TRADE WAR BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND CHINA IN THE TRUMP ERA AS A TRIGGER FOR GLOBAL FINANCIAL VOLATILITY

[1]Adelya Salsabila
[1]Faculty of Economics and Business, [1]University Muhammadiya Palopo
Email : sadelyaaa29@gmail.com

Abstract
The trade war between the United States and China during the Trump administration marked a significant turning point in global economic relations. Initiated by the imposition of tariffs on Chinese imports, the conflict escalated into a prolonged economic confrontation, impacting global trade flows, investment decisions, and financial market stability. This essay examines how the protectionist policies of the Trump era—centered on tariffs and economic nationalism—disrupted the interdependence of the world's two largest economies and triggered widespread volatility across international financial systems. Through analysis of currency fluctuations, stock market reactions, and shifts in global supply chains, this study highlights the broader implications of bilateral tensions on global financial health. The findings suggest that such geopolitical conflicts not only strain diplomatic relations but also increase uncertainty and risk perception in global markets, emphasizing the interconnectedness of trade policies and financial stability in the modern globalized economy.
Keywords : *Trade War; Trump Era; Global Financial Volatility; Tariffs; China*

A. INTRODUCTION

The trade war between the United States and China during the Trump administration stands as one of the most significant geopolitical and economic events of the past decade. Marked by escalating tariffs and increasingly confrontational rhetoric, this conflict reflected a fundamental shift in U.S. trade policy under President Donald J. Trump, who promoted an "America First" approach rooted in economic nationalism and protectionism. What began as a dispute over trade imbalances and intellectual property quickly evolved into a prolonged economic standoff between the world's two largest economies, with far-reaching consequences for global trade and financial markets. The formal onset of the trade war began in 2018 when the U.S. imposed tariffs on Chinese goods worth billions of dollars, citing unfair trade practices, intellectual property theft, and national security concerns. China responded with retaliatory tariffs, initiating a cycle of escalation that severely disrupted global supply chains. These actions sent shockwaves through international markets, generating uncertainty among businesses, investors, and policymakers worldwide. Unlike conventional trade disputes, which are typically resolved through multilateral institutions such as the World Trade Organization (WTO), the U.S.-China trade war unfolded largely through unilateral actions, further undermining the rules-based global trade system.

One of the most immediate and visible consequences of this trade war was a marked increase in global financial volatility. Market participants reacted strongly to each new round of tariffs and policy announcements, leading to significant fluctuations in stock indices, currency exchange rates, and commodity prices. The heightened uncertainty surrounding the future of U.S.-China economic relations led investors to adopt risk-averse behavior, shifting their portfolios toward safe-haven assets such as gold and U.S. Treasury bonds. Emerging markets, in particular, experienced capital outflows, currency depreciation, and stock market turbulence, highlighting their vulnerability to external shocks originating from the world's major economies. The Trump administration's protectionist stance, including the imposition of tariffs on allies and withdrawal from major trade agreements, reflected a broader retreat from globalization and multilateralism. The aggressive use of tariffs as a bargaining tool disrupted long-standing trade relationships and diminished confidence in the stability of global trade norms. By sidelining institutions like the WTO and engaging in direct confrontations, the Trump administration set a precedent for a more fragmented and contentious global economic order. This departure from cooperative trade diplomacy not only strained bilateral relations with China but also reverberated across global financial systems.

In the broader context of global economic interdependence, the U.S.-China trade war disrupted transnational production networks, altered investment flows, and increased the costs of doing business across industries. Multinational corporations faced challenges in navigating a rapidly shifting trade landscape, while sectors such as technology, manufacturing, and agriculture experienced direct impacts from the tariffs. Moreover, countries that are deeply integrated into global supply chains—especially in Asia—were forced to recalibrate their economic strategies in response to declining demand and shifting trade patterns. The uncertainty surrounding tariff policy also delayed investment decisions and lowered business confidence globally. For developing countries and emerging markets, the effects of the trade war were especially pronounced. These economies faced multiple pressures, including declining export demand, rising inflation from currency depreciation, and increasing capital market volatility. Indonesia, for instance, experienced a weakening of its currency and significant capital outflows during the height of the trade conflict. The volatility spilled over into local stock markets and sovereign debt markets, compelling central banks to adjust interest rates and implement stabilization measures. The interconnected nature of global finance made it nearly impossible for any economy to remain insulated from the effects of the U.S.-China trade war.

International financial institutions, including the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the World Bank, repeatedly downgraded global growth projections between 2018 and 2020, citing trade tensions as a major contributing factor. The erosion of investor confidence, combined with weaker trade flows and investment, placed downward pressure on economic expansion across both advanced and developing economies. In this regard, the U.S.-China trade war not only hindered bilateral trade but also contributed to a broader slowdown in global economic activity, amplifying concerns over recession risks and financial instability.

This study aims to analyze the trade war between the United States and China under the Trump administration as a central driver of global financial volatility. By examining tariff policies, market reactions, and economic consequences across regions, this research seeks to contribute to a deeper understanding of the nexus between trade policy and financial stability. The study highlights the significance of policy predictability, the resilience of international institutions, and the importance of multilateral cooperation in safeguarding global economic health. Ultimately, it offers insights into how major power conflicts can destabilize financial systems worldwide and provides lessons for future economic governance in an increasingly uncertain world.

B. LITERATUR REVIEW

1. The Origins and Strategic Nature of the Trade War

Bown and Kolb (2019) from the Peterson Institute for International Economics argue that the trade war represents a fundamental shift in U.S. trade policy, transitioning from rules-based multilateralism toward a unilateral and transactional approach. Trump's use of Section 301 of the Trade Act of 1974 to impose tariffs was an aggressive move targeting China's industrial policy, particularly the "Made in China 2025" initiative. According to them, the underlying motivation was to curtail China's rise in high-tech industries and to address perceived long-standing trade imbalances. Irwin (2020), a noted trade historian, explains that while trade conflicts are not new in U.S. history, the Trump-era trade war was unique in both scale and method, relying heavily on punitive tariffs as leverage rather than diplomatic negotiation or WTO arbitration. He frames it as a return to 19th-century protectionism under a 21st-century context.

2. Financial Market Responses and Volatility

The relationship between trade policy uncertainty and financial volatility has been extensively studied. Caldara, Iacoviello, Molligo, Prestipino, and Raffo (2020) introduced a Trade Policy Uncertainty (TPU) Index, which found a strong correlation between trade war announcements and spikes in stock market volatility. Using high-frequency data, their research demonstrates how news about tariffs significantly affects asset prices and investor sentiment. Similarly, Huang, Lin, and Zhang (2022) showed that U.S. and Chinese equity markets reacted asymmetrically to tariff announcements. U.S. markets tended to decline following U.S.-initiated tariff hikes, while Chinese markets showed mixed responses, suggesting differing levels of resilience or state-managed interventions.

3. Exchange Rate Movements and Currency Markets

Chen and Luk (2018) explored the effects of the trade war on exchange rate markets, particularly the depreciation of the Chinese yuan (RMB) in response to U.S. tariffs. They argue that the RMB's decline served as a partial buffer against the negative impact of tariffs, albeit at the cost of increasing tensions with the U.S., which accused China of currency manipulation. In the broader context, Brunnermeier, James, and Landau (2018) emphasize how financial globalization causes geopolitical tensions—like trade wars—to rapidly spill into currency markets. The safe-haven status of the U.S. dollar often leads to capital inflows during crises, further complicating global liquidity dynamics and reinforcing volatility in emerging markets.

4. Spillover Effects on Emerging Markets

Emerging economies were particularly vulnerable to the consequences of the trade war. According to the World Bank (2019), economies integrated into global value chains—such as Vietnam, Indonesia, and Mexico—saw both threats and opportunities. On one hand, they experienced financial instability and export uncertainty; on the other, some gained from trade diversion as multinational corporations sought alternatives to Chinese suppliers.

Research by Aizenman, Jinjarak, and Zheng (2021) found that countries with strong trade linkages to both the U.S. and China experienced higher equity market volatility and greater exchange rate pressures. Their empirical analysis concluded that financial volatility in emerging markets during the trade war was not only a result of direct economic exposure but also of investor fear and market speculation.

5. Macroeconomic Outcomes and Global Growth

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) repeatedly downgraded global GDP growth forecasts during 2018–2019, citing trade tensions as a major factor. Gopinath (2020), the IMF's chief economist, emphasized that even the "indirect effects" of trade tensions—such as reduced confidence, investment delay, and technological decoupling—contributed meaningfully to the global slowdown. Furceri, Hannan, Ostry, and Rose (2019) analyzed the macroeconomic effects of tariffs across more than 150 countries and found robust evidence that tariffs lead to persistent declines in output, productivity, and employment. Their work underlined the non-linear and far-reaching consequences of trade protectionism, especially when conducted between the two largest economies in the world.

6. Impact on Commodities and Supply Chains

Soybeans, semiconductors, and rare earth metals were among the most affected commodities. Zhang, Wang, and He (2020) documented the dramatic decline in U.S. soybean exports to China, which dropped over 75% in 2018 compared to previous years. Supply chain literature, including work by Antràs (2020), highlighted that firms began reconfiguring global supply networks in response to tariff uncertainty—a process known as "reshoring" or "friend-shoring." Multinational firms shifted investments to countries like Vietnam and India, but such transitions are slow, expensive, and often less efficient. As a result, global supply chains faced both temporary disruptions and long-term restructuring costs.

7. Policy and Institutional Responses

The WTO's inability to resolve the trade war prompted questions about its relevance. According to Evenett and Baldwin (2020), the failure to prevent escalation illustrated the decline of multilateralism and the rise of great power economic rivalries. They argue that without stronger institutions, future trade disputes could lead to even more dangerous economic fragmentation. Central banks responded by easing monetary policy. The U.S. Federal Reserve cut interest rates in 2019, partly citing global uncertainty linked to the trade war. Similar measures were adopted by the European Central Bank and various central banks in Asia and Latin America, as documented by BIS reports (2019–2020).

C. RESEARCH METHODOLGY

This study employs a qualitative research design using the library research method as the primary approach. Library research, or literature review, involves the systematic collection, analysis, and interpretation of secondary data obtained from a wide range of scholarly sources. In the context of this paper, the method is chosen to explore and synthesize existing academic discourse and empirical studies regarding the trade war between the United States and China during the Trump administration and its impact on global financial volatility. The rationale for using library research lies in its capacity to provide comprehensive insights by integrating findings from diverse perspectives. The trade war and its financial implications have been the subject of considerable academic attention, with numerous peer-reviewed journal articles, policy reports, working papers, institutional publications, and books contributing to the scholarly dialogue. By relying on these sources, this study can examine the multifaceted effects of the trade conflict from both theoretical and empirical standpoints.

1. Data for this study were collected from reputable academic databases such as JSTOR, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Wiley Online Library, and Google Scholar. Additionally, institutional reports from organizations such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), World Bank, Bank for International Settlements (BIS), and the Peterson Institute for International Economics (PIIE) were utilized to complement the academic findings with real-world data and forecasts. Selection criteria for sources included relevance to the topic, credibility of the publisher or author, and publication within the period of 2016 to 2023 to ensure timeliness.
2. The analysis involved several stages. First, an initial review of abstracts and executive summaries was conducted to filter out unrelated or low-relevance materials. Next, a detailed reading of selected texts was undertaken to extract relevant data, arguments, and conclusions. These were categorized under key thematic areas such as trade policy uncertainty, financial market volatility, exchange rate movements, macroeconomic implications, and institutional responses. Emphasis was placed on studies that provided quantitative data or strong theoretical frameworks to enhance the validity and depth of the analysis.
3. Furthermore, a comparative thematic synthesis was used to identify patterns and divergences in the existing literature. This approach allowed the researcher to assess the consistency of findings across different contexts and disciplines, highlighting both consensus and contested viewpoints. The method also helped uncover gaps in the current body of knowledge, thereby framing the study's contributions and limitations.
4. In summary, the library research methodology enabled a rigorous and structured examination of the topic without the need for primary data collection. It facilitated a multidimensional understanding of how the U.S.–China trade war during the Trump era influenced financial systems around the world. By integrating a wide range of scholarly and institutional perspectives, this methodological approach ensures that the study is

grounded in evidence-based reasoning and contributes meaningfully to the academic discourse on international trade and finance.

D. RESEARCH AND DISCUSSION

1. Historical Context of the U.S.–China Trade War

The roots of the trade tensions between the United States and China trace back decades, but they reached a peak during the Trump administration. Historically, the U.S. accused China of unfair trade practices, including intellectual property theft, forced technology transfers, and large trade imbalances. With China's rapid industrial growth and increasing global economic influence, the U.S. perceived a threat to its economic dominance. President Donald Trump adopted a protectionist and nationalist economic approach labeled "America First," which emphasized reducing trade deficits and bringing manufacturing back to the U.S. As a result, a series of tariffs and counter-tariffs were imposed from 2018 to 2020, marking the beginning of an intense trade war.

2. Policy Measures and Tariff Escalation

Between 2018 and 2020, the U.S. imposed tariffs on more than $360 billion worth of Chinese goods, while China retaliated with tariffs on over $110 billion of U.S. exports. These actions created an escalating cycle of trade barriers. The tariffs targeted key industries such as electronics, agriculture, steel, and machinery. Notably, American farmers and manufacturers experienced significant disruptions due to retaliatory tariffs, while Chinese exporters faced rising costs and reduced access to the U.S. market. The U.S. government also implemented measures to restrict Chinese investments and banned technology firms like Huawei from operating in the U.S. market, citing national security concerns.

3. Impact on Bilateral Trade and Supply Chains

The trade war led to a sharp decline in U.S.-China bilateral trade. Multinational companies re-evaluated their global supply chains, moving production from China to Southeast Asian nations or back to the U.S. to avoid tariffs. Sectors heavily reliant on cross-border production networks, such as electronics and automotive industries, were particularly affected. Moreover, delays, increased costs, and regulatory uncertainty disrupted business operations. While the Phase One trade deal signed in January 2020 brought temporary relief, it did not resolve core structural issues, such as China's state subsidies and technology policies.

4. Financial Market Volatility and Investor Sentiment

The trade war triggered significant volatility in global financial markets. Stock indices like the S&P 500, Dow Jones Industrial Average, and Shanghai Composite responded sharply to news related to trade negotiations. Investor sentiment oscillated with every tariff announcement or hint of resolution. The uncertainty surrounding trade policy caused capital flight from emerging markets and a surge in demand for safe-haven assets like gold and U.S. Treasury bonds. Moreover, the appreciation of the Japanese yen and Swiss franc during periods of heightened tensions reflected risk-averse behavior among investors.

5. Exchange Rate Movements and Currency Manipulation Accusations

Currency markets were not immune to the shocks of the trade war. In August 2019, the U.S. officially labeled China a currency manipulator after the Chinese yuan weakened past the symbolic threshold of 7 yuan per dollar. Although China denied the accusation, analysts argued that the depreciation was partly due to market forces reacting to trade tensions. Currency devaluation can make exports cheaper and help offset the impact of tariffs, but it can also provoke further retaliation. Exchange rate fluctuations contributed to uncertainty for global traders and added another layer of complexity to international financial transactions.

6. Global Economic Spillovers and Emerging Market Risks

The ripple effects of the trade war were felt far beyond the borders of the U.S. and China. As two of the largest economies in the world, disruptions in their trade flows affected global demand and supply chains. Countries dependent on trade, especially commodity exporters and manufacturing hubs, faced reduced exports, lower investment flows, and declining GDP growth. The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank revised down global growth forecasts multiple times during the height of the trade conflict. Emerging markets suffered currency depreciation, increased inflationary pressures, and capital outflows, exacerbating their economic vulnerabilities.

7. Institutional Responses and Policy Interventions

In response to the trade war's adverse effects, central banks and financial institutions around the world adjusted their policies. The U.S. Federal Reserve cut interest rates three times in 2019 to mitigate the economic slowdown triggered by trade uncertainties. Similarly, the People's Bank of China (PBoC) implemented monetary easing and liquidity support measures to stabilize markets. International organizations such as the WTO and OECD emphasized the need for rules-based multilateralism and dialogue. However, the trade war exposed weaknesses in global trade governance, revealing the limitations of current institutions in resolving complex geopolitical conflicts.

8. Long-Term Implications for Global Trade and Financial Architecture

The U.S.-China trade war has fundamentally altered the trajectory of global trade and finance. It accelerated the decoupling of the world's two largest economies, prompting countries and corporations to rethink their dependence on single markets or production centers. The conflict fueled the rise of economic nationalism and reshaped international alliances. Additionally, the increasing weaponization of trade tools, such as tariffs and export controls, has normalized the use of economic coercion in geopolitical disputes. Going forward, the trade war's legacy will shape future trade agreements, digital economy frameworks, and the evolution of global financial governance

E. CONCLUSSION

The trade war between the United States and China during the Trump administration marked a turning point in the dynamics of global trade and finance. Rooted in long-standing grievances over trade imbalances, intellectual property rights, and national security concerns, the conflict escalated into a full-blown economic confrontation that disrupted global markets, supply chains, and financial stability. The imposition of tariffs and counter-tariffs not only hurt bilateral trade but also triggered widespread uncertainty among investors, leading to increased volatility in stock markets and currency fluctuations. Central banks around the world were forced to adjust their monetary policies to buffer their economies from the shockwaves of the conflict. The ramifications of the trade war went beyond economics. It accelerated the trend of technological decoupling, as the U.S. restricted Chinese access to key technologies and China sought self-reliance. It also revealed the growing use of economic tools as instruments of geopolitical strategy, where trade policy became tightly linked with broader issues of power, influence, and security. As a result, the global trading system became more fragmented, and multilateral institutions like the WTO struggled to manage rising tensions. Ultimately, the U.S.–China trade war highlighted the vulnerability of the global financial system to political conflicts among major economies. It exposed how quickly economic nationalism and protectionism can reverse decades of globalization. Looking ahead, the long-term effects of this trade conflict will continue to shape international economic relations, influencing future trade agreements, financial market behavior, and the architecture of global governance. As such, managing these tensions through dialogue, multilateral cooperation, and institutional reform will be essential to restoring stability and fostering inclusive economic growth in an increasingly multipolar world.

Bibliography

Bhattacharyya, Rajib. "Analysis of the causes of trade war between the US and China and its impact on selected Asian countries." *Global Tariff War: Economic, Political and Social Implications*. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021. 121-139.

Chen, Yong, Jing Fang, and Dingming Liu. "The effects of Trump's trade war on US financial markets." *Journal of International Money and Finance* 134 (2023): 102842.

Cheng, Natalie Fang Ling, et al. "The US-China trade war and the volatility linkages between energy and agricultural commodities." *Energy Economics* 120 (2023): 106605.

Evans, Olaniyi. "The effects of US-China trade war and Trumponomics." *Forum Scientiae Oeconomia*. Vol. 7. No. 1. Wydawnictwo Naukowe Akademii WSB, 2019.

Liu, Kerry. "The effects of the China–US trade war during 2018–2019 on the Chinese economy: an initial assessment." *Economic and Political Studies* 8.4 (2020): 462-481.

Ozdagli, A. K. "Financial Market Implications of the Trade War between the United States and China." *Research Paper* 3 (2019).

Shi, Yujie, Liming Wang, and Jian Ke. "Does the US-China trade war affect co-movements between US and Chinese stock markets?." *Research in International Business and Finance* 58 (2021): 101477.

Steinbock, Dan. "US-China trade war and its global impacts." *China Quarterly of International Strategic Studies* 4.04 (2018): 515-542.

Taskinsoy, John. "Diminishing American Power: The US-China Trade War, Sanctions, and Coronavirus." *Sanctions, and Coronavirus (August 20, 2020)* (2020).

Futher readings

Wara, I. L., Sudirman, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Penerapan Green Accounting Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Pt Asera Tirta Posidonia Kota Palopo. Jesya (Jurnal Ekonomi dan Ekonomi Syariah), 6(2), 1482-1489.

Amrin, E., Rismawati, R., Goso, G., & Asriany, A. (2022). Studi Komparasi Layanan Fintech Dalam Meningkatkan Keuangan Inklusif Pada Umkm Di Kota Palopo. Ecobisma (Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Manajemen), 9(2), 114-125.

Tuhepaly, M., & Rismawati, R. (2021). Pengaruh Kepercayaan, Promosi Dan Kemudahan Terhadap Minat Penggunaan Ovo. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen (JIRM), 10(6).

Musyaffi, A. M., Sari, D. A. P., Amal, M. I., Deswanto, V., & Nuryati, T. (2021). Attitude Toward of Public Hospital Information System: The Role of Technology Readiness. Calitatea, 22(185), 136-141.

Rismawati, R. (2021). Exploration of Tellu Sipa's value in improving online-based abstract sequential thinking ability.

Rismawati, R. (2015). Memaknai Program Corporate Social Responsibility: Suatu Kajian Proses Transformasi Sosial Berbasis Kearifan Lokal. Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma, 6(2), 245-253.

Rismawati, R., Solling, H. R., & Mukhlis, L. U. B. I. S. (2024). Inclusive Policies and Distribution of Green Economic Transformation of Mining Areas: A Regional Development Perspective. 유통과학연구, 22(3), 71-81.

Chintya, M., & Rismawati, R. (2023). Pengaruh Brand Ambassador, Kualitas Produk Dan Citra Merek Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Produk Scarlett Whitening. Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Manajemen (JIRM), 12(7).

Hanipa, S. D., Prabowo, M. A., & Rismawati, R. (2023). Mengintegrasikan Nilai-Nilai Budaya Jawa Dalam Etika Dan Kode Etik Akuntan Publik Untuk Memperkuat Profesionalisme. Jurnal Akuntansi STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 9(2), 221-239.

Sartini, R., Abdillah, P., Sudirman, R., Azwar, K., Priyadi, I. H., Wardhani, R. S., ... & Setiawati, L. P. E. (2023). Akuntansi forensik. Tohar Media.

Syahril, S., Rismawati, R., & Andika, R. (2023). Menelusuri Fungsi Internal Audit dan Sistem Pengendalian Internal Universitas Muhammadiyah Palopo. Menelusuri Fungsi Internal Audit dan Sistem Pengendalian Internal Universitas Muhammadiyah Palopo, 7(1), 01-12.

Sahib, N., Rismawati, R., Rusli, A., & Hapid, H. (2023). Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility Berbasis Pangadarang Wija To Luwu. Jurnal Akademi Akuntansi, 6(1), 79-93.

Patra, I. K., Rachman, M. A., & Rismawati, R. (2024). Kegiatan Ekstrakurikuler dan Keterlibatan Mahasiswa: Dampak terhadap Pembangunan Karakter dan Kemampuan Kepemimpinan. INVENTORY: JURNAL AKUNTANSI, 8(1), 62-72.

Rismawati, R., Nurhaedah, N., & Norris, S. (2023). Personal role in the mediation of entrepreneurship education on interest in entrepreneurship. Ekuilibrium: Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Ilmu Ekonomi, 18(1), 11-21.

Damayanti, Y., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Membangun Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Melalui Budaya 3S (Sipakatau, Sipakalebbi, Sipakainge). Membangun Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility (Csr) Melalui Budaya 3s (Sipakatau, Sipakalebbi, Sipakainge), 14(02), 336-345.

Rismawati, T. I. And Adib, N.(2020)'The Concept Of Social Responsibility Of Non-Profit Organisations, Based On Al Ma'Un Theology'. International Journal Of Innovation, Creativity And Change, 14(1), 221-239.

Haedar, H., & Rismawati, R. (2016). Faktor-faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kemauan untuk Membayar Pajak dengan Kesadaran Membayar Pajak sebagai Variabel Intervening. Equilibrium: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi, Manajemen dan Akuntansi, 4(1).

Sudirman, R., & Amirudin, A. (2012). Perpajakan: Pendekatan Teori dan Praktek Empat Dua Media.

Magfirah, W., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Penetapan Harga Jual Pada Kain Tenun Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Budaya Toraja. Penetapan Harga Jual Pada Kain Tenun Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Budaya Toraja, 6(2), 1411-1417.

Mardin, W., Rismawati, R., & Kassa, M. (2022). Analisis Self Regulation Learning Mahasiswa Akuntansi Terhadap Metode Perkuliahan Daring Selama Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnal Neraca: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu Ekonomi Akuntansi, 6(1), 46-56.

Supriadi, S., & Rismawati, R. (2021). RUMBAQU: Rumah Baca Al Qur'an Sebagai Solusi Ideal Tempat Belajar Bagi Anak Di Era Pandemi COVID-19. SELAPARANG: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat Berkemajuan, 4(3), 867-871.

Sudirman, R., & Sari, I. P. (2020). Pengaruh Fee Audit dan Ukuran KAP terhadap Audit Delay: Studi pada KAP Kota Makassar. Jurnal Universitas Muhammadiyah Palopo.

Rismawati, R., & Asriani, A. R. (2016). Pengaruh Internal Audit Terhadap Penerapan Good Corporate Governance Pada Pt. Fif Cabang Palopo. Jurnal Akuntansi STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 2(1).

Rismawati, R., Fajar, D. A. P., & Rachman, M. A. (2023). Pengaruh Pendidikan Keberlanjutan dan Perubahan Sikap Lingkungan terhadap Tindakan Berkelanjutan Mahasiswa. Journal of Culture Accounting and Auditing, 2(2), 69-78.

Ilyas, G. B., Widiawati, K., SUHAIMI, S., RISMAWATI, R., BUDIYANTI, S., & AZIZURROHMAN, M. (2023). Exploring a New Destination Image: A Case Study of Suranadi Village. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 14(6), 2659-2672.

Nur, T. A., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Pengaruh Audit Operasional Dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Efektivitas Pelayanan Kesehatan Dirumah Sakit Mujaisyah. Owner: Riset dan Jurnal Akuntansi, 7(3), 1939-1947.

Supri, Z., Kurniadi, W., Sudirman, R., & Goso, G. (2023). SIKENA: Digitalization of BUMDes management in Mario Village. Community Empowerment, 8(6), 781-786.

Abdulah, M., & Rismawati, R. (2023). Pengaruh Pelatihan, Disiplin Kerja dan Reward Terhadap Produktivitas Karyawan PT. Maju Makmur Utama. Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research, 3(2), 13135-13150.

Damayanti, Y., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Membangun Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) Melalui Budaya 3S (Sipakatau, Sipakalebbi, Sipakainge). Membangun Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility (Csr) Melalui Budaya 3s (Sipakatau, Sipakalebbi, Sipakainge), 14(02), 336-345.

Sapar, S., Rismawati, R., & Adrian, A. (2016). Faktor-Faktor Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Produksi Kakao Di Kecamatan Bua Kabupaten Luwu. Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 2(1).

Rismawati, R., & Jasman, J. (2016). Pengaruh penerapan metode pembelajaran kooperatif berbasis kasus yang berpusat pada mahasiswa terhadap efektivitas pembelajaran perpajakan. Jurnal Akuntansi STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 1(2).

Rismawati, S. Perbandingan Perlakuan Pajak Dan Zakat Pada Produk Keuangan Islami Mudharabah Dan Murabahah.

Bahri, S. (2024). Tracing The Meaning Of Rastra Sewakottama's Philosophy As A Tool For Controlling Violations In Police Management (Study At Palopo City Police Station). JRMSI-Jurnal Riset Manajemen Sains Indonesia, 15(01), 98-108.

Agshari, Z., & Rismawati, R. (2024). Application of Green Accounting Concepts through Accounting Education in Support of Green Economy. Application of Green Accounting Concepts through Accounting Education in Support of Green Economy, 34(3), 1-13.

Rismawati, S. E., & Mattalata, S. E. (2018). Evaluasi Kinerja: Penilaian Kinerja Atas Dasar Prestasi Kerja Berorientasi Kedepan (Vol. 1). Celebes Media Perkasa.

Hanipa, S. D., Prabowo, M. A., & Rismawati, R. (2023). Mengintegrasikan Nilai-Nilai Budaya Jawa Dalam Etika Dan Kode Etik Akuntan Publik Untuk Memperkuat Profesionalisme. Jurnal Akuntansi STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 9(2), 221-239.

Amrin, E., Rismawati, R., Goso, G., & Asriany, A. (2022). Studi Komparasi Layanan Fintech Dalam Meningkatkan Keuangan Inklusif Pada Umkm Di Kota Palopo. Ecobisma (Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Manajemen), 9(2), 114-125.

Sahib, N., Rismawati, R., Rusli, A., & Hapid, H. (2023). Konsep Corporate Social Responsibility Berbasis Pangadarang Wija To Luwu. Jurnal Akademi Akuntansi, 6(1), 79-93.

Sartini, R., Abdillah, P., Sudirman, R., Azwar, K., Priyadi, I. H., Wardhani, R. S., ... & Setiawati, L. P. E. (2023). Akuntansi forensik. Tohar Media.

Syahril, S., Sudirman, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Menelusuri Fungsi Internal Audit dan Sistem Pengendalian Internal Universitas Muhammadiyah Palopo. Inventory: Jurnal Akuntansi, 7(1), 1-12.

Rismawati, R., Nurhaedah, N., & Norris, S. (2023). Personal role in the mediation of entrepreneurship education on interest in entrepreneurship. Ekuilibrium: Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Ilmu Ekonomi, 18(1), 11-21.

Magfirah, W., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Penetapan Harga Jual Pada Kain Tenun Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Budaya Toraja. Penetapan Harga Jual Pada Kain Tenun Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Budaya Toraja, 6(2), 1411-1417.

Mardin, W., Rismawati, R., & Kassa, M. (2022). Analisis Self Regulation Learning Mahasiswa Akuntansi Terhadap Metode Perkuliahan Daring Selama Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnal Neraca: Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu Ekonomi Akuntansi, 6(1), 46-56.

Rismawati, R., & Asriani, A. R. (2016). Pengaruh Internal Audit Terhadap Penerapan Good Corporate Governance Pada PT. FIF CABANG PALOPO. Jurnal Akuntansi STIE Muhammadiyah Palopo, 2(1).

Rismawati, R., Fajar, D. A. P., & Rachman, M. A. (2023). Pengaruh Pendidikan Keberlanjutan dan Perubahan Sikap Lingkungan terhadap Tindakan Berkelanjutan Mahasiswa. Journal of Culture Accounting and Auditing, 2(2), 69-78.

Ilyas, G. B., Widiawati, K., SUHAIMI, S., RISMAWATI, R., BUDIYANTI, S., & AZIZURROHMAN, M. (2023). Exploring a New Destination Image: A Case Study of Suranadi Village. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 14(6), 2659-2672.

Nur, T. A., Rismawati, R., & Rusli, A. (2023). Pengaruh Audit Operasional Dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Efektivitas Pelayanan Kesehatan Dirumah Sakit Mujaisyah. Owner: Riset dan Jurnal Akuntansi, 7(3), 1939-1947.

Abdulah, M., & Rismawati, R. (2023). Pengaruh Pelatihan, Disiplin Kerja dan Reward Terhadap Produktivitas Karyawan PT. Maju Makmur Utama. Innovative: Journal Of Social Science Research, 3(2), 13135-13150.

Rismawati, R. (2023). Evaluating Student Insights, Attitudes, and Understandings on Green Accounting within the Green Economy Framework. Evaluating

Student Insights, Attitudes, and Understandings on Green Accounting within the Green Economy Framework, 33(10), 2565-2577.

Rismawati, R. (2023). Evaluating Student Insights, Attitudes, and Understandings on Green Accounting within the Green Economy Framework. Evaluating Student Insights, Attitudes, and Understandings on Green Accounting within the Green Economy Framework, 33(10), 2565-2577.

Rismawati, R. (2021). Exploration of Tellu Sipa's value in improving online-based abstract sequential thinking ability.

Rismawati, R., Nurhaedah, N., & Norris, S. (2023). Personal role in the mediation of entrepreneurship education on interest in entrepreneurship. Ekuilibrium: Jurnal Ilmiah Bidang Ilmu Ekonomi, 18(1), 11-21.

Rismawati, R., Darsono, T., Pujotomo, D., Tunjungsari, H., Numberi, A., Korniawan, R., ... & Agustiyanto, A. (2024). Exploring the relationship between sustainable supply chain and sustainable development goals on the financial performance of SMEs. Uncertain Supply Chain Management, 12(3), 1911-1922.

Ilyas, G. B., Widiawati, K., SUHAIMI, S., RISMAWATI, R., BUDIYANTI, S., & AZIZURROHMAN, M. (2023). Exploring a New Destination Image: A Case Study of Suranadi Village. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 14(6), 2659-2672.

Rismawati, R., Retnowati, W., & Supriadi, S. (2024). Ulil Albab: An Ancient Methodology for Building Corporate Spiritual Responsibility (CSpR). Journal of Applied Business, Taxation and Economics Research, 3(6), 739-751.

Salju, S., Rismawati, R., & Bachtiar, M. D. (2016). Pengaruh Kompetensi dan Independensi Terhadap Kinerja Auditor Pemerintah Kabupaten Luwu Timur. Equilibrium: Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi, Manajemen dan Akuntansi, 4(2).

Esti, E., Junaidi, J., & Rismawati, R. (2024, September). Influence Of Social Awareness And Islamic Principles On Nasabah Trust In Bank Syariah. In International Conference of Business, Education, Health, and Scien-Tech (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1035-1047).

Nur, A., Rismawati, R., & Rahmawati, R. (2024). The Influence Of Csr Strategy Evaluation On Sustainable Community Development. The Influence Of Csr Strategy Evaluation On Sustainable Community Development, 8(03), 1-11.

Amrin, E., Rismawati, R., Goso, G., & Asriany, A. (2022). Studi Komparasi Layanan Fintech Dalam Meningkatkan Keuangan Inklusif Pada Umkm Di Kota Palopo. Ecobisma (Jurnal Ekonomi, Bisnis Dan Manajemen), 9(2), 114-125.