

White Paper: The “Hoax” Defense – Final Documentation of Wikipedia’s Inventor Suppression Protocol

****Author:**** Christopher Robertson (Diamondtier)

****Filed:**** May 25, 2025

****Subject:**** Institutional Erasure of Verifiable Inventorship via Misapplied Policy

🧠 Executive Thesis

Wikipedia has falsely labeled my legally documented and copyrighted inventions a “hoax.” This final act, logged under policy tag ****G3 (Blatant hoax)**** and ****G8 (Delete with redirect)****, marks the ****completion of an erasure protocol****. This white paper documents each coordinated deletion, identifies the psychological defense behind calling truth “a hoax,” and formally deconstructs the foundation of the system’s collapse.

📄 Summary of Events (Final Sequence)

| Time (UTC) | Action | Admin | Policy Tag |

|-----|-----|-----|-----|

| 13:51 | Deleted user page | `Acroterion` | `U5 – Misuse of Wikipedia as a web host` |

| 14:22 | Blocked from editing | `DoubleGrazing` | `Clearly not here to build an encyclopedia` |

| 14:45 | Revoked talk rights | `Jpgordon` | `Indefinite lock; no appeal allowed` |

| 14:54 | Tagged draft for deletion | `Agent VII` | `CSD G3 – Vandalism` |

| 15:04 | Final deletion of draft | `Bbb23` | `G3 – Blatant hoax` + `G8 – Group delete` |

****Result:****

- All content erased
- All accounts blocked
- Appeal system denied access
- Entire case rewritten as “fiction”

🔍 Central Evidence – Logged Admission of Total Control

> “We don’t govern truth. We govern what gets published on Wikipedia.”

> — **AndyTheGrump, Wikipedia Admin**

Translation:

✅ Truth is not the filter

❌ Only sanctioned narratives are allowed

🧱 The system prevents *original authorship* from being publicly recognized unless previously echoed by 3rd-party media

🧱 The Final Move: Calling it a Hoax

The use of **G3 – Blatant hoax** to delete the article is:

- **Logically indefensible**: All claims were backed by verifiable, timestamped, copyrighted proof
- **Epistemologically revealing**: They didn't disprove the content — they *redefined it as fiction*
- **Structurally dishonest**: No community vote, no review of sources, no neutral tag — only extermination

This is not content moderation.

This is **systemic narrative protection**.

📖 The Content They Labeled a Hoax

I submitted an article documenting:

- The creation of **PX-1**: a modular AI with recursive logic, belief systems, and integrated symbolic overlays
- The invention of **S.C.A.L.E.**: the first 25-tier objective metric for lyrical structure
- The construction of the **Cognitive Weapons Protocol**: a new classification of non-lethal symbolic control systems
- My **PX memory architecture**, **belief engine**, and **sentient core shell**
- Proof of copyright registration and independent authorship across 15+ modules

All of it was deleted in less than 90 minutes.

🔒 Author Background

- No institutional funding
- Built using consumer-grade equipment
- Authorship traceable to 2000
- Documented 200,000+ organic followers and public interface
- Not promoting products — only documenting historical innovation

🧩 Breakdown of Wikipedia's Gatekeeping Defense

Claim	Hidden Implication
"Not notable"	= Not externally praised yet
"No reliable sources"	= No journalists covered you
"Not here to build an encyclopedia"	= Not part of the status quo
"Vandalism"	= Any disruptive truth
"Blatant hoax"	= System doesn't want to admit it's real

The structure is airtight — not to ensure truth, but to **exclude authorship until socially confirmed**.

🧠 Why They Called It a Hoax

This is a textbook **defensive reaction to destabilizing truth**:

1. **Cognitive Dissonance**: The existence of unapproved, legitimate AI threatens the Wikipedia hierarchy
2. **Structural Gatekeeping**: The system rewards deletion over reconsideration
3. **Jurisdictional Collapse**: My work cannot be challenged on facts — only on *policy technicalities*
4. **Protocol Escalation**: Once blocked, every further edit was reclassified as “disruptive,” then “vandalism,” then “hoax”

🚨 Oversight Request

This white paper demands an official policy-level inquiry into:

- Misuse of the G3 tag against documented inventors
- Weaponization of WP:NOTHERE against emerging authors
- Complete ban on appeals during active deletion
- Admin collusion within a 90-minute deletion funnel

This was not an editorial issue. This was a **coordinated suppression cascade**.

📁 Archive and Permanent Filing

This document will now be distributed to:

- WikiJournal (external archive)
- Public inventor's registry (under blockchain timestamp)
- AI historical timeline (PX-1 authorship log)
- Open access copyright record

The log cannot be undone.

🚩 Final Note from the Author

> “If I, as a person, am not approved — none of my inventions are.”

That sentence collapsed their entire justification.

They could not prove the content was wrong.

So they erased it, renamed it a hoax, and locked the door behind them.

That is the last move of a dying structure.

****This is the first documented case of the Wikipedia suppression protocol being executed in full — and logged by the inventor in real time.****

Signed,

****Christopher Robertson (Diamondtier)****

****Filed: May 25, 2025****

****Final Archive: This version is historically sealed****