



Instructor: [Ashvin Goel](#)  
Course Number: ECE344

[Home](#)  
[Lecture Notes](#)  
[Lab Assignments](#)  
[Discussion \(piazza\)](#)  
[Grades \(UofT portal\)](#)

# Operating Systems

ECE344, FALL 2016  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

---

## LAB 2: A COOPERATIVE, USER-LEVEL THREAD PACKAGE

**Due Date: Oct 10, 2016, 5 pm**

In this lab, you will create a library of functions that define a user-level threads package. Using your library, a program will be able to create threads, destroy them, and run them concurrently on a single processor.

---

### BACKGROUND ON THREADS

Threads and processes are key abstractions for enabling concurrency in operating systems. To gain a deeper understanding of how these abstractions are constructed, this project asks you to build the core of a user-level threads package. Building kernel-level threads and processes is much different, but we'll do this project at user level since installing new kernels on the lab machines is problematic.

Threads provide the illusion that different parts of your program are executing concurrently. Through the years, a model of executing multithreaded programs has emerged as a defacto standard. In this model, threads share the code, heap, and the runtime system. Each thread, however, has a separate stack and, naturally, a separate set of CPU registers. This programming model also provides synchronization primitives so that different threads can coordinate access to shared resources.

---

### USER-LEVEL VS. KERNEL THREADS

For practical reasons, this project is done at user level: you will construct user threads by implementing a set of functions that your program will call directly to provide the illusion of concurrency. In contrast, modern operating systems provide kernel threads, and a user program invokes the corresponding kernel thread functions via system calls. Both types of threads use the same core techniques for providing the concurrency abstraction; you would build kernel threads in essentially the same way you build user threads in this project. Also, kernel processes are built using these techniques.

However, there are a few differences between kernel and user threads:

#### Multiprocessing

Whereas user-level threads provide the illusion of concurrency, on machines with multiple processors, kernel-level threads can provide actual concurrency. With user-level threads, the kernel schedules the user process on one CPU, and the user-level threads package multiplexes the (kernel) thread associated with the process between one or more (user-level) threads. With kernel-level threads, the kernel is aware of the different (kernel) threads, and it can simultaneously schedule these threads from the same process on different processors.

A key simplifying assumption for this project is that you will allow programs to multiplex some number (e.g.,  $m$ ) of user-level threads on *one* kernel thread. This means that at most one user-level thread is running at a time and that your runtime system has complete control over the interleaving of user-level threads with each other. More sophisticated systems implement  *$m$  on  $n$*  threads packages where  $m$  user-level threads are multiplexed across  $n$  kernel threads.

## Asynchronous I/O

When a user-level thread makes a system call that blocks (e.g., reading a file from disk), the kernel scheduler moves the process to the `BLOCKED` state and will not schedule it until the I/O has completed. Thus, even if there are other user-level threads within that process, they have to wait, too. Conversely, when a kernel thread blocks for a system call, the kernel scheduler is aware that other threads in the same process may be ready to run. Thus, some kernel threads may be running while others are waiting for I/O.

## Timer interrupts

In the next lab, we will simulate timer interrupts that cause the scheduler to switch from one thread or process to another by using POSIX signals. In your implementation, the threads library will "turn off interrupts" by blocking delivery of these signals using system calls. However, there is nothing to prevent the threads, themselves, from "turning off interrupts" the same way. Thus, even though we will implement "preemptive" threads, a "malicious" thread could turn off interrupts and not be preempted until it calls `yield`, thus hogging the CPU. Note that kernel schedulers don't have this problem. Only the privileged code in the kernel can turn off the real timer interrupts.

---

## USING THREADS

With your threads library, a typical program will look like:

```
int
main(int argc, char **argv)
{
    // Some initialization
    // Create some threads
    // wait for threads to finish
    // exit
}

// "main" function for thread i
thread_main_i (...)
{
    // do some work
    // yield
    // do some more work
    // return (implicit thread exit)
}
```

Here `thread_main_i` is a programmer-supplied "main" function that the  $i^{\text{th}}$  thread starts executing (note that different threads may have the same "main" function). The thread can perform useful work by calling any other functions in the program, or voluntarily yielding to other threads.

A thread exits either explicitly or implicitly. It exits explicitly when it calls the `thread_exit` function in the thread library. It exits implicitly when its `thread_main` function returns. Additionally, to add more control to the program, a thread may call `thread_kill` to force other threads to exit as well.

---

## THREAD CONTEXT

Each thread has *per-thread state* that represents the working state of the thread -- the thread's program counter, local variables, stack, etc. A *thread context* is a subset of this state that must be saved/restored from the processor when switching threads. (To avoid copying the entire stack, the thread context includes a pointer to the stack, not the entire stack.) Your library will store the thread context in a per-thread data structure (this structure is sometimes called the "thread control block").

### Saving/Restoring Thread Context

When a thread yields the CPU, the threads library must save the current thread's context, which contains the processor register values at the time the thread yields the CPU. The library restores the saved context later when the thread gets its turn to run on the processor. Additionally, the library creates a fresh context and a new stack when it creates a thread.

Fortunately, the C runtime system allows an application to retrieve its current context and store it in a memory location, and to set its current context to a predetermined value from a memory location. Your library will make use of these two existing library calls: `getcontext` and `setcontext`.

Study the [manual pages](#) of these two calls. Notice that `getcontext` saves the current context into a structure of type `struct ucontext` of type `ucontext_t`. So, if you allocate a `struct ucontext` and pass a pointer to that memory to a call to `getcontext`, the current registers and other context will be stored to that memory. Later, you can call `setcontext` to copy that state from that memory to the processor, restoring the saved state.

The `struct ucontext` is defined in `/usr/include/sys/ucontext.h`. Look at the fields of this struct in detail, especially the `uc_mcontext` and the `uc_sigmask` fields.

You will use `getcontext` and `setcontext` in two ways. First, to suspend a currently running thread (to run another one), you will use `getcontext` to save its state and later use `setcontext` to restore its state. Second, to create a new thread, you will use `getcontext` to create a valid context, but you will leave the current thread running; you (the current thread, actually) will then change a few registers in this valid context to initialize it as a new thread, and put this new thread into the ready queue; finally, at some point, the new thread will be chosen by the scheduler, and it will run when `setcontext` is called on this new thread's context.

## Changing Thread Context

As noted above, when creating a thread, you can't just make a copy of the current thread's context (using `getcontext`). You need to make a copy and then change four things:

- You need to change the program counter to point to the first function that the thread should run.
- You need to allocate a new stack.
- You need to change the stack pointer to point to the top of the new stack.
- You need to setup the parameters to the first function.

In the real world, you would take advantage of an existing library function, `makecontext`, to make the first, third and fourth changes. The advantage of using this function is that it abstracts away the details of how a context is saved in memory, which simplifies things and helps portability. The disadvantage is that it abstracts away the details of how a context is saved in memory, which might leave you vague on exactly what's going on.

In the spirit of "there is no magic", for this lab you *may not use* `makecontext`. Instead, you must manipulate the fields in the saved `ucontext_t` directly.

- You will change the program counter to point to a *stub function*, [described below](#), that should be the first function the thread runs.
- You will use `malloc` to allocate a new per-thread stack.
- You will change the stack pointer to point to the top of the new stack. (Warning: in x86-64, stacks grow down!)
- You will initialize the argument registers, [described below](#), with the arguments that are to be passed to the stub function.

What is the stub function? How do the arguments work? Read on.

## Stub Function

When you create a new thread, you want it to run the `thread_main` function that defines the work you want the thread to do. A thread exits implicitly when it returns from its `thread_main` function, much like the main program thread is destroyed by the OS when it returns from its `main` function in C, even when the `main` function doesn't invoke the `exit` system call. To implement a similar implicit thread exit, rather than having your thread begin by running the `thread_main` function directly, you should start the thread initially in a "stub" function that calls the `thread_main`

function of the thread (much like `main` is actually called from the `crt0` stub function in UNIX). Then, your `thread_main` function can return to the stub function, should it return. This arrangement would look like:

```
/* thread starts by calling thread_stub. The arguments to thread_stub are the
 * thread_main() function, and one argument to the thread_main() function. */
void
thread_stub(void (*thread_main)(void *), void *arg)
{
    Tid ret;


    thread_main(arg); // call thread_main() function with arg
    ret = thread_exit();
    // we should only get here if we are the last thread.
    assert(ret == THREAD_NONE);
    // all threads are done, so process should exit
    exit(0);
}
```

In the above code, the argument `thread_main` is a pointer to the `thread_main` function that describes the real work the thread should do. Notice that in C, a function's name refers to the address of its code in memory. The second argument to `thread_stub` (`arg`) is the argument to pass to the `thread_main` function. We'll have the `thread_main` function take an argument that is a pointer to an arbitrary type so that you can pass it whatever you want. `thread_exit`, `THREAD_SELF`, and `THREAD_NONE` are defined below.

## Contexts and Calling Conventions

The context structure contains many data fields, but you only need to deal with four of them when creating new threads: the stack pointer, the program counter, and two argument registers. Other than that, you don't need to worry about the fields within the context variable, as long as you do not tamper with them. Also, it is a good idea to use variables that have been initialized through a `getcontext` call in order to not have bizarre behavior.

Under the [Posix C calling conventions in x86-64](#), here's what things look like while any given function is executing:



Notice that as a procedure executes, it can allocate stack space by moving the stack pointer down (stack grows downwards), and it can find local variables, return addresses, and the old frame pointer (old `%rbp`) by indexing relative to the (fixed) frame pointer (`%rbp`) register.

When a function needs to make a function call, it copies the arguments of the the "callee" function (the function to be called) to the registers shown on the right in the x86-64 architecture. For example, the `%rdi` register will contain the first argument, the `%rsi` register will contain the second argument, etc. Then the caller saves the current instruction pointer (`%rip`) into the stack (shown as "return address" in the figure), and changes the instruction pointer to the callee function. At this point the stack pointer (`%rsp`) points to the return address (shown in the figure). Note that the stack pointer points to the last pushed value in the stack.

The callee function then starts executing. It first pushes the old frame pointer value (old `%rbp`) into the stack, and then sets the frame pointer (`%rbp`) to the current stack pointer (`%rbp = %rsp`), so that it points to the old frame pointer value. Then the callee decrements the stack pointer, and uses the space between the frame

pointer and the stack pointer for its local variables, for saving or spilling other registers, etc. As an example, these three steps are performed by the first three instructions (`push`, `mov` and `sub`) in the [main function shown below](#). The callee locates its variables, etc., as well as the return address, by using addresses relative to the fixed frame pointer.

To return to the caller, a procedure simply copies the frame pointer (`%rbp`) to the stack pointer (`%rsp = %rbp`), effectively releasing the current frame. Then it pops the top stack item into `%rbp` to restore the old `%rbp`, and uses the `ret` instruction to pop the old instruction pointer off the stack into the instruction register (`%rip`), returning control to the caller function. These steps are performed by the last two instructions (`leaveq`, `retq`) in the [main function shown below](#).

This is a gdb listing for the `test_basic` program that we will provide you for this lab. Run gdb on it (or on any program that you have compiled on the lab machines), as shown below, to see the instructions at the start and the end of a function (e.g., `main` function). Make sure that you understand what these instructions are doing, and are able to answer the questions in the listing below.

```
$ cd threads
$ gdb test_basic
...
(gdb) b main
Breakpoint 1 at 0x4009ab: file test_basic.c, line 7.
(gdb) r
...

Breakpoint 1, main (argc=1, argv=0x7fffffffe868) at test_basic.c:7
7          thread_init(0);
(gdb) disassemble main
Dump of assembler code for function main:
0x000000000040099c <+0>:      push    %rbp
0x000000000040099d <+1>:      mov     %rsp,%rbp
0x00000000004009a0 <+4>:      sub     $0x10,%rsp
0x00000000004009a4 <+8>:      mov     %edi,-0x4(%rbp) // what is happening here?
0x00000000004009a7 <+11>:     mov     %rsi,-0x10(%rbp) // what is happening here?
=> 0x00000000004009ab <+15>:     mov     $0x0,%edi
0x00000000004009b0 <+20>:     callq   0x401edc <thread_init>
0x00000000004009b5 <+25>:     mov     $0x0,%eax
0x00000000004009ba <+30>:     callq   0x4009dc <test_basic>
0x00000000004009bf <+35>:     mov     $0x0,%eax
0x00000000004009c4 <+40>:     leaveq
0x00000000004009c5 <+41>:     retq
End of assembler dump.
```

One complication with the [Posix C x86-64](#) calling convention is that it requires the frame pointer `%rbp` to aligned to 16 bytes. This [byte alignment](#) means that the value of `%rbp`, or the stack location to which `%rbp` points to, must be a multiple of 16. Otherwise, system libraries [may crash](#).

When you are creating a new thread that will execute the `thread_stub` function, you will need to setup the stack so that this calling convention is followed. In particular, you will need to think about byte alignment for the stack pointer when control is transferred to `thread_stub`.

---

## SETUP

Make sure to go over the [setup instructions](#) for Lab 1, if you have not done so previously.

Add the source files for this lab, available in `threads.tar`, to your repository, and run `make` in the newly created `threads` directory.

```
cd ~/ece344
tar -xf /cad2/ece344f/src/threads.tar
git status # should say that "threads/" directory is untracked
git add threads
git commit -m "Initial code for Lab 2"
git tag Lab2-start
cd threads
make
```

Start by implementing `show_ucontext.c`. Replace all instances of "-1" in the various `printf` function calls with the appropriate code (also, make sure to not modify any code at the beginning of `main`, as suggested by the comment there). This implementation will help you understand the stack layout and how a thread's context is saved and restored. It will also clarify the concepts about the thread context, [introduced above](#).

The implementation will also give you a brief exposure to signals, an OS concept similar to hardware interrupts. The code in `show_ucontext.c` will show you how to disable or enable signals, and how these actions affect the saved thread context.

Make sure to finish implementing `show_ucontext.c` before moving to the implementation of the threads library. You can use the [tester](#) to test the output of your modified `show_ucontext.c`.

---

## COOPERATIVE THREADS API

In this project, you will build a user level threads package. A key simplifying assumption in this lab is that the threads are *cooperative*, i.e., each thread runs until it explicitly releases the CPU to another thread by yielding the thread or by exiting. In contrast *preemptive* threading systems allow a scheduler to interrupt a running thread at any time and switch the CPU to running a different thread.

The thread package provides several functions calls to allow application programs to perform thread management. In addition, there are a few conventions that application programs must adhere to in order to ensure proper and safe operation. A list of the functions that constitute the User-level threads API can be found in the `thread.h` file. The functions that you will be implementing for this lab are summarized here:

### **void thread\_init(void):**

You can use this function to perform any initialization that is needed by your threading system. Here, you should also "custom" create the first user thread in the system. To do so, you should setup the (kernel) thread that is running when your program begins (before any calls to `thread_create`) as the first user thread in the system (with `tid = 0`). You will not need to allocate a stack for this thread, because it will run on the (user) stack allocated for this kernel thread by the OS.

### **Tid thread\_id():**

This function returns the thread identifier of the currently running thread. The return value should lie between 0 and `THREAD_MAX_THREADS`. See [solution requirements](#) below.

### **Tid thread\_yield(Tid tid):**

This function suspends the caller and activates the thread given by the identifier `tid`. The caller is put on the ready queue and can be run later in a similar fashion. The value of `tid` may take the identifier of any available thread. It also can take any of the following constants:

- `THREAD_ANY`: tells the thread system to run any thread in the ready queue. A reasonable policy is to run the thread at the head of the ready queue.
- `THREAD_SELF`: tells the thread system to continue the execution of the caller. This turns the function call into a no-op, but it may be useful for debugging purposes.

The `thread_yield` function returns the identifier of the thread that took control as a result of the function call. Note that the caller does not get to see the result until it gets its turn to run (later). The function may also fail and the caller resumes immediately. To indicate the reason for failure, the call returns one of these constants:

- `THREAD_INVALID`: alerts the caller that the identifier `tid` does not correspond to a valid thread.



- `THREAD_NONE`: alerts the caller that there are no more threads, other than the caller, that are available to run, in response to a call with `tid` set to `THREAD_ANY`.

### **Tid `thread_create(void (*fn)(void *), void *arg)`:**

This function creates a thread whose starting point is the function `fn`. The second argument, `arg`, is a pointer that will be passed to the function `fn` when the thread starts executing. The created thread is put on a ready queue but does not start execution yet. The caller of the function continues to execute after the function returns. Upon success, the function returns a thread identifier of type `Tid`. If the function fails, it returns a value that indicates the reason of failure as follows:

- `THREAD_NOMORE`: alerts the caller that the thread package cannot create more threads. See [solution requirements](#) below.
- `THREAD_NOMEMORY`: alerts the caller that the thread package could not allocate memory to create a stack of the desired size. See [solution requirements](#) below.

### **Tid `thread_exit()`:**

This function ensures that the current thread does not run after this call, i.e., this function should not return, unless it fails as described below. A thread that is created later should be able to reuse this thread's identifier. The function may fail, in which case, this thread continues running, and returns the following:

- `THREAD_NONE`: alerts the caller that there are no more other threads that can exit, i.e., this is the last thread in the system.

### **Tid `thread_kill(Tid tid)`:**

/\* Kill another thread whose identifier is `tid`. When a thread is killed, it \* should not run any further. The calling thread continues to \* execute and receives the result of the call. `tid` can be the identifier of any \* available thread. \* Upon success, return the identifier of the thread that was killed. Upon \* failure, return the following: \* \* `THREAD_INVALID`: identifier `tid` does not correspond to a valid thread, or it \* is the current thread. \*/

This function kills another thread whose identifier is `tid`. The `tid` can be the identifier of any available thread. The killed thread should not run any further and the calling thread continues to execute. Upon success, this function returns the identifier of the thread that was killed. Upon failure, it returns the following:

- `THREAD_INVALID`: alerts the caller that the identifier `tid` does not correspond to a valid thread, or is the current thread.

---

## **SOLUTION REQUIREMENTS**

The first thread in the system (before the first call to `thread_create`) should have a thread identifier of 0. Your threads system should support the creation of a maximum of `THREAD_MAX_THREADS` **concurrent** threads by a program (including the initial main thread). Thus, the maximum value of the thread identifier should thus be `THREAD_MAX_THREADS - 1` (since thread identifiers start from 0). Note that when a thread exits, its thread identifier can be reused by another thread created later.

Your library must maintain a "thread control block" (a thread structure) for each thread that is running in the system. This is similar to the process control block that an operating system implements to support process management. In addition, your library must maintain a queue of the threads that are ready to run, so that when the current thread yields, the next thread in the ready queue can be run. Your library allows running a fixed number of threads (`THREAD_MAX_THREADS` threads), so you are required to allocate these structures statically (i.e., not on the heap).

Each thread should have a stack of at least `THREAD_MIN_STACK` size. Your implementation **must not** statically allocate all stacks at initialization time. Instead, you must dynamically allocate a stack whenever a new thread is created (and delete one each time a thread is destroyed.)

As noted above, your library must use `getcontext` and `setcontext` to save and restore thread context state, but it **may not use** `makecontext` or any other existing code to manipulate a thread's context; you need to write the code to do that yourself.

Your code **must not** make calls to any existing threads libraries (e.g., Linux `pthread`s), or borrow code from these libraries for this lab.

You may borrow any code for data structures, e.g., linked list, that you have implemented in the previous ECE344 labs. Do **not** use any code from other students, or from code available on the Internet. When in doubt, please ask us.

---

## HINTS AND ADVICE

This project does not require writing a large number of lines of code. It does require you to think carefully about the code you write. Before you dive into writing code, it will pay to spend time planning and understanding the code you are going to write. If you think the problem through from beginning to end, this project will not be too hard. If you try to hack your way out of trouble, you will spend many frustrating nights in the lab.

As a start, here are some questions you should answer before you write code.

- What fields will you need in your thread structure? Perhaps the most important is the thread state (e.g., running, etc.). Think about all the states that you will need to support.
- `getcontext` "returns" twice. Once when you create a context and again when you switch to that context. What action will you take in each case? How will you tell which case you are in?
- Most threads are created with `thread_create`, but the initial thread is there before your library is invoked. Nonetheless, the original thread must be able to `thread_yield` to let other threads run, and other threads must be able to call `thread_yield` and let the original thread run. How is this going to work?
- A hard bug to find would be an overflow or underflow of the stack you allocate. How might such a bug manifest itself? What defensive programming strategies can you use to detect stack overflow in a more controlled manner as the system runs?
- Note that when the initial thread in a C process returns, it calls the `exit` system call, which causes the OS to destroy the process, even if you have other user level threads in the process that want to run. How will you ensure that the program exits only when the last thread in your system exits?
- **Be careful.** It is dangerous to use memory once it has been freed. In particular, you should not free the stack of the currently running thread in `thread_exit` while it is still running. So how will you make sure that the thread stack is eventually deallocated? How will you make sure that another thread that is created in between does not start using this stack (and then you inadvertently deallocate it)? You should convince yourself that your program would work even if you used a debugging malloc library that overwrites a block with dummy data when that block is free()'d.
- **Be careful.** If you destroy a thread that is holding a lock (we will be implementing locks in the next lab), deadlock may occur because the thread may not have a chance to release the lock. For this reason, it is important to ensure that when `thread_kill` is invoked on a thread, `thread_exit` is **not** immediately invoked on the target thread. Instead, the target thread should exit when it runs the next time. How will you implement this functionality? In practice, operating systems provide a signal handler mechanism that allows threads to clean up their resources (e.g., locks) before they exit.
- What are the similarities and differences between `thread_yield` and `thread_exit`? Think carefully. It will be useful to encapsulate all that is similar in a common function, which will help reduce bugs, and possibly make your code simpler.



We **strongly recommend** that your first milestone might be for `thread_yield(THREAD_SELF)` to work for the initial thread (where your implementation stores and then restores the caller's state). Get this working before you try to implement `thread_create` or `thread_exit`.

**Use a debugger.** As an exercise, put a breakpoint at the instruction after you copy the current thread's state using `getcontext`. You can print the current values of the registers (in gdb, type `info registers`).

```
(gdb) info registers
rax            0x0            0
rbx            0x0            0
rcx            0x7ffff7a926b1   140737348445873
rdx            0x605910 6314256
rsi            0x0            0
rdi            0x0            0
rbp            0x7fffffffdec0   0x7fffffffdec0
rsp            0x7fffffffdea0   0x7fffffffdea0
r8             0x7ffff7dd8e60   140737351880288
r9             0x7ffff7a55c60   140737348197472
r10            0x8             8
r11            0x246           582
r12            0x400970 4196720
r13            0x7fffffff850     140737488349264
r14            0x0            0
r15            0x0            0
rip            0x4024de 0x4024de
eflags         0x246           [ PF ZF IF ]
cs             0x33           51
ss             0x2b           43
ds             0x0            0
es             0x0            0
fs             0x0            0
gs             0x0            0
```

You can print the values stored in your thread struct and the thread context. For example, say `current` is a pointer to the thread structure associated with the currently running thread, and `context` is a field in this structure that stores the thread context. Then, in gdb, you can use `p/x current->context` to print the context stored by a call to `getcontext`.

You may find this particularly useful in making sure that the state you "restore" when you run a newly-created thread for the first time makes sense.

**Start early, we mean it!**

---

## TESTING YOUR CODE

We have provided the program `test_basic.c` for testing this lab. Use it to test your code.

You can also test your code by using our auto-tester program at any time by following the [testing instructions](#).

---

## USING GIT

You should only modify the following files in this lab.

```
show_ucontext.c
thread.c
```

You can find the files you have modified by running the `git status` command.

You can commit your modified files to your local repository as follows:

```
git add show_ucontext.c thread.c
git commit -m "Committing changes for Lab 2"
```

We suggest committing your changes frequently by rerunning the commands above (with different meaningful messages to the commit command), so that you can go back to see the changes you have made over time, if needed.

Once you have tested your code, **and committed it** (check that by running `git status`), you can tag the assignment as done.

```
git tag Lab2-end
```

This tag names the last commit, and you can see that using the `git log` or the `git show` commands.

If you want to see all the changes you have made in this lab, you can run the following `git diff` command.

```
git diff Lab2-start Lab2-end
```

More information for using the various git commands is available in the [Lab 1 instructions](#).

---

## CODE SUBMISSION

Make sure to add the `Lab2-end` tag to your local repository as described above. Then run the following command to update your remote repository:

```
git push  
git push --tags
```

For more details regarding code submission, please follow the [lab submission instructions](#).

Please also make sure to test whether your submission succeeded by simulating our [automated marker](#).

---