



Extracting a test case from a subroutine in IAL

Cossevin Erwan, Penigaud Nicolas

Why extracting a test case?

- Extract a small test case from the code => run a subroutine outside of the whole code
- When you have a tricky bug, it can be useful to extract just one routine from the code and run the code just for this routine
- It's easier to work on a routine rather than all the code
- When you want to port some code on GPU, you can start with a small test case



General principle

Two steps:

A) extract the data: Replace the call to the subroutine by a call to a wrapper that saves the data and then call the subroutine

B) Create the test case : create a new repository with the code from the model



General principle

SUBROUTINE APLPAR CALL WRAP_ACDRAG(...) SUBROUTINE APLPAR CALL ACDRAG(...) SUBROUTINE WRAP_ACDRAG receives and saves datain CALL ACDRAG(...) Receives and saves dataout SUBROUTINE ACDRAG receives datain produces dataout SUBROUTINE ACDRAG receives datain produces dataout





Example

The extracted routine can be converted to GPU code with fxtran-acdc. In this practical, we will use the routine generated by fxtran-acdc, and other possibilities.

One such possibility is to check results between a CPU run and a GPU run.

By default, results are not bit-reproducible between CPU and GPU. Identified sources of non-reproducibility:

- matrix multiplies using BLAS or CUBLAS
- special functions (log, sin, cos, exp...)
- order of sums with the NVIDIA compiler.

The matrix multiplies can be replaced by their non-optimized version (3 loops sums and multiplies), which is bit-reproducible between CPU and GPU





Example

The script can replace the special functions by a bit-reproducible version (using only the 4 usual operations and the square root, which are bit-reproducible in IEEE 754).

For example, COS will be replaced by FXTRAN_ACDC_BR_COS, which is defined in a Fxtran-acdc library.

Order of operations, even in -O0:

- NVDIA compiler : a+b+c+d computed as (a+b)+(c+d) for performance reasons ;
- other compilers : a+b+c+d computed as (((a+b)+c)+d)

This can lead to roundup differences.

The script can remove this source of non-reproducibility by adding parentheses : a+b+c+d systematically rewritten as (((a+b)+c)+d).



Questions?





Appendix: Solution code

- The branch in which the wrapper is coded is : https://github.com/ecossevin/IAL/tree/create_wrapper_acdrag
- The branch with the extracted test case is : https://github.com/ecossevin/acdrag/tree/master
- Some files to help (starting point for each step mentionned):

to extract the data:

https://github.com/ecossevin/acdrag/tree/extract_test_case

to generate util methods:

https://github.com/ecossevin/acdrag/tree/generate_util

to run the test case:

https://github.com/ecossevin/acdrag/tree/run test case

You can find all that at : /perm/rma1/test_case_working_week (extraction of acdrag miniapp) on the ecmwf cluster and /home/soa1/practical_working_week (use of acdrag miniapp)



