

Thesis Title

University of Pisa

Niccolò Picchiarelli

December 11, 2024

Chapter 1

Introduction

The phenomenon of (passive) Brownian Motion is a well investigated topic in physics since 1827, when Robert Brown studied the motion of pollen grains suspended in water. Since then, scientists across various fields have explored the properties of Brownian Particles systems in numerous variations, one of which is Active Brownian Particles (ABP).

ABPs are distinguished by their ability to extract energy from the environment and use it to propel themselves making them a fundamental model for non-equilibrium active matter systems. The motility mechanism can be mechanic, like cilia or flagella used by micro-organisms, or thermodynamic, like phoresis of various nature.

Incorporating self-propulsion within the Brownian framework enables the emergence of numerous novel behaviors, with collective dynamics being particularly noteworthy; even if the single agent details may differ, especially in propulsion mechanisms, it is possible to build minimal statistical physics models that mimic real world dynamics, leading the way to the discovery of new physics as well as methods to analyze real living beings' behaviors and new ideas in material science.

There are several model features and properties that can be exploited to develop both analysis methods and new technologies based on active matter, e.g. self organization and clustering are relevant in the study of bacterial and algae colonies, while in the artificial world much use can be made of properties like chaining, clustering or vortices.

The aim of CELLOIDS, the project inside of which this work has taken place, is to build a micro-scale intelligent robotic system using phoretic ABPs as motors, exploiting their single and collective behaviors. The CELLOIDS' concept for a microrobot is a phospholipids GUV (Giant Unilamellar Vesicle) filled with a suspension of ABPs. A single ABP is a Janus sphere, with an inert hemisphere and a catalytic one, which turns a fuel into some products generating a gradient in the concentration field in its vicinity; this makes the solvent flow around the particle causing it to move. The GUV's walls are deformable and can be pushed from the inside by ABPs, leading to further deformation and, in the end, motility, mimicking the behavior of living cells that can shrink through the tiny interstices of a biological tissue.

In this framework, collective behaviors and emergent properties of ABPs systems, both self-induced and caused by the interaction with a rigid or deformable

confinement are of paramount importance for the microrobot to work properly. Regarding the self-induced behaviors, the most investigated is Motility-Induced Phase Separation [CT15], caused by the concurrence between the excluded volume of the particles (non superimposable hard spheres) and their activity. A confinement-induced emergent property is e.g. propulsion-induced accumulation, in which motile particles tend to cluster at the boundary of the confinement [MM15].

The objective of this work is to develop a model, a simulation framework and a suite of analysis tools to study how an explicit interaction potential changes single and collective behaviors of an ABP system, always keeping in mind the experimental and applied point of view. The main novelty in the model is how a potential is applied. Specialized literature focuses on two types of interactions: aligning, involving the direction of particles, and non-aligning, which can be simple central potentials like the hard sphere; it is possible to find several interactions of both types in literature but this work tries to unify the two, linking coupling between the positions of a pair of particles (force) with coupling between the orientations of said particles (torque) with the objective of developing a minimal model that tries to mimic experimental observations.

Here, the term *minimal* means not only that a unique interaction potentials is used to couple both positional and orientational degrees of freedom, but also that this simple model could be enough to simulate real world phenomena without looking into the hydrodynamics, which will involve simulating not only the particles but the solvent as well, leading to extremely heavy simulations. Moreover, details about how the solvent flows around a phoretic ABP, as well as the concentration field around it, are still a matter of investigation and have been simulated mostly for single particles.

Given this novel modeling technique and its implementation, the next step of this work is to obtain a qualitative, eyesight agreement between simulations and experiments, making the *in silico* experiment able to capture all the features and dynamics observed *in vitro* scanning the different parameters.

Moreover, with the right parameters, this model is capable of showing rich collective behaviors, making it a feasible alternative to study phase transitions in a statistical physics fashion. A glorious model in this field involving aligning interactions is the Vicsek model [Vic+95], which captures a plethora of natural world phenomena. Present work shows how a system with coupled positions and orientations can turn into a continuous Vicsek-like case study.

The last objective of the project is to build a Deep Learning based tool which, when trained starting from a minimal set of simulation data, is able to infer the interaction potential between couples of particles both in simulated and experimentally observed situations.

1.1 Passive Brownian Motion

This and the next chapter follow [CV19].

Before adding the self-propulsion velocity, it is worthwhile to outline some facts about Passive Brownian motion in a 2-dimensional environment. Due to the collisions with the fluid molecules, a passive Brownian particle experiences random forces and torques so that its motion is purely diffusive, both in position and orientation with the following diffusion coefficients

$$D_t = \frac{k_B T}{\gamma_t} \quad D_r = \frac{k_B T}{\gamma_r} \quad (1.1)$$

being $\gamma_t = 6\pi\eta a$ and $\gamma_r = 8\pi\eta a^3$ the respective drag coefficients, where a is the particle radius and η is the fluid viscosity. The basis to build the model upon is the Langevin equation

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\gamma_t \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{F}_{th} \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathbf{F}_{th} is the random force given by the collisions with the fluid molecules.

Given that a typical Brownian particle will have a characteristic body-length in the order of $\sim \mu\text{m}$ and a velocity of $\sim \mu\text{ms}^{-1}$ the system can be studied in low-Reynolds number regime being

$$Re = \frac{\rho v a}{\eta} \sim 10^{-6}$$

where ρ is the fluid density, v is the particle speed, a is the particle radius and η is the fluid viscosity and the values of density and viscosity for water were plugged in. As a consequence of this fact, inertial effects can be neglected and it is possible to study the system in the *overdamped* regime, turning the Langevin equation 1.2 into

$$\gamma_t \dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{F}_{th} \quad (1.3)$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \sqrt{2D_t} d\mathbf{W} \quad (1.4)$$

where $d\mathbf{W}$ is the derivative of a zero mean, variance 1 Wiener process. In a homogeneous environment, rotational and translational motions are independent from each other, so that the equations of motion for a passive Brownian particle are

$$\dot{x} = \sqrt{2D_t} dW_x, \quad \dot{y} = \sqrt{2D_t} dW_y, \quad \dot{\theta} = \sqrt{2D_r} dW_\theta \quad (1.5)$$

1.2 Physics and Numerical Simulations of ABPs

Despite the variety in single agent properties and self-propulsion mechanisms, it is possible to identify some key features that are shared between all ABP systems. The most important characteristic of an ABP is that, notwithstanding a symmetric shape (often in literature, and always in this work, spherical), each particle has a preferred axis which lies along the direction of self-propulsion.

With this hypothesis, rotational diffusion has now become relevant since the direction of self-propulsion varies randomly with a characteristic time scale which corresponds to the inverse of the rotational diffusion coefficient $\tau_r = D_r^{-1}$.

Adding a constant-magnitude self-propulsion velocity \mathbf{v} into the equations of motion, we get:

$$\dot{x} = v \cos \theta + \sqrt{2D_t} dW_x, \quad \dot{y} = v \sin \theta + \sqrt{2D_t} dW_y, \quad \dot{\theta} = \sqrt{2D_r} dW_\theta \quad (1.6)$$

where θ is the particle orientation and v is the magnitude of the self-propulsion velocity.

As a consequence, the resulting finite-differences equations are:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + v \cos \theta \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_t \Delta t} W_{x,n}, \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + v \sin \theta \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_t \Delta t} W_{y,n}, \\ \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \omega \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_r \Delta t} W_{\theta,n} \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where the case of a deterministic self-propulsion angular velocity ω is also taken into account.

It is possible to insert external forces and torques in the system, where *external* means that they are not due to the self-propulsion. Even though in literature there are examples of uniform external potentials, e.g. electric and magnetic fields, being applied on the ABP ensemble as a whole, in this work the only external force is the interaction between particles, which is applied in the low-Reynolds number regime as:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + \left(v \cos \theta + \frac{D_t}{k_B T} F_{ext,x} \right) \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_t \Delta t} W_{x,n}, \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + \left(v \sin \theta + \frac{D_t}{k_B T} F_{ext,y} \right) \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_t \Delta t} W_{y,n}, \\ \theta_{n+1} = \theta_n + \left(\omega + \frac{D_r}{k_B T} T_{ext} \right) \Delta t + \sqrt{2D_r \Delta t} W_{\theta,n} \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where applying a force or a torque just linearly translates to a linear or angular velocity change, with the respective drag coefficients as proportionality constant.

Algorithm 1 The simulation algorithm

```

1: for i in particles in ensemble do
2:    $\vec{r}_i \leftarrow \vec{r}_{i,0}$   $\triangleright$  position  $\vec{r}_{i,0}$  is randomly initialized in the simulation space
3:    $\theta_i \leftarrow \theta_{i,0}$   $\triangleright \theta_{i,0} \sim \text{Uniform}([0, 2\pi])$ 
4:    $v \leftarrow v_0$   $\triangleright$  Can be initialized as a constant or a random variable
5: for n in timesteps do
6:   for i in particles in ensemble do
7:      $\vec{F}_{i,n} = \sum_j^{N_p} \vec{F}_{ij,n}$ 
8:      $w_{i,n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{2D_t \Delta t})$ 
9:      $z_{i,n} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sqrt{2D_r \Delta t})$ 
10:     $\vec{r}_{i,n+1} \leftarrow \vec{r}_n + w_{i,n} + v(\cos \theta_{i,n}, \sin \theta_{i,n}) \Delta t + \vec{F}_{i,n} \Delta t / \gamma_t$ 
11:     $\theta_{i,n+1} \leftarrow \theta_{i,n} + z_{i,n} + \omega_{i,n} \Delta t + T_{i,n} \Delta t / \gamma_r$ 

```

Chapter 2

Implementation of interactions in simulations

2.1 Previous Developments

All the code work in this project is written in [Julia](#) programming language, built on top of an existing code written and used in [MRLAB](#). The existing code base was able to perform simulations of systems of active brownian particles in 2D with closed, periodic or open boundary conditions featuring several confinement shapes. The only interaction which was featured in the model was a steric hard sphere correction, which is enough to study clustering and motility-induced phase separation, as well as boundary accumulation phenomena. The hardsphere correction follows algorithm 2 according to [CV19]. [Mar+18]

Algorithm 2 The hard sphere correction algorithm

```
1: for all couples of particles  $\{i, j\}$  do
2:    $d_{i,j} \leftarrow d(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{r}_j)$   $\triangleright d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Euclidean distance
3:    $\mathbf{n}_{i,j} = (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j) / d_{i,j}$ 
4:   if  $d_{i,j} < 2R$  then  $\triangleright R$  is the particles' radius
5:      $\mathbf{r}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{n}_{i,j} d_{i,j} / 2$ 
6:      $\mathbf{r}_j \leftarrow \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{n}_{j,i} d_{i,j} / 2$ 
```

Clearly, being a $O(n^2)$ algorithm, this kind of correction can become computationally heavy, especially for high density systems, as well as high velocity systems, where clustering is more likely.

Since the original code base was designed to study ABPs in confinements rather than in periodic boundary conditions, this interaction could not take periodicity into account leading to possible superpositions at the boundaries.




Figure 2.1: Agnese Callegari and Giovanni Volpe. “Numerical Simulations of Active Brownian Particles”. In: *Flowing Matter*. Ed. by Federico Toschi and Marcello Sega. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 211–238. ISBN: 978-3-030-23370-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-23370-9_7. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23370-9_7 (visited on 11/12/2024)

2.2 Steric Interactions

2.2.1 All to All

2.2.2 Range

2.3 Aligning Interactions

Bibliography

- [CT15] Michael E. Cates and Julien Tailleur. “Motility-Induced Phase Separation”. In: *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* 6 (Volume 6, 2015 Mar. 10, 2015). Publisher: Annual Reviews, pp. 219–244. ISSN: 1947-5454, 1947-5462. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014710. URL: <https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031214-014710> (visited on 12/04/2024).
- [CV19] Agnese Callegari and Giovanni Volpe. “Numerical Simulations of Active Brownian Particles”. In: *Flowing Matter*. Ed. by Federico Toschi and Marcello Sega. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 211–238. ISBN: 978-3-030-23370-9. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-23370-9_7. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23370-9_7 (visited on 11/12/2024).
- [Mar+18] Aitor Martín-Gómez et al. “Collective motion of active Brownian particles with polar alignment”. In: *Soft Matter* 14.14 (Apr. 4, 2018). Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry, pp. 2610–2618. ISSN: 1744-6848. DOI: 10.1039/C8SM00020D. URL: <https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2018/sm/c8sm00020d> (visited on 11/28/2024).
- [MM15] Umberto Marini Bettolo Marconi and Claudio Maggi. “Towards a statistical mechanical theory of active fluids”. In: *Soft Matter* 11.45 (Nov. 11, 2015). Publisher: The Royal Society of Chemistry, pp. 8768–8781. ISSN: 1744-6848. DOI: 10.1039/C5SM01718A. URL: <https://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/articlelanding/2015/sm/c5sm01718a> (visited on 12/04/2024).
- [Vic+95] Tamás Vicsek et al. “Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-Driven Particles”. In: *Physical Review Letters* 75.6 (Aug. 7, 1995), pp. 1226–1229. ISSN: 0031-9007, 1079-7114. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.75.1226> (visited on 12/04/2024).