

## MATH 506 HOMEWORK 1-3 SOLUTIONS

### 1. HOMEWORK 1

*Problem 1.1.* Use the definition of  $H_1$  to prove the following: Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two connected open sets in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $H_1(D_1) = H_1(D_2) = 0$  and  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is connected, then  $H_1(D_1 \cup D_2) = 0$ . Hint: Show that every closed curve  $\gamma$  in  $D_1 \cup D_2$  is homologous to the sum  $\sum \gamma_\alpha$ , where each  $\gamma_\alpha$  is either a closed curve in  $D_1$  or a closed curve in  $D_2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be parameterized by  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow D = D_1 \cup D_2$ . Let us consider  $\gamma^{-1}(D_1)$  and  $\gamma^{-1}(D_2)$ . Each is a disjoint union of intervals open in  $[0, 1]$  and their union covers  $[0, 1]$ . By compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , we can find a finite open cover of  $[0, 1]$  in the form of

$$[0, 1] = [a_0, b_0) \cup (a_1, b_1) \cup \dots \cup (a_{2n}, b_{2n}]$$

with  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < b_0 < a_2 < b_1 < a_3 < b_2 < \dots < b_{2n} = 1$  such that  $(a_k, b_k) \subset \gamma^{-1}(D_1)$  if  $k$  is even and  $(a_k, b_k) \subset \gamma^{-1}(D_2)$  if  $k$  is odd. Here we assume that  $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) \in D_1$  WLOG. So

$$[0, 1] = [0, c_1] \cup [c_1, c_2] \cup \dots \cup [c_{2n}, 1] \text{ for } c_m = \frac{1}{2}(b_{m-1} + a_m)$$

with  $\gamma(c_m) \in D_1 \cap D_2$  for  $1 \leq m \leq 2n$  and  $\gamma([c_{m-1}, c_m]) \subset D_k$  if  $2 \mid (m - k)$ , where we let  $c_0 = 0$  and  $c_{2n+1} = 1$ .

We let  $\gamma_m$  be the curve  $\gamma : [c_{m-1}, c_m] \rightarrow D$ . Then  $\gamma_m \subset D_k$  if  $2 \mid (m - k)$ . For each pair  $\{c_i, c_{2n+1-i}\}$ , we choose a continuous curve  $\sigma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow D_1 \cap D_2$  such that  $\sigma_i(0) = \gamma(c_i)$  and  $\sigma_i(1) = \gamma(c_{2n+1-i})$ . This is possible since  $D_1 \cap D_2$  is connected. Then

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_{2n+1}) + \sum_{i=2}^n (\gamma_i + \sigma_i + \gamma_{2n+2-i} - \sigma_{i-1}) + (\gamma_{n+1} - \sigma_n)$$

in  $H_1(D)$ . Clearly, each of

$$\gamma_1 + \sigma_1 + \gamma_{2n+1}, \gamma_i + \sigma_i + \gamma_{2n+2-i} - \sigma_{i-1}, \gamma_{n+1} - \sigma_n$$

lies entirely in one of  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . So they are homologous to 0 since  $H_1(D_1) = H_1(D_2) = 0$ . So  $\gamma = 0$  in  $H_1(D)$ .  $\square$

*Problem 1.2.* Find all entire functions  $f(z)$  satisfying

$$f(z_1 + z_2) = f(z_1)f(z_2) \text{ for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Do there exist nonconstant entire functions  $f(z)$  satisfying

$$f(z_1 z_2) = f(z_1) + f(z_2) \text{ for all } z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}?$$

Justify your answer.

*Proof.* If  $f(z)$  has a zero at  $z_0$ , then  $f(z) = f(z_0)f(z - z_0) = 0$  for all  $z$ .

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is nowhere vanishing. Then  $f'(z)/f(z)$  has a complex anti-derivative  $g(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\frac{(e^{g(z)})'}{e^{g(z)}} = g'(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \Rightarrow \left( \frac{f(z)}{e^{g(z)}} \right)' = 0$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore,  $f(z) \equiv ce^{g(z)}$  for some constant  $c \neq 0$ . We may choose  $g(z)$  such that  $c = 1$ . So  $f(z) \equiv e^{g(z)}$  for some entire function  $g(z)$ . Then

$$1 = \frac{f(z_1)f(z_2)}{f(z_1 + z_2)} = \exp(g(z_1) + g(z_2) - g(z_1 + z_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow g(z_1) + g(z_2) - g(z_1 + z_2) \in \{2n\pi i : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . And since  $g(z_1) + g(z_2) - g(z_1 + z_2) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, we must have

$$g(z_1) + g(z_2) - g(z_1 + z_2) = 2n\pi i$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  and some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Differentiating it with respect to  $z_1$ , we obtain

$$g'(z_1) = g'(z_1 + z_2)$$

for all  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence  $g'(z) \equiv a$  and  $g(z) \equiv az + 2n\pi i$ . So  $f(z) \equiv \exp(az)$ .

In conclusion, either  $f(z) \equiv 0$  or  $\exp(az)$  for some constant  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

*Problem 1.3.* Show that if  $f$  and  $g$  are analytic functions on a region  $G$  (i.e. a connected open set in  $\mathbb{C}$ ) such that  $\bar{f}g$  is analytic on  $G$ , then either  $f$  is constant or  $g \equiv 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $g \equiv 0$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $D = \{g(z) \neq 0\}$  is a dense open subset of  $G$ . Then  $f$  and  $\bar{f} = (\bar{f}g)/g$  are analytic on  $D$ . By Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial \bar{f}}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$$

on  $D$  and hence  $f(z) \equiv c$  is constant on  $D$ . By continuity,  $f(z) \equiv c$  on  $G$  since  $D$  is dense in  $G$ .  $\square$

*Problem 1.4.* Let  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a region and let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic functions on  $D$  (i.e. the quotient of two analytic functions on  $D$ ). Show that if

$$a_0(z) + a_1(z)f(z) + a_2(z)(f(z))^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}(z)(f(z))^{n-1} + (f(z))^n \equiv 0$$

for some analytic functions  $a_0(z), a_1(z), \dots, a_{n-1}(z)$  on  $D$ , then  $f(z)$  is analytic on  $D$ .

*Proof.* Otherwise,  $f(z)$  has a pole at  $z_0 \in D$ . Then  $f(z) = (z - z_0)^{-m}g(z)$  in a disk  $\Delta = \{|z - z_0| < r\}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and some analytic function  $g(z)$  in  $\Delta$  satisfying  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} a_r(z)(z - z_0)^{m(n-r)}(g(z))^r + (g(z))^n = 0$$

in  $\Delta$ . Setting  $z = z_0$ , we obtain  $g(z_0) = 0$ . Contradiction. So  $f(z)$  is analytic on  $D$ .  $\square$

*Problem 1.5.* Suppose that the power series  $\sum a_n z^n$  has radius of convergence 1. If  $\sum a_n$  converges to  $A$ , show that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum a_n r^n = A.$$

Use this to show that

$$\log 2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$A_n = \sum_{m=0}^n a_m.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (A_n - A_{n-1}) z^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n z^n (1 - z)$$

for  $|z| < 1$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum a_n r^n - A \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n r^n (1 - r) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A r^n (1 - r) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |A_n - A| r^n (1 - r) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |A_n - A| r^n (1 - r) + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} |A_n - A| r^n (1 - r) \\ &\leq 2M(1 - r^N) + \varepsilon_N r^N \end{aligned}$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\varepsilon_N = \sup\{|A_n - A| : n \geq N\}$  and  $M = \sup |A_n|$ . Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \sum a_n r^n - A \right| \leq \varepsilon_N$$

for all  $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . And since  $\varepsilon_N \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left| \sum a_n r^n - A \right| = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \sum a_n r^n = A.$$

Let  $\text{Log}(z)$  be the principal branch of  $\log z$ . Then

$$\text{Log}(1 + z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} z^n$$

for  $|z| < 1$ . Since  $\{1/n\}$  is decreasing and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1/n = 0$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$$

converges. Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \text{Log}(1+r) = \ln 2.$$

□

*Problem 1.6.* Show that if  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function and  $f(z)$  is analytic on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{Re}(z) = 0\}$ , then  $f(z)$  is entire.

*Proof.* By Morera's Theorem, it suffices to show that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for all triangles  $\gamma$ .

Since  $f(z)$  is analytic on  $\{\text{Re}(z) > 0\}$ ,  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for all continuous closed curves  $\gamma$  contained in  $\{\text{Re}(z) > 0\}$ . For every continuous closed curve  $\gamma \in \{\text{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\gamma_{\varepsilon}} f(z) dz = 0$$

where  $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(t) = \gamma(t) + \varepsilon \in \{\text{Re}(z) > 0\}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In conclusion,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous closed curves  $\gamma \subset \{\text{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$ . Similarly,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous closed curves  $\gamma \subset \{\text{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$ .

For every triangle  $\gamma$ , it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz$$

for some closed polygons  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  satisfying that  $\gamma_1 \subset \{\text{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$  and  $\gamma_2 \subset \{\text{Re}(z) \geq 0\}$ . Therefore,  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  and  $f(z)$  is entire. □

*Problem 1.7.* Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two analytic functions on an open set  $D$ . Show that if  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  have finitely many zeros in  $D$  and they do not have common zeros, then there exist analytic functions  $a(z)$  and  $b(z)$  on  $D$  such that  $a(z)f(z) + b(z)g(z) \equiv 1$  on  $D$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be the zeros of  $f(z)$  with multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ , respectively. We claim that there exists a polynomial  $b(z)$  in  $z$  of degree  $\deg b(z) < m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n$  such that  $1 - b(z)g(z)$  has zeros at  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  of multiplicities at least  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$ .

Let  $h(z) = 1/g(z)$ . Since  $g(z)$  does not vanish at  $p_j$ ,  $h(z)$  is analytic at  $p_j$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By Chinese Remainder Theorem, there exists  $b(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  of  $\deg b(z) < m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_n$  such that

$$b(z) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^{m_j-1} \frac{h^{(l)}(p_j)}{l!} (z - p_j)^l \pmod{(z - p_j)^{m_j}}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $h(z) - b(z)$  has zeros at  $p_j$  of multiplicities at least  $m_j$ . The same holds for  $1 - b(z)g(z) = g(z)(h(z) - b(z))$ . So

$$a(z) = \frac{1 - b(z)g(z)}{f(z)}$$

is analytic on  $D$ . We are done.  $\square$

## 2. HOMEWORK 2

*Problem 2.1.* Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function with two periods  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , i.e.,

$$f(z) = f(z + \lambda_1) = f(z + \lambda_2)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , then  $f(z)$  must be constant.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then every complex number  $z$  is a linear combination of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . That is,

$$z = c_1\lambda_1 + c_2\lambda_2$$

for some real numbers  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Let

$$m_1 = \lfloor c_1 \rfloor \text{ and } m_2 = \lfloor c_2 \rfloor$$

be the largest integers less than or equal to  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively. Then

$$f(z) = f(z - m_1\lambda_1 - m_2\lambda_2) = f((c_1 - m_1)\lambda_1 + (c_2 - m_2)\lambda_2).$$

Since  $0 < c_1 - m_1 \leq 1$  and  $0 < c_2 - m_2 \leq 1$ ,

$$|(c_1 - m_1)\lambda_1 + (c_2 - m_2)\lambda_2| \leq (c_1 - m_1)|\lambda_1| + (c_2 - m_2)|\lambda_2| \leq |\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|.$$

Let  $M$  be the maximum of  $|f(z)|$  on  $\{|z| \leq |\lambda_1| + |\lambda_2|\}$ . Then

$$|f(z)| = |f((c_1 - m_1)\lambda_1 + (c_2 - m_2)\lambda_2)| \leq M$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . So  $f(z)$  is constant by Liouville.

Suppose that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If they are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then we are done. Otherwise,  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and dependent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . That is,  $\lambda = \lambda_1/\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ . Namely, it is an irrational real number.

We claim that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that

$$0 < |m_1\lambda - m_2| < \varepsilon$$

Fixing a positive integer  $n$ , let us consider

$$a_k = k\lambda - \lfloor k\lambda \rfloor$$

for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . These are  $n+1$  numbers in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . By Pigeon Hole principle, there exist  $a_k$  and  $a_l$  such that  $0 \leq k \neq l \leq n$  and

$$|a_k - a_l| = |(k-l)\lambda - (\lfloor k\lambda \rfloor - \lfloor l\lambda \rfloor)| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

Let  $m_1 = k - l$  and  $m_2 = \lfloor k\lambda \rfloor - \lfloor l\lambda \rfloor$ . Then

$$|m_1\lambda - m_2| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

This proves our claim.

For every positive integer  $n$ , there exist integers  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that

$$0 < |m_1\lambda - m_2| \leq \frac{1}{n}.$$

So

$$0 < |m_1\lambda_1 - m_2\lambda_2| = |\lambda_2(m_1\lambda - m_2)| \leq \frac{|\lambda_2|}{n}.$$

Let  $z_n = m_1\lambda_1 - m_2\lambda_2$ . Since  $f(z_n) = f(m_1\lambda_1 - m_2\lambda_2) = f(0)$ , we conclude that there exists a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  such that

$$0 < |z_n| \leq \frac{|\lambda_2|}{n} \text{ and } f(z_n) = f(0).$$

This means that the set  $\{z : f(z) = f(0)\}$  has a cluster point at 0. So  $f(z) \equiv f(0)$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.2.* Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function. Show that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $f^{(n)}(0) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* If  $f^{(n)}(0)$  is real, then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and hence  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Suppose that  $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We can prove by induction that  $f^{(n)}(z) \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Cauchy-Riemann equations,

$$f'(z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = f_x(z).$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , since  $f(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_x(z) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore,  $f'(z) \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, inductively, we have  $f''(z), \dots, f^{(n)}(z), \dots \in \mathbb{R}$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.3.* Let  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  be two analytic functions on  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ . Suppose that  $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ ,  $f_2$  is biholomorphic and  $f_1(D) \subset f_2(D)$ . Show that

$$|f'_1(0)| \leq |f'_2(0)|.$$

Find a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality to hold.

*Proof.* Let us consider  $g(z) = f_2^{-1} \circ f_1(z) : D \rightarrow D$ , which is well defined since  $f_1(D) \subset f_2(D)$ .

Since  $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$ ,  $g(0) = 0$ . Applying Schwartz Lemma to  $g$ , we obtain  $|g'(0)| \leq 1$  and hence

$$|g'(0)| = \frac{|f'_1(0)|}{|f'_2(0)|} \leq 1 \Rightarrow |f'_1(0)| \leq |f'_2(0)|.$$

By Schwartz Lemma, the equality holds if and only if  $g(z) = cz$  for some  $|c| = 1$ , i.e.,  $f_1(z) = f_2(cz)$  for all  $z$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.4.* Let  $f(z)$  be a holomorphic function on  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$ . If  $f(0) = 0$ , show that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(z^n)$$

uniformly converges on every compact subset of  $D$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the series converges uniformly on the closed disk  $\{|z| \leq r\}$  for all  $r < 1$ . Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

on  $D$ . Since  $\sum a_n z^n$  has radius of convergence at least 1, for every  $0 < R < 1$ , there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $|a_n| \leq MR^{-n}$  for all  $n$ . We choose some  $r < R < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z^n)| &= \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m z^{mn} \right| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} |a_m| |z|^{mn} \\ &\leq MR^{-n} r^{mn} = \frac{Mr^n}{R - r^n} \leq \frac{Mr^n}{R - r} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $|z| \leq r$ . Clearly,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{Mr^n}{R - r} = \frac{M}{R - r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n$$

converges and hence  $\sum f(z^n)$  converges uniformly on  $\{|z| \leq r\}$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.5.* Show that for a complex polynomial  $f(z)$  of degree  $n$ , the function  $M(r)/r^n$  is nonincreasing for  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , where

$$M(r) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(z) = z^n f(z^{-1})$ . Then by Maximum Modulus,

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1/r} |g(z)| = \max_{|z|=1/r} |g(z)| = \frac{1}{r^n} \max_{|z|=r} |f(z)| = \frac{M(r)}{r^n}.$$

Hence

$$\max_{|z| \leq 1/r_1} |g(z)| \geq \max_{|z| \leq 1/r_2} |g(z)| \Rightarrow \frac{M(r_1)}{r_1^n} \geq \frac{M(r_2)}{r_2^n}$$

for all  $0 < r_1 < r_2$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.6.* Let  $D = \{r \leq |z| \leq R\}$  for some  $0 < r < R$ . Show that there exists a positive constant  $\varepsilon$ , depending on  $r$  and  $R$ , such that

$$\left\| f(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right\|_D = \max_{z \in D} \left| f(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right| \geq \varepsilon$$

for all entire functions  $f(z)$ .

*Proof.* By Maximum Modulus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right\|_D &\geq \max_{|z|=r} \left| f(z) - \frac{1}{z} \right| = \frac{1}{r} \max_{|z|=r} |zf(z) - 1| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{r} |0f(0) - 1| = \frac{1}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Problem 2.7.* Let  $a$  be a complex number satisfying  $|a| > 5/2$ . Show that the power series

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{a^{n^2}}$$

defines an entire function which does not vanish on the boundary of the annulus

$$|a^{2n-2}| < |z| < |a^{2n}|$$

and has exactly one zero inside the annulus for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* We apply Rouché's theorem to  $f(z)$  and  $f_n(z) = -a^{-n^2}z^n$  in  $|z| < |a^{2n}|$ .

For  $|z| = |a^{2n}|$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z^{m-1}a^{-(m-1)^2}}{z^m a^{-m^2}} \right| &= a^{2m-2n-1} \leq |a|^{-3} \text{ if } m \leq n-1 \text{ and} \\ \left| \frac{z^{m+1}a^{-(m+1)^2}}{z^m a^{-m^2}} \right| &= a^{2n-2m-1} \leq |a|^{-3} \text{ if } m \leq n+1 \end{aligned}$$



Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f(z) + f_n(z)| &= \left| \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} a^{-m^2} z^m + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} a^{-m^2} z^m \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} |a^{-m^2} z^m| + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} |a^{-m^2} z^m| \\
 &= |a^{-(n-1)^2} z^{n-1}| \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{z^m a^{-m^2}}{z^{n-1} a^{-(n-1)^2}} \right| \\
 &\quad + |a^{-(n+1)^2} z^{n+1}| \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{z^m a^{-m^2}}{z^{n+1} a^{-(n+1)^2}} \right| \\
 (2.1) \quad &< |a^{n^2-1}| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a|^{-3m} + |a^{n^2-1}| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a|^{-3m} \\
 &= \frac{2|a|^{n^2-1}}{1-|a|^{-3}} = |f_n(z)| \frac{2|a|^{-1}}{1-|a|^{-3}} \\
 &= |f_n(z)| \frac{2}{|a| - |a|^{-2}} < |f_n(z)| \frac{2}{(5/2) - (5/2)^{-2}} \\
 &= \frac{100}{117} |f_n(z)| < |f_n(z)|
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $|z| = |a^{2n}|$  and  $|a| > 5/2$ . In conclusion, we have

$$(2.2) \quad |f(z) + f_n(z)| < |f(z)| + |f_n(z)|$$

for  $|z| = |a^{2n}|$  and all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . By Rouché's Theorem,  $f(z)$  and  $f_n(z)$  have the same number of zeros in  $|z| < |a^{2n}|$ , counted with multiplicity. Therefore,  $f(z)$  has exactly  $n$  zeros in  $|z| < |a^{2n}|$ , counted with multiplicity. This holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Finally, since  $f(z)$  has  $n$  zeros in  $|z| < |a^{2n}|$  and  $n-1$  zeros in  $|z| < |a^{2n-2}|$ , it has exactly one zero in  $|a^{2n-2}| \leq |z| < |a^{2n}|$ . By (2.2),  $f(z) \neq 0$  for  $|z| = |a^{2n}|$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $f(z)$  has exactly one zero in  $|a^{2n-2}| < |z| < |a^{2n}|$ .  $\square$

*Problem 2.8.* For an entire function  $f(z)$ , we let

$$M(r) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |f(z)|.$$

Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function with

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r} = l.$$

Show that the infinite series

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}(z)$$

converges if  $l < 1$  and diverges if  $l > 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $l < 1$ . So there exists  $\lambda < 1$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq ce^{\lambda|z|}$  for some constant  $c > 0$  and all  $z$ . By Cauchy Integral Formula,

$$(2.3) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| = \left| \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{|w|=R} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{n+1}} dw \right| \leq \frac{c(n!)R}{(R-|z|)^{n+1}} e^{\lambda R}$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We fix  $r > 0$  and want to show that

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f^{(n)}(z)| < \infty$$

in  $\{|z| \leq r\}$ . We choose  $R = r + \lambda^{-1}n$ . Then

$$(2.5) \quad |f^{(n)}(z)| \leq ce^{\lambda r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda r}{n}\right) \lambda^n e^n \frac{n!}{n^n}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $|z| \leq r$  by (2.3). So it suffices to show the convergence of the series

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ce^{\lambda r} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda r}{n}\right) \lambda^n e^n \frac{n!}{n^n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

which follows from the ratio test:

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda e \left( \frac{n^n}{(n+1)^n} \right) = \lambda < 1.$$

When  $l > 1$ , if  $F(z)$  converges for  $z = 0$ , then

$$(2.8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{(n)}(0) = 0 \Rightarrow |f^{(n)}(0)| \leq c$$

for a constant  $c$  and all  $n$ . Then

$$(2.9) \quad |f(z)| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} z^n \right| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c|z|^n}{n!} = ce^{|z|}$$

which contradicts

$$(2.10) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r)}{r} = l > 1.$$

□

*Problem 2.9.* Let  $f(z)$  be an entire function with  $M(r)$  defined in the previous problem. Show that if there is a constant  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(\alpha r)}{M(r)} > 0,$$

then  $f(z)$  is a polynomial and the above limit is  $\alpha^n$  with  $n = \deg f$ .

*Proof.* Since the limit

$$(2.11) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(\alpha r)}{M(r)} > 0,$$

exists, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that  $M(\alpha r) \geq cM(r)$  for all  $r \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$(2.12) \quad M(\alpha^n r) \geq c^n M(r) \Rightarrow c^{-n} M(1) \geq M(\alpha^{-n}).$$

By Cauchy Integral Formula, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |f^{(m)}(0)| &= \left| \frac{m!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=\alpha^{-n}} \frac{f(z)}{z^{m+1}} dz \right| \leq (m!) M(\alpha^{-n}) \alpha^{mn} \\ &\leq (m!) M(1) \left( \frac{\alpha^m}{c} \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

for all  $m$  and  $n$ . Then for all  $m$  satisfying  $\alpha^m < c$ ,  $f^{(m)}(0) = 0$  by taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.13). Therefore,  $f(z)$  is a polynomial.

If  $f(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , then

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(z)}{z^n} \right| = c \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(r)}{r^n} = c \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(\alpha r)}{M(r)} = \alpha^n.$$

□

*Problem 2.10.* Let  $f(z)$  be an analytic function on  $\{|z| < 1\}$ . If  $f(0) = 0$  and  $|f(z)| < 1$  for all  $z \in D$ , show that

$$|f''(0)| \leq 2 - 2|f'(0)|^2.$$

Hint: Apply Schwartz's Lemma to the function

$$\frac{g(z) - g(0)}{1 - \overline{g(0)}g(z)}$$

for  $g(z) = z^{-1}f(z)$ .

*Proof.* By Schwartz's Lemma,  $|g(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$  and  $g(z) = z^{-1}f(z)$  unless  $f(z) = cz$  for  $|c| = 1$ , where the inequality is obvious.

Therefore,  $|h(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$  and

$$h(z) = \frac{g(z) - g(0)}{1 - \overline{g(0)}g(z)}.$$

And since  $h(0) = 0$ , we conclude that

$$|h'(0)| = \frac{|g'(0)|}{1 - |g(0)|^2} \leq 1 \Rightarrow |g'(0)| \leq 1 - |g(0)|^2$$

by Schwartz's Lemma.

Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Then

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^n$$

and hence

$$g^{(n)}(0) = (n!)a_{n+1} = \frac{(n!)f^{(n+1)}(0)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(0)}{n+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$|g'(0)| \leq 1 - |g(0)|^2 \Rightarrow |f''(0)| \leq 2 - 2|f'(0)|^2.$$

□

### 3. HOMEWORK 3

*Problem 3.1.* We call a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  *proper* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact for all compact sets  $K \subset Y$ . Then an entire function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is proper if and only if  $f(z)$  is a nonconstant polynomial in  $z$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is proper. Let  $K = \{|w| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $f$  is proper,  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact. Therefore,  $f^{-1}(K) \subset \{|z| \leq R\}$  and hence

$$f(\{|z| > R\}) \cap K = \emptyset.$$

So  $f(\{|z| > R\})$  cannot be dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Casorati-Weierstrass,  $f(z)$  has at worst a pole at  $\infty$  and hence  $f(z)$  is a polynomial. Clearly,  $f(z)$  cannot be constant; otherwise,  $f^{-1}(c) = \mathbb{C}$  is not compact for some  $c$ .

Suppose that  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$  is a nonconstant polynomial. To show that  $f$  is proper, it suffices to show that  $f^{-1}(K_r)$  is bounded for all  $K_r = \{|w| \leq r\}$ . Since

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = \infty,$$

there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $|f(z)| > r$  for all  $|z| > R$ . It follows that

$$f^{-1}(K_r) \subset \{|z| \leq R\}.$$

□

*Problem 3.2.* Prove the following variation of Rouché's Theorem: Let  $\gamma$  be a continuous closed curve homologous to 0 in an open set  $D \subset \mathbb{C}$  and let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two analytic functions on  $D$  satisfying

$$|f(z) + c_1 g(z)| > |f(z) + c_2 g(z)|$$

for some constants  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $|c_1| \leq |c_2|$  and all  $z$  on  $\gamma$ . Then  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  have the same number of zeros in the interior of  $\gamma$ , counted with multiplicities, i.e.,

$$\sum_{f(p)=0} \nu(\gamma, p) \text{mult}_p f = \sum_{g(q)=0} \nu(\gamma, q) \text{mult}_q g$$

where  $\nu(\gamma, z_0)$  is the winding number of  $\gamma$  at  $z_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h(z) = f(z)/g(z)$ . Applying Argument Principle to  $h(z)$  on  $\gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(h \circ \gamma, 0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz \\ &= \sum_{f(p)=0} \nu(\gamma, p) \text{mult}_p f - \sum_{g(q)=0} \nu(\gamma, q) \text{mult}_q g.\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to show that  $\nu(h \circ \gamma, 0) = 0$ . By our hypothesis,

$$|h(z) + c_1| > |h(z) + c_2|$$

for all  $z \in \gamma$  and hence

$$h \circ \gamma \subset G = \{|w + c_1| > |w + c_2|\}.$$

Note that  $0 \notin G$  since  $|c_1| \leq |c_2|$ . And  $G$  is a half plane and hence simply connected. Therefore,  $\nu(h \circ \gamma, 0) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Problem 3.3.* Compute the integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^r}$$

for  $r > 1$ .

*Solution.* Let us first assume that  $r = p/q$  is rational for some positive integer  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ . Since  $r > 1$ ,  $p > q$ . Then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^r} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+t^{p/q}} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{qt^{q-1}}{1+t^p} dt$$

after the substitution  $x = t^q$ .

Let  $\alpha = \exp(2\pi i/p)$  and let us consider the complex integral

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\gamma} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz = \left( \int_{\gamma_1} + \int_{\gamma_2} + \int_{\gamma_3} \right) \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz$$

along the curve  $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3$  given by

$$\begin{cases} \gamma_1(t) = t \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq R \\ \gamma_2(t) = Re^{it} \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq 2\pi/p \\ \gamma_3(t) = (R-t)\alpha \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq R \end{cases}$$

for some large  $R$ .

For  $\gamma_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.2) \quad & \left| \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz \right| \leq \left( \frac{2\pi R}{p} \right) \frac{qR^{q-1}}{R^p-1} = \frac{2\pi R^q}{r(R^p-1)} \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz = 0\end{aligned}$$

since  $p > q$ .

For  $\gamma_1$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz = \int_0^R \frac{qt^{q-1}}{1+t^p} dt.$$

For  $\gamma_3$ , we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma_3} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz &= - \int_0^R \frac{q\alpha^q(R-t)^{q-1}}{1+\alpha^p(R-t)^p} dt \\ &= -\alpha^q \int_0^R \frac{q(R-t)^{q-1}}{1+(R-t)^p} dt \\ &= -\alpha^q \int_0^R \frac{qt^{q-1}}{1+t^p} dt \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha^p = 1$ .

Combining (3.1)-(3.4), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz = (1 - \alpha^q) \int_0^{\infty} \frac{qt^{q-1}}{1+t^p} dt$$

The roots of  $1+z^p$  are  $\exp((2n+1)\pi i/p)$  for  $0 \leq n < p$ ; among them, only  $\beta = \exp(\pi i/p)$  lies inside the curve  $\gamma$ . Therefore, by Residue Theorem,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p} dz &= 2\pi i \operatorname{Res} \left( \frac{qz^{q-1}}{1+z^p}, \beta \right) \\ &= 2\pi i \left. \frac{qz^{q-1}}{(1+z^p)'} \right|_{\beta} = 2\pi i \left( \frac{q}{p} \right) \beta^{q-p} = -\frac{2\pi i \beta^q}{r} \end{aligned}$$

where  $qz^{q-1}(1+z^p)^{-1}$  has a simple pole at  $\beta$  since  $1+z^p$  has a zero at  $\beta$  of multiplicity one.

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{qt^{q-1}}{1+t^p} dt &= - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \right) \frac{\beta^q}{1-\alpha^q} = - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \right) \frac{\beta^q}{1-\beta^{2q}} \\ &= - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \right) \frac{1}{\beta^{-q} - \beta^q} \\ &= - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \right) \frac{1}{\exp(-q\pi i/p) - \exp(q\pi i/p)} \\ &= - \left( \frac{2\pi i}{r} \right) \frac{1}{(-2i) \sin(q\pi/p)} = \frac{\pi}{r \sin(\pi/r)} \end{aligned}$$

where we notice that  $\alpha = \beta^2$ . Therefore,

$$(3.7) \quad \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^r} = \frac{\pi}{r \sin(\pi/r)}$$

for all rational numbers  $r > 1$ . It is not hard to prove that the function

$$F(r) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^r}$$

is continuous for  $r > 1$ . Therefore,

$$F(r) \equiv \frac{\pi}{r \sin(\pi/r)}$$

(3.7) holds for all real numbers  $r > 1$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.4.** Find  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} - \{0\})$  and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})$ .

*Proof.* Let us prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.** *For a finite set  $S$  of points on  $\mathbb{C}$ , every univalent function  $f(z)$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  is a linear fractional transformation with singularity in  $S$ .*

By the above lemma, if  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , then  $f(z) = az + b$  or  $a + bz^{-1}$  for some constants  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ . And since  $0 \notin f(\mathbb{C}^*)$ , it is easy to see

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \{bz : b \neq 0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{b}{z} : b \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Similar,  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})$  must be one of the following:

$$az + b, \quad a + \frac{b}{z}, \quad a + \frac{b}{z-1}$$

And since  $0, 1 \notin f(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})$ , it is easy to see

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}) = \left\{ z, 1-z, \frac{1}{z}, 1-\frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{1-z}, \frac{z}{z-1} \right\}.$$

It remains to prove the lemma.

First, we show that  $f$  has at worst poles at  $S \cup \{\infty\}$ . We choose a closed disk  $D \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus S$  of positive radius. By Open Mapping,  $f(D)$  contains a nonempty open set  $G$ . Since  $f$  is 1-1,

$$f(\mathbb{C} \setminus D) \cap G = \emptyset.$$

Therefore,  $f(\{0 < |z - p| < \varepsilon\}) \cap G = \emptyset$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $p \in S$  as long as

$$\{|z - p| < \varepsilon\} \cap D = \emptyset.$$

By Casorati-Weierstrass,  $f(z)$  has at worst poles at  $S$ .

Similarly,  $f(\{|z| > R\}) \cap G = \emptyset$  as long as  $D \subset \{|z| \leq R\}$ . So  $f(z)$  has at worst poles at  $\infty$ . In conclusion,  $f(z)$  is an analytic function on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$  with at worst poles at  $S \cup \{\infty\}$ . So  $f(z)$  has to be a rational function  $f(z)$  with poles in  $S \cup \{\infty\}$ .

Second, we prove that  $f(z)$  has simple poles at every singularity among  $S \cup \{\infty\}$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $f(z)$  has a pole of order  $m \geq 2$  at  $p$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that  $f(z) \neq 0$  in  $U^* = U \setminus \{p\}$ . So  $f : U^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is analytic and 1-1. Consequently,  $g(z) = 1/f(z)$  is also 1-1 on  $U^*$ . Since  $g(z)$  has a removable singularity at  $p$  and  $g(p) = 0$ ,  $g(z)$  extends to a univalent function on  $U$ . So  $g'(p) \neq 0$ . But  $g(z)$  has a zero of multiplicity  $m \geq 2$  at  $p$ . Contradiction.

Finally, we prove that  $f(z)$  has at most one pole among  $S \cup \{\infty\}$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $f(z)$  has two poles  $p \neq q$ . We choose  $U_p$  and  $U_q$  to

be open neighborhoods of  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively, such that  $U_p \cap U_q = \emptyset$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  on  $U_p^* \cup U_q^*$  for  $U_p^* = U_p \setminus \{p\}$  and  $U_q^* = U_q \setminus \{q\}$ . As before,  $g(z) = 1/f(z)$  is 1-1 on  $U_p^* \sqcup U_q^*$  and extends to an analytic function on  $U_p \sqcup U_q$  with  $g(p) = g(q) = 0$ . By Open Mapping,  $g(U_p) \cap g(U_q)$  contains an open disk  $D$  with  $0 \in D$ . Thus, for every  $w \in D \setminus \{0\}$ , there exist  $z_p \in U_p^*$  and  $z_q \in U_q^*$  such that  $g(z_p) = g(z_q) = w$ . This contradicts the fact that  $g$  is 1-1 on  $U_p^* \sqcup U_q^*$ .

In conclusion,  $f(z)$  has at most one simple pole and hence a linear fractional transformation.  $\square$

*Problem 3.5.* Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \neq 0, 1$  be two complex numbers. Show that  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \lambda_1\}$  and  $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \lambda_2\}$  are biholomorphic if and only if

$$\lambda_1 \in \left\{ \lambda_2, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, 1 - \lambda_2, 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - 1} \right\}.$$

In other words, they are biholomorphic if and only if there exists  $f \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\})$  such that  $\lambda_1 = f(\lambda_2)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1,  $f$  must be one of the following:

$$az + b, \quad a + \frac{b}{z}, \quad a + \frac{b}{z - 1}, \quad a + \frac{b}{z - \lambda_1}$$

And since  $0, 1, \lambda_2 \notin f(\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \lambda_1\})$ , we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = & z, \quad 1 - z, \quad \frac{z}{\lambda_1}, \quad 1 - \frac{z}{\lambda_1}, \quad \frac{1 - z}{1 - \lambda_1}, \quad \frac{z - \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_1}, \\ & \frac{1}{z}, \quad 1 - \frac{1}{z}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1}{z}, \quad 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{z}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1(z - 1)}{(\lambda_1 - 1)z}, \quad \frac{z - \lambda_1}{z(1 - \lambda_1)}, \\ & \frac{z(1 - \lambda_1)}{z - \lambda_1}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1(z - 1)}{z - \lambda_1}, \quad \frac{z}{z - \lambda_1}, \quad \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - z}, \quad \frac{z - 1}{z - \lambda_1} \text{ or } \frac{1 - \lambda_1}{z - \lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\lambda_2$  is one of the limits of  $f(z)$  as  $z \rightarrow 0, 1, \lambda_1, \infty$ . Then it follows

$$\lambda_2 \in \left\{ \lambda_1, \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, 1 - \lambda_1, 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1}, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - 1} \right\}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lambda_1 \in \left\{ \lambda_2, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, 1 - \lambda_2, 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - 1} \right\}.$$

$\square$

*Problem 3.6.* Let  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $H(D)$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $D$ . Show that  $F \subset H(D)$  is normal if and only if there is a sequence  $\{M_n\}$  of positive constants such that  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{M_n} \leq 1$  and  $|a_n| \leq M_n$  for all  $n$  and all  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in F$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is normal. Let

$$M_n = \sup_{f \in F} \frac{|f^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} = \sup \left\{ |a_n| : \sum a_m z^m \in F \right\}.$$



Since  $F$  is normal,  $\{f^{(n)}(z) : f \in F\}$  is normal for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So the set  $\{|f^{(n)}(0)| : f \in F\}$  is uniformly bounded. Consequently,  $M_n < \infty$  for all  $n$ . By the definition of  $M_n$ ,  $|a_n| \leq M_n$  for all  $n$  and  $\sum a_m z^m \in F$ .

For all  $0 < r < 1$ ,  $F$  is uniformly bounded on  $\{|z| \leq r\}$ . Hence there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|f(z)| \leq C$  for all  $f \in F$  and  $|z| \leq r$ . Then

$$\frac{|f^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz \right| \leq \frac{C}{r^n}$$

for all  $n$  and all  $f \in F$ . Then  $M_n \leq C/r^n$  and

$$\limsup \sqrt[n]{M_n} \leq \frac{1}{r} \limsup \sqrt[n]{C} = \frac{1}{r}$$

for all  $0 < r < 1$ . Therefore,  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{M_n} \leq 1$ .

On the other hand, suppose that there exists such a sequence  $\{M_n\}$ . Since  $\limsup \sqrt[n]{M_n} \leq 1$ , for every  $0 < R < 1$ , there exists  $C$  such that  $M_n \leq CR^{-n}$  for all  $n$ . Then

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n r^n \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} CR^{-n} r^n = \frac{CR}{R-r}$$

for all  $f \in F$  and  $|z| \leq r < R$ . So  $F$  is uniformly bounded on  $\{|z| \leq r\}$  for all  $r < 1$ . Consequently,  $F$  is normal.  $\square$

*Problem 3.7.* Let  $G$  be a connected open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $H(G)$  be the space of holomorphic functions on  $G$ . For a sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset H(G)$  of one-to-one functions which converge to some  $f \in H(G)$  locally uniformly, show that  $f$  is either one-to-one or a constant function.

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ , either  $f(z) \equiv c$  or  $f(z) - c$  has at most one zero in  $G$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $f(z) \not\equiv c$  and  $f(z) - c$  has two zeros  $z_1 \neq z_2$  in  $G$ .

We choose  $r > 0$  such that  $K = \{|z - z_1| \leq r\} \sqcup \{|z - z_2| \leq r\} \subset G$  and  $f(z) \neq c$  for all  $z \in K \setminus \{z_1, z_2\}$ . Let

$$M = \min_{z \in \partial K} |f(z) - c| = \min \left( \min_{|z - z_1| = r} |f(z) - c|, \min_{|z - z_2| = r} |f(z) - c| \right).$$

Since  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $K$ , there exists  $N$  such that

$$\|f - f_n\|_K < M$$

for all  $n > N$ . By Rouché's Theorem, since

$$|(f(z) - c) - (f_n(z) - c)| \leq \|f - f_n\|_K < M \leq |f(z) - c|$$

for  $n > N$ ,  $|z - z_j| = r$  and  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $f(z) - c$  and  $f_n(z) - c$  has the same number of zeros in  $|z - z_j| < r$ . Therefore,  $f_n(z) - c$  has at least two zeros for  $n > N$ , which contradicts the hypothesis that  $f_n$  is 1-1.  $\square$

*Problem 3.8.* Let  $G_1, G_2 \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$  be simply connected open sets and  $f : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  be a biholomorphic map from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . Suppose that  $f(z_1) = z_2$ . Show that for every one-to-one holomorphic map  $g : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  satisfying  $g(z_1) = z_2$ ,  $|g'(z_1)| \leq |f'(z_1)|$ .

*Proof.* By Riemann Mapping Theorem, there exist biholomorphic maps  $s_j : G_j \rightarrow D$  for  $D = \{|z| < 1\}$  and  $j = 1, 2$ . We can choose  $s_j$  such that  $s_j(z_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

By Problem 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} |(s_2 \circ g \circ s_1^{-1})'(0)| &\leq |(s_2 \circ f \circ s_1^{-1})'(0)| \Rightarrow \left| \frac{s_2'(z_2)g'(z_1)}{s_1'(z_1)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{s_2'(z_2)f'(z_1)}{s_1'(z_1)} \right| \\ &\Rightarrow |g'(z_1)| \leq |f'(z_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Problem 3.9.* Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be entire functions such that  $e^{f(z)}, e^{g(z)}$  and 1 are linearly dependant over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e., there exist  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ , not all zero, such that  $c_1 e^{f(z)} + c_2 e^{g(z)} + c_3 = 0$  for all  $z$ . Then  $f(z), g(z)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* If one of  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  vanishes, then it is obvious that  $f(z), g(z)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \neq 0$ . Then

$$e^{f(z)} = -\frac{c_2}{c_1} e^{g(z)} - \frac{c_3}{c_1} \notin \left\{ 0, -\frac{c_3}{c_1} \right\}$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . By Picard's Little Theorem,  $e^{f(z)}$  is constant and hence  $f(z)$  is constant. So  $f(z)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . □

*Problem 3.10.* Let  $f(x, y)$  and  $g(x, y)$  be real-valued harmonic functions on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $e^{f(x, y)}, e^{g(x, y)}$  and 1 are linearly dependant over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f(x, y), g(x, y)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $c_1 e^{f(x, y)} + c_2 e^{g(x, y)} + c_3 = 0$  for some  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ , not all zero, and all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

If one of  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  vanishes, it is obvious that  $f(x, y), g(x, y)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Otherwise, suppose that  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \neq 0$ .

WLOG, suppose that  $c_1 > 0$ . If  $c_2 > 0$ , then

$$c_1 e^{f(x, y)} = c_3 - c_2 e^{g(x, y)} < c_3 \Rightarrow f(x, y) < \ln c_3 - \ln c_1$$

and hence  $f(x, y)$  is constant by Liouville's Theorem on harmonic functions over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose that  $c_2 < 0$ . If  $c_3 > 0$ , then

$$-c_2 e^{g(x, y)} = c_1 e^{f(x, y)} + c_3 > c_3 \Rightarrow g(x, y) > \ln c_3 - \ln(-c_2)$$

and hence  $g(x, y)$  is constant. If  $c_3 < 0$ , then

$$c_1 e^{f(x, y)} = -c_2 e^{g(x, y)} - c_3 > -c_3 \Rightarrow f(x, y) > \ln(-c_3) - \ln c_1$$

and hence  $f(x, y)$  is constant. In conclusion,  $f(x, y), g(x, y)$  and 1 are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{R}$ . □