# Semi-stable lattices in higher rank

Tri Nguyen

April 26, 2025

# Outline

# Historical motivation

Serre [1977] and Quillen [see Grayson, 1982] used the notion of semistable vector bundle on an algebraic curve to study $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ when $\mathcal{O}$ is a Dedekind domain finitely generated over a finite field. Stuhler then realized he can used the same method to adapt some work of Harder and Narasimhan on stable vector bundles to yields new facts about lattices in a Euclidean space.

Due to [**?**] , it is heuristical that the semi-stable lattices are the lattices in which the successive minima are closed.

# Definition of two-dimensional lattices

## Lattice

A lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a set of the form

$$L = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$$

where $e_1, e_2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$.

# Example of a lattice



Figure: Example of a lattice

# Classification of lattices

Do we know all the possible 2 dimensional "lattice shape"?

# Classification of lattices

Do we know all the possible 2 dimensional "lattice shape"?

**Answer:** Up to maginifcation, rotation and change of basis, the answer is yes.

# Fundamental domain

Up to rorations and magnifications, we can reduce a lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$$

to a lattice of the form

$$L_z = \mathbb{Z}z \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Im(z) > 0$$

So the upper half-plane parametrizes the 2 dimensional lattices.

**Classification of unit lattices**

The map $z \mapsto \mathbb{Z}z \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\mathbb{H} \cong \{\text{ lattices}\}/\mathbb{C}^\times$$

# Fundamental domain

So we reduce to study the space of lattices by looking the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane. Geometrically, the domain is given by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq 1, -1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2\}$$

,

# Fundamental domain

So we reduce to study the space of lattices by looking the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane. Geometrically, the domain is given by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq 1, -1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2\}$$

,



# Canonical plot

Grayson associated each lattice $L$ to some kind of **Newton polygon**.

# Canonical plot

Grayson associated each lattice $L$ to some kind of **Newton polygon**. The process is as follows:

1. Put $(0, 0)$ to the plot.
2. For each primitive vector $v \in L$, he assigns the point $(1, \log(||v||))$ to the plot.
3. Put the point $(2, \log(vol(L)))$ to the plot.

# Canonical plot



Figure: The figure on the left corresponds to $z = -2/5 + 3i/2$ and on the right corresponds to $z = 7/16 + 15i/16$

# Canonical plot

Since the lattice is discrete, there is a shortest primitive vector - on the plot we have the lowest point.

# Canonical plot

Since the lattice is discrete, there is a shortest primitive vector - on the plot we have the lowest point.

Grayson called the set of points plotted above as **Canonical plot**. The convex hull of the collection of the plot point is called **profile**.

For any $z \in \mathbb{H} = \{\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$, we can assign to it a unit lattices

$$z \mapsto L_z = \mathbb{Z}\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{y}} + \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}}e_1 + \sqrt{y}e_2\right)$$

The shortest vector is then $e_1/\sqrt{y}$, with length $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$. So for $y < 1$, the lowest point is below the horizontal axis.

For any $z \in \mathbb{H} = \{\mathrm{Im}(z) > 0\}$, we can assign to it a unit lattices

$$z \mapsto L_z = \mathbb{Z}\frac{e_1}{\sqrt{y}} + \mathbb{Z}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}}e_1 + \sqrt{y}e_2\right)$$

The shortest vector is then $e_1/\sqrt{y}$, with length $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$. So for $y < 1$, the lowest point is below the horizontal axis.

The element $z$ corresponds to the lattice $L_z$ such that its lowest point on the vertical line $x = 1$ lies below the $x$-axis is called **semi-stable**, otherwise it is called **unstable**.

# Semi-stable locus in fundamental domain



Since the semi-stability is preserved under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the semi-stable locus in the upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ is as follows



Figure: Semi-stable locus over $\mathbb{H}$

# In higher dimensional

We work with the lattices of the form $g\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The latter is just the lattice with unit volume. However we still need to consider the sublattice of arbitrary volume.

# In higher dimensional

We work with the lattices of the form $g\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The latter is just the lattice with unit volume. However we still need to consider the sublattice of arbitrary volume.

## Sublattice

A discrete subgroup $M$ of the lattice $L$ is called **sublatice** if it satisfies one of the the following equivalent conditions:

1. $L/M$ has no torsion part.
2. $M$ is a direct summand in $L$.
3. Every basis of $M$ can be extended to a basis in $L$.
4. The quotient $L/M$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}-$module.

# Volume of lattice

The volume of $L = g\mathbb{Z}^n$ is just $\deg(g)$. Assume that $M$ is a sublattice of $L$ of rank $k \leq n$ with a basis

$$\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$$

Let $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ be the standard basis. We can form a matrix of size $k \times n$

$$A = \left[\langle v_i, e_j\rangle\right]$$

The volume of $M$ is defined to be the sum of squares of the determinant of $k \times k$ minor matrices in the matrix $A$.

# Canonical plot in higher dimension

Grayson assigned the lattices $L$ to a canonical plot as follows:

1. Assign the point $(0,0)$ to the plot.
2. For each sublattice $M \subset L$ we assigne a point of coordinate $l(m) = (rank(M), \log(vol(M)))$ to the plot.
3. Assign the point $(1, \log(vol(L)))$ to the lattice.

# Canonical plot in higher dimension

Grayson assigned the lattices $L$ to a canonical plot as follows:

1. Assign the point $(0, 0)$ to the plot.
2. For each sublattice $M \subset L$ we assigne a point of coordinate $l(m) = (rank(M), \log(vol(M)))$ to the plot.
3. Assign the point $(1, \log(vol(L)))$ to the lattice.

As before, we call the convex hull of this plot its **profile**.

We have the following proposition

**Sublattice with bounded volume**

Fix a lattice $L$ of rank $n$ and a positive number $c$. For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $vol(M) < c$.

We have the following proposition

**Sublattice with bounded volume**

Fix a lattice $L$ of rank $n$ and a positive number $c$. For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $vol(M) < c$.

This guarantees that for each vertical line $x = k$, there exists a lowest points, corresponding to the sublattice of smallest volume of each rank $k$. In particular, the bottom of the profile is bounded below. Hence the following definition makes sense

We have the following proposition

### Sublattice with bounded volume

Fix a lattice $L$ of rank $n$ and a positive number $c$. For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $vol(M) < c$.

This guarantees that for each vertical line $x = k$, there exists a lowest points, corresponding to the sublattice of smallest volume of each rank $k$. In particular, the bottom of the profile is bounded below. Hence the following definition makes sense

### Semi-stable lattice

Construct the profile of a lattice $L$ as above. If the bottom of the profile is just a line then we call the lattice L **semi-stable**.

# Example of a higher rank profile



Figure: An unstable lattice

# Iwasawa decomposition

We recall the Iwasawa decomposition for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$

$$G = K \times A \times N$$

where

1. $K$ is the orthogonal subgroup.
2. $A$ is the group of diagonal matrix with positive entries along the diagonal.
3. $N$ is the unipotent subgroup.

# Parabolic subgroups

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ we have an explicit description of standard parabolic subgroup

# Parabolic subgroups

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ we have an explicit description of standard parabolic subgroup

## Standard Parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_n$

For each partition

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_k$$

We denote $P_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ the standard parabolic subgroup of type $(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$ to be the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$P_{n_1,\ldots,n_k} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_1 & * & \ldots & * \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}_2 & \ldots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \mathfrak{m}_k \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{i}$ is of size $n_i \times n_i$.

# Degree of instability

Now we are ready to define the degree of instability

## Degree of instability

For each $x \in X = G$, we define its degree of instability to be

$$\deg_{\text{inst}}(x) := \min_{P \in \text{ParSt}, \gamma \in G/P} \langle \rho_P, H_P(x\gamma) \rangle$$

# Degree of instability

Now we are ready to define the degree of instability

## Degree of instability

For each $x \in X = G$, we define its degree of instability to be

$$\deg_{\mathrm{inst}}(x) := \min_{P \in \mathrm{ParSt}, \gamma \in G/P} \langle \rho_P, H_P(x\gamma) \rangle$$

We define the notion of $\rho$-semistable as follows

## $\rho$-semistable

A point $x \in G$ is called **semi-stable** iff $\deg_{\mathrm{inst}}(x) \geq 0$.

# Equivalent between two notions of semi-stable lattices

We have the following Lemma

## Lemma A

The following are equivalent:

1. $\deg^i_Q(x) \geq 0$;
2. For every parabolic subgroup $P \subset Q$, every $\delta \in Q(F)/P(F)$, and every $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}^Q_P$, we have:

$$\langle \varpi, H_P(x\delta) \rangle \geq 0;$$

3. For every maximal parabolic subgroup $P \subset Q$, every $\delta \in Q(F)/P(F)$, and every $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}^Q_P$, we have:

$$\langle \varpi, H_P(x\delta) \rangle \geq 0.$$

# Equivalent between two notions of semi-stable

Use the previous lemma, we can reduce to consider only maximal parabolic subgroup.We can further replace $H_P$ with $H_B$, where $B$ is the minimal parabolic subgroup in the formula of degree of instability.
This means for

$$\langle \rho_{Q_i}, H_B(x\gamma) \rangle = a_1 a_2 \dots a_i$$

where

$$x = kan \in K \times A \times N,$$

which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This suggests that there should be a connection between the maximal parabolic subgroups of $G$ and sublattices of $L$. Indeed we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/(Q_i(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})) \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{ sublattice of rank } i \text{ of } \mathbb{Z}^n \}$$

So we proved the main theorem

## Main theorem

Let $x \in X_n = K \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ - the space of unit lattice. Then $x$ is semi-stable if one of the following equaivalent conditions holds

1. The bottom of the profile of $x$ is a line connect solely two points: the origin and $(n, 0)$.
2. The degree of instability of $x$ is nonnegative, namely, $\deg_{inst}(x) \geq 0$.

*THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.*