## Compactification in low dimension

Tri Nguyen - University of Alberta

July 17, 2024

In this expository note, I will try to explain explicitly how to compactify  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$  by adding points in two ways.

## 1 Some preparations

We will always denote  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of finite index, and this group acts on the upper half complex plane  $\mathbb{H}$  by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \circ z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

When z tends to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{a}{c},$$

so we add the rational line to define the action of this group at  $\infty$ . In particular, we consider the set

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}}=\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

Note that on the projective rational line, we define the action to be the multiplication of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with a  $2 \times 1$  vector. Then under this action, we have the following lemma

**Lemma 1.**  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* For each point in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , we can choose the representative to be of the form [a:b], where  $\gcd(a,b)=1$ . Then there exists  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax - by = 1$$

Thus we get the following equality

$$\begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ -x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies any points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  can be moved to [0:1], and thus the action is transitive.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2. If  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  then  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  has only finite orbits.

## 2 Compactification of $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ by adding points.

We introduce a topology on  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . For the usual upper half plane, the topology is the usual metric topology on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we only define the system of the neighborhood of  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $S(c,\omega)$  be the circle that touches the real line at  $\omega = p/q$  and has the radius  $\frac{c}{2q^2}$ . Then the collection of circles  $D(c,\omega) = \bigcup_{0 < c' \le c} S(c',\omega)$  is called *Farey disk*. Let  $c \to 0$ , these disks define a neighborhood of  $\omega$ . The Farey disks at  $\infty$  are defined to be the region

$$D(T, \infty) = \{z : \Im z \geqslant T\}$$

It can be checked easily that the matrix under inversion, the Farey disk at  $\infty$  is mapped to D(1/T,0). In general, if  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = \omega$  then  $D(T,\infty)$  is mapped to  $D(1/T,\omega)$ . With the above topology on the extended upper half plane, we could show that

**Lemma 3.**  $\Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is a compact set.

*Proof.* We first prove for the case  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . It is well known that the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  is identical to the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ z \in \mathbb{H} : \Re z \in [-1/2, 1/2), |z| \ge 1 \text{ and } |z| > 1 \text{ if } \Re z > 0 \}$$

By lemma 1, the projective rational line "shrinks" to a point under the action of  $\Gamma = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , Thus we can identify  $\Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  with the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Consider an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and the natural projection  $\pi \colon \overline{\mathbb{H}} \to \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ . Then the set  $\{\pi^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$  forms an open cover of  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . There must be an index  $i_0$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(U_{i_0})$  contains a neighborhood of  $\infty$ , namely contains a Farey disk  $D(T, \infty)$  for some T > 0. Since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} - D(T, \infty)$  is a compact set, its image under  $\pi$  is compact, hence it can be covered by  $U_{i_1}, \ldots, U_{i_m}$ . Altogether,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  admits a finite subcover  $U_{i_0}, \ldots, U_{i_m}$ . Now we proceed to the general case. Note that

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}} = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \left[ \int \Gamma a_i \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right]$$

by corollary 2. Then under the surjective map  $\pi \colon \overline{\mathbb{H}} \to \Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ , we have

$$\Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}} = \bigcup \pi \left( \Gamma a_i \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right),$$

which shows that the set  $Y(\Gamma) = \Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is compact as it is the union of compact sets.

The orbit of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  under the action of  $\Gamma$  is called *cusps*. We have the obvious equality that

$$\Gamma\backslash\overline{\mathbb{H}}=\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}\cup\underbrace{\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})}_{cusps}$$

So in fact lemma 3 tells us that we only need to add a finite cusp to get a compact domain. That means we only need to consider the actions of  $\Gamma$  on the projective rational line. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, we get the decomposition

$$\Gamma \setminus \bigcup_{\omega} D(c, \omega) = \bigcup \Gamma_{\omega_i} \setminus D(c, \omega_i)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the set of representative for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\Gamma_{\omega_i}$  are the stabilizer of  $\omega_i \in \Gamma$ .

Again, since the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  is transitive, for each  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , there exists an element  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = r$ . So we have  $\Gamma_r = \gamma \Gamma_\infty \gamma^{-1}$ . Hence we only need to know the "shape" of the domain  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash D(T, \infty)$ . WLOG, we could assume  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and hence

$$\Gamma_{\infty} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Geometrically,  $\Gamma_{\infty}\backslash D(T,\infty)$  is the strip  $\{\Re z \in [-1/2,1/2), \Im z \geqslant T\}$ . But this is biholomorphic to a closed disk that misses a point on the boundary. So compactification is obtained by filling in the missing points to get finitely many compact disks.

## 3 Borel - Serre compactification of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}$

We consider another compactification, by looking at the Farey disk  $D(c, \omega)$  for fixed parameters  $c, \omega$ . Then for any points  $y \neq \omega$  on the Farey circle  $S(c, \omega)$ , we could connect y with  $\omega$  by a unique geodesic in the upper half-plane.

These geodesics are either upper half circles that are orthogonal to the real line or the vertical line passing through  $\omega$ . We thus can identify the Farey disks as follows

$$D(c,\omega) - \{\omega\} = X_{\infty,\omega} \times (0,c],$$

since a point  $\theta$  on the Farey circles  $S(c,\omega)$  is defined by its radius, up to a scaling of c, and the intersection of the geodesic  $\overline{\theta\omega}$  with the real line. The uniqueness of the geodesics gives us a bijection between two sets. Here we let  $X_{\infty,\omega} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) - \{\omega\}$ 

How does the group  $\Gamma$  act on the set on the RHS set in the above identification? First, we look at the special case where  $\omega = \infty$ . In this case, the identification is

$$D(T, \infty) - \infty = X_{\infty, \infty} \times [T, \infty)$$

On the left, stabilizer subgroup  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  can be thought of as a subgroup of the group of translation, which leaves all the Farey circles  $S(t,\infty)$  - which are the line  $\{\Im z = t \geqslant T\}$  in this case - intact. Thus on the right-hand side, the action of  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  only affects the first coordinate. In general case, we need a lemma

**Lemma 4.** If  $\gamma \in \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = \omega$  then  $\gamma \circ D(T, \infty) = D(1/T, \omega)$ .

Assume lemma ?? with the note that  $\Gamma_{\omega} = \gamma \Gamma_{\infty} \gamma^{-1}$ , we conclude that the action of  $\Gamma_{\omega}$  only affects  $X_{\infty,\omega}$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\omega} \backslash X_{\infty,\omega}$  is a circle, it is compact. Hence we can compactify the quotient space  $\Gamma_{\omega} \backslash D(c,\omega) - \{\omega\}$  as

$$\Gamma_{\omega} \backslash D(c, \omega) - \{\omega\} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\omega} \backslash X_{\infty,\omega} \times [0, c]$$

As in section 1, we only need to compactify finitely many such quotient spaces and get the compactification of  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ .

Now we give a proof of lemma??

*Proof.* Assume  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is an element that sends  $\infty$  to  $\omega = \frac{p}{q}$ . Let  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we must have a = p, c = q and b, c are integers such that aq - cp = 1. A Farey circle in the neighborhood of  $\infty$  is, in fact, a line  $S(T, \infty) = \{\Im z = T\}$ , and this line is mapped to a circle tangent to the real line. Direct calculation shows that, for z = x + iT

$$\Im(\gamma \circ z) = \frac{\Im z}{|cz+d|^2} = \frac{T}{(cx+d)^2 + c^2 T^2} \le \frac{1}{q^2 T}$$

The equality happens if x = -d/c. Since this  $\gamma \circ z$  is a point on the circle tangent to the real line at p/q and has the largest distance to the real line, the segment connect p/q and  $\gamma \circ z$  must be the diameter of the image circle. In particular, the radius of the image circle is  $\frac{1}{2Tq^2}$ . Lemma ?? follows immediately.

This gives us a second way to compactify  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$  by compactifying a finite set of Farey disks using the above process.