

# IAML - Assignment 2

s1474146



Fig. 1. Ridge & Percent of correct with Logistic Regression





Fig. 2. Gamma & Percent of correct with SVM Classifier



## 1 EXPLORATION OF THE DATASET

### 1.a

Accuracy of classifier:

- SimpleLogistic: 64
- Logistic: 66.8

The difference between SimpleLogistic and Logistic are **XXX**

Using InfoGainAttributeEval, **XXX** - fill in the result. The reason for different performance in those 2 classifiers are **XXX**

### 1.b

The role ridge parameter are **XXX**

Compare regularization to feature selection **XXX**

Interpret the result **XXX**


A graph can be seen in Fig. 1. X-axis is drawn in log-scale in order that any trend is fully visible.

### 1.c

**XXX** Explore the effect of gamma. See Fig. 1

**XXX** Explore the effect of complexity parameter. See Fig. 1

Fig. 3. Gamma & Percent of correct with SVM Classifier



### 1.c

This procedure does not guarantee to find the values of gamma and c that lead to the highest percentage correct (PC). Since **XXX**

### 1.d

Look at the list of the best 50 features, there are 3 *class indicator* variables in that list. The class indicator variable *is\_bird* is ranked quite high in the list, at position 5. *is\_cat* and

is\_aeroplane follows with position 18, and 22 respectively. **OOO**

The SimpleLogistic was trained on train\_images\_partA, there are 2 versions: (i) dataset with imaId removed, (ii) dataset with imgId and all the class indicator variables (except is\_person) are removed. Then the classifier are tested on the validation set with the appropriate attributes removed. PC result:

- Remove imgId, keep all *class indicator variables*: 76.46
- Remove imgId, remove all *class indicator variables* but is\_person: 69

**XXX Relate** the result to the observed feature ranking.

It **would/would not XXX** be easy to make use of the results in practice. And the reasons are **XXX**

## 2 MINI CHALLENGE

\*\*\*\*\*

I discussed with s1474145