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**COVID-19 and the Weather: A data visualization**

On January 9th, 2020 the World Health Organization (WHO) announced that a coronavirus related pneumonia had been spreading in Wuhan, China. The US confirmed its first coronavirus case on January 21st, 2020 (American Journal of Managed Care, 2021). By March 11th, 2020 the WHO had declared the COVID-19 pandemic.Since then the US has experienced several waves of increased infection rates that have varied in severity across the country.

There is precedence to think that the COVID-19 virus spreads more easily in certain weather conditions. According to the CDC, COVID-19 can spread from human to human via respiratory droplets in the air. Specifically, the virus is known to spread more easily indoors where there is less air ventilation (CDC, 2020). Dr. Fauci, who serves as the director of the US National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, spoke about the potential connection between COVID-19 and the weather in April 2020 on ABC’s Good Morning America saying:

There is precedent with other infections like influenza and some of the common more benign coronaviruses that when the weather gets warmer that the virus goes down, that it’s ability to replicate, to spread, it doesn’t like warm, moist weather as much as it likes cold, dry weather. But having said that, one should not assume that we are going to be rescued by a change in the weather. (AP, 2020)

Influenza is another respiratory illness that is spread via respiratory droplets in the air. It is well established that influenza spread is influenced by the weather, which Dr. Fauci alludes to above (Huang et al., 2017; Roussel et al., 2016). Roussel et al. (2016) studied the role of weather on seasonal influenza spread in France. Their study found 2 groups of 3 climatic variables that had a significant impact on seasonal influenza spread at the intra-annual scale. The first group of variables was average temperature, absolute humidity, and daily variation of absolute humidity. The second group of variables was sunshine duration, relative humidity, and daily variation of relative humidity. The impact of these groups of variables on seasonal influenza spread was found to be relatively low, between 3% – 6%. While the coronavirus is certainly not the same thing as the flu it does spread in a very similar manner. This makes the relationship between COVID-19 transmission and the weather worth exploring.

There has been some research published already exploring the relationship between weather and COVID-19. However, results from these studies have been mixed. One literature review published in the International Journal of Environmental Research and Public health analyzed the current available literature on the association between weather and COVID-19 incidence (McClymont & Hu, 2021). This literature review looked for relevant studies on COVID-19 and weather by searching PUBMED, Web of Science and Scopus databases. The 23 articles selected for this review were epidemiological studies that evaluated the relationship between weather variables and COVID-19 transmission up to October 1st, 2020. All 23 articles included temperature in their study. 18 of the 23 studies reported a significant correlation between temperature and COVID-19 incidence. However, of these 18 studies 11 reported a negative correlation while the remaining 7 reported a positive correlation. 16 of the 23 articles included humidity in their assessment. Of these 16, 12 reported significant associations between humidity and COVID-19 incidence. However, of these 12, 4 reported a positive correlation, 6 reported a negative correlation and 2 reported an optimal range of humidity for new cases.

Another study published in the same journal highlighted an issue with the existing research on COVID-19 and weather. Jamshidi et al. (2020) said that existing research on this association only considers weather variables during analysis. In this study instead of just looking at weather variables and their impact on COVID-19 transmission they looked at other important factors such as mobility, homestay, population, and urban density. For their weather variable they used equivalent temperature which is a combination of temperature and humidity. The study evaluated the impact of equivalent temperature on COVID-19 transmission using different scales such as global, regional, US state and US county. At the global scale this study found contradictory patterns between the two. From January to July 2020 the USA, Italy and India showed a positive correlation between the two while China, Brazil and Australia had a negative correlation. At the US county scale equivalent temperature was found to have a contributing factor of <3%. This study recommended using finer scale weather data when incorporating it into a study given how much weather can vary across a country or region. They concluded that weather on its own was a non-influential factor in COVID-19 transmission. Instead, it said that other factors such as urban density and mobility of the population influenced COVID-19 transmission much more than weather.

One limitation of both studies is the data that they had to work with. The first research article discussed was received for peer review in November 2020. The second article was received in September 2020. This means that both articles were working with limited COVID-19 data, specifically missing out on spikes that were seen in the United States during the November - January time frame. These articles highlight the fact that there is an ongoing debate right now in the scientific community around weather’s role in the COVID-19 pandemic.

The intended user for my project would be a middle school scientist because this open debate in the scientific community presents a unique opportunity to engage students. According to the Nebraska Department of Education (2017), by the 7th grade students should be able to understand evidence for how different factors contribute to the weather and climate. Students should also understand the scientific process for asking questions and carrying out investigations by gathering evidence. Given the right tools, teachers could leverage this debate to engage students in the scientific process by tasking them to perform their own investigation into the same question of weather's role in the COVID-19 pandemic. My visualization would equip a teacher with a tool that students could use to explore this relationship. An activity like this would make the students think critically and ask questions about the data and what conclusions can, or cannot, be drawn.

In 2018, Lee and Wilkerson studied data use by middle and secondary students and wrote their status report on this broad subject. One of the things they looked at was how teachers can best support students working with data. One of their recommendations for teachers' use of data in the classroom was that data should be leveraged in the context of meaningful scientific pursuits. My project falls in line with this guidance because students would be asked to participate in an open debate in the scientific community and draw their own conclusions using evidence they gather using the tool.

A 2006 study by Linn et al. found evidence that visualization technologies can improve student learning outcomes while they learn scientific concepts. From a high level, this study compared assessment results for two groups of students who received different curriculum. One group received a normal curriculum while the other group received curriculum that included visualizations of scientific phenomena in order to help illustrate it. They found that both groups of students performed equally well on multiple choice assessment questions. However, the group that received the curriculum that included the visualizations performed significantly better on assessment questions that required the student to provide their own explanations. Questions that require the student to provide their own explanations are better able to discriminate varying levels of knowledge integration, making these findings significant. While my visualization does not try to explain any particular scientific phenomena like heat transfer or a chemical reaction it does provide students a visual representation of a couple scientific phenomena, disease spread and weather.

Existing research has been aimed at proving or disproving weather's effect on the pandemic. My project aims to allow a user to explore this relationship on their own as opposed to establishing whether one exists or not. My proposed project is a web application that would allow a user to explore the relationship between weather and COVID-19 in different parts of the United States by interacting with a map and several charting widgets that would plot weather and COVID-19 infection data side by side.

**Related Work**

In this section I will compare some existing COVID-19 data visualizations to highlight work that is currently out there as well as some gaps in that work. I will also highlight some existing literature on how students interpret graphs as well as best practices for presenting graphs to students. The visualizations I selected for evaluation were found by doing my own research on the internet. I wanted to find visualizations that came from a trustworthy organization and provided views into similar data points that I wanted to use, specifically confirmed cases by location. I looked at COVID-19 data visualizations from John Hopkins University of Medicine (John Hopkins, 2021), the COVID Tracking Project at the Atlantic (The COVID Tracking Project, 2021) and the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) at the University of Washington (Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, 2021). I compared the visualizations that these organizations offered along several dimensions. Specifically, I looked at the following: How granular is the COVID-19 data? Which COVID-19 data points are visualized? Does it offer a spatial view? How configurable are the visualizations?

The results of this comparison can be seen in Table 1.

**Table 1**

*Comparison of Existing COVID-19 Data Visualizations*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Organization** | **Granularity of COVID-19 Data** | **COVID-19 Data Points** | **Any Spatial View** | **Configurability of the Visualizations** |
| **John Hopkins** | County, State and Country | Confirmed Cases, Deaths, Tests, Hospital Use | Yes, map of US with counties | Minimal, can toggle the data point plotted |
| **COVID Tracking Project** | State and Country | Confirmed Cases, Deaths, Tests, Hospital Use | Yes, map with hospital use data, a few cartograms | Moderate, can set date range and if data is normalized |
| **IHME** | State and Country | Confirmed Cases, Deaths, Tests, Hospital Use | Yes, most data points can be viewed on a map | Moderate – High, can set date range, if data is normalized and if data should be 7-day rolling averages |

Now I will summarize my findings and discuss how this relates to my visualization. Only one of the organizations, John Hopkins, offered COVID-19 data at the county level in the US. Given that my visualization will show weather and COVID-19 data together, the location granularity of this data becomes more important. Weather in any state can vary greatly across different locations in that state. Therefore, my visualization will use county level COVID-19 data. All the organizations offered the same COVID-19 data points in their visualizations (cases, deaths, etc.). For my purposes of allowing a user to compare COVID-19 infection rates to weather patterns I will only be using confirmed COVID-19 case counts.

Since weather and COVID-19 infection rates both have a spatial dimension, a spatial view for my visualization is warranted. This is consistent with the existing visualizations I have looked at, all 3 provided some sort of spatial view for the COVID-19 data. This is why I will be displaying a map to the user that they can interact with in order to view data at their location of interest. These organizations offered a variety of levels of configurability in their visualizations. Given that the purpose of my visualization is to allow a user to explore the data on their own I will offer a high level of configurability in my visualization in order to allow a user to visualize the data in a few different ways.

These existing COVID-19 data visualizations are limited in a couple ways. They are not built with any group or learnability purpose other than presenting information, presumably to the public. The purpose of my visualization will be to engage students to think critically about weather’s role in the COVID-19 pandemic. Another limitation of existing visualizations is they are only concerned with displaying COVID-19 data. My visualization will allow a user to explore COVID-19 data alongside several weather data points a user can choose from.

Given my goal of allowing a user to investigate the relationship between two variables, COVID-19 infection and the weather, a scatterplot graph is appropriate. There has been research done investigating how students interpret graphs as well as best practices for providing graphs to students for their interpretation. A literature review by Hoeffner and Shah (2002) looked at the cognitive literature on how people understand graphs as well as the factors that influence that understanding. This paper looked at 3 factors that influence a viewer's understanding of a graph: the visual characteristics of the graph, a viewer's knowledge about graphs, as well as a viewer's knowledge about the data in the graph. The paper synthesizes these findings into recommendations for how to best present graphs to students. One of their recommendations was to represent the same data in multiple formats. This helps students' understanding when there are multiple quantitative facts to communicate about the data. I have 3 quantitative facts about the data I wish to communicate for a given US county and date range: the trend of COVID-19 infections, the trend of several weather data points, and the covariance of COVID-19 infections with each weather data point. Given this, I will provide a scatterplot graph that communicates the covariance of COVID-19 infections and a weather data point that a user could select from a predefined list. I will also provide individual line graphs of COVID-19 infections and each weather data point that will communicate the trend of each variable on its own. Another recommendation from this paper was to be careful about the density of the data points, specifically for scatterplots because users often mentally exaggerate how correlated 2 variables are in a scatterplot that is very dense with data points. A graph can become denser by either adding data points or shrinking its size. This means that I will need to be careful to not try to plot too many data points on the scatterplot I provide depending on its size.

**Methods**

**Data Sources**

For my COVID-19 data source I will be using one of the datasets generated and maintained by the New York Times hosted on GitHub (The New York Times, 2021). This data source provides several datasets that can be downloaded via GitHub. There is also documentation about the datasets that can be viewed on GitHub to understand how they are structured. I will be using the us-counties.csv dataset. This dataset contains a full history of cumulative COVID-19 cases and deaths by county by day in the US going all the way back to January 1st, 2020. I evaluated two other sources for COVID-19 data before selecting the New York Times dataset. One of them came from the COVID Tracking Project published by The Atlantic. This data source provided an API as well as files you can download. However, it only had COVID-19 data at the state level. Given the location sensitive nature of both weather and COVID-19 data, state level data will not suffice. Weather in any state can vary greatly depending on location so I wanted county level data. The other data source I evaluated came from the Center for Systems Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University. This data source was also hosted on GitHub where the dataset files can be downloaded. This data source is very similar to the New York Times data source in that it provides case counts by county in the US. It also provides good documentation. This data source would work for my project as well. In the end I had to pick one so I went with the New York Times.

For my weather data I will be using an API from Weather Source. Weather Source is a technology company that provides a suite of products that help businesses leverage weather and climate data. On March 16th, 2020 Weather Source opened their API for free to any researchers exploring the relationship between weather and the COVID-19 pandemic. Their Weather History API exposes many different weather data points that can be queried with a date range along with latitude and longitude, or zip code. Data can be returned in an hourly or daily format. For my purposes I will be retrieving average temperature, average relative humidity and average absolute humidity in a daily format.

**7 day rolling average is common to help do X, so I will make this a configurable feature.**
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