Lists

Lists

The list is a fundamental data structure in functional programming.

A list having $x_1, ..., x_n$ as elements is written List $(x_1, ..., x_n)$

Example

```
val fruit = List("apples", "oranges", "pears")
val nums = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val diag3 = List(List(1, 0, 0), List(0, 1, 0), List(0, 0, 1))
val empty = List()
```

There are two important differences between lists and arrays.

- ▶ Lists are immutable the elements of a list cannot be changed.
- Lists are recursive, while arrays are flat.

Lists

```
val fruit = List("apples", "oranges", "pears")
val diag3 = List(List(1, 0, 0), List(0, 1, 0), List(0, 0, 1))
```

The List Type

Like arrays, lists are homogeneous: the elements of a list must all have the same type.

The type of a list with elements of type T is written scala.List[T] or shorter just List[T]

Example

```
val fruit: List[String] = List("apples", "oranges", "pears")
val nums : List[Int] = List(1, 2, 3, 4)
val diag3: List[List[Int]] = List(List(1, 0, 0), List(0, 1, 0), List(0, 0, 1))
val empty: List[Nothing] = List()
```

Constructors of Lists

All lists are constructed from:

- ▶ the empty list Nil, and
- the construction operation :: (pronounced cons):
 x :: xs gives a new list with the first element x, followed by the elements of xs.

For example:

```
fruit = "apples" :: ("oranges" :: ("pears" :: Nil))
nums = 1 :: (2 :: (3 :: (4 :: Nil)))
empty = Nil
```

Right Associativity

Convention: Operators ending in ":" associate to the right.

```
A :: B :: C is interpreted as A :: (B :: C).
```

We can thus omit the parentheses in the definition above.

Example

```
val nums = 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 4 :: Nil
```

Operators ending in ":" are also different in the they are seen as method calls of the *right-hand* operand.

So the expression above is equivalent to

```
Nil.::(4).::(3).::(2).::(1)
```

Operations on Lists

All operations on lists can be expressed in terms of the following three operations:

```
head the first element of the list
tail the list composed of all the elements except the first.
isEmpty 'true' if the list is empty, 'false' otherwise.
```

These operations are defined as methods of objects of type list. For example:

```
fruit.head == "apples"
fruit.tail.head == "oranges"
diag3.head == List(1, 0, 0)
empty.head == throw new NoSuchElementException("head of empty list")
```

List Patterns

It is also possible to decompose lists with pattern matching.

```
The Nil constant
Nil
                    A pattern that matches a list with a head matching p and
p :: ps
                    a tail matching ps.
List(p1, ..., pn) same as p1 :: ... :: pn :: Nil
```

Example

```
Lists of that start with 1 and then 2
1 :: 2 :: xs
x :: Nil
               Lists of length 1
               Same as x :: Nil
List(x)
List()
               The empty list, same as Nil
List(2 :: xs)
```

A list that contains as only element another list that starts with 2

Consider the pattern x :: y :: List(xs, ys) :: zs.

```
0 L == 3

0 L == 4

0 L == 5

0 L >= 3

0 L >= 4

0 L >= 5
```

Consider the pattern x :: y :: List(xs, ys) :: zs.

```
0 L == 3

0 L == 4

0 L == 5

0 L >= 3

0 L >= 4

0 L >= 5
```

Sorting Lists

Suppose we want to sort a list of numbers in ascending order:

- ► One way to sort the list List(7, 3, 9, 2) is to sort the tail List(3, 9, 2) to obtain List(2, 3, 9).
- ► The next step is to insert the head 7 in the right place to obtain the result List(2, 3, 7, 9).

This idea describes *Insertion Sort*:

```
def isort(xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
  case List() => List()
  case y :: ys => insert(y, isort(ys))
}
```

Complete the definition insertion sort by filling in the ???s in the definition below:

```
def insert(x: Int, xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
  case List() => ???
  case y :: ys => ???
}
```

What is the worst-case complexity of insertion sort relative to the length of the input list N?

```
0     the sort takes constant time
0     proportional to N
0     proportional to N log(N)
0     proportional to N * N
```

Complete the definition insertion sort by filling in the ???s in the definition below:

```
def insert(x: Int, xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
  case List() => ???
  case y :: ys => ???
}
```

What is the worst-case complexity of insertion sort relative to the length of the input list N?

```
0 the sort takes constant time
0 proportional to N
0 proportional to N log(N)
0 proportional to N * N
```

Complete the definition insertion sort by filling in the ???s in the definition below:

```
def insert(x: Int, xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = xs match {
  case List() =>
  case y :: ys =>
}
```

What is the worst-case complexity of insertion sort relative to the length of the input list N?

```
0 the sort takes constant time
0 proportional to N
0 proportional to N * log(N)
0 proportional to N * N
```

More Functions on Lists

List Methods (1)

Sublists and element access:

xs.length	The number of elements of xs.
xs.last	The list's last element, exception if xs is empty.
xs.init	A list consisting of all elements of xs except the
	last one, exception if xs is empty.
xs take n	A list consisting of the first n elements of xs, or xs
	itself if it is shorter than n.
xs drop n	The rest of the collection after taking n elements.
xs(n)	(or, written out, xs apply n). The element of xs
	at index n.

List Methods (2)

Creating new lists:

xs ++ ys The list consisting of all elements of xs followed

by all elements of ys.

xs.reverse The list containing the elements of xs in reversed

order.

xs updated (n, x) The list containing the same elements as xs, except

at index n where it contains x.

Finding elements:

xs indexOf x The index of the first element in xs equal to x, or

-1 if x does not appear in xs.

xs contains x same as xs index0f x \geq = 0

The complexity of head is (small) constant time.

What is the complexity of last?

To find out, let's write a possible implementation of last as a stand-alone function.

```
def last[T](xs: List[T]): T = xs match {
  case List() => throw new Error("last of empty list")
  case List(x) =>
  case y :: ys =>
}
```

The complexity of head is (small) constant time.

What is the complexity of last?

To find out, let's write a possible implementation of last as a stand-alone function.

```
def last[T](xs: List[T]): T = xs match {
  case List() => throw new Error("last of empty list")
  case List(x) => x
  case y :: ys =>
}
```

The complexity of head is (small) constant time.

What is the complexity of last?

To find out, let's write a possible implementation of last as a stand-alone function.

```
def last[T](xs: List[T]): T = xs match {
  case List() => throw new Error("last of empty list")
  case List(x) => x
  case y :: ys => last(ys)
}
```

The complexity of head is (small) constant time.

What is the complexity of last?

To find out, let's write a possible implementation of last as a stand-alone function.

```
def last[T](xs: List[T]): T = xs match {
   case List() => throw new Error("last of empty list")
   case List(x) => x
   case y :: ys => last(ys)
}
```

So, last takes steps proportional to the length of the list xs.

Implement init as an external function, analogous to last.

```
def init[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = xs match {
  case List() => throw new Error("init of empty list")
  case List(x) => ???
  case y :: ys => ???
}
```

Implement init as an external function, analogous to last.

```
def init[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = xs match {
  case List() => throw new Error("init of empty list")
  case List(x) =>
  case y :: ys =>
}
```

How can concatenation be implemented?

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) =
```

How can concatenation be implemented?

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = xs match {
  case List() =>
  case z :: zs =>
}
```

How can concatenation be implemented?

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = xs match {
  case List() => ys
  case z :: zs =>
}
```

How can concatenation be implemented?

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = xs match {
  case List() => ys
  case z :: zs => z :: concat(zs, ys)
}
```

How can concatenation be implemented?

Let's try by writing a stand-alone function:

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = xs match {
  case List() => ys
  case z :: zs => z :: concat(zs, ys)
}
```

What is the complexity of concat?

Implementation of reverse

How can reverse be implemented?

```
def reverse[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = xs match {
  case List() =>
  case y :: ys =>
}
```

Implementation of reverse

How can reverse be implemented?

```
def reverse[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = xs match {
  case List() => List()
  case y :: ys => reverse(ys) ++ List(y)
}
```

Implementation of reverse

```
How can reverse be implemented?
Let's try by writing a stand-alone function:
def reverse[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = xs match {
   case List() => List()
   case y :: ys => reverse(ys) ++ List(y)
What is the complexity of reverse?
Can we do better? (to be solved later).
```

Remove the n'th element of a list xs. If n is out of bounds, return xs itself.

```
def removeAt[T](xs: List[T], n: Int) = ???
```

Usage example:

```
removeAt(1, List('a', 'b', 'c', 'd')) > List(a, c, d)
```

Exercise (Harder, Optional)

Flatten a list structure:

Pairs and Tuples

Sorting Lists Faster

As a non-trivial example, let's design a function to sort lists that is more efficient than insertion sort.

A good algorithm for this is *merge sort*. The idea is as follows:

If the list consists of zero or one elements, it is already sorted.

Otherwise,

- Separate the list into two sub-lists, each containing around half of the elements of the original list.
- Sort the two sub-lists.
- Merge the two sorted sub-lists into a single sorted list.

First MergeSort Implementation

Here is the implementation of that algorithm in Scala:

```
def msort(xs: List[Int]): List[Int] = {
  val n = xs.length/2
  if (n == 0) xs
  else {
    def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]) = ???
    val (fst, snd) = xs splitAt n
    merge(msort(fst), msort(snd))
  }
}
```

Definition of Merge

Here is a definition of the merge function:

```
def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]) =
  xs match {
    case Nil =>
     ys
    case x :: xs1 =>
      vs match {
        case Nil =>
          XS
        case y :: ys1 =>
          if (x < y) x :: merge(xs1, ys)
          else y :: merge(xs, ys1)
```

The SplitAt Function

The splitAt function on lists returns two sublists

- ▶ the elements up the the given index
- ▶ the elements from that index

The lists are returned in a *pair*.

Detour: Pair and Tuples

The pair consisting of x and y is written (x, y) in Scala.

Example

```
val pair = ("answer", 42) > pair : (String, Int) = (answer, 42)
```

The type of pair above is (String, Int).

Pairs can also be used as patterns:

```
val (label, value) = pair  > label : String = answer 
 | value : Int = 42
```

This works analogously for tuples with more than two elements.

Translation of Tuples

A tuple type $(T_1, ..., T_n)$ is an abbreviation of the parameterized type

$$scala.Tuple n[T_1, ..., T_n]$$

A tuple expression $(\boldsymbol{e}_1,...,\boldsymbol{e}_n)$ is equivalent to the function application

$$scala.Tuple n(e_1, ..., e_n)$$

A tuple pattern $(p_1, ..., p_n)$ is equivalent to the constructor pattern

$$scala. Tuple \textit{n}(p_1,...,p_n)$$

The Tuple class

Here, all Tuplen classes are modeled after the following pattern:

```
case class Tuple2[T1, T2](_1: +T1, _2: +T2) {
  override def toString = "(" + _1 + "," + _2 +")"
}
```

The fields of a tuple can be accessed with names _1, _2, ...

So instead of the pattern binding

```
val (label, value) = pair
```

one could also have written:

```
val label = pair._1
val value = pair._2
```

But the pattern matching form is generally preferred.

Exercise

The merge function as given uses a nested pattern match.

This does not reflect the inherent symmetry of the merge algorithm.

Rewrite merge using a pattern matching over pairs.

```
def merge(xs: List[Int], ys: List[Int]): List[Int] =
  (xs, ys) match {
    ???
}
```

Implicit Parameters

Making Sort more General

Problem: How to parameterize msort so that it can also be used for lists with elements other than Int?

```
def msort[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = ...
```

does not work, because the comparison < in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{merge}}$ is not defined for arbitrary types $\ensuremath{\mathsf{T}}.$

Idea: Parameterize merge with the necessary comparison function.

Parameterization of Sort

The most flexible design is to make the function sort polymorphic and to pass the comparison operation as an additional parameter:

```
def msort[T](xs: List[T])(lt: (T, T) => Boolean) = {
    ...
    merge(msort(fst)(lt), msort(snd)(lt))
}
```

Merge then needs to be adapted as follows:

```
def merge(xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = (xs, ys) match {
    ...
    case (x :: xs1, y :: ys1) =>
        if (lt(x, y)) ...
        else ...
}
```

Calling Parameterized Sort

We can now call msort as follows:

```
val xs = List(-5, 6, 3, 2, 7)
val fruit = List("apple", "pear", "orange", "pineapple")
merge(xs)((x: Int, y: Int) => x < y)
merge(fruit)((x: String, y: String) => x.compareTo(y) < 0)</pre>
```

Or, since parameter types can be inferred from the call merge(xs):

```
merge(xs)((x, y) \Rightarrow x < y)
```

Parametrization with Ordered

There is already a class in the standard library that represents orderings.

```
scala.math.Ordering[T]
```

provides ways to compare elements of type T. So instead of parameterizing with the 1t operation directly, we could parameterize with Ordering instead:

```
def msort[T](xs: List[T])(ord: Ordering) =
  def merge(xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) =
    ... if (ord.lt(x, y)) ...
  ... merge(msort(fst)(ord), msort(snd)(ord)) ...
```

Ordered Instances:

Calling the new msort can be done like this:

```
import math.Ordering
msort(nums)(Ordering.Int)
msort(fruits)(Ordering.String)
```

This makes use of the values Int and String defined in the scala.math.Ordering object, which produce the right orderings on integers and strings.

Aside: Implicit Parameters

Problem: Passing around 1t or ord values is cumbersome.

We can avoid this by making ord an implicit parameter.

```
def msort[T](xs: List[T])(implicit ord: Ordering) =
  def merge(xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) =
    ... if (ord.lt(x, y)) ...
    ... merge(msort(fst), msort(snd)) ...
```

Then calls to msort can avoid the ordering parameters:

```
msort(nums)
msort(fruits)
```

The compiler will figure out the right implicit to pass based on the demanded type.

Rules for Implicit Parameters

Say, a function takes an implicit parameter of type T.

The compiler will search an implicit definition that

- ▶ is marked implicit
- has a type compatible with T
- ▶ is visible at the point of the function call, or is defined in a companion object associated with T.

If there is a single (most specific) definition, it will be taken as actual argument for the implicit parameter.

Otherwise it's an error.

Exercise: Implicit Parameters

Consider the following line of the definition of msort:

```
... merge(msort(fst), msort(snd)) ...
```

Which implicit argument is inserted?

```
0 Ordering.Int
0 Ordering.String
```

O the "ord" parameter of "msort"

Higher-order List Functions

Recurring Patterns for Computations on Lists

The examples have shown that functions on lists often have similar structures.

We can identify several recurring patterns, like,

- transforming each element in a list in a certain way,
- retrieving a list of all elements satisfying a criterion,
- combining the elements of a list using an operator.

Functional languages allow programmers to write generic functions that implement patterns such as these using higher-order functions.

Applying a Function to Elements of a List

A common operation is to transform each element of a list and then return the list of results.

For example, to multiply each element of a list by the same factor, you could write:

Map

This scheme can be generalized to the method map of the List class. A simple way to define map is as follows:

```
abstract class List[T] { ...
  def map[U](f: T => U): List[U] = this match {
    case Nil => this
    case x :: xs => f(x) :: xs.map(f)
  }
```

(in fact, the actual definition of map is a bit more complicated, because it is tail-recursive, and also because it works for arbitrary collections, not just lists).

Using map, scaleList can be written more concisely.

```
def scaleList(xs: List[Double], factor: Double) =
    xs map (x => x * factor)
```

Exercise

Consider a function to square each element of a list, and return the result. Complete the two following equivalent definitions of squareList.

Exercise

Consider a function to square each element of a list, and return the result. Complete the two following equivalent definitions of squareList.

Filtering

Another common operation on lists is the selection of all elements satisfying a given condition. For example:

Filter

This pattern is generalized by the method filter of the List class:

```
abstract class List[T] {
    ...
    def filter(p: T => Boolean): List[T] = this match {
        case Nil => this
        case x :: xs => if (p(x)) x :: xs.filter(p) else xs.filter(p)
    }
}
```

Using filter, posElems can be written more concisely.

```
def posElems(xs: List[Int]): List[Int] =
   xs filter (x => x > 0)
```

Variations of Filter

Besides filter, there are also the following methods that extract sublists based on a predicate:

xs filterNot p	Same as xs filter $(x \Rightarrow p(x))$; The list consisting of those elements of xs that do not satisfy the predicate p.
xs partition p	Same as (xs filter p, xs filterNot p), but com-
xs partition p	puted in a single traversal of the list xs.
xs takeWhile p	The longest prefix of list xs consisting of elements
	that all satisfy the predicate p.
xs dropWhile p	The remainder of the list xs after any leading ele-
	ments satisfying p have been removed.
xs span p	Same as (xs takeWhile p, xs dropWhile p) but computed in a single traversal of the list xs.

Exercise

Write a function pack that packs consecutive duplicates of list elements into sublists. For instance,

```
pack(List("a", "a", "a", "b", "c", "c", "a"))
should give
 List(List("a", "a", "a"), List("b"), List("c", "c"), List("a")).
You can use the following template:
  def pack[T](xs: List[T]): List[List[T]] = xs match {
   case Nil => Nil
   case x :: xs1 => ???
```

Exercise

Using pack, write a function encode that produces the run-length encoding of a list.

The idea is to encode n consecutive duplicates of an element x as a pair (x, n). For instance,

```
encode(List("a", "a", "a", "b", "c", "c", "a"))
```

should give

```
List(("a", 3), ("b", 1), ("c", 2), ("a", 1)).
```

Reduction of Lists

Reduction of Lists

Another common operation on lists is to combine the elements of a list using a given operator.

For example:

```
sum(List(x1, ..., xn)) = 0 + x1 + ... + xn

product(List(x1, ..., xn)) = 1 * x1 * ... * xn
```

We can implement this with the usual recursive schema:

ReduceLeft

This pattern can be abstracted out using the generic method reduceLeft:

reduceLeft inserts a given binary operator between adjacent elements of a list:

```
List(x1, ..., xn) reduceLeft op = (...(x1 op x2) op ...) op xn
```

Using reduceLeft, we can simplify:

A Shorter Way to Write Functions

Instead of $((x, y) \Rightarrow x * y)$, one can also write shorter:

```
(_ * _)
```

Every _ represents a new parameter, going from left to right.

The parameters are defined at the next outer pair of parentheses (or the whole expression if there are no enclosing parentheses).

So, sum and product can also be expressed like this:

```
def sum(xs: List[Int]) = (0 :: xs) reduceLeft (_ + _)
def product(xs: List[Int]) = (1 :: xs) reduceLeft (_ * _)
```

FoldLeft

The function reduceLeft is defined in terms of a more general function, foldLeft.

foldLeft is like reduceLeft but takes an *accumulator*, z, as an additional parameter, which is returned when foldLeft is called on an empty list.

```
(List(x1, ..., xn) foldLeft z)(op) = (...(z op x1) op ...) op xn
```

So, sum and product can also be defined as follows:

```
def sum(xs: List[Int]) = (xs foldLeft 0) (_ + _)
def product(xs: List[Int]) = (xs foldLeft 1) (_ * _)
```

Implementations of ReduceLeft and FoldLeft

 ${\tt foldLeft}$ and ${\tt reduceLeft}$ can be implemented in class ${\tt List}$ as follows.

```
abstract class List[T] { ...
  def reduceLeft(op: (T, T) => T): T = this match {
    case Nil => throw new Error("Nil.reduceLeft")
    case x :: xs => (xs foldLeft x)(op)
  def foldLeft[U](z: U)(op: (U, T) \Rightarrow U): U = this match {
    case Nil
                  => 7
    case x :: xs \Rightarrow (xs \text{ foldLeft op}(z, x))(op)
```

FoldRight and ReduceRight

Applications of foldLeft and reduceLeft unfold on trees that lean to the left.

They have two dual functions, foldRight and reduceRight, which produce trees which lean to the right, i.e.,

```
List(x1, ..., x\{n-1\}, xn) reduceRight op = x1 op ( ... (x\{n-1\} op xn) ... ) (List(x1, ..., xn) foldRight acc)(op) = x1 op ( ... (xn op acc) ... )
```

Implementation of FoldRight and ReduceRight

They are defined as follows

```
def reduceRight(op: (T, T) => T): T = this match {
   case Nil => throw new Error("Nil.reduceRight")
   case x :: Nil => x
   case x :: xs => op(x, xs.reduceRight(op))
}
def foldRight[](z: U)(op: (T, U) => U): U = this match {
   case Nil => z
   case x :: xs => op(x, (xs foldRight z)(op))
}
```

Difference between FoldLeft and FoldRight

For operators that are associative and commutative, foldLeft and foldRight are equivalent (even though there may be a difference in efficiency).

But sometimes, only one of the two operators is appropriate.

Exercise

Here is another formulation of concat:

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]): List[T] =
  (xs foldRight ys) (_ :: _)
```

Here, it isn't possible to replace foldRight by foldLeft. Why?

- O The types would not work out
- O The resulting function would not terminate
- O The result would be reversed

Back to Reversing Lists

We now develop a function for reversing lists which has a linear cost.

The idea is to use the operation foldLeft:

```
def reverse[T](xs: List[T]): List[T] = (xs foldLeft z?)(op?)
```

All that remains is to replace the parts z? and op?.

Let's try to *compute* them from examples.

To start computing z?, let's consider reverse(Nil).

We know reverse(Nil) == Nil, so we can compute as follows:

Nil

```
To start computing z?, let's consider reverse(Nil).

We know reverse(Nil) == Nil, so we can compute as follows:

Nil

= reverse(Nil)
```

```
To start computing z?, let's consider reverse(Nil).

We know reverse(Nil) == Nil, so we can compute as follows:

Nil

= reverse(Nil)

= (Nil foldLeft z?)(op)
```

```
To start computing z?, let's consider reverse(Nil).
We know reverse(Nil) == Nil, so we can compute as follows:
  Nil
      reverse(Nil)
      (Nil foldLeft z?)(op)
      z?
Consequently, z? = List()
```

We still need to compute op?. To do that let's plug in the next simplest list after Nil into our equation for reverse:

List(x)

We still need to compute op?. To do that let's plug in the next simplest list after Nil into our equation for reverse:

```
List(x)
= reverse(List(x))
```

We still need to compute op?. To do that let's plug in the next simplest list after Nil into our equation for reverse:

```
List(x)
= reverse(List(x))
= (List(x) foldLeft Nil)(op?)
```

We still need to compute op?. To do that let's plug in the next simplest list after Nil into our equation for reverse:

```
List(x)
= reverse(List(x))
= (List(x) foldLeft Nil)(op?)
= op?(Nil, x)
Consequently, op?(Nil, x) = List(x) = x :: List().
```

This suggests to take for op? the operator :: but with its operands swapped.

We thus arrive at the following implementation of reverse.

```
def reverse[a](xs: List[T]): List[T] =
  (xs foldLeft List[T]())((xs, x) => x :: xs)
```

Remark: the type parameter in List[T]() is necessary for type inference.

Question: What is the complexity of this implementation of reverse ?

Exercise

Complete the following definitions of the basic functions map and length on lists, such that their implementation uses foldRight:

```
def mapFun[T, U](xs: List[T], f: T => U): List[U] =
  (xs foldRight List[U]())( ??? )

def lengthFun[T](xs: List[T]): Int =
  (xs foldRight 0)( ??? )
```

Reasoning About Lists

Laws of Concat

Recall the concatenation operation ++ on lists.

We would like to verify that concatenation is associative, and that it admits the empty list Nil as neutral element to the left and to the right:

```
(xs ++ ys) ++ zs = xs ++ (ys ++ zs)

xs ++ Nil = xs

Nil ++ xs = xs
```

Q: How can we prove properties like these?

Laws of Concat

Recall the concatenation operation ++ on lists.

We would like to verify that concatenation is associative, and that it admits the empty list Nil as neutral element to the left and to the right:

Q: How can we prove properties like these?

A: By structural induction on lists.

Reminder: Natural Induction

Recall the principle of proof by *natural induction*:

To show a property P(n) for all the integers $n \ge b$,

- ▶ Show that we have P(b) (base case),
- ▶ for all integers $n \ge b$ show the *induction step*:

if one has P(n), then one also has P(n+1).

Example

Given:

Base case: 4

This case is established by simple calculations:

$$factorial(4) = 24 >= 16 = power(2, 4)$$

```
Induction step: n+1
```

We have for $n \ge 4$:

factorial(n + 1)

```
Induction step: n+1
We have for n >= 4:
  factorial(n + 1)
>= (n + 1) * factorial(n) // by 2nd clause in factorial
```

```
Induction step: n+1
We have for n >= 4:
  factorial(n + 1)
>= (n + 1) * factorial(n)  // by 2nd clause in factorial
> 2 * factorial(n)  // by calculating
```

```
Induction step: n+1
We have for n \ge 4:
 factorial(n + 1)
 >= (n + 1) * factorial(n) // by 2nd clause in factorial
 > 2 * factorial(n) // by calculating
 >= 2 * power(2, n) // by induction hypothesis
```

```
Induction step: n+1
We have for n \ge 4:
 factorial(n + 1)
 >= (n + 1) * factorial(n) // by 2nd clause in factorial
 > 2 * factorial(n) // by calculating
 >= 2 * power(2, n) // by induction hypothesis
 = power(2, n + 1) // by definition of power
```

Referential Transparency

Note that a proof can freely apply reduction steps as equalities to some part of a term.

That works because pure functional programs don't have side effects; so that a term is equivalent to the term to which it reduces.

This principle is called *referential transparency*.

Structural Induction

The principle of structural induction is analogous to natural induction:

To prove a property P(xs) for all lists xs,

- ▶ show that P(Nil) holds (base case),
- ► for a list xs and some element x, show the *induction step*:

```
if P(xs) holds, then P(x :: xs) also holds.
```

Example

Let's show that, for lists xs, ys, zs:

```
(xs ++ ys) ++ zs = xs ++ (ys ++ zs)
```

To do this, use structural induction on xs. From the previous implementation of concat,

```
def concat[T](xs: List[T], ys: List[T]) = xs match {
  case List() => ys
  case x :: xs1 => x :: concat(xs1, ys)
}
```

distill two defining clauses of ++:

```
Nil ++ ys = ys  // 1st clause (x :: xs1) ++ ys = x :: (xs1 ++ ys)  // 2nd clause
```

Base case: Nil

Base case: Nil

```
(Nil ++ ys) ++ zs
= ys ++ zs  // by 1st clause of ++
```

Base case: Nil

For the left-hand side we have:

For the right-hand side, we have:

Base case: Nil

For the left-hand side we have:

For the right-hand side, we have:

This case is therefore established.

Induction step: x :: xs

```
((x :: xs) ++ ys) ++ zs
```

```
Induction step: x :: xs
```

```
((x :: xs) ++ ys) ++ zs
= (x :: (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs  // by 2nd clause of ++
```

```
Induction step: x :: xs
```

```
((x :: xs) ++ ys) ++ zs
= (x :: (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs  // by 2nd clause of ++
= x :: ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs)  // by 2nd clause of ++
```

```
Induction step: x :: xs
```

```
((x :: xs) ++ ys) ++ zs

= (x :: (xs ++ ys)) ++ zs  // by 2nd clause of ++

= x :: ((xs ++ ys) ++ zs)  // by 2nd clause of ++

= x :: (xs ++ (ys ++ zs))  // by induction hypothesis
```

For the right hand side we have:

```
(x :: xs) ++ (ys ++ zs)
```

For the right hand side we have:

```
(x :: xs) ++ (ys ++ zs)
= x :: (xs ++ (ys ++ zs)) // by 2nd clause of ++
```

So this case (and with it, the property) is established.

Exercise

Show by induction on xs that xs ++ Nil = xs.

How many equations do you need for the inductive step?

0

0

)

A Larger Equational Proof on Lists

A Law of Reverse

For a more difficult example, let's consider the reverse function.

We pick its inefficient definition, because its more amenable to equational proofs:

```
Nil.reverse = Nil // 1st clause
(x :: xs).reverse = xs.reverse ++ List(x) // 2nd clause
```

We'd like to prove the following proposition

```
xs.reverse.reverse = xs
```

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

We can't do anything more with this expression, therefore we turn to the right-hand side:

```
x :: xs
= x :: xs.reverse.reverse  // by induction hypothesis
```

Both sides are simplified in different expressions.

To Do

We still need to show:

```
(xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse = x :: xs.reverse.reverse
```

Trying to prove it directly by induction doesn't work.

We must instead try to *generalize* the equation. For *any* list ys,

```
(ys ++ List(x)).reverse = x :: ys.reverse
```

This equation can be proved by a second induction argument on ys.

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse

= List(x).reverse  // by 1st clause of ++
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse

= List(x).reverse  // by 1st clause of ++

= (x :: Nil).reverse  // by definition of List
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse

= List(x).reverse  // by 1st clause of ++

= (x :: Nil).reverse  // by definition of List

= Nil ++ (x :: Nil)  // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
= List(x).reverse
                         // by 1st clause of ++
= (x :: Nil).reverse
                         // by definition of List
= Nil ++ (x :: Nil)
                           // by 2nd clause of reverse
= x :: Nil
                            // by 1st clause of ++
= x :: Nil.reverse
                           // by 1st clause of reverse
```

```
((y :: ys) ++ List(x)).reverse // to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse
```

```
((v :: vs) ++ List(x)).reverse
                                    // to show: = x :: (v :: vs).reverse
= (y :: (ys ++ List(x))).reverse // by 2nd clause of ++
= (ys ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(y) // by 2nd clause of reverse
= (x :: ys.reverse) ++ List(y)
                                    // by the induction hypothesis
= x :: (ys.reverse ++ List(y)) // by 1st clause of ++
                                    // by 2nd clause of reverse
= x :: (y :: ys).reverse
```

This establishes the auxiliary equation, and with it the main proposition.

Exercise (Open-Ended, Harder)

Prove the following distribution law for map over concatenation.

For any lists xs, ys, function f:

```
(xs ++ ys) map f = (xs map f) ++ (ys map f)
```

You will need the clauses of ++ as well as the following clauses for map: