

Semi-stable lattices in higher rank

Tri Nguyen

April 25, 2025

- 1 Introduction
- 2 In 2 dimensional
- 3 In dimension at least 3

Historical motivation

Serre [1977] and Quillen [see Grayson, 1982] used the notion of semistable vector bundle on an algebraic curve to study $SL_n(\mathcal{O})$ when \mathcal{O} is a Dedekind domain finitely generated over a finite field. Stuhler then realized he can use the same method to adapt some work of Harder and Narasimhan on stable vector bundles to yield new facts about lattices in a Euclidean space.

Due to [?] , it is heuristical that the semi-stable lattices are the lattices in which the successive minima are closed.

Definition of two-dimensional lattices

Lattice

A lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a set of the form

$$L = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$$

where e_1, e_2 are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .

Example of a lattice




Figure: Example of a lattice

Classification of lattices

Do we know all the possible 2 dimensional "lattice shape"?

Classification of lattices

Do we know all the possible 2 dimensional "lattice shape"?

Answer: Up to magnification, rotation and change of basis, the answer is yes.

Fundamental domain

Up to rotations and magnifications, we can reduce a lattice

$$L = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$$

to a lattice of the form

$$L_z = \mathbb{Z}z \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \quad \Im(z) > 0$$

So the upper half-plane parametrizes the 2 dimensional lattices.

Classification of unit lattices

The map $z \mapsto \mathbb{Z}z \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ induces a bijection

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{H} \cong \{ \text{lattices} \} / \mathbb{C}^\times$$

Fundamental domain

So we reduce to study the space of lattices by looking the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane. Geometrically, the domain is given by


$$\mathfrak{D} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq 1, -1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2\}$$

,

Fundamental domain

So we reduce to study the space of lattices by looking the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half plane. Geometrically, the domain is given by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{H} : |z| \geq 1, -1/2 \leq x \leq 1/2\}$$



Grayson associated each lattice L to some kind of **Newton polygon**.

Grayson associated each lattice L to some kind of **Newton polygon**.

The process is as follows:

- 1 Put $(0, 0)$ to the plot.
- 2 For each primitive vector $v \in L$, he assigns the point $(1, \log(\|v\|))$ to the plot.
- 3 Put the point $(2, \log(\text{vol}(L)))$ to the plot.

Canonical plot




Figure: The figure on the left corresponds to $z = -2/5 + 3i/2$ and on the right corresponds to $z = 7/16 + 15i/16$

Canonical plot

Since the lattice is discrete, there is a shortest primitive vector - on the plot we have the lowest point.

Canonical plot

Since the lattice is discrete, there is a shortest primitive vector - on the plot we have the lowest point.

Grayson called the set of points plotted above as **Canonical plot**. The convex hull of the collection of the plot point is called **profile**.

For any $z \in \mathbb{H} = \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$, we can assign to it a unit lattices

$$z \mapsto L_z = \mathbb{Z} \frac{e_1}{\sqrt{y}} + \mathbb{Z} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} e_1 + \sqrt{y} e_2 \right)$$

The shortest vector is then e_1/\sqrt{y} , with length $\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$. So for $y < 1$, the lowest point is below the horizontal axis.

For any $z \in \mathbb{H} = \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$, we can assign to it a unit lattices

$$z \mapsto L_z = \mathbb{Z} \frac{e_1}{\sqrt{y}} + \mathbb{Z} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{y}} e_1 + \sqrt{y} e_2 \right)$$

The shortest vector is then e_1/\sqrt{y} , with length $\frac{1}{\sqrt{y}}$. So for $y < 1$, the lowest point is below the horizontal axis.

The element z corresponds to the lattice L_z such that its lowest point on the vertical line $x = 1$ lies below the x -axis is called **semi-stable**, otherwise it is called **unstable**.

Since the semi-stability is preserved under the action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, the semi-stable locus in the upper half plane \mathbb{H} is as follows




Figure: Semi-stable locus over \mathbb{H}

In higher dimensional

We work with the lattices of the form $g\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The latter is just the lattice with unit volume. However we still need to consider the sublattice of arbitrary volume.

In higher dimensional

We work with the lattices of the form $g\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ or $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. The latter is just the lattice with unit volume. However we still need to consider the sublattice of arbitrary volume.

Sublattice

A discrete subgroup M of the lattice L is called **sublattice** if it satisfies one of the the following equivalent conditions:

- 1 L/M has no torsion part.
- 2 M is a direct summand in L .
- 3 Every basis of M can be extended to a basis in L .
- 4 The quotient L/M is a free \mathbb{Z} -module.

Volume of lattice

The volume of $L = g\mathbb{Z}^n$ is just $\deg(g)$. Assume that M is a sublattice of L of rank $k \leq n$ with a basis

$$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$$

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be the standard basis. We can form a matrix of size $k \times n$

$$A = [\langle v_i, e_j \rangle]$$

The volume of M is defined to be the sum of squares of the determinant of $k \times k$ minor matrices in the matrix A .

Canonical plot in higher dimension

Grayson assigned the lattices L to a canonical plot as follows:

- 1 Assign the point $(0, 0)$ to the plot.
- 2 For each sublattice $M \subset L$ we assign a point of coordinate $l(m) = (\text{rank}(M), \log(\text{vol}(M)))$ to the plot.
- 3 Assign the point $(1, \log(\text{vol}(L)))$ to the lattice.

Canonical plot in higher dimension

Grayson assigned the lattices L to a canonical plot as follows:

- 1 Assign the point $(0, 0)$ to the plot.
- 2 For each sublattice $M \subset L$ we assign a point of coordinate $l(m) = (\text{rank}(M), \log(\text{vol}(M)))$ to the plot.
- 3 Assign the point $(1, \log(\text{vol}(L)))$ to the lattice.

As before, we call the convex hull of this plot its **profile**.

We have the following proposition

Sublattice with bounded volume

Fix a lattice L of rank n and a positive number c . For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $\text{vol}(M) < c$.

We have the following proposition

Sublattice with bounded volume

Fix a lattice L of rank n and a positive number c . For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $\text{vol}(M) < c$.

This guarantees that for each vertical line $x = k$, there exists a lowest points, corresponding to the sublattice of smallest volume of each rank k . In particular, the bottom of the profile is bounded below. Hence the following definition makes sense

We have the following proposition

Sublattice with bounded volume

Fix a lattice L of rank n and a positive number c . For each $k \leq n$, there are only finite sublattices $M \subset L$ such that $\text{vol}(M) < c$.

This guarantees that for each vertical line $x = k$, there exists a lowest points, corresponding to the sublattice of smallest volume of each rank k . In particular, the bottom of the profile is bounded below. Hence the following definition makes sense

Semi-stable lattice

Construct the profile of a lattice L as above. If the bottom of the profile is just a line then we call the lattice L **semi-stable**.

Example of a higher rank profile




Figure: An unstable lattice

Iwasawa decomposition

We recall the Iwasawa decomposition for $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$

$$G = K \times A \times N$$

where

- 1 K is the orthogonal subgroup.
- 2 A is the group of diagonal matrix with positive entries along the diagonal.
- 3 N is the unipotent subgroup.

Parabolic subgroups

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ we have an explicit description of standard parabolic subgroup

Parabolic subgroups

For $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ we have an explicit description of standard parabolic subgroup

Standard Parabolic subgroups of GL_n

For each partition

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$$

We denote P_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} the standard parabolic subgroup of type (n_1, \dots, n_k) to be the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$P_{n_1, \dots, n_k} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{m}_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \mathfrak{m}_2 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathfrak{m}_k \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

where \mathfrak{m}_i is of size $n_i \times n_i$.

Degree of instability

Now we are ready to define the degree of instability

Degree of instability

For each $x \in X = G/P$, we define its degree of instability to be

$$\deg_{\text{inst}}(x) := \min_{P \in \text{ParSt}, \gamma \in G/P} \langle \rho_P, H_P(x\gamma) \rangle$$

Degree of instability

Now we are ready to define the degree of instability

Degree of instability

For each $x \in X = G$, we define its degree of instability to be

$$\deg_{\text{inst}}(x) := \min_{P \in \text{ParSt}, \gamma \in G/P} \langle \rho_P, H_P(x\gamma) \rangle$$

We define the notion of ρ -semistable as follows

ρ -semistable

A point $x \in G$ is called **semi-stable** iff $\deg_{\text{inst}}(x) \geq 0$.

Equivalent between two notions of semi-stable lattices

We have the following Lemma

Lemma A

The following are equivalent:

- ① $\deg_Q^i(x) \geq 0$;
- ② For every parabolic subgroup $P \subset Q$, every $\delta \in Q(F)/P(F)$, and every $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_P^Q$, we have:

$$\langle \varpi, H_P(x\delta) \rangle \geq 0;$$

- ③ For every maximal parabolic subgroup $P \subset Q$, every $\delta \in Q(F)/P(F)$, and every $\varpi \in \hat{\Delta}_P^Q$, we have:

$$\langle \varpi, H_P(x\delta) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Equivalent between two notions of semi-stable

Use the previous lemma, we can reduce to consider only maximal parabolic subgroup. We can further replace H_P with H_B , where B is the minimal parabolic subgroup in the formula of degree of instability.

This means for

$$\langle \rho_{Q_i}, H_B(x\gamma) \rangle = a_1 a_2 \dots a_i$$

where

$$x = kan \in K \times A \times N,$$

which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & a_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This suggests that there should be a connection between the maximal parabolic subgroups of G and sublattices of L . Indeed we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/(Q_i(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})) \longleftrightarrow \{ \text{sublattice of rank } i \text{ of } \mathbb{Z}^n \}$$

So we proved the main theorem

Main theorem

Let $x \in X_n = K \backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ - the space of unit lattice. Then x is semi-stable if one of the following equivalent conditions holds

- 1 The bottom of the profile of x is a line connect solely two points: the origin and $(n, 0)$.
- 2 The degree of instability of x is nonnegative, namely, $\deg_{inst}(x) \geq 0$.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.