Supplementary Materials for the paper entitled: Harnessing Pre-trained Generalist Agents for Software Engineering Tasks

In the following, we provide supplementary materials supporting our findings on the paper entitled: Harnessing Pre-trained Generalist Agents for Software Engineering Tasks.

1 Hyperparameters used to fine-tune the generalist agents

As mentioned in Section 3.2.7, Tables 1, 2 3, and 4 summarize the hyperparameters we used on each generalist agents, as well as the ones we used for each online training algorithm considered in this paper (DQN, PPO, MAENT, and V_TRACE).

2 Results of the bug localization task

As mentioned in Section **3.2.6**, of the paper Table 5 reports the number of bug reports on each repository. In the following we describe each table reporting our findings in the bug localization task.

- Table 6, 10 report the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budgets on AspectJ and Tomcat projects respectively and the baseline specialist agent w.r.t our evaluation metrics. We observe that for all metrics except top@10, at least one configuration of the generalist agents performs better than the specialist agents.
- Table 9 report the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budgets on JDT project and the baseline specialist agent w.r.t our evaluation metrics. We observe that for all metrics except MRR, at least one configuration of the generalist agents performs better than the specialist agents.
- Table 8, 11 report the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budgets on Birt and Eclipse projects respectively and the baseline specialist agent w.r.t our evaluation metrics. We

Table 1: The common hyperparameters that are used to fine-tune the MGDT.

| Hyperparameters | Value |
|---------------------------|--------------|
| Number of layers | 10 |
| Number of attention heads | 20 |
| Embedding dimension | 1280 |
| Nonlinearity function | TanH |
| Batch size | 32 |
| Updates between rollouts | 300 |
| Target entropy | -dim(Action) |
| Buffer size | 10000 |
| Weight decay | 0.0005 |
| Learning rate | 0.0001 |

Table 2: The common hyperparameters that are used to fine-tune IMPALA

| Hyperparameters | Value |
|----------------------------|---------|
| Number of actors | 48 |
| Nonlinearity function | ReLu |
| Batch size | 32 |
| Use of lstm | True |
| RMSProp smoothing constant | 0.99 |
| RMSProp epsilon | 0.01 |
| entropy cost | 0.0006 |
| Learning rate | 0.00048 |

observe that for all metrics, at least one configuration of the generalist agents performs better than the specialist agents.

• Table 7 report the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budgets on SWT project and the baseline specialist agent w.r.t our evaluation metrics. We observe that for all metrics except top@1, at least one configuration of the generalist agents performs better than the specialist agents.

3 Results of the task-based scheduling

Regarding the task-based scheduling, we collect the makespan, the training time, the testing time, as well as the average cumulative reward earned and report our findings in the following:

• Tables 22, 26 and 19 report the performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling at zero-shot, 1% and 2% data budget and the baseline specialist in terms of makespan time across the 30×20

Table 3: The hyperparameters that we use to fine-tune the MGDT for each online algorithm

| Online algorithms | epsilon start | epsilon end | epsilon clip | surrogate loss epochs |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| MAENT | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| PPO | NA | NA | 0.2 | 15 (Blockmaze), 15 (PDR), 3 (MsPacman) |
| DQN | 0.99 | 0.05 | NA | NA |

Table 4: The hyperparameters that we use to fine-tune IMPALA for each online algorithm

| Online algorithms | epsilon clip | surrogate loss epochs | baseline cost |
|-------------------|--------------|--|---------------|
| V-TRACE | NA | NA | 0.5 |
| PPO | 0.2 | 15 (Blockmaze), 15 (PDR), 3 (MsPacman) | NA |

and 6×6 , with the generalist agents achieving greater average performance compared to the baseline specialist.

- Tables 25, 29 and 21 report the results of cumulative reward, training, and testing times of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling at zero-shot, 1% and 2% data budget and the baseline specialist. Across studied instances, the generalist agents achieve greater performance regarding training time and cumulative reward metrics compared to the baseline specialist.
- Table 33 reports the results of the post-hoc test analysis for various generalist agents and the baseline on the 30 × 20 instance of the task-based scheduling in terms of training time. IMPALA agents significantly outperform the baseline specialist at 1% and 2% fine-tuning data budgets.
- \bullet Tables 31 and 32 report the results of the post-hoc test analysis for various generalist agents and the baseline on the 30×20 instance of the task-based scheduling in terms of makespan and cumulative reward. All generalist agent configurations significantly outperform the baseline specialist agent across all fine-tuning data budgets.

4 Results of the playtesting in games task

Regarding the Blockmaze game, we collected the time to find bugs, the training time, the testing time, and the average cumulative reward earned, and report our findings in the following:

- Table 17 reports the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at 2% fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline in terms of time to find bugs, with at least one generalist agent configuration achieving greater average performance.
- \bullet Table 18 reports the results of cumulative reward, training, and testing times of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the Blockmaze game at 2%

Table 5: Benchmark statistics of software projects on the bug localization task.

| Project | Bugs reported |
|---------|---------------|
| AspectJ | 593 |
| Birt | 4,178 |
| Eclipse | 6,495 |
| SWT | 4,151 |
| Tomcat | 1,056 |

Table 6: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on AspectJ project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of

generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| 0 | | O | | 0 | 1 | , | | | |
|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 |
| Baseline | RLO_Ent | NA | 2.23e+2 | 1.22e+8 | 3.70e-1 | 4.70e-2 | 4.35e-2 | 2.30e-1 | 4.75e-1 |
| Dasenne | RLO | NA | 2.22e+2 | 8.53e+7 | 4.44e-1 | 4.88e-2 | 4.52e-2 | 2.63e-1 | 5.01e-1 |
| zero-shot | IMP_VTRACE | -6.77e+0 | 3.97e + 3 | NA | 2.94e-1 | 1.96e-2 | 2.50e-2 | 8.36e-2 | 1.21e-1 |
| zero-snot | MAENT | -2.77e-1 | 4.79e + 2 | NA | 6.08e-1 | 4.71e-2 | 5.22e-2 | 2.26e-1 | 4.52e-1 |
| | MAENT | -2.74e-1 | 4.81e+2 | 6.12e + 2 | 6.08e-1 | 4.64e-2 | 5.22e-2 | 2.17e-1 | 4.43e-1 |
| | DQN | -2.77e-1 | 5.09e+2 | 5.98e+3 | 6.08e-1 | 4.70e-2 | 5.22e-2 | 2.26e-1 | 4.52e-1 |
| 1% | PPO | -3.98e-1 | 6.13e+2 | 3.70e+3 | 6.09e-1 | 5.05e-2 | 3.48e-2 | 2.96e-1 | 4.96e-1 |
| | IMP_PPO | -6.21e+0 | 5.76e+1 | 1.12e+4 | 2.96e-1 | 1.87e-2 | 1.57e-2 | 8.00e-2 | 1.22e-1 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -6.65e+0 | 4.99e+1 | 6.28e+3 | 2.35e-1 | 1.89e-2 | 1.77e-2 | 9.21e-2 | 1.26e-1 |
| | MAENT | -3.24e-1 | 4.85e + 2 | 1.09e + 3 | 5.99e-1 | 4.65e-2 | 5.22e-2 | 2.17e-1 | 4.43e-1 |
| | DQN | -2.77e-1 | 4.77e + 2 | 1.17e+4 | 6.08e-1 | 4.71e-2 | 5.22e-2 | 2.26e-1 | 4.52e-1 |
| 2% | PPO | -3.98e-1 | 4.96e + 2 | 7.25e+3 | 6.09e-1 | 5.05e-2 | 3.48e-2 | 2.96e-1 | 4.96e-1 |
| ĺ | IMP_PPO | -6.21e+0 | $5.41e{+1}$ | 2.16e+4 | 2.79e-1 | 1.55e-2 | 1.59e-2 | 5.32e-2 | 9.05e-2 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -6.06e+0 | 1.90e + 2 | 9.77e + 3 | 2.72e-1 | 2.11e-2 | 2.14e-2 | 8.56e-2 | 1.11e-1 |

data budget and the baseline. Generalist agents achieve greater performance compared to the baseline specialist in terms of training time.

- Tables 15 and 16 report the performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot and 1% fine-tuning data budgets on the Blockmaze game and the baseline in terms of time to find bugs. At zero-shot fine-tuning data budget, the baseline specialist is faster than the generalist agents at finding bugs.
- Table 24 and 28 report the results of cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot and 1% fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline specialist agents. The MGDT agents achieve greater reward performance compared to the IMPALA agents.
- Table 30 report the results of post-hoc tests for testing time of the base-line specialist and the MGDT generalist agent on the Blockmaze game. The baseline specialist agent achieves the lowest testing compared to the generalist agents.

Regarding the MsPacman game, we report the results of our experiments in the following:

• Tables 13, 20, 12, 23, 14 and 27.

Table 7: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on SWT project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 |
|--------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Baseline | RLO | NA | 2.91e + 3 | 1.54e + 8 | 2.87e-1 | 4.49e-2 | 4.67e-2 | 2.17e-1 | 4.43e-1 |
| Daseinie | RLO_Ent | NA | 3.38e + 3 | 1.90e+8 | 1.98e-1 | 4.47e-2 | 4.99e-2 | 2.31e-1 | 4.35e-1 |
| zero-shot | IMPALA | -5.99e+0 | 2.85e + 3 | NA | 2.86e-1 | 4.34e-2 | 4.11e-2 | 1.97e-1 | 2.85e-1 |
| zero-snot | MGDT | 0.00e+0 | 5.02e + 3 | NA | 1.74e-1 | 4.59e-2 | 4.27e-2 | 2.11e-1 | 4.64e-1 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.99e+0 | 2.76e + 3 | 9.26e + 3 | 2.21e-1 | 4.71e-2 | 4.93e-2 | 2.00e-1 | 2.87e-1 |
| | MAENT | 0.00e + 0 | 4.98e + 3 | 8.17e + 2 | 1.74e-1 | 4.56e-2 | 4.27e-2 | 2.07e-1 | 4.59e-1 |
| 1% | DQN | 0.00e + 0 | 5.03e + 3 | 6.28e + 3 | 1.74e-1 | 4.59e-2 | 4.27e-2 | 2.11e-1 | 4.64e-1 |
| | PPO | 0.00e + 0 | 5.15e + 3 | 3.81e + 3 | 1.72e-1 | 4.71e-2 | 4.40e-2 | 2.39e-1 | 4.71e-1 |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.54e+0 | 2.75e + 3 | 1.77e+4 | 3.52e-1 | 4.19e-2 | 3.63e-2 | 1.92e-1 | 2.78e-1 |
| | MAENT | 0.00e + 0 | 4.98e + 3 | 1.39e + 3 | 1.74e-1 | 4.58e-2 | 4.27e-2 | 2.08e-1 | 4.61e-1 |
| | DQN | 0.00e + 0 | 5.05e + 3 | 1.23e+4 | 1.74e-1 | 4.59e-2 | 4.27e-2 | 2.11e-1 | 4.64e-1 |
| 2% | PPO | 0.00e + 0 | 5.06e + 3 | 7.39e + 3 | 1.72e-1 | 4.71e-2 | 4.40e-2 | 2.39e-1 | 4.71e-1 |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.99e+0 | 1.35e + 3 | 3.78e+4 | 2.27e-1 | 4.39e-2 | 4.59e-2 | 1.94e-1 | 2.85e-1 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.99e+0 | 4.09e + 2 | 2.23e+4 | 2.41e-1 | 4.49e-2 | 4.43e-2 | 2.07e-1 | 2.93e-1 |

Table 8: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on Birt project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| eranse agents configurations with greater performance). | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 | |
| Baseline | RLO | NA | 8.39e + 2 | 1.16e+8 | 2.68e-1 | 5.12e-2 | 5.26e-2 | 2.39e-1 | 5.07e-1 | |
| Dasenne | RLO_Ent | NA | 8.35e+2 | 1.87e+8 | 3.49e-1 | 5.23e-2 | 5.66e-2 | 2.54e-1 | 5.23e-1 | |
| zero-shot | IMPALA | -5.66e+0 | 7.43e+2 | NA | 3.10e-1 | 5.11e-2 | 5.54e-2 | 2.30e-1 | 3.31e-1 | |
| zero-snot | MGDT | 7.38e-1 | 1.60e+3 | NA | 5.50e-1 | 5.44e-2 | 7.43e-2 | 2.86e-1 | 5.40e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 7.43e-1 | 1.62e + 3 | 6.57e + 2 | 5.48e-1 | 5.43e-2 | 7.43e-2 | 2.86e-1 | 5.34e-1 | |
| | DQN | 7.38e-1 | 1.59e + 3 | 6.03e + 3 | 5.50e-1 | 5.44e-2 | 7.43e-2 | 2.86e-1 | 5.40e-1 | |
| 1% | PPO | 7.51e-1 | 1.64e + 3 | 3.72e + 3 | 5.42e-1 | 5.38e-2 | 5.71e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 5.69e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.39e+0 | 4.95e+2 | 1.65e+4 | 3.76e-1 | 4.94e-2 | 4.46e-2 | 2.19e-1 | 3.10e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.38e+0 | 7.40e+2 | 7.81e+3 | 3.22e-1 | 5.70e-2 | 6.00e-2 | 2.48e-1 | 3.48e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 7.50e-1 | 1.61e+3 | 1.17e+3 | 5.50e-1 | 5.42e-2 | 7.43e-2 | 2.86e-1 | 5.37e-1 | |
| | DQN | 7.38e-1 | 1.60e+3 | 1.19e+4 | 5.50e-1 | 5.44e-2 | 7.43e-2 | 2.86e-1 | 5.40e-1 | |
| 2% | PPO | 7.51e-1 | 1.63e + 3 | 7.31e+3 | 5.42e-1 | 5.38e-2 | 5.71e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 5.69e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.64e+0 | 3.84e + 2 | 3.24e+4 | 2.11e-1 | 5.06e-2 | 5.66e-2 | 2.25e-1 | 3.19e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.84e+0 | 1.34e + 2 | 1.66e+4 | 3.14e-1 | 5.72e-2 | 5.71e-2 | 1.89e-1 | 2.54e-1 | |

Table 9: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on JDT project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| generalist agents configurations with greater performance). | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 | |
| zero-shot | IMPALA | -5.99e+0 | 1.46e+4 | NA | 2.22e-1 | 4.79e-2 | 5.29e-2 | 2.12e-1 | 2.96e-1 | |
| zero-snot | MGDT | 0.00e + 0 | 2.06e + 3 | NA | 1.70e-1 | 4.80e-2 | 6.37e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 4.79e-1 | |
| Baseline | RLO | NA | 1.07e + 3 | 1.35e + 8 | 4.75e-1 | 4.61e-2 | 3.91e-2 | 2.26e-1 | 4.65e-1 | |
| Daseinie | RLO_Ent | NA | 1.88e + 3 | 1.25e + 8 | 1.20e-1 | 4.66e-2 | 4.77e-2 | 2.33e-1 | 4.67e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 0.00e + 0 | 2.09e + 3 | 6.39e + 2 | 1.70e-1 | 4.80e-2 | 6.37e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 4.79e-1 | |
| | DQN | 0.00e + 0 | 2.08e + 3 | 5.85e + 3 | 1.70e-1 | 4.81e-2 | 6.37e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 4.81e-1 | |
| 1% | PPO | 0.00e + 0 | 2.10e + 3 | 3.60e + 3 | 1.66e-1 | 4.72e-2 | 5.27e-2 | 2.48e-1 | 4.90e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.42e+0 | 2.22e+2 | 1.31e+4 | 2.62e-1 | 4.54e-2 | 4.31e-2 | 2.00e-1 | 2.97e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.99e+0 | 2.30e + 2 | 4.11e+3 | 1.72e-1 | 4.47e-2 | 4.79e-2 | 1.89e-1 | 2.83e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 0.00e + 0 | 2.32e + 3 | 1.14e + 3 | 1.69e-1 | 4.81e-2 | 6.37e-2 | 2.53e-1 | 4.77e-1 | |
| | DQN | 0.00e + 0 | 2.06e + 3 | 1.15e+4 | 1.70e-1 | 4.81e-2 | 6.37e-2 | 2.51e-1 | 4.81e-1 | |
| 2% | PPO | 0.00e + 0 | 2.10e + 3 | 7.01e + 3 | 1.66e-1 | 4.72e-2 | 5.27e-2 | 2.48e-1 | 4.90e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.70e+0 | 2.28e + 2 | 2.65e+4 | 2.67e-1 | 4.63e-2 | 4.22e-2 | 2.09e-1 | 3.02e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.42e+0 | 3.74e + 2 | 1.01e+4 | 2.87e-1 | 4.58e-2 | 4.53e-2 | 1.99e-1 | 2.90e-1 | |

Table 10: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on Tomcat project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 |
|--------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Baseline | RLO | NA | 4.12e+2 | 9.26e + 7 | 2.49e-1 | 4.76e-2 | 4.07e-2 | 2.41e-1 | 4.83e-1 |
| Dasenne | RLO_Ent | NA | 4.07e + 2 | 1.03e + 8 | 3.46e-1 | 4.40e-2 | 3.59e-2 | 2.04e-1 | 4.50e-1 |
| zero-shot | IMPALA | -5.73e+0 | 4.20e + 2 | NA | 2.45e-1 | 4.91e-2 | 4.67e-2 | 2.18e-1 | 3.00e-1 |
| zero-snot | MGDT | 7.27e-1 | 7.81e + 2 | NA | 7.57e-1 | 4.42e-2 | 2.99e-2 | 2.16e-1 | 4.49e-1 |
| | MAENT | 7.21e-1 | 7.75e + 2 | 6.71e + 2 | 7.54e-1 | 4.46e-2 | 2.99e-2 | 2.22e-1 | 4.55e-1 |
| | DQN | 7.27e-1 | 7.69e + 2 | 5.89e + 3 | 7.57e-1 | 4.42e-2 | 2.99e-2 | 2.16e-1 | 4.49e-1 |
| 1% | PPO | 8.00e-1 | 7.83e + 2 | 3.61e + 3 | 7.71e-1 | 4.28e-2 | 2.40e-2 | 2.04e-1 | 4.31e-1 |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.61e+0 | 3.99e + 2 | 1.54e+4 | 1.84e-1 | 4.49e-2 | 4.67e-2 | 1.98e-1 | 2.78e-1 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.72e+0 | 4.18e + 2 | 6.83e + 3 | 2.34e-1 | 4.74e-2 | 5.03e-2 | 1.97e-1 | 2.78e-1 |
| | MAENT | 7.13e-1 | 7.79e + 2 | 1.16e + 3 | 7.57e-1 | 4.50e-2 | 2.99e-2 | 2.28e-1 | 4.67e-1 |
| | DQN | 7.27e-1 | 7.67e + 2 | 1.16e+4 | 7.57e-1 | 4.42e-2 | 2.99e-2 | 2.16e-1 | 4.49e-1 |
| 2% | PPO | 8.00e-1 | 7.80e + 2 | 7.05e+3 | 7.71e-1 | 4.28e-2 | 2.40e-2 | 2.04e-1 | 4.31e-1 |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.82e+0 | 1.40e + 2 | 2.76e+4 | 2.10e-1 | 4.16e-2 | 4.92e-2 | 1.89e-1 | 2.67e-1 |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.47e+0 | 7.63e + 1 | 1.25e+4 | 2.13e-1 | 4.34e-2 | 4.43e-2 | 1.91e-1 | 2.75e-1 |

Table 11: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents across all fine-tuning data budget on Eclipse project and the baseline in (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| generalist agents configurations with greater performance). | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Data Budgets | Algorithms | Reward | TE time | TA time | MRR | MAP | Top 1 | Top 5 | Top 10 | |
| Baseline | RLO | NA | 1.37e + 3 | 1.22e+8 | 2.23e-1 | 4.39e-2 | 4.16e-2 | 2.21e-1 | 4.33e-1 | |
| Dasenne | RLO_Ent | NA | 1.37e + 3 | 1.11e+8 | 4.33e-1 | 4.49e-2 | 4.47e-2 | 2.36e-1 | 4.51e-1 | |
| zero-shot | IMPALA | -5.50e+0 | 1.12e+3 | NA | 2.51e-1 | 4.14e-2 | 3.80e-2 | 1.80e-1 | 2.64e-1 | |
| zero-snot | MGDT | 7.33e-1 | 2.49e + 3 | NA | 4.46e-1 | 4.56e-2 | 5.29e-2 | 2.31e-1 | 4.49e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 7.31e-1 | 2.51e+3 | 6.76e + 2 | 4.48e-1 | 4.54e-2 | 5.10e-2 | 2.27e-1 | 4.49e-1 | |
| | DQN | 7.33e-1 | 2.50e+3 | 5.79e+3 | 4.46e-1 | 4.56e-2 | 5.29e-2 | 2.31e-1 | 4.49e-1 | |
| 1% | PPO | 7.45e-1 | 2.54e + 3 | 3.70e + 3 | 4.78e-1 | 4.73e-2 | 6.67e-2 | 2.37e-1 | 4.67e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.72e+0 | 1.10e+3 | 1.57e+4 | 2.30e-1 | 4.39e-2 | 4.16e-2 | 1.94e-1 | 2.88e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.28e+0 | 1.07e + 3 | 8.44e + 3 | 3.14e-1 | 4.60e-2 | 5.06e-2 | 1.95e-1 | 2.85e-1 | |
| | MAENT | 7.29e-1 | 2.50e+3 | 1.20e+3 | 4.51e-1 | 4.52e-2 | 5.10e-2 | 2.29e-1 | 4.47e-1 | |
| | DQN | 7.33e-1 | 2.49e+3 | 1.14e+4 | 4.46e-1 | 4.56e-2 | 5.29e-2 | 2.31e-1 | 4.49e-1 | |
| 2% | PPO | 7.45e-1 | 2.60e+3 | 7.26e+3 | 4.78e-1 | 4.73e-2 | 6.67e-2 | 2.37e-1 | 4.67e-1 | |
| | IMP_PPO | -5.71e+0 | 2.67e+2 | 3.50e+4 | 2.81e-1 | 4.10e-2 | 3.96e-2 | 1.80e-1 | 2.66e-1 | |
| | IMP_VTRACE | -5.99e+0 | 2.64e + 2 | 1.94e+4 | 2.02e-1 | 4.33e-2 | 4.08e-2 | 1.93e-1 | 2.87e-1 | |

Table 12: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on MsPacman game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| 0111101100). | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| I | Environment | | MsPacman | | | | | |
| | Metrics | | Type 1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 | | |
| | | mean | 3.05e + 3 | 2.59e + 3 | 5.43e + 3 | 6.04e + 3 | | |
| Baseline agent | | std | 1.53e + 3 | 1.07e + 3 | 2.72e + 3 | 3.30e + 3 | | |
| | | median | 3.37e + 3 | 2.75e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 | | |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.10e + 4 | 3.06e+4 | | |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.34e+4 | 2.75e+4 | | |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.28e+4 | 1.15e+4 | | |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.91e+4 | 5.77e+4 | | |
| MGDT | DQN | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.98e + 4 | 5.34e+4 | | |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.68e + 3 | 5.67e + 4 | | |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | |
| | | mean | 8.40e + 3 | 8.45e + 3 | 1.02e+4 | 1.04e+4 | | |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | 4.92e + 3 | 6.40e + 3 | 4.34e + 3 | 4.78e + 3 | | |
| IMPALA | | median | 6.95e + 3 | 6.29e + 3 | 8.71e + 3 | 9.33e + 3 | | |
| IWII ALA | | mean | 5.20e + 3 | 5.55e + 3 | 8.48e + 3 | 8.58e + 3 | | |
| | PPO | std | 5.36e + 3 | 5.79e + 3 | 6.73e + 3 | 6.69e + 3 | | |
| | | median | 3.41e + 3 | 3.79e + 3 | 6.95e + 3 | 6.94e + 3 | | |

• Table 34 reports the results of post-hoc test analysis for the baseline and the generalist agents on the MsPacman game involving their training time performance. At 1%fine-tuning data budget, MGDT agents achieve greater performance compared to the generalist agents.

Table 13: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at 2% fine-tuning data budget on MsPacman game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| | vironment Metrics | | | MsPa | cman | |
|----------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Metrics | | | MsPacman | | |
| | | | Type 1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 |
| | | mean | 3.05e + 3 | 2.59e + 3 | 5.43e + 3 | 6.04e + 3 |
| Baseline | agent | std | 1.53e + 3 | 1.07e + 3 | 2.72e + 3 | 3.30e + 3 |
| | | median | 3.37e + 3 | 2.75e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.89e + 4 | 3.99e+4 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.01e+4 | 3.08e + 4 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.53e+4 | 2.77e+4 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.28e+4 | 3.28e + 4 |
| MGDT | $_{\rm DQN}$ | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.30e+4 | 2.30e+4 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.66e + 4 | 1.66e + 4 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | mean | 5.46e + 3 | 6.24e + 3 | 8.97e + 3 | 8.56e + 3 |
| | V_{-} TRACE | std | 5.39e + 3 | 5.56e + 3 | 7.31e + 3 | 7.42e + 3 |
| IMPALA _ | | median | 4.30e + 3 | 4.95e + 3 | 6.99e + 3 | 6.16e + 3 |
| IMI ALA | | mean | 5.26e + 3 | 5.71e + 3 | 9.18e + 3 | 9.10e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 5.11e + 3 | 5.53e + 3 | 7.70e + 3 | 7.38e + 3 |
| | | median | 3.48e + 3 | 3.90e + 3 | 7.18e + 3 | 7.16e + 3 |

Table 14: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at 1% fine-tuning data budget on MsPacman game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| 1 | Environment | | | MsPa | cman | |
|---------|-------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Metrics | | Type 1 | Type 2 | Type 3 | Type 4 |
| | | mean | 3.05e + 3 | 2.59e + 3 | 5.43e + 3 | 6.04e + 3 |
| Baselii | ne agent | std | 1.53e + 3 | 1.07e + 3 | 2.72e + 3 | 3.30e + 3 |
| | | median | 3.37e + 3 | 2.75e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 | 4.43e + 3 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.07e+4 | 4.28e + 4 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.45e + 3 | 4.11e+4 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.07e+4 | 3.50e+4 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.51e+4 | 2.51e+4 |
| MGDT | $_{\mathrm{DQN}}$ | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.06e + 3 | 8.06e + 3 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.51e+4 | 2.51e+4 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | mean | 6.18e + 3 | 6.67e + 3 | 9.44e + 3 | 9.34e + 3 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 5.38e + 3 | 5.37e + 3 | 7.56e + 3 | 7.30e + 3 |
| IMPALA | | median | 4.46e + 3 | 4.95e + 3 | 6.98e + 3 | 6.98e + 3 |
| IMI ALA | | mean | 5.90e + 3 | 6.44e + 3 | 9.43e + 3 | 9.85e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 5.34e + 3 | 5.77e + 3 | 7.28e + 3 | 7.27e + 3 |
| | | median | 4.23e + 3 | 4.51e + 3 | 8.06e + 3 | 8.44e + 3 |

Table 15: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| I | Environment | | Block | maze |
|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| | Metrics | | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| D. a.P | | mean | 1.46e + 1 | 1.22e + 1 |
| Baseliı | ne agent | std | 2.26e + 1 | 2.67e + 1 |
| | | median | 5.88e + 0 | 3.22e+0 |
| | | mean | 3.00e + 3 | 3.53e + 3 |
| | MAENT | std | 2.81e + 3 | 3.28e + 3 |
| | | median | 2.07e + 3 | 3.54e + 3 |
| | | mean | 3.00e + 3 | 3.53e + 3 |
| MGDT | MGDT DQN | std | 2.81e + 3 | 3.28e + 3 |
| | | median | 2.07e + 3 | 3.54e + 3 |
| | | mean | 3.00e + 3 | 3.53e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 2.81e + 3 | 3.28e + 3 |
| | | median | 2.07e + 3 | 3.54e + 3 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMI ALA | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 16: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at 1% fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| E | Environments | | Blockmaze | |
|---------|--------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| | Metrics | | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| D 1! | | mean | 1.46e + 1 | 1.22e + 1 |
| Baseliı | ne agent | std | 2.26e + 1 | 2.67e + 1 |
| | | median | 5.88e + 0 | 3.22e+0 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | mean | 1.04e + 2 | 7.46e + 1 |
| MGDT DQ | DQN | std | 7.84e + 1 | 1.01e + 2 |
| | | median | 9.18e + 1 | 3.91e + 1 |
| | | mean | 2.97e + 1 | 4.29e + 1 |
| | PPO | std | 6.37e + 0 | 3.19e + 1 |
| | | median | 3.01e+1 | 4.00e + 1 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMITALA | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 17: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at 2% fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline in terms of time to detect bugs (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

|] | Environment | | Block | maze |
|-----------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|
| | Metrics | | Type 1 | Type 2 |
| | | mean | 1.46e + 1 | 1.22e + 1 |
| Baselin | ne agent | std | 2.26e + 1 | 2.67e + 1 |
| | | median | 5.88e + 0 | 3.22e+0 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 1.13e + 1 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 9.24e-1 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 1.19e + 1 |
| | | mean | 1.42e+1 | 4.78e + 1 |
| MGDT | | std | 4.31e+0 | 6.90e + 1 |
| | | median | 1.41e + 1 | 1.66e + 1 |
| | | mean | 2.83e+1 | 3.99e+1 |
| | PPO | std | 5.66e + 0 | 2.87e + 1 |
| | | median | 2.84e+1 | 3.92e+1 |
| | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | V_TRACE | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| IMII ALIA | | mean | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Table 18: Cumulative reward, training, and testing times of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the Blockmaze game on 2% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| | Environment | | Blockmaze | | naze |
|---------|----------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 4.32e+4 | 8.82e + 2 | NA |
| Baseli | ine agent | std | 0.0 | 2.76e + 2 | NA |
| | | median | 4.32e+4 | 9.60e + 2 | NA |
| | | mean | 8.64e + 2 | 7.91e + 3 | -2.97e+2 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 2.13e+2 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 8.64e + 2 | 7.99e + 3 | -2.97e+2 |
| | | mean | 8.64e + 2 | 2.19e + 3 | -1.49e+2 |
| MGDT | \mathbf{DQN} | std | 0.0 | $8.95e{+1}$ | 0.0 |
| | | median | 8.64e + 2 | 2.17e + 3 | -1.49e+2 |
| | | mean | 8.64e + 2 | 8.68e + 3 | -1.22e+2 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 1.59e + 2 | 1.42e-14 |
| | | median | 8.64e + 2 | 8.60e + 3 | -1.22e+2 |
| | | mean | 8.64e + 2 | 6.62e + 3 | -3.96e+2 |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | 0.0 | 1.48e + 2 | 3.99e+0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 8.64e + 2 | 6.59e + 3 | -3.96e+2 |
| IMI ALA | | mean | 8.64e + 2 | 7.38e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 2.87e + 2 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 8.64e + 2 | 7.38e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |

Table 19: Performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling on 2% data budget and the baseline in terms of makespan time (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| | Environment | | PDR | |
|----------|-------------|--------|-----------|------------------|
| | Metrics | | (6 x 6) | (30×20) |
| | | mean | 5.73e + 2 | 2.48e + 3 |
| Baselii | ne agent | std | 3.27e+0 | 1.07e+0 |
| | | median | 5.74e + 2 | 2.47e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.00e + 2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 5.93e+0 |
| | | median | 5.00e + 2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | | mean | 5.02e + 2 | 2.01e+3 |
| MGDT DQN | DQN | std | 5.68e-14 | 5.06e + 0 |
| | | median | 5.02e + 2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | | mean | 5.01e+2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | PPO | | 0.0 | 4.23e+0 |
| | | median | 5.01e+2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | | | 5.01e+2 | 2.01e+3 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 3.73e + 0 | 1.70e + 0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| IMITALA | | mean | 5.03e+2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 4.98e + 0 | 1.11e+0 |
| | | median | 5.02e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |

Table 20: Cumulative reward, training, and testing times of the fine-tuned generalist agents on MsPacman game on 2% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| | | | _ | | | |
|----------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|--|
| I | Environment | | MsPacman | | | |
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward | |
| | | mean | 8.14e + 3 | 2.57e + 4 | NA | |
| Baselii | ne agent | std | 3.57e + 2 | 1.08e + 3 | NA | |
| | | median | 7.99e + 3 | 2.55e+4 | NA | |
| | | mean | 8.70e + 3 | 3.92e + 5 | 2.00e + 2 | |
| | MAENT | std | 3.50e + 3 | 5.52e+4 | $4.33e{+1}$ | |
| | | median | 9.19e + 3 | 3.69e + 5 | 1.88e + 2 | |
| | | mean | 9.09e + 3 | 3.66e + 5 | 1.50e + 2 | |
| MGDT | DQN | std | 2.47e + 3 | 1.62e+4 | 1.91e+0 | |
| | | median | 1.10e+4 | 3.62e + 5 | 1.51e + 2 | |
| | | mean | 1.27e+4 | 5.99e + 5 | 4.50e + 1 | |
| | PPO | std | 3.29e + 3 | 1.54e+4 | 0.0 | |
| | | median | 1.11e+4 | 6.05e + 5 | 4.50e + 1 | |
| | | mean | 9.92e + 3 | 3.21e+4 | 8.90e+1 | |
| | V_TRACE | std | 4.16e + 2 | 3.92e + 3 | 1.86e + 0 | |
| IMPALA | | median | 1.00e+4 | 3.36e+4 | 8.88e+1 | |
| IWII ALA | | mean | 1.02e+4 | 3.24e+4 | 1.82e + 2 | |
| | PPO | std | 7.44e + 2 | 2.36e + 3 | 1.76e + 1 | |
| | | median | 1.00e+4 | 3.19e+4 | 1.73e + 2 | |

Table 21: Cumulative reward, training, and testing times of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling on 2% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| | | , | | | PDR |)R | | |
|--------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | Епупопшеш | | | (6 x 6) | 6) | | (30×20) | 20) |
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 3.96e + 3 | 1.05e + 1 | -5.73e + 2 | 7.42e+4 | 1.73e + 2 | -2.48e + 3 |
| Baseli | Baseline agent | std | 1.37e + 3 | 1.65e+0 | 3.27e + 0 | 2.42e+4 | 4.70e + 0 | 1.07e+0 |
| | | median | 4.58e + 3 | 9.64e + 0 | -5.74e+2 | 8.79e + 4 | 1.73e + 2 | -2.47e+3 |
| | | mean | 1.30e + 3 | 1.44e + 2 | -3.91e + 2 | 4.20e+4 | 2.57e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | MAENT | std | 1.30e + 1 | 6.52e+0 | 0.0 | 1.31e+4 | $8.56e{+1}$ | 1.20e+0 |
| | | median | 1.31e + 3 | 1.40e + 2 | -3.91e + 2 | 4.77e+4 | 2.53e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | | mean | 4.57e + 3 | 1.41e+2 | -3.92e+2 | 1.43e + 5 | 2.50e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| MGDT | DQN | std | 3.63e + 1 | 2.12e+0 | 0.0 | 2.04e+4 | 5.64e + 1 | 1.71e-1 |
| | | median | 4.56e + 3 | 1.42e + 2 | -3.92e+2 | 1.40e + 5 | 2.53e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| | | mean | 1.56e + 4 | 1.46e + 2 | -3.93e + 2 | 2.77e + 5 | 2.06e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| | PPO | $_{ m bts}$ | 7.15e+1 | 6.49e-1 | 0.0 | $8.26e{+4}$ | 5.30e + 2 | 3.47e-1 |
| | | median | 1.56e + 4 | 1.46e + 2 | -3.93e + 2 | 3.35e + 5 | 2.46e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| | | mean | 1.20e + 2 | 4.94e + 1 | -3.92e + 2 | $2.60\mathrm{e}{+3}$ | 9.73e + 3 | -1.43e+3 |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | $^{\mathrm{bts}}$ | 6.07e+0 | 9.60e-1 | 6.27e-1 | $9.99\mathrm{e}{+2}$ | 3.71e+2 | 3.00e+2 |
| IMPALA | | median | 1.22e+2 | 4.99e + 1 | -3.92e+2 | 2.05e+3 | 9.75e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| 177117 11411 | | nean | 1.10e + 2 | 4.85e + 1 | -3.92e+2 | 2.87e + 3 | 9.50e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 5.67e + 0 | 1.15e+0 | 4.26e-1 | 2.49e + 2 | 4.45e+2 | 2.55e-1 |
| | | median | $1.07\mathrm{e}{+2}$ | $4.88e{+1}$ | -3.92e+2 | 2.76e + 3 | 9.75e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |

Table 22: Performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on the PDR-based scheduling and the baseline in terms of makespan time (in bold are the values of generalist agents configurations with greater performance).

| | Environment | | PI |)R |
|----------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | Metrics | | (6×6) | (30×20) |
| | | mean | 5.73e + 2 | 2.48e + 3 |
| Baseli | ine agent | std | 3.27e + 0 | 1.07e + 0 |
| | | median | 5.74e + 2 | 2.47e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| | MAENT | std | 5.68e-14 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| MGDT | MGDT DQN | std | 5.68e-14 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 5.68e-14 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 5.00e + 2 | 2.02e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | 4.63e + 0 | 3.10e+0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| IWII ALA | | mean | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 4.63e + 0 | 3.10e+0 |
| | | median | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |

Table 23: Cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on MsPacman game and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| Environment | | | MsPacman | | | |
|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward | | |
| | | mean | 8.14e + 3 | 2.57e + 4 | NA | |
| Baseli | ne agent | std | 3.57e + 2 | 1.08e + 3 | NA | |
| | | median | 7.99e + 3 | 2.55e+4 | NA | |
| | | mean | NA | 3.51e + 5 | 1.93e + 2 | |
| | MAENT | std | NA | 1.84e + 4 | $4.21e{+1}$ | |
| | | median | NA | 3.57e + 5 | 2.11e+2 | |
| | | mean | NA | 3.90e + 5 | 1.52e + 2 | |
| MGDT | DQN | std | NA | 1.29e+4 | 3.52e + 0 | |
| | | median | NA | 4.00e + 5 | 1.52e + 2 | |
| | | mean | NA | 6.77e + 5 | 4.50e + 1 | |
| | PPO | std | NA | 2.29e+4 | 0.0 | |
| | | median | NA | 6.76e + 5 | 4.50e+1 | |
| | | mean | NA | 3.89e + 4 | 1.96e + 2 | |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | NA | 6.09e + 3 | $3.59\mathrm{e}{+1}$ | |
| IMPALA | | median | NA | 3.79e + 4 | 1.84e + 2 | |
| IMI ALA | | mean | NA | 2.96e+4 | 8.84e+1 | |
| | PPO | std | NA | 3.60e + 3 | 7.13e-1 | |
| | | median | NA | 2.73e+4 | 8.83e+1 | |

Table 24: Cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the pretrained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on the Blockmaze game and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

|] | Environment | | Blockmaze | | |
|---------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 4.32e+4 | 8.82e + 2 | NA |
| Baselii | ne agent | std | 0.0 | 2.76e + 2 | NA |
| | | median | 4.32e + 4 | 9.60e + 2 | NA |
| | | mean | NA | 2.15e+3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| | MAENT | std | NA | 7.78e + 1 | 0.0 |
| | | median | NA | 2.16e + 3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| | | mean | NA | 2.15e+3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| MGDT | DQN | std | NA | 7.78e + 1 | 0.0 |
| | | median | NA | 2.16e + 3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| | | mean | NA | 2.15e+3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| | PPO | std | NA | 7.78e + 1 | 0.0 |
| | | median | NA | 2.16e + 3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| | | mean | NA | 7.77e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |
| | V_TRACE | std | NA | 1.69e + 3 | 0.0 |
| IMPALA | | median | NA | 6.79e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |
| IMI ALA | | mean | NA | 7.77e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |
| | PPO | std | NA | 1.69e + 3 | 0.0 |
| | | median | NA | 6.79e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |

Table 25: Cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the pre-trained generalist agents at zero-shot fine-tuning data budget on the PDR-based scheduling and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| | | TIVIT / XL//X | IMPALA | | | | | | | MGDT | | | | | | Baselin | | | , | |
|-----------|----------------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | PPO | | | $V_{-}TRACE$ | | | PPO | | | DQN | | | MAENT | | | Baseline agent | | Metrics | SILVII CIIIICII | Environment |
| median | std | mean | median | std | mean | median | std | mean | median | std | mean | median | std | mean | median | std | mean | | | |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 4.58e + 3 | 1.37e + 3 | 3.96e + 3 | TA time | | |
| 4.88e + 1 | 5.46e+0 | 5.13e+1 | 4.88e + 1 | 5.46e+0 | 5.13e+1 | 1.59e+2 | 6.50e+0 | 1.59e + 2 | 1.59e + 2 | 6.50e+0 | 1.59e + 2 | 1.59e + 2 | 6.50e+0 | 1.59e + 2 | 9.64 e + 0 | 1.65e + 0 | 1.05e + 1 | TE time | (6×6) | |
| -3.92e+2 | 4.78e-1 | -3.92e+2 | -3.92e+2 | 4.78e-1 | -3.92e+2 | -3.91e+2 | 0.0 | -3.91e+2 | -3.91e+2 | 0.0 | -3.91e+2 | -3.91e+2 | 0.0 | -3.91e + 2 | -5.74e+2 | 3.27e+0 | -5.73e + 2 | Cumulative reward | 6) | PI |
| NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 8.79e+4 | 2.42e+4 | 7.42e+4 | TA time | | PDR |
| 1.01e+4 | 9.81e+2 | 1.05e+4 | 1.01e+4 | 9.81e+2 | 1.05e+4 | 2.66e + 3 | 1.58e + 2 | 2.70e + 3 | 2.66e + 3 | 1.58e + 2 | 2.70e + 3 | 2.66e + 3 | 1.58e + 2 | 2.70e + 3 | 1.73e+2 | 4.70e+0 | 1.73e + 2 | TE time | (30×20) | |
| -1.26e+3 | 1.08e+0 | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e+3 | 1.08e+0 | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | -1.26e + 3 | -2.47e+3 | 1.07e+0 | -2.48e + 3 | Cumulative reward | 20) | |

Table 26: Performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling on 1% data budget and the baseline in terms of makespan time (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| | Environment | | | OR |
|---------|-------------|--------|----------------|------------------|
| | Metrics | | (6×6) | (30×20) |
| | | mean | 5.73e + 2 | 2.48e + 3 |
| Baselii | ne agent | std | 3.27e+0 | 1.07e + 0 |
| | | median | 5.74e + 2 | 2.47e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.00e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 5.00e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.06e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| MGDT | DQN | std | 5.68e-14 | 3.03e + 0 |
| | | median | 5.06e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.05e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 6.86e-1 |
| | | median | 5.05e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | | mean | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 3.46e + 0 | 2.47e + 0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| IMITALA | | mean | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 3.28e + 0 | 3.83e + 0 |
| | | median | 5.04e + 2 | 2.01e + 3 |

Table 27: Cumulative reward, training, and testing times performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on MsPacman game on 1% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| performance | C). | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| I | Environment | | | MsPac | man |
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 8.14e + 3 | 2.57e + 4 | NA |
| Baselin | ne agent | std | 3.57e + 2 | 1.08e + 3 | NA |
| | | median | 7.99e + 3 | 2.55e+4 | NA |
| | | mean | 4.87e + 3 | 4.23e + 5 | 1.69e + 2 |
| | MAENT | std | 2.67e + 2 | 6.37e+4 | $2.93 e{+1}$ |
| | | median | 4.84e + 3 | 4.41e + 5 | 1.53e + 2 |
| | | mean | 4.06e + 3 | 3.50e + 5 | 1.51e + 2 |
| MGDT | \mathbf{DQN} | std | 1.09e + 3 | 3.68e + 4 | 2.63e + 0 |
| | | median | 3.69e + 3 | 3.23e + 5 | 1.53e + 2 |
| | | mean | 5.46e + 3 | 5.74e + 5 | 4.50e + 1 |
| | PPO | std | 6.16e + 1 | 5.93e + 3 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 5.48e + 3 | 5.76e + 5 | 4.50e + 1 |
| | | mean | 1.02e+4 | 3.01e+4 | 8.79e + 1 |
| | V_TRACE | std | 2.33e+2 | 1.65e + 3 | 9.66e-1 |
| IMPALA | | median | 1.03e+4 | 2.99e+4 | 8.80e + 1 |
| IWII ALA | | mean | 1.09e+4 | 3.01e+4 | $8.84e{+1}$ |
| | PPO | std | 1.41e + 3 | 1.68e + 3 | 9.31e-1 |
| | | median | 1.04e+4 | 3.08e+4 | $8.83e{+1}$ |

Table 28: Cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the Blockmaze game on 1% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater performance).

| | Environment | | | Blockr | naze |
|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 4.32e+4 | 8.82e + 2 | NA |
| Baseli | ne agent | std | 0.0 | 2.76e + 2 | NA |
| | | median | 4.32e+4 | 9.60e + 2 | NA |
| | | mean | 4.32e+2 | 8.11e+3 | -1.42e+2 |
| | MAENT | std | 0.0 | 2.20e+2 | 7.76e + 1 |
| | | median | 4.32e + 2 | 8.05e + 3 | -1.03e+2 |
| | | mean | 4.32e + 2 | 2.17e + 3 | -1.49e + 2 |
| MGDT | \mathbf{DQN} | std | 0.0 | 6.87e + 1 | 3.30e-1 |
| | | median | 4.32e + 2 | 2.18e + 3 | -1.49e+2 |
| | | mean | 4.32e + 2 | 9.13e + 3 | -1.22e+2 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 7.17e + 2 | 1.07e-1 |
| | | median | 4.32e + 2 | 8.68e + 3 | -1.22e+2 |
| | | mean | 4.32e + 2 | 6.58e + 3 | -3.96e+2 |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | 0.0 | 1.64e + 2 | 3.99e+0 |
| IMPALA | | median | 4.32e + 2 | 6.50e + 3 | -3.99e + 2 |
| IMIALA | | mean | 4.32e + 2 | 6.66e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |
| | PPO | std | 0.0 | 4.93e + 2 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 4.32e + 2 | 6.73e + 3 | -4.00e+2 |

mance). Table 29: Cumulative reward, training and testing times performance of the fine-tuned generalist agents on the PDR-based scheduling on 1% data budget and the baseline (in bold are the values of generalist agent configurations with greater perfor-

| | 7 | | | | PDR |)R | | |
|------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | EIIVIIOIIIIEIIC | | | (6×6) | 6) | | (30 x) | 20) |
| | Metrics | | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward | TA time | TE time | Cumulative reward |
| | | mean | 3.96e + 3 | 1.05e+1 | -5.73e + 2 | 7.42e+4 | 1.73e + 2 | -2.48e + 3 |
| Basel | Baseline agent | std | 1.37e + 3 | 1.65e+0 | 3.27e+0 | 2.42e+4 | 4.70e+0 | 1.07e+0 |
| | | median | 4.58e + 3 | 9.64e+0 | -5.74e + 2 | 8.79e + 4 | 1.73e + 2 | -2.47e + 3 |
| | | mean | 6.42e + 2 | 1.38e + 2 | -3.91e + 2 | 1.80e + 4 | 3.01e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | MAENT | std | 9.04e+0 | 6.63e-1 | 0.0 | 9.47e + 2 | 7.29e + 2 | 0.0 |
| | | median | 6.48e + 2 | 1.38e + 2 | -3.91e + 2 | 1.82e + 4 | 2.69e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | | mean | 2.28e + 3 | 1.40e+2 | -3.91e + 2 | 6.77e+4 | 2.47e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| MGDT | DQN | std | 7.92e+0 | 2.49e+0 | 0.0 | 3.26e + 3 | $4.65e{+1}$ | 9.26e-1 |
| | | median | 2.28e + 3 | 1.39e + 2 | -3.91e + 2 | 6.66e + 4 | 2.44e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | | mean | 7.74e + 3 | 1.41e+2 | -3.93e + 2 | 1.59e + 5 | 2.47e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 |
| | PPO | std | 4.76e + 1 | 1.91e+0 | 0.0 | 5.69e + 3 | 1.81e+1 | 1.37e+0 |
| | | median | 7.72e + 3 | 1.41e+2 | -3.93e+2 | 1.60e + 5 | 2.46e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| | | mean | 1.11e+2 | 4.86e + 1 | -3.91e+2 | 1.31e+3 | 1.02e+4 | -1.44e + 3 |
| | $V_{-}TRACE$ | std | $2.31\mathrm{e}{+0}$ | 7.93e-1 | 5.60e-1 | $4.31\mathrm{e}{+2}$ | 3.82e+2 | 3.04e+2 |
| IMPALA | | median | $1.09\mathrm{e}{+2}$ | 4.84e+1 | -3.91e+2 | 1.07e + 3 | $1.02e{+4}$ | -1.26e + 3 |
| TIME STATE | | mean | 1.12e + 2 | 4.76e+1 | -3.92e+2 | 1.37e + 3 | 9.35e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |
| | PPO | std | 4.38e + 0 | 2.00e+0 | 2.08e-1 | 3.55e + 1 | 4.54e+2 | 7.16e-1 |
| | | median | 1.12e + 2 | 4.67e+1 | -3.92e+2 | 1.38e + 3 | 9.47e + 3 | -1.26e+3 |

Table 30: Results of post-hoc tests analysis of testing time performance by the baseline specialist and the MGDT generalist agent on the Blockmaze game (in bold are DRL configurations where p-value is < 0.05 and have greater performance w.r.t the effect size).

| | | 2/0 | %c | | | | | 1/0 | 1% | | | | | 7010-2110t | zero-shot | | | Data budgets |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-DQN | Baseline | Baseline | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-DQN | Baseline | Baseline | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-DQN | Baseline | Baseline | Baseline | A |
| MGDT-PPO | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-DQN | В |
| 7.91e + 3 | $2.19e{+3}$ | $2.19e{+3}$ | 8.82e + 2 | 8.82e + 2 | 8.82e + 2 | 8.11e + 3 | 2.17e + 3 | 2.17e + 3 | $8.82e{+2}$ | 8.82e + 2 | 8.82e + 2 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 8.82e + 2 | 8.82e + 2 | 8.82e + 2 | mean(A) |
| 8.68e + 3 | 8.68e + 3 | 7.91e + 3 | 8.68e + 3 | 7.91e + 3 | 2.19e + 3 | 9.13e + 3 | 9.13e + 3 | 8.11e + 3 | 9.13e + 3 | 8.11e + 3 | 2.17e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | 2.15e + 3 | mean(B) |
| 2.37e-3 | $9.43\mathrm{e}\text{-}10$ | 9.61e-8 | 7.76e-9 | $2.17\mathrm{e}	ext{-}9$ | $1.27\mathrm{e}\text{-}3$ | 1.43e-1 | 1.40e-4 | 1.02 e-6 | $1.20\mathrm{e}\text{-}5$ | $1.53\mathrm{e}\text{-}9$ | 2.11e-3 | 1.00e+0 | 1.00e+0 | 1.00e+0 | 1.67e-3 | 1.67e-3 | 1.67e-3 | pval |
| 4.78e-3 | 9.86 e-222 | 8.53e-109 | 1.97e-106 | 6.68e-73 | 2.77e-5 | 1.12e-1 | 3.17e-14 | $8.60\mathrm{e}	ext{-}94$ | 3.87e-22 | $2.13\mathrm{e}\text{-}75$ | 1.74e-4 | 5.00e-1 | 5.00e-1 | 5.00e-1 | 3.79e-5 | 3.79e-5 | 3.79e-5 | CLES |

mean(A) and mean(B) refer to testing time values.

Table 31: Results of post-hoc tests analysis of makespan performance by the baseline and generalist agents on the 30×20 instance (in bold are DRL configurations where p-value is < 0.05 and have greater performance w.r.t the effect size).

| Data budgets | A | В | mean(A) | mean(B) | pval | CLES |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 7.31e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 7.31e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | 2.48e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 2.51e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | 2.48e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 2.51e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 2.51e-11 | 1.00e + 0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| zero-shot | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.02e + 3 | 9.61e-2 | 5.33e-2 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.02e+3 | 9.61e-2 | 5.33e-2 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.02e + 3 | 9.61e-2 | 5.33e-2 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.02e + 3 | 9.61e-2 | 5.33e-2 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 2.02e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 2.02e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 2.02e + 3 | 2.02e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 9.18e-10 | 1.00e + 0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 8.12e-9 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 7.16e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 2.64e-11 | 1.00e + 0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 5.55e-16 | 1.00e+0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.61e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.77e-1 | 3.78e-1 |
| 1% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.20e-1 | 6.61e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 8.37e-1 | 3.02e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.89e-1 | 3.92e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 6.51e-1 | 7.75e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 8.17e-1 | 2.84e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 3.61e-1 | 8.48e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.99e-1 | 4.36e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e + 3 | 2.01e+3 | 1.80e-3 | 7.19e-8 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 0.0 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 2.71e-11 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 8.67e-9 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 2.52e-6 | 1.00e+0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | 2.48e + 3 | 2.01e + 3 | 2.24e-9 | 1.00e+0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 2.18e-1 | 9.58e-2 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.95e-1 | 4.15e-1 |
| 2% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.96e-1 | 5.80e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 8.52e-1 | 3.07e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.76e-1 | 6.28e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 7.81e-1 | 7.40e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.52e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.83e-1 | 6.19e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.99e-1 | 4.34e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 2.01e+3 | 2.01e+3 | 9.07e-1 | 3.21e-1 |

mean(A) and mean(B) refer to makespan values.

Table 32: Results of post-hoc tests analysis of cumulative reward performance by the baseline and generalist agents on the PDR task on the 30×20 instance (in bold are DRL configurations where p-value is < 0.05 and have greater performance w.r.t the effect size).

| Data budgets | A | В | mean(A) | mean(B) | pval | CLES |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 4.21e-12 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 4.21e-12 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 4.21e-12 | 0.0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e + 0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| zero-shot | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 4.68e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.00e-1 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -2.48e + 3 | -1.44e + 3 | 4.50e-2 | 2.53e-3 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 4.19e-12 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -1.26e+3 | -1.44e+3 | 8.90e-1 | 6.84e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 4.19e-1 | 8.15e-1 |
| 1% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 5.62e-1 | 2.15e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.35e-1 | 7.00e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | -1.44e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.92e-1 | 3.17e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | -1.44e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.88e-1 | 3.15e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | -1.44e+3 | -1.26e+3 | 8.91e-1 | 3.17e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e+3 | 9.37e-2 | 4.08e-2 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 9.99e-1 | 4.43e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 3.76e-1 | 8.43e-1 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 5.88e-14 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -2.48e + 3 | -1.43e + 3 | 4.27e-2 | 2.14e-3 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 3.51e-13 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e+3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | -2.48e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.63e-14 | 0.0 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | -1.26e+3 | -1.43e + 3 | 8.90e-1 | 6.84e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 5.63e-1 | 2.22e-1 |
| 2% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 9.61e-1 | 6.39e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 7.70e-1 | 7.22e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | -1.43e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.90e-1 | 3.16e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | -1.43e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.91e-1 | 3.08e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | -1.43e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.91e-1 | 3.17e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 8.45e-1 | 7.04e-1 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e+3 | -1.26e + 3 | 1.80e-1 | 8.97e-1 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | -1.26e + 3 | -1.26e + 3 | 9.99e-1 | 4.36e-1 |

mean(A) and mean(B) refer to cumulative reward values.

Table 33: Results of post-hoc tests analysis of training time performance by the baseline and generalist agents on PDR task on the 30×20 instance (in bold are DRL configurations where p-value is < 0.05 and have greater performance w.r.t the effect size).

| Data budgets | A | В | mean(A) | mean(B) | pval | CLES |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|---------|----------|
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | 7.42e+4 | 1.37e + 3 | 2.91e-2 | 9.94e-1 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 7.42e+4 | 1.31e + 3 | 2.90e-2 | 9.96e-1 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | 7.42e+4 | 6.77e+4 | 9.94e-1 | 5.86e-1 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | 7.42e+4 | 1.80e + 4 | 6.90e-2 | 9.72e-1 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | 7.42e+4 | 1.59e + 5 | 1.46e-2 | 2.40e-3 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 1.37e + 3 | 1.31e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.43e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 1.37e + 3 | 6.77e+4 | 1.31e-5 | 1.29e-74 |
| 1% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 1.37e + 3 | 1.80e + 4 | 2.35e-5 | 1.02e-55 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 1.37e + 3 | 1.59e + 5 | 3.91e-6 | 2.63e-13 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 1.31e + 3 | 6.77e+4 | 8.78e-6 | 5.86e-82 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 1.31e + 3 | 1.80e + 4 | 7.11e-7 | 9.24e-50 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 1.31e + 3 | 1.59e + 5 | 3.35e-6 | 6.59e-15 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 6.77e+4 | 1.80e + 4 | 1.21e-5 | 1.00e+0 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 6.77e+4 | 1.59e + 5 | 5.99e-7 | 1.27e-35 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 1.80e + 4 | 1.59e + 5 | 3.62e-6 | 7.92e-10 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-PPO | 7.42e+4 | 2.87e + 3 | 3.13e-2 | 9.93e-1 |
| | Baseline | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 7.42e+4 | 2.60e + 3 | 3.07e-2 | 9.95e-1 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-DQN | 7.42e+4 | 1.43e + 5 | 2.85e-2 | 3.14e-2 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-MAENT | 7.42e+4 | 4.20e + 4 | 3.56e-1 | 8.37e-1 |
| | Baseline | MGDT-PPO | 7.42e+4 | 2.77e + 5 | 3.75e-2 | 1.81e-2 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 2.87e + 3 | 2.60e + 3 | 9.95e-1 | 5.87e-1 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 2.87e + 3 | 1.43e + 5 | 9.71e-4 | 3.67e-10 |
| 2% | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 2.87e + 3 | 4.20e+4 | 2.30e-2 | 3.92e-3 |
| | IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 2.87e + 3 | 2.77e + 5 | 1.55e-2 | 1.49e-3 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 2.60e + 3 | 1.43e + 5 | 9.37e-4 | 3.18e-1 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 2.60e + 3 | 4.20e+4 | 2.19e-2 | 2.33e-3 |
| | IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 2.60e + 3 | 2.77e + 5 | 1.54e-2 | 8.06e-4 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 1.43e + 5 | 4.20e + 4 | 6.79e-4 | 1.00e+0 |
| | MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 1.43e + 5 | 2.77e + 5 | 1.57e-1 | 7.95e-2 |
| | MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 4.20e + 4 | 2.77e + 5 | 2.50e-2 | 5.94e-3 |

mean(A) and mean(B) refer to training time values.

Table 34: Results of post-hoc tests analysis of training time performance by the baseline and the generalist agents on MsPacman game (in bold are DRL configurations where p-value is < 0.05 and have greater performance w.r.t the effect size).

| A | В | mean(A) | mean(B) | pval | CLES |
|----------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| BASELINE | IMPALA-PPO | 8.14e + 3 | 1.09e+4 | 1.81e-1 | 5.93e-2 |
| BASELINE | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 8.14e + 3 | 1.02e+4 | 2.25e-4 | 4.99e-6 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-DQN | 8.14e + 3 | 4.06e + 3 | 6.32e-3 | 9.99e-1 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-MAENT | 8.14e+3 | 4.87e + 3 | 9.07e-6 | 1.00e+0 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-PPO | 8.14e + 3 | 5.46e + 3 | 5.20e-4 | 1.00e+0 |
| IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 1.09e+4 | 1.02e+4 | 9.54e-1 | 6.45e-1 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 1.09e+4 | 4.06e + 3 | 4.78e-3 | 1.00e+0 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 1.09e+4 | 4.87e + 3 | 2.21e-2 | 1.00e+0 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 1.09e+4 | 5.46e + 3 | 3.23e-2 | 9.99e-1 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 1.02e+4 | 4.06e + 3 | 1.45e-3 | 1.00e+0 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | | 1.02e+4 | 4.87e + 3 | 1.96e-8 | 1.00e+0 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 1.02e+4 | 5.46e + 3 | 3.91e-6 | 1.00e+0 |
| MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 4.06e + 3 | 4.87e + 3 | 6.99e-1 | 2.56e-1 |
| MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 4.06e + 3 | 5.46e + 3 | 2.81e-1 | 1.25e-1 |
| MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 4.87e + 3 | 5.46e + 3 | 6.08e-2 | 2.84e-2 |
| BASELINE | IMPALA-PPO | 8.14e + 3 | 1.02e+4 | 1.86e-2 | 1.18e-2 |
| BASELINE | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 8.14e + 3 | 9.92e + 3 | 1.90e-3 | 1.86e-3 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-DQN | 8.14e + 3 | 9.09e + 3 | 9.62e-1 | 3.67e-1 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-MAENT | 8.14e + 3 | 8.70e + 3 | 9.99e-1 | 4.43e-1 |
| BASELINE | MGDT-PPO | 8.14e + 3 | 1.27e+4 | 2.38e-1 | 1.09e-1 |
| IMPALA-PPO | IMPALA-V_TRACE | 1.02e+4 | 9.92e + 3 | 9.70e-1 | 6.28e-1 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-DQN | 1.02e+4 | 9.09e + 3 | 9.35e-1 | 6.54e-1 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-MAENT | 1.02e+4 | 8.70e + 3 | 9.41e-1 | 6.49e-1 |
| IMPALA-PPO | MGDT-PPO | 1.02e+4 | 1.27e+4 | 6.97e-1 | 2.56e-1 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-DQN | 9.92e + 3 | 9.09e + 3 | 9.78e-1 | 6.16e-1 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-MAENT | 9.92e + 3 | 8.70e + 3 | 9.74e-1 | 6.21e-1 |
| IMPALA-V_TRACE | MGDT-PPO | 9.92e + 3 | 1.27e+4 | 5.98e-1 | 2.26e-1 |
| MGDT-DQN | MGDT-MAENT | 9.09e + 3 | 8.70e + 3 | 1.00e+0 | 5.32e-1 |
| MGDT-DQN | MGDT-PPO | 9.09e + 3 | 1.27e+4 | 5.38e-1 | 2.16e-1 |
| MGDT-MAENT | MGDT-PPO | 8.70e+3 | 1.27e+4 | 5.83e-1 | 2.28e-1 |
| | BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE IMPALA-V.TRACE IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN MGDT-DQN MGDT-MAENT BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE BASELINE IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE | BASELINE IMPALA-PPO BASELINE IMPALA-V.TRACE BASELINE MGDT-DQN BASELINE MGDT-DQN BASELINE MGDT-PO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-PPO MGDT-DQN MGDT-PPO MGDT-DQN MGDT-PPO MGDT-MAENT MGDT-PPO BASELINE IMPALA-PPO BASELINE MGDT-DQN BASELINE MGDT-DQN BASELINE MGDT-DQN BASELINE MGDT-PPO IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-PO | BASELINE IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 BASELINE IMPALA-V.TRACE 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-DQN 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-DQN 8.14e+3 IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-VTRACE 1.09e+4 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN 1.09e+4 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT 1.09e+4 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-PPO 1.09e+4 IMPALA-VTRACE MGDT-DQN 1.02e+4 IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-DQN 1.02e+4 MGDT-DQN MGDT-MAENT 4.06e+3 MGDT-DQN MGDT-PPO 1.02e+4 MGDT-MAENT MGDT-PPO 4.87e+3 BASELINE IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 BASELINE IMPALA-VTRACE 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-MAENT 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-PPO 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-PPO 8.14e+3 BASELINE MGDT-PPO 1.02e+4 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT | BASELINE IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 1.09e+4 BASELINE IMPALA-V.TRACE 8.14e+3 1.02e+4 BASELINE MGDT-DQN 8.14e+3 4.06e+3 BASELINE MGDT-PO 8.14e+3 5.46e+3 IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE 1.09e+4 1.02e+4 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN 1.09e+4 4.06e+3 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT 1.09e+4 4.87e+3 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT 1.09e+4 4.87e+3 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-PPO 1.09e+4 4.06e+3 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-PPO 1.09e+4 4.06e+3 IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-PPO 1.02e+4 4.06e+3 IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-MAENT 4.06e+3 4.87e+3 MGDT-DQN MGDT-MAENT 4.06e+3 4.87e+3 MGDT-DQN MGDT-PPO 4.06e+3 5.46e+3 MGDT-MAENT MGDT-ME 1.02e+4 9.02e+3 BASELINE IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 1.02e+4 9.09e+3 BASELINE | BASELINE IMPALA-PPO 8.14e+3 1.09e+4 1.81e-1 BASELINE IMPALA-V.TRACE 8.14e+3 1.02e+4 2.25e-4 BASELINE MGDT-DQN 8.14e+3 4.06e+3 6.32e-3 BASELINE MGDT-DQN 8.14e+3 4.87e+3 9.07e-6 IMPALA-PPO IMPALA-V.TRACE 1.09e+4 1.02e+4 9.54e-1 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-DQN 1.09e+4 4.06e+3 4.78e-3 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT 1.09e+4 4.87e+3 2.21e-2 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-MAENT 1.09e+4 4.87e+3 2.21e-2 IMPALA-PPO MGDT-POO 1.09e+4 4.06e+3 3.23e-2 IMPALA-VTRACE MGDT-DQN 1.02e+4 4.06e+3 1.45e-3 IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-POO 1.02e+4 4.06e+3 1.45e-3 IMPALA-V.TRACE MGDT-POO 1.02e+4 4.87e+3 6.99e-1 MGDT-DQN MGDT-POO 1.02e+4 4.87e+3 6.99e-1 MGDT-DQN MGDT-POO 4.06e+3 4.8 |

mean(A) and mean(B) refer to training values.