# Introduction to Schemes

Lecturer: Maria Iakerson

February 20, 2025

#### Contents

T	Why Schemes?	1
	1.1 Summary of affine varieties	1
	1.2 Why varieties are not good enough?	2
2	The prime spectrum	3

## 1 Why Schemes?

### 1.1 Summary of affine varieties

Let k be an algebraic closed field. The main idea of classical algebraic geometry is that we have a correspondence

{subsets of  $k^n$  cutout by polynomials}  $\leftrightarrow$  {finitely generated reduced k- algebras } Geometry  $\leftrightarrow$  Algebra

In particular, the above correspondence can be given as follows:

•  $I \subset k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  ideal: Then we define

$$X := Z(I) = \{ a \in k^n | f(a) = 0 \quad \forall f \in I \}$$

sometimes we use the notation V(I) instead of Z(I). This kind of set is an affine variety.

- $\mathbb{A}^n$ : n- dimensional affine space. As a set, it is just  $k^n$ , but we equip this set with Zariski topology where the closed subsets are generated by Z(I).
- $I(X) := \{ f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] | f(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in X \}$ . Then the quotient replacing  $k[X] := \frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{I(X)}$  is called *coordinate ring* of X.
- k[X] parametrizes functions on X:

$$x \in X \leadsto \mathfrak{m}_x := \ker(ev_x : k[X] \to k)$$

and  $\forall f \in k[x]$  gives

$$f: X \to \mathbb{A}^1 = k$$
  
 $x \mapsto f(x) = \overline{f} \in k[x]/\mathfrak{m}_x$ 

• Hilbert's weak Nullstellensatz:

$$\{ \text{ points of } X \} \leftrightarrow \{ \text{ maximal ideals of } k[X] \}$$

- Hilbert Nullstellensatz:  $I(Z(I)) = \sqrt{I} := \{f : f^n \in I \text{ for some } n\}.$
- Morphisms: given X and  $Y \in \mathbb{A}^n$  a morphism between two affine varieties is given by  $\varphi = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ . This morphism induces a k- algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : k[Y] \to k[X], \quad \varphi^*(\psi) = \psi \circ \varphi, \qquad X \xrightarrow{\varphi} Y$$

$$\downarrow^f \qquad \downarrow^f \qquad \downarrow^f$$

$$\wedge^1$$

so Hom(X,Y) = Hom(k[Y],k[X]) - which gives the equivalence of categories as stated in the beginning.

#### 1.2 Why varieties are not good enough?

Some possible reasons are:

- 1. embedding into  $\mathbb{A}^n$  shouldn't be part of the data. It would be nice to have an intrinsic definition, since you can embed the same variety in different spaces.
- 2. for non-algebraic closed field, the Nullstellensatz doesn't work:  $I = (x^2 + y^2 + 1)$  is a prime ideal in  $\mathbb{R}[x,y]$ , hence is a radical ideal. But  $Z(I) = \emptyset$ , so  $I(Z(I)) = \mathbb{R}[x,y]$ .
- 3. We can ask, on which topological space is  $\mathbb{R}[x,y]/(x^2+y^2+1)$  naturally a functions space? Or  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ? Or  $\mathbb{Z}$ ? This leads to the idea of considering all possible rings.
- 4. Nilpotent arises naturally when deforming varieties, so ignoring them is not a good option.

## 2 The prime spectrum

In the last sections, we have an equivalence between categories

affine varieties over  $k = \overline{k} \cong_{op}$  reduced finitely generated k- algebras

Now we want to extend this equivalent relation as follows:

affine schemes  $\cong_{op}$  commutative ring with unit

This generalization allows to study arithmetic phenomena by geometric methods, by taking rings to be  $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_p$ , etc.

**Definition 1.** Given a ring R, its spectrum is defined to be

$$\operatorname{Spec} R := \{ \mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{p} \subset R \text{ is a prime ideal} \}$$

This way  $x \in \operatorname{Spec} R \iff \mathfrak{p}_x \in R$ .

**NB:** in general, we cannot think about  $f \in R$  as functions with values in a fixed field k. However, there is a more general notion.

**Definition 2.** Let  $x \in \operatorname{Spec} R$  correspond to  $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ . The **residue field** of x (or  $\mathfrak{p}$ ) is

$$\kappa(x) = \kappa(\mathfrak{p}) := R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Every  $f \in R$  has a "value"

$$f(x) := f \mod \mathfrak{p}_x \in \kappa(x), \quad \forall x \in \operatorname{Spec} R$$

and the codomain depends on the choice of x. By definition, f(x) = 0 if  $f \in \mathfrak{p}_x$ . The moral of the story is that Spec R will be the space on which R is the ring of functions: the affine scheme corresponding to R.