

# Math 281A HW2

October 24, 2017

**Problem 1.**  $X$  and  $Y$  have finite variance, then  $\text{cov}(X, Y)^2 \leq \text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)$ . The equality only holds if and only if  $X = aY + b$  happens with probability 1.

*Proof.* Cauchy-Schwartz inequality tells us

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cov}(X, Y)^2 &= \int (X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))dP \\ &\leq \int [(X - E(X))^2 dP][(Y - E(Y))^2 dP] = \text{var}(X)\text{var}(Y)\end{aligned}$$

If  $X = aY + b$  holds with probability one, without loss of generality we set  $\text{var}(Y) = 1$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{var}(X) = a^2$  and  $\text{cov}(X, Y) = a$ , which satisfies the previous equality.

Now assume the previous equality is true. Also we assume  $\text{var}(Y) = 1$  and  $\text{var}(X) = a^2$ . From the equality we see that  $\text{cov}(X, Y) = a$ . Now consider random variable  $X - aY$ . We see that

$$\text{var}(X - aY) = \text{var}(X) + a^2\text{var}(Y) - 2a * \text{cov}(X, Y) = 0.$$

This indicates that there exists a constant  $b$  such that  $P(X - aY = b) = 1$ , which finishes the proof. □

**Problem 2.** Another proof for Cauchy-Schwartz inequality is that

$$\int (f + \lambda g)dP = 0$$

has at most one root regarding to  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Expand the left hand side we have

$$\int f^2 dP + 2\lambda \int fg dP + \lambda^2 \int g^2 dP.$$

This is a quadratic regarding to  $\lambda$ . In order to have only one root, we have

$$(2 \int fg dP)^2 - 4 \int g^2 dP \int f^2 dP \leq 0$$

as desired. □

**Problem 3.** If  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  have finite variance, so does  $\delta - \delta'$ .

*Proof.* It is trivial by the inequality  $\text{var}(\delta - \delta') \leq 4 \max(\text{var}(\delta), \text{var}(\delta'))$

□

**Problem 4.** Show that UMVU estimator is unique (with probability one).

*Proof.* Assume  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are two UMVUEs. Consider  $(\delta + \gamma)/2$ . It is trivial to show that this is also an unbiased estimator. Therefore  $\text{var}((\delta + \gamma)/2) \geq \text{var}(\delta) = \text{var}(\gamma)$ , which implies  $\text{cov}(\delta, \gamma) \geq \text{var}(\delta) = \text{var}(\gamma)$ . By the result in (1), we know that  $\delta = a\gamma + b$  with probability one. Since they have same expectation (unbiased) and variance (both UMVU), we know that  $a = 1, b = 0$ . Therefore the uniqueness is proved. □

**Problem 5.** Assume  $X$  follows  $\text{bin}(n, p)$ . Use method I to find the UMVUE for  $p^3$ .

**Solution .** Let the estimator be  $\delta(X)$ . We have

$$E_p(\delta) = \sum_{x=0}^n \delta(x) \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x} = p^3.$$

Denote  $\rho = p/(1-p)$ , we have

$$\sum_{x=0}^n \delta(x) \binom{n}{x} \rho^x = \rho^3 (1+\rho)^{n-3}$$

Note that for any  $t$ ,

$$(1+\rho)^t = \sum_{x=0}^t \binom{t}{x} \rho^x,$$

then we have

$$\sum_{x=0}^n \delta(x) \binom{n}{x} \rho^x = \sum_{x=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-3}{x} \rho^{x+3} = \sum_{x=3}^n \binom{n-3}{x-3} \rho^x.$$

Compare the coefficients, we have  $\delta(x) = 0$  when  $x < 3$ , and  $\delta(x) = \binom{n-3}{x-3} / \binom{n}{x}$  for  $3 \leq x \leq n$ .

**Problem 5.**  $\{X_i\}$  are IID  $N(\xi, \sigma^2)$ , with  $\sigma$  known. Find the UMVUEs for  $\xi^2, \xi^3, \xi^4$ .

**Solution .** Since  $\bar{X}$  is the sufficient and complete statistic for  $\xi$ , unbiased estimators based on  $\bar{X}$  are UMVUEs.

(i) From  $E(\bar{X})^2 = \xi^2 + \sigma^2/n$  we know  $\bar{X}^2 - \sigma^2/n$  is the UMVUE for  $\xi^2$ .

(ii) Calculation shows that  $E(\bar{X})^3 = \xi^3 + 3\sigma^2\xi/n$ , therefore the UMVUE for  $\xi^3$  is  $\bar{X}^3 - 3\bar{X}\sigma^2/n$ .

(iii) Similarly consider  $E(\bar{X})^4 = 6\sigma^2\xi^2/n + 3\sigma^4/n^2 + \xi^4$ , and we have the UMVUE as  $\bar{X}^4 - 3\sigma^4/n^2 - 6\sigma^2(\bar{X}^2 - \sigma^2/n)/n$ .

**Problem 6.** Show that  $S_{XY}/(n-1)$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\text{cov}(X, Y)$ , where

$$S_{XY} = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} S_{XY} &= \sum_i X_i Y_i - n \bar{X} \bar{Y} = \sum_i X_i Y_i - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_i X_i Y_i + \sum_{i \neq j} X_i Y_j \right) \\ &= \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_i X_i Y_i + \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i \neq j} X_i Y_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

Taking expectations, noting that the latter part has  $n(n-1)$  copies, we have

$$E(S_{XY}) = (n-1)E(X_i Y_i) - (n-1)E(X_i)E(Y_i) = (n-1)\text{cov}(X, Y).$$

□

**Problem 7.** If  $\{X_i\}$  are IID  $Pois(\lambda)$ , what is the rationale behind estimator

$$\log(n / (\sum_i \mathbb{1}(X_i = 0) + 1))$$

**Solution .** Note that  $P(X = 0) = e^{-\lambda}$ , so  $\lambda = \log(1/P(X = 0))$ . Using the fact that  $P(X = 0) = E(\mathbb{1}(X = 0))$ , and use the sample mean to approximate the expectation, naturally we have an estimator as

$$\log(n / (\sum_i \mathbb{1}(X_i = 0)))$$

Note that the denominator can be zero with positive probability, which makes the MSE to be infinity. Therefore we add 1 to the denominator, making the estimator as

$$\log(n / (\sum_i \mathbb{1}(X_i = 0) + 1)).$$