# Compactification in low dimension

Tri Nguyen - University of Alberta

September 17, 2024

In this expository note, I will try to explain explicitly how to compactify  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$  by adding points in two ways.

### 1 Some preparations

We will always denote  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of the group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of finite index, and this group acts on the upper half complex plane  $\mathbb{H}$  by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \circ z := \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

When z tends to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{z \to \infty} \frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{a}{c},$$

so we add the rational line to define the action of this group at  $\infty$ . In particular, we consider the set

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}}=\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$$

Note that on the projective rational line, we define the action to be the multiplication of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix with a  $2 \times 1$  vector. Then under this action, we have the following lemma

**Lemma 1.**  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  acts transitively on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* For each point in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , we can choose the representative to be of the form [a:b], where  $\gcd(a,b)=1$ . Then there exists  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ax - by = 1$$

Thus we get the following equality

$$\begin{bmatrix} b & a \\ -x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies any points in  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  can be moved to [0:1], and thus the action is transitive.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2. If  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  then  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  has only finite orbits.

## 2 Compactification of $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ by adding points.

We introduce a topology on  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . For the usual upper half plane, the topology is the usual metric topology on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we only define the system of the neighborhood of  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ .

Let  $S(c,\omega)$  be the circle that touches the real line at  $\omega = p/q$  and has the radius  $\frac{c}{2q^2}$ . Then the collection of circles  $D(c,\omega) = \bigcup_{0 < c' \le c} S(c',\omega)$  is called *Farey disk*. Let  $c \to 0$ , these disks define a neighborhood of  $\omega$ . The Farey disks at  $\infty$  are defined to be the region

$$D(T, \infty) = \{z : \Im z \geqslant T\}$$

It can be checked easily that the matrix under inversion, the Farey disk at  $\infty$  is mapped to D(1/T,0). In general, if  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = \omega$  then  $D(T,\infty)$  is mapped to  $D(1/T,\omega)$ . With the above topology on the extended upper half plane, we could show that

**Lemma 3.**  $\Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is a compact set.

The proof is taken from [1] and I rewrite it here for completeness.

*Proof.* We first prove for the case  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . It is well known that the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$  is identical to the set

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ z \in \mathbb{H} : \Re z \in [-1/2, 1/2), |z| \ge 1 \text{ and } |z| > 1 \text{ if } \Re z > 0 \}$$

By lemma 1, the projective rational line "shrinks" to a point under the action of  $\Gamma = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , Thus we can identify  $\Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  with the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Consider an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  and the natural projection  $\pi \colon \overline{\mathbb{H}} \to \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ . Then the set  $\{\pi^{-1}(U_i)\}_{i \in I}$  forms an open cover of  $\overline{\mathbb{H}}$ . There must be an index  $i_0$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(U_{i_0})$  contains a neighborhood of  $\infty$ , namely contains a Farey disk  $D(T, \infty)$  for some T > 0. Since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} - D(T, \infty)$  is a compact set, its image under  $\pi$  is compact, hence it can be covered by  $U_{i_1}, \ldots, U_{i_m}$ . Altogether,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  admits a finite subcover  $U_{i_0}, \ldots, U_{i_m}$ . Now we proceed to the general case. Note that

$$\overline{\mathbb{H}} = \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \bigcup \Gamma a_i \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$$

by corollary 2. Then under the surjective map  $\pi \colon \overline{\mathbb{H}} \to \Gamma \backslash \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ , we have

$$\Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}} = \bigcup \pi \left( \Gamma a_i \circ \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \right),$$

which shows that the set  $Y(\Gamma) = \Gamma \setminus \overline{\mathbb{H}}$  is compact as it is the union of compact sets.

The orbit of  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  under the action of  $\Gamma$  is called *cusps*. We have the obvious equality that

$$\Gamma\backslash\overline{\mathbb{H}}=\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}\cup\underbrace{\Gamma\backslash\operatorname{\mathbb{P}}^1(\mathbb{Q})}_{\text{cusps}}$$

So in fact lemma 3 tells us that we only need to add a finite cusp to get a compact domain. That means we only need to consider the actions of  $\Gamma$  on the projective rational line. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem, we get the decomposition

$$\Gamma \backslash \bigcup_{\omega} D(c, \omega) = \bigcup \Gamma_{\omega_i} \backslash D(c, \omega_i)$$

where  $\omega_i$  is the set of representative for the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\Gamma_{\omega_i}$  are the stabilizer of  $\omega_i \in \Gamma$ .

Again, since the action of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$  is transitive, for each  $r \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , there exists an element  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = r$ . So we have  $\Gamma_r = \gamma \Gamma_\infty \gamma^{-1}$ . Hence we only need to know the "shape" of the domain  $\Gamma_\infty \backslash D(T, \infty)$ . WLOG, we could assume  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and hence

$$\Gamma_{\infty} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Geometrically,  $\Gamma_{\infty}\backslash D(T,\infty)$  is the strip  $\{\Re z \in [-1/2,1/2), \Im z \geqslant T\}$ . But this is biholomorphic to a closed disk that misses a point on the boundary. So compactification is obtained by filling in the missing points to get finitely many compact disks.

# 3 Borel - Serre compactification of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \mathbb{H}$

We consider another compactification, by looking at the Farey disk  $D(c, \omega)$  for fixed parameters  $c, \omega$ . Then for any points  $y \neq \omega$  on the Farey circle  $S(c, \omega)$ , we could connect y with  $\omega$  by a unique geodesic in the upper half-plane.

These geodesics are either upper half circles that are orthogonal to the real line or the vertical line passing through  $\omega$ . We thus can identify the Farey disks as follows

$$D(c,\omega) - \{\omega\} = X_{\infty,\omega} \times (0,c],$$

since a point  $\theta$  on the Farey circles  $S(c,\omega)$  is defined by its radius, up to a scaling of c, and the intersection of the geodesic  $\overline{\theta\omega}$  with the real line. The uniqueness of the geodesics gives us a bijection between two sets. Here we let  $X_{\infty,\omega} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R}) - \{\omega\}$ 

How does the group  $\Gamma$  act on the set on the RHS set in the above identification? First, we look at the special case where  $\omega = \infty$ . In this case, the identification is

$$D(T, \infty) - \infty = X_{\infty, \infty} \times [T, \infty)$$

On the left, stabilizer subgroup  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  can be thought of as a subgroup of the group of translation, which leaves all the Farey circles  $S(t,\infty)$  - which are the line  $\{\Im z = t \geqslant T\}$  in this case - intact. Thus on the right-hand side, the action of  $\Gamma_{\infty}$  only affects the first coordinate. In general case, we need a lemma

**Lemma 4.** If  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $\gamma \circ \infty = \omega$  then  $\gamma \circ D(T, \infty) = D(1/T, \omega)$ .

Assume lemma 4 with the note that  $\Gamma_{\omega} = \gamma \Gamma_{\infty} \gamma^{-1}$ , we conclude that the action of  $\Gamma_{\omega}$  only affects  $X_{\infty,\omega}$  for all  $\omega \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\Gamma_{\omega} \backslash X_{\infty,\omega}$  is a circle, it is compact. Hence we can compactify the quotient space  $\Gamma_{\omega} \backslash D(c,\omega) - \{\omega\}$  as

$$\Gamma_{\omega}\backslash D(c,\omega) - \{\omega\} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\omega}\backslash X_{\infty,\omega} \times [0,c]$$

As in section 1, we only need to compactify finitely many such quotient spaces and get the compactification of  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ .

Now we give a proof of lemma 4

*Proof.* Assume  $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is an element that sends  $\infty$  to  $\omega = \frac{p}{q}$ . Let  $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p \\ q \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus we must have a=p, c=q and b, c are integers such that aq-cp=1. A Farey circle in the neighborhood of  $\infty$  is, in fact, a line  $S(T,\infty)=\{\Im z=T\}$ , and this line is mapped to a circle tangent to the real line. Direct calculation shows that, for z=x+iT

$$\Im(\gamma \circ z) = \frac{\Im z}{|cz+d|^2} = \frac{T}{(cx+d)^2 + c^2 T^2} \leqslant \frac{1}{q^2 T}$$

The equality happens if x = -d/c. Since this  $\gamma \circ z$  is a point on the circle tangent to the real line at p/q and has the largest distance to the real line, the segment connect p/q and  $\gamma \circ z$  must be the diameter of the image circle. In particular, the radius of the image circle is  $\frac{1}{2Tq^2}$ . Lemma 4 follows immediately.

The above process can be applied to finitely many Fareye disks as in section 2 to get a compactification of  $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}$ .

### 4 Equivalent definitions of semi-stability

In this section, we give two definitions of semi-stability in  $\mathbb{H}$ , and show that they are essentially the same. We will also compute the semi-stable locus in  $\mathbb{H}$ .

We first introduce some terminology: for each complex number  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we assign to it a lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$ , where the lattice is spanned by two vectors  $\{z,1\}$ . By identifying  $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ , we can compute the volume of the fundamental domain given by this lattice is  $y = \Im(z)$ . Then we scale two vectors in the basis to get a unit lattice.

Following this process, each  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  is assigned with a unique unit lattice, namely  $\Gamma_z = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{a, z/a\}$ , where  $a = \Im(z)$ . Now we are ready to define semi-stability. Furthermore, to each lattice, we assigned to it a plot in the following way:

- We start with the point (0,0) in the plane.
- Let u be the shortest vector in the lattice  $\Gamma_z$ , we highlight the point  $(1, \log |u|)$  in the plane.
- Finally, we attached the point  $(2, \log(vol(A)))$ , where A is the fundamental domain of  $\Gamma_z$ .
- We connect consecutive points by line segments. The union of these line segments is called *profile* of the lattice.

In our setting, since we already normalize all lattices to unit lattice, the final point is in fact (2,0).

**Definition 1.** The lattice assigned to the number  $z \in \mathbb{H}$  is call semi-stable if and only if the point  $(1, \log |u|)$  lies above the x-axis.

Before giving the second definition of semi-stablility, we will try to find the semi-stable locus using this definition. First, we restrict our attention to the fundamental domain

$$\mathcal{F} = \{z \in \mathbb{H} : \Re z \in [-1/2, 1/2), |z| \ge 1 \text{ and } |z| > 1 \text{ if } \Re z > 0\}$$

For each point  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ , it is easily to see that the shortest vector in the corresponding lattice is a. Thus the 1-dim point is  $(1, \log(a)) = (1, -\log(y)/2)$ . By definition,  $\Gamma_z$  is semi-stable iff  $\log(y) \leq 0$ , i.e.  $y \geq 1$ . To find the semi-stable locus in the whole upper half plane, we need the following result

**Lemma 5.** If  $\Gamma_z$  is semi-stable, then so is the lattice  $\Gamma_{g \circ z}$ , where  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Proof. If we denote  $L_z = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \{1, z\}$ , then  $L_{\gamma \circ z} = cL_z$  for some complex number c. Indeed, we just need to check for  $\gamma$  be an inversion or translation, since these two transformations generate  $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , but this is easy. Now let  $c = re^{it}$ . Multiplying by  $e^{it}$  doesn't change the length, hence doesn't change the semi-stability. Multiplying by a positive number r will shift  $(1, \log |u|)$  to  $(1, \log |u| + \log r)$  and  $(2, \log(vol(A)))$  to  $(2, \log(vol(A)) + 2\log r)$ . I think in 2 dimensional case, c is 1

The line segment d connecting origin with the final point intersect the line x = 1 at  $(1, \log(vol(A)) + \log r)$ . By the semi-stability of the original lattice the point  $(1, \log |u| + \log r)$  is above the line segment d.

From this lemma, we could see that the semi-stable locus is the complement of the Farey balls in the upper half plane.

Now we give the second definition of semi-stability. First we note that  $\mathbb{H}$  can be identified with the set  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Using Iwasawa's decomposition, we could identify z=x+iy with the pair (a(z),n(z)) where

$$a(z) = \begin{bmatrix} y^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0, y^{1/2} \end{bmatrix} \quad n(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then we can define a map

$$H_B: \mathbb{H} \to \mathfrak{sl}_2, \quad z \mapsto \log(a(z))H,$$

where  $H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then we define a unique linear map  $\alpha \colon \mathbb{R}H \to \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\alpha(H) = 2$ . Set  $\rho = \alpha/2$ . For each T = -kH where k > 0, we define the degree of instability of  $x \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$  as follows

$$\deg_{\rm inst}^T(x) := \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma/\Gamma \cap B} \langle \rho, H_B(x\gamma) - T \rangle$$

In this particular case, the RHS of the above definition can be simplified as

$$\deg_{\text{inst}}^{T}(x) = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \log(a(x\gamma) + k)$$

For the case k=0, the point x is call semi-stable iff  $\deg_{\mathrm{inst}}^T(x) \ge 0$ . Since the minimum is always achieved, this implies  $\frac{-1}{2}\log(\Im(x\gamma)) \ge 0$ , i.e.  $\Im(x\gamma) \le 1$  for all  $\gamma$ .

A key observation is that the minimum of a(z) is achieved inside the Siegel's set, which is exactly the fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}$  in this case. This implies the semi-stable locus inside the fudamental domain is the intersection of  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\{\Im(z) < 1\}$ . So the semi-stable locus is the union of orbits who has a representative in this part.

### References

[1] Diamond, F., & Shurman, J. M. (2005). A first course in modular forms (Vol. 228, pp. xvi-436). New York: Springer.