibility.** Other than case type, what characteristics are required to establish participant eligibility or ineligibility for your court? For each item listed below:

- Check “**Eligible**” if the item is required to establish participant eligibility for your court program.
- Check “**Ineligible**” if the item disqualifies a participant from the court program.
- Check “**Not applicable**” if the item is not a factor in determining participant eligibility for your court program.

Prior criminal history	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Prior conviction for a violent offense	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Prior conviction for a sex offense	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other prior criminal history (describe): _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Mental health-related criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Clinical diagnosis (e.g., SPMI or specific disorder such as schizophrenia, PTSD)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Intellectual or developmental disorder (e.g., mental retardation, autism, traumatic brain injury)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other mental health criteria (describe): _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Substance-related criteria	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Diagnosis of addiction or substance dependency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Drug of choice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other substance related criteria (describe): _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Behavioral addiction	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Gambling	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other behavioral addiction (describe): _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Residential status	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Homeless or imminent risk of becoming homeless	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other	Eligible	Ineligible	Not applicable
Other (describe): _____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Point of entry.

30. At what point(s) in the justice system process are participants admitted into your Problem-Solving Court? Check all that apply.

- ☐ Pre-plea
- ☐ Post-plea/pre-sentence
- ☐ Post-plea/condition of sentence
- ☐ Post-conviction/pre-sentence
- ☐ Post-sentence
- ☐ Post-release
- ☐ Upon filing or case initiation
- ☐ After order issued (temporary or final)
- ☐ After adjudication of relief (e.g., custody, visitation)
- ☐ Post-plea/pre-disposition
- ☐ Post-disposition,
- ☐ Other: _____

31. If your Problem-Solving Court has multiple points of entry, please identify the most common point of entry for participants admitted to your Problem-Solving Court. Check only one point of entry.

- ☐ Pre-plea
- ☐ Post-plea/pre-sentence
- ☐ Post-plea/condition of sentence
- ☐ Post-conviction/pre-sentence
- ☐ Post-sentence
- ☐ Post-release
- ☐ Upon filing or case initiation
- ☐ After order issued (temporary or final)
- ☐ After adjudication of relief (e.g., custody, visitation)
- ☐ Post-plea/pre-disposition
- ☐ Post-disposition,
- ☐ Other: _____

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

32. Are Problem-Solving Court participants required to attend ongoing case management or probation supervision meetings?

☐ Yes ☐ No

33. Are Problem-Solving Court participants required to attend regular judicially-supervised status hearings?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: As part of these regular judicially-supervised status hearings, does the Problem-Solving Court judge directly interact with participants (e.g., by conversing with each participant to affirm his/her understanding of responsibilities or to discuss behavioral progress)?

☐ Yes ☐ No

34. Does your Problem-Solving Court obtain regular updates from service providers on participant progress?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: Does the court use indicators of participant progress from service providers to modify the case/treatment plan (e.g., to change service quantity or quality)?

☐ Yes ☐ No

35. Does a team (or representatives from collaborating agencies) meet informally/formally to review cases in preparation for each judicially-supervised status hearing?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes: Are the following Problem-Solving Court stakeholders typically represented in these case review meetings?

Stakeholder	Yes	No
Judge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Prosecuting attorney(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Defense attorney(s)/public defender(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Probation/supervising agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Law enforcement agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service provider(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

36. Does your Problem-Solving Court maintain a schedule linking participant...

a. ...compliance/achievements with a continuum of incentives?

☐ Yes

☐ No

b. ...noncompliance with a continuum of appropriate sanctions?

☐ Yes

☐ No

37. **Case closure.** What are the benefits for participants who successfully complete your Problem-Solving Court program? Check all that apply.

☐ Case dismissed

☐ Sentence is suspended (in part or full)

☐ Record expunged

☐ Expedited settlement or placement

☐ Other scenario: _____

38. **Capacity.** How many active participants is your Problem-Solving Court program designed to handle at any one time?

_____ Participants

☐ Check this box if the number is an estimate only.

39. **Active participants.** How many active participants are currently in your Problem-Solving Court program?

_____ Participants

☐ Check this box if the number is an estimate only.

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Part III: Data Collection Practices

40. Does your Problem-Solving Court use an electronic case management/information system?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If Yes: Does this case management/information system allow data to be...

a. ...shared with external agencies (e.g., service providers)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

b. ...entered by external agencies (e.g. service providers)?

☐ Yes

☐ No

41. Does your Problem-Solving Court track participant outcomes after graduation?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If Yes, please answer the following.

a. For how many months after graduation does your court track each participant's outcomes?
_____ months

b. Does your court share program-level data on participant outcomes with key stakeholders?

☐ Yes

☐ No

42. Which of the following types of evaluations has been conducted on your Problem-Solving Court by an external party?

☐ Process evaluation

☐ Outcome/Impact evaluation

☐ Other: _____

☐ Don't know

☐ None

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Please answer each question based only on data from the 2012 calendar year. If you do not have precise values, please indicate that you are providing an estimate *or* indicate that you do not have these data available and cannot estimate by marking the appropriate box. If your Problem-Solving Court has not yet begun to admit participants, skip this section.

43. How many participants were **admitted** to your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year?

_____ **admitted**

- ☐ Check this box if the above number is an estimate only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.
☐ Check this box if the data element is not applicable (e.g., your court didn't exist in 2012).

44. How many participants **exited** your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year?

_____ **exited**

- ☐ Check this box if the above number is an estimate only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.
☐ Check this box if the data element is not applicable (e.g., your court didn't exist in 2012).

If your answer to Question 44 was greater than 0, please answer a-e.

a. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in 2012, how many exited by...

- | | | | |
|------|-----------------------------------|-------|---|
| i. | successful completion/graduation? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |
| ii. | administrative closure? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |
| iii. | voluntary withdrawal? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |
| iv. | general discharge? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |
| v. | transfer? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |
| vi. | failure/termination? | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> Data element is not applicable |

- ☐ Check this box if the above numbers are estimates only.
☐ Check this box if no data are available and you cannot offer informed estimates.

b. Of all participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what was the **average length (in days)** from admission to exit?

_____ **days**

- ☐ Check this box if the above number is an estimate only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.

c. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage was identified as **female**?

_____ **% female**

- ☐ Check this box if the above percentage is an estimate only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.
☐ Check this box if the data element is not applicable.

Census of Problem-Solving Courts

- d. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as...

White? _____%

Black or African American? _____%

Asian? _____%

American Indian or Alaska Native? _____%

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander? _____%

- ☐ Check this box if the above percentages are estimates only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.
☐ Check this box if the data element is not applicable.

- e. Of these participants who exited your Problem-Solving Court in the 2012 calendar year, what percentage were identified as **Hispanic/Latino**?

_____ % Hispanic/Latino

- ☐ Check this box if the above percentage is an estimate only.
☐ Check this box if no data is available and you cannot offer an informed estimate.
☐ Check this box if the data element is not applicable.

Thank you for completing the Census of Problem-Solving Courts survey!

Please return this completed form using any of the methods listed below.

Fax:

(757) 564-2081

ATTN: Census of Problem-Solving Courts

Email:

CensusPSC@ncsc.org

Postal mail:

ATTN: Census of Problem-Solving Courts

National Center for State Courts

300 Newport Avenue

Williamsburg, VA 23185

If you are aware of any other problem-solving courts in your jurisdiction who did not receive this survey, please let us know! Send us an email at CensusPSC@ncsc.org with the court's name and a contact person's name and email address.