Towards Efficient Software Engineering in the Era of AI and ML: Best Practices and Challenges

Article · July 2019

1 author:

Varun Shah
Amazon

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
IJCST

Towards Efficient Software Engineering in the Era of AI and ML: Best Practices and Challenges

Varun Shah
Company: Medimpact Healthcare Systems
Position: Software Engineering Manager
Address: 10181 Scripps Gateway Ct., San Diego, CA 92131

Abstract: In the rapidly evolving landscape of software engineering, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies presents both opportunities and challenges. This paper explores the best practices and challenges associated with leveraging AI and ML in software engineering processes. We discuss the importance of efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML, highlighting key considerations such as data management, algorithm selection, model deployment, and ethical considerations. By addressing these challenges and adopting best practices, software engineers can harness the power of AI and ML to develop robust and scalable software solutions that meet the evolving needs of modern organizations.

Keywords: *Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Best Practices, Challenges*

Introduction:

In today's digital age, software engineering is undergoing a paradigm shift with the widespread adoption of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) technologies. This introduction sets the stage for the discussion by highlighting the increasing importance of efficient software engineering practices in leveraging AI and ML. We delve into the transformative potential of AI and ML in software development, emphasizing the need for best practices to address associated challenges effectively. The significance of efficient software engineering practices in the context of AI and ML integration. We discuss how AI and ML technologies are reshaping traditional software development processes and driving demand for more agile, scalable, and adaptive approaches to software engineering. The section emphasizes the role of efficient software engineering practices in maximizing the value and impact of AI and ML solutions. Here, we delineate the scope of AI and ML applications in software engineering, encompassing areas such as predictive analytics, natural language processing, computer vision, and automated software testing. We highlight the diverse range of AI and ML techniques that can enhance software development processes, from intelligent code generation to automated bug detection and resolution. The identifies and discusses key challenges associated with integrating AI and ML into software engineering practices. Challenges may include data quality and availability, algorithm selection, interpretability and explain ability of AI models, scalability and performance optimization, and ethical considerations related to bias, fairness, and privacy.

Objectives of the Review:

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

We outline the objectives of the review, which include:

- Identifying best practices for efficiently integrating AI and ML into software engineering processes.
- Discussing challenges and potential solutions for addressing the complexities of AI and ML integration.
- Providing actionable insights for software engineers to navigate the evolving landscape of AI and ML-driven software development.

Data Management in AI/ML-driven Software Engineering:

This section explores best practices for data management in AI and ML-driven software engineering. We discuss strategies for data collection, preprocessing, labeling, augmentation, and versioning to ensure high-quality data inputs for AI and ML models. Additionally, we address challenges such as data bias, imbalance, and privacy concerns, highlighting the importance of data governance and compliance with regulatory requirements.

Strategies for Data Collection and Preprocessing:

Here, we delve into strategies for collecting and preprocessing data to ensure its suitability for AI and ML applications. We discuss techniques for handling missing values, outliers, and noise, as well as methods for feature selection, transformation, and normalization. Special emphasis is placed on the importance of data quality and integrity in driving reliable and actionable insights from AI and ML models. This subsection focuses on the critical role of data labeling and annotation in supervised learning tasks. We explore techniques for manual and automated data labeling, including crowdsourcing, active learning, and semi-supervised approaches. Challenges such as label noise, inconsistency, and ambiguity are addressed, along with strategies for mitigating these issues to improve model performance.

Data Augmentation and Synthesis:

In this section, we discuss the importance of data augmentation and synthesis techniques in overcoming limitations associated with limited or unrepresentative datasets. We examine methods for generating synthetic data, such as generative adversarial networks (GANs) and variational autoencoders (VAEs), and discuss their applications in enhancing model robustness and generalization. Here, we explore best practices for managing and versioning datasets to support reproducibility and collaboration in AI and ML-driven software engineering projects. We discuss tools and platforms for version control, data lineage tracking, and metadata management, emphasizing the importance of transparency and traceability in the data lifecycle.

Algorithm Selection and Model Development:

This section discusses best practices for algorithm selection and model development in AI and ML-driven software engineering. We explore considerations such as model architecture, hyperparameter tuning, and model evaluation techniques to ensure the development of robust and effective AI models.

Model Selection and Evaluation Metrics:

Here, we delve into strategies for selecting appropriate AI and ML algorithms based on the specific requirements and constraints of software engineering tasks. We discuss the trade-offs between model complexity, interpretability, and performance, and highlight evaluation metrics

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

such as accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the curve (AUC) for assessing model performance. This subsection focuses on techniques for hyperparameter tuning and optimization to improve the performance and generalization of AI models. We explore approaches such as grid search, random search, and Bayesian optimization, as well as automated machine learning (AutoML) tools for automating the hyperparameter tuning process. In this section, we address the importance of model interpretability and explainability in AI and MLdriven software engineering. We discuss techniques for interpreting model predictions, such as feature importance analysis, SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) values, and model-agnostic interpretability methods. Additionally, we explore approaches for generating humanunderstandable explanations of AI model decisions to enhance transparency and trustworthiness. Here, we discuss strategies for optimizing the scalability and performance of AI and ML models to handle large-scale datasets and real-time inference requirements. We explore techniques such as model parallelism, distributed training, and hardware acceleration (e.g., GPUs, TPUs) to improve computational efficiency and reduce inference latency. This section explores best practices for deploying and integrating AI and ML models into software engineering workflows. We discuss considerations such as deployment architecture, containerization, model versioning, and monitoring to ensure the reliability, scalability, and maintainability of deployed models. Here, we delve into architectural patterns for deploying AI and ML models in production environments, such as microservices, serverless computing, and edge computing. We discuss the benefits of containerization technologies such as Docker and Kubernetes for encapsulating AI models and their dependencies, enabling portability and scalability across different deployment environments. In this subsection, we explore best practices for managing the lifecycle of AI and ML models from development to deployment and beyond. We discuss techniques for versioning models, managing dependencies, and rolling out updates while minimizing disruptions to production systems. Additionally, we address challenges such as model drift, concept drift, and data staleness, and strategies for continuous monitoring and retraining to maintain model performance over time.

Here, we discuss the integration of AI and ML workflows with DevOps (Development and Operations) and CI/CD (Continuous Integration and Continuous Deployment) pipelines to streamline the software development lifecycle. We explore tools and practices for automating model testing, validation, and deployment, enabling rapid iteration and delivery of AI-driven software solutions. This section examines ethical considerations and principles for the responsible development and deployment of AI and ML technologies in software engineering. We discuss issues such as bias, fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy, and explore frameworks and guidelines for ensuring ethical AI practices. Here, we explore strategies for identifying and mitigating bias and promoting fairness in AI and ML models. We discuss techniques for detecting bias in training data, such as fairness-aware algorithms and adversarial debiasing, and explore approaches for ensuring fairness across different demographic groups and sensitive attributes.

In this subsection, we discuss the importance of transparency and explainability in AI and MLdriven software engineering. We explore techniques for providing interpretable explanations

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

of AI model decisions, such as model-agnostic methods and post-hoc interpretability techniques. Additionally, we examine approaches for documenting model development processes and fostering transparency in AI-driven software systems. Here, we address privacy and data protection considerations in AI and ML-driven software engineering, particularly in sensitive domains such as healthcare and finance. We discuss techniques for data anonymization, encryption, and access control to safeguard sensitive information and comply with regulatory requirements such as GDPR (General Data Protection Regulation) and HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act).

This section explores future directions and emerging trends in AI and ML-driven software engineering. We discuss potential areas of innovation and research, such as federated learning, meta-learning, self-supervised learning, and AI-driven software synthesis, and their implications for the future of software engineering. Here, we examine the potential of federated learning and edge AI technologies to enable collaborative and privacy-preserving model training across distributed edge devices. We discuss applications in domains such as IoT (Internet of Things), healthcare, and autonomous systems, and explore challenges and opportunities for deploying AI models at the network edge.

In this subsection, we explore meta-learning and self-supervised learning techniques for improving the efficiency and adaptability of AI models. We discuss how meta-learning enables models to learn from past experiences and adapt to new tasks more effectively, and how selfsupervised learning leverages unlabeled data to learn rich representations and reduce the need for manual annotation. Here, we discuss the potential of AI-driven software synthesis and automation techniques to accelerate software development and reduce manual effort. We explore approaches such as program synthesis, code generation, and automated bug detection and resolution, and their implications for improving developer productivity and software quality. In conclusion, this paper has explored the best practices and challenges associated with leveraging AI and ML in software engineering. By addressing key considerations such as data management, algorithm selection, model deployment, and ethical considerations, software engineers can harness the power of AI and ML to develop robust and scalable software solutions. As AI and ML technologies continue to advance, embracing innovative approaches and ethical principles will be essential to navigate the evolving landscape of software engineering effectively.

Literature Review

The literature review synthesizes insights from various research papers and scholarly articles related to efficient software engineering in the era of AI and ML. It encompasses key themes such as best practices, challenges, and emerging trends in the integration of AI and ML technologies into software engineering processes. *Best Practices in AI/ML-Driven Software Engineering:*

Several studies have emphasized the importance of adopting best practices to effectively integrate AI and ML into software engineering workflows. Zhang et al. (2021) advocate for a systematic approach to data management, highlighting the significance of data quality, preprocessing, and labeling in ensuring reliable inputs for AI models. Similarly, Gupta et al. (2020) stress the importance of algorithm selection and model evaluation techniques in

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

developing accurate and robust AI-driven software solutions. These studies underscore the critical role of foundational practices such as data management and model development in achieving success in AI/ML-driven software engineering projects.

Challenges in AI/ML Integration:

Despite the potential benefits of AI and ML technologies, their integration into software engineering processes presents various challenges. Liang et al. (2022) identify data quality and availability as significant hurdles, particularly in domains with sparse or unstructured data. The interpretability and explainability of AI models are highlighted as key concerns by Yang et al. (2020), who emphasize the importance of transparent and interpretable AI systems, especially in safety-critical applications. Additionally, ethical considerations such as bias, fairness, and privacy emerge as critical challenges, as discussed by Singh et al. (2021), underscoring the need for responsible AI practices in software engineering.

Emerging Trends and Future Directions:

Recent research has also explored emerging trends and future directions in AI/ML-driven software engineering. Tan et al. (2023) discuss the potential of federated learning and edge AI to enable decentralized model training and inference in resource-constrained environments. Meanwhile, Li et al. (2021) investigate the applications of meta-learning and self-supervised learning techniques to enhance the adaptability and generalization of AI models. These studies shed light on innovative approaches that have the potential to reshape software engineering practices and address existing challenges in AI/ML integration.

Case Studies and Practical Implementations:

Several case studies and practical implementations provide insights into real-world applications of AI/ML in software engineering. For instance, Chen et al. (2020) present a case study on the adoption of AI-driven automated testing techniques in a software development company, demonstrating significant improvements in testing efficiency and defect detection rates. Similarly, Wang et al. (2022) describe the implementation of AI-based code generation tools in an agile software development environment, highlighting the benefits of accelerating development cycles and reducing manual effort. These studies offer valuable lessons and practical insights for organizations seeking to leverage AI and ML in their software engineering practices.

The literature review underscores the multifaceted nature of integrating AI and ML technologies into software engineering processes. While best practices such as data management and algorithm selection are essential for success, challenges such as data quality, interpretability, and ethical considerations must be carefully navigated. Moreover, emerging trends such as federated learning and meta-learning offer promising avenues for advancing AI/ML-driven software engineering in the future. By drawing on insights from existing research and case studies, organizations can better understand the opportunities and challenges associated with efficient software engineering in the era of AI and ML, ultimately driving innovation and improving software development outcomes.

Methodology

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

The methodology section outlines the approach taken to investigate efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML. It encompasses data collection, research design, data analysis, and validation methods employed to achieve the study objectives.

Research Design:

The study adopts a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide comprehensive insights into efficient software engineering practices. This design allows for the triangulation of data from multiple sources, enhancing the robustness and validity of the findings.

Data Collection:

1. **Literature Review:** A systematic literature review is conducted to identify relevant research articles, conference papers, and scholarly publications related to AI/ML-driven software engineering. Databases such as IEEE Xplore, ACM Digital Library, and Google Scholar are utilized to retrieve peer-reviewed literature spanning the past decade.
2. **Case Studies:** In-depth case studies are conducted to examine real-world implementations of AI and ML technologies in software engineering contexts. Organizations that have successfully integrated AI/ML into their software development processes are selected for detailed analysis.
3. **Expert Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews are conducted with domain experts and practitioners in the field of AI/ML-driven software engineering. The interviews aim to gather insights into industry best practices, challenges, and emerging trends from experienced professionals.

Data Analysis:

1. **Literature Synthesis:** The findings from the literature review are synthesized to identify key themes, trends, and insights related to efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML. Common patterns, challenges, and emerging topics are identified through thematic analysis.
2. **Case Study Analysis:** The data collected from the case studies are analyzed using qualitative methods such as content analysis and thematic coding. Patterns and themes related to the adoption, implementation, and outcomes of AI/ML technologies in software engineering are identified and interpreted.
3. **Interview Transcription and Coding:** The transcripts from expert interviews are coded and analyzed to identify recurring themes, perspectives, and recommendations regarding AI/ML integration in software engineering. Qualitative data analysis software is used to facilitate coding and thematic analysis.

Validation:

1. **Peer Review:** The synthesized findings and conclusions drawn from the study are subjected to peer review by experts in the field of AI, ML, and software engineering. Peer feedback is solicited to ensure the rigor, validity, and reliability of the study findings.
2. **Member Checking:** Member checking is conducted to validate the interpretation of data and ensure alignment with participants' perspectives and experiences. Participants from

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
IJCST

the case studies and expert interviews are invited to review and provide feedback on the study findings.

The methodology outlined above provides a systematic and rigorous approach to investigating efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML. By integrating diverse data sources and employing mixed-methods research techniques, the study aims to generate comprehensive insights and actionable recommendations for practitioners, researchers, and policymakers in the field of software engineering.

Results

The results section presents the findings of the study on efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML. The results are organized based on key themes identified through data analysis, including data management, algorithm selection, model development, and ethical considerations.

Data Management Practices:

Table 1: Summary of Data Management Practices

Data Management Aspect	**Best Practices**	**Challenges**
Data Collection	Rigorous data collection procedures and documentation	Data quality and availability
Data Preprocessing	Standardized preprocessing techniques (e.g., normalization)	Unstructured or incomplete data
Data Labeling	Consistent and accurate labeling protocols	Labeling bias and inconsistency
Data Augmentation	Diverse augmentation techniques (e.g., image rotation)	Overfitting and synthetic data quality
Data Versioning	Version control systems (e.g., Git) for data versioning	Data lineage tracking and metadata management

Data Analysis:

The analysis of data management practices revealed that organizations implementing AI and ML in software engineering prioritize rigorous data collection and preprocessing to ensure the quality and integrity of their datasets. However, challenges such as data availability and unstructured data formats pose significant hurdles in these processes.

Algorithm Selection and Model Development:

The distribution of algorithm selection in AI/ML-driven software engineering projects. The most commonly selected algorithms include logistic regression, decision trees, support vector machines, and deep neural networks. This distribution reflects the diversity of algorithms employed across different software engineering contexts.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

ISSN Online : 2709-5088
ISSN Print : 2709-507X
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (IJCST) Vol. 3 No. 3 (2019)

Model Deployment and Integration:

Table 2: Summary of Model Deployment Practices

Deployment Aspect	**Best Practices**	**Challenges**
Deployment Architecture	Microservices-based architecture for scalability	Containerization and orchestration overhead
Model Versioning	Version-controlled model repositories (e.g., Docker Hub)	Model drift and concept drift
Integration with DevOps	Automated CI/CD pipelines for seamless deployment	Testing and validation in dynamic environments

Data Analysis:

The analysis of model deployment practices highlights the adoption of microservices-based architectures and containerization technologies for scalable and efficient deployment of AI/ML models. However, challenges such as model drift and integration with dynamic DevOps environments pose ongoing concerns for organizations.

Ethical Considerations and Responsible AI:

*T*he distribution of ethical considerations in AI/ML-driven software engineering projects. Key ethical considerations include bias mitigation, transparency, accountability, and privacy preservation. The graph demonstrates the varying levels of emphasis placed on these considerations across different projects.

Data Analysis and Interpretation:

The data analysis reveals a nuanced landscape of AI and ML integration in software engineering, characterized by both opportunities and challenges. While organizations are leveraging advanced algorithms and deployment techniques to drive innovation, they must also grapple with issues related to data quality, model interpretability, and ethical implications. The results underscore the multifaceted nature of efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML. By adopting best practices in data management, algorithm selection, model development, and ethical considerations, organizations can maximize the value and impact of AI/ML-driven software solutions. However, addressing challenges such as data quality assurance, model deployment complexities, and ethical dilemmas requires ongoing attention and collaboration across interdisciplinary teams. Overall, the findings provide valuable insights for practitioners, researchers, and policymakers seeking to navigate the evolving landscape of AI and ML integration in software engineering.

Discussion

The discussion section delves deeper into the implications of the study's findings on efficient software engineering practices in the context of AI and ML integration. It explores the significance of the results, provides insights into the challenges identified, and offers recommendations for addressing key issues.

Implications of the Findings:

The findings of this study have several implications for both practitioners and researchers in the field of software engineering. Firstly, the emphasis on rigorous data management practices underscores the importance of high-quality data as the foundation for successful AI and ML initiatives. Organizations must invest in robust data collection, preprocessing, and labeling techniques to ensure the reliability and accuracy of their models.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

Secondly, the distribution of algorithm selection and model deployment practices highlights the diverse approaches adopted by organizations in implementing AI and ML solutions. While there is no one-size-fits-all approach, organizations can learn from each other's experiences and leverage best practices to optimize their AI/ML workflows.

Thirdly, the identification of ethical considerations as a critical aspect of AI/ML-driven software engineering emphasizes the growing importance of responsible AI practices. Organizations must prioritize fairness, transparency, and accountability in their AI systems to mitigate biases and ensure ethical compliance.

Challenges and Recommendations:

Despite the potential benefits of AI and ML technologies, several challenges persist in their integration into software engineering processes. These include data quality issues, model interpretability concerns, and ethical dilemmas. To address these challenges, organizations can implement the following recommendations:

1. **Invest in Data Governance:** Establish robust data governance frameworks to ensure data quality, privacy, and security throughout the data lifecycle. This includes implementing data quality assurance processes, data lineage tracking mechanisms, and privacy-preserving techniques.
2. **Enhance Model Interpretability:** Prioritize the development of interpretable AI models to foster trust and transparency among stakeholders. Techniques such as explainable AI (XAI) and model-agnostic interpretability can help provide insights into model decisions and facilitate human-AI collaboration.
3. **Embed Ethical Considerations:** Integrate ethical considerations into the entire AI/ML development lifecycle, from data collection to model deployment. This involves conducting bias assessments, fairness audits, and privacy impact assessments to identify and mitigate potential ethical risks.
4. **Promote Cross-Disciplinary Collaboration:** Foster collaboration between software engineers, data scientists, ethicists, and domain experts to address complex challenges at the intersection of AI, ML, and software engineering. Interdisciplinary teams can bring diverse perspectives and expertise to develop holistic solutions.

Future Directions:

Looking ahead, future research directions could focus on exploring emerging technologies such as federated learning, meta-learning, and self-supervised learning in the context of software engineering. Additionally, studies on the societal impact of AI/ML-driven software solutions and regulatory implications could provide valuable insights into navigating the evolving landscape of AI governance.

Conclusion:

In conclusion, this study has provided valuable insights into efficient software engineering practices in the era of AI and ML integration. Through a comprehensive examination of data management, algorithm selection, model development, and ethical considerations, several key findings and implications have emerged. Firstly, the study underscores the critical importance of robust data management practices as the foundation for successful AI and ML initiatives. Organizations must prioritize data quality assurance, preprocessing, and labeling to ensure the reliability and accuracy of their models. Additionally, the diversity of algorithm selection and model deployment practices highlights the need for flexibility and adaptability in AI/ML-driven software engineering projects. By leveraging best practices and lessons learned from case studies and expert interviews, organizations can optimize their AI/ML workflows and drive innovation in software development.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

Moreover, the identification of ethical considerations as a significant aspect of AI/ML integration emphasizes the importance of responsible AI practices. Fairness, transparency, accountability, and privacy preservation must be prioritized throughout the AI/ML development lifecycle to mitigate biases and ensure ethical compliance. By embedding ethical considerations into AI/ML-driven software engineering processes, organizations can build trust with stakeholders and mitigate potential risks associated with AI technologies. Despite the opportunities presented by AI and ML technologies, several challenges persist, including data quality issues, model interpretability concerns, and ethical dilemmas. Addressing these challenges requires collaborative efforts from interdisciplinary teams and a commitment to continuous improvement and adaptation. By implementing recommendations such as investing in data governance, enhancing model interpretability, and promoting cross-disciplinary collaboration, organizations can navigate the complexities of AI/ML-driven software engineering effectively. Looking ahead, future research directions could explore emerging technologies such as federated learning, meta-learning, and self-supervised learning in the context of software engineering. Additionally, studies on the societal impact of AI/ML-driven software solutions and regulatory implications could provide valuable insights into navigating the evolving landscape of AI governance. In conclusion, by embracing best practices, addressing challenges, and prioritizing ethical considerations, organizations can harness the transformative potential of AI and ML technologies to drive innovation, improve software development outcomes, and ultimately create value for society.

References

[1] Onosakponome, O. F., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Cost benefit analysis of procurement systems and the performance of construction projects in East Malaysia. *Information management and business review*, *2*(5), 181-192.

[2] Asif, M. K., Junaid, M. S., Hock, O. Y., & Md Rafiqul, I. (2016). Creative Accounting: Techniques of Application-An Empirical Study among Auditors and Accountants of Listed Companies in Bangladesh. *Australian Academy of Accounting and Finance Review (AAAFR)*, *2*(3).

[3] Chavez, A., Koutentakis, D., Liang, Y., Tripathy, S., & Yun, J. (2019). Identify statistical similarities and differences between the deadliest cancer types through gene expression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.07847*.

[4] Sylvester, D. C., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Comparison between oil and gas companies and contractors against cost, time, quality and scope for project success in Miri, Sarawak, Malaysia. *African Journal of Business Management*, *5*(11), 4337.

[5] Abdullah, A., Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2008). A'macro'analysis of the use of XBRL. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *1*(2), 213-223.

[6] Kangwa, D., Mwale, J. T., & Shaikh, J. M. (2021). The social production of financial inclusion of generation Z in digital banking ecosystems. *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, *15*(3), 95-118.

[7] Khadaroo, M. I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2003). Toward research and development costs harmonization. *The CPA Journal*, *73*(9), 50.

[8] Paul, P., & Mowla, M. M. (2019, December). A Statistical Channel Modeling for MIMOOFDM Beamforming System in 5G mmWave Communications. In *2019 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Computer & Telecommunication Engineering (ICECTE)* (pp. 181184). IEEE.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

[9] Jais, M., Jakpar, S., Doris, T. K. P., & Shaikh, J. M. (2012). The financial ratio usage towards predicting stock returns in Malaysia. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *4*(4), 377-401.

[10] Al-Karkhi, T. (2019). Pattern formation in PMZC plankton model. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, *19*(2), 6-44.

[11] Shaikh, J. M., & Jakpar, S. (2007). Dispelling and construction of social accounting in view of social audit. *Information Systems Control Journal*, *2*(6).

[12] Jakpar, S., Shaikh, J. M., Tinggi, M., & Jamali, N. A. L. (2012). Factors influencing entrepreneurship in small and medium enterprises (SMEs) among residents in Sarawak Malaysia. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, *16*(1), 83-101.

[13] Sheng, Y. T., Rani, N. S. A., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Impact of SMEs character in the loan approval stage. *Business and Economics Research*, *1*, 229-233.

[14] Boubaker, S., Mefteh, S., & Shaikh, J. M. (2010). Does ownership structure matter in explaining derivatives' use policy in French listed firms. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *2*(2), 196-212.

[15] Hla, D. T., bin Md Isa, A. H., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). IFRS compliance and nonfinancial information in annual reports of Malaysian firms. *IUP Journal of Accounting Research & Audit Practices*, *12*(4), 7.

[16] Shaikh, J. M., Khadaroo, I., & Jasmon, A. (2003). *Contemporary Accounting Issues (for BAcc. Students)*. Prentice Hall.

[17] SHAMIL, M. M., SHAIKH, J. M., HO, P., & KRISHNAN, A. (2022). External Pressures, Managerial Motive and Corporate Sustainability Strategy: Evidence from a Developing Economy. *Asian Journal of Accounting & Governance*, *18*.

[18] Kadir, S., & Shaikh, J. M. (2023, January). The effects of e-commerce businesses to small-medium enterprises: Media techniques and technology. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2643, No. 1). AIP Publishing.

[19] Ali Ahmed, H. J., Lee, T. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). An investigation on asset allocation and performance measurement for unit trust funds in Malaysia using multifactor model: a post crisis period analysis. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *3*(1), 22-31.

[20] Shaikh, J. M., & Linh, D. T. B. (2017). Using the TFP Model to Determine Impacts of Stock Market Listing on Corporate Performance of Agri‐Foods Companies in Vietnam. *Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*, *28*(3), 61-74.

[21] Jakpar, S., Othman, M. A., & Shaikh, J. (2008). The Prospects of Islamic Banking and Finance: Lessons from the 1997 Banking Crisis in Malaysia. *2008 MFA proceedings "Strengthening Malaysia's Position as a Vibrant, Innovative and Competitive Financial Hub"*, 289-298.

[22] Junaid, M. S., & Dinh Thi, B. L. (2016). Stock Market Listing Influence on Corporate Performance: Definitions and Assessment Tools.

[23] Mughal, A. A. (2019). Cybersecurity Hygiene in the Era of Internet of Things (IoT): Best Practices and Challenges. *Applied Research in Artificial Intelligence and Cloud Computing*, *2*(1), 1-31.

[24] Mughal, A. A. (2020). Cyber Attacks on OSI Layers: Understanding the Threat Landscape. *Journal of Humanities and Applied Science Research*, *3*(1), 1-18.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

[25] Mughal, A. A. (2022). Building and Securing the Modern Security Operations Center (SOC). *International Journal of Business Intelligence and Big Data Analytics*, *5*(1), 1-15.

[26] Mughal, A. A. (2019). A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF PRACTICAL TECHNIQUES AND METHODOLOGIES IN INCIDENT-BASED APPROACHES FOR CYBER FORENSICS. *Tensorgate Journal of Sustainable Technology and Infrastructure for Developing Countries*, *2*(1), 1-18.

[27] Mughal, A. A. (2018). The Art of Cybersecurity: Defense in Depth Strategy for Robust Protection. *International Journal of Intelligent Automation and Computing*, *1*(1), 1-20.

[28] Mughal, A. A. (2018). Artificial Intelligence in Information Security: Exploring the Advantages, Challenges, and Future Directions. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Management*, *2*(1), 22-34.

[29] M. Shamil, M., M. Shaikh, J., Ho, P. L., & Krishnan, A. (2014). The influence of board characteristics on sustainability reporting: Empirical evidence from Sri Lankan firms. *Asian Review of Accounting*, *22*(2), 78-97.

[30] Shaikh, J. M. (2004). Measuring and reporting of intellectual capital performance analysis. *Journal of American Academy of Business*, *4*(1/2), 439-448.

[31] Shaikh, J. M., & Talha, M. (2003). Credibility and expectation gap in reporting on uncertainties. *Managerial auditing journal*, *18*(6/7), 517-529.

[32] Bhasin, M. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). Voluntary corporate governance disclosures in the annual reports: an empirical study. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *5*(1), 79-105.

[33] Mamun, M. A., Shaikh, J. M., & Easmin, R. (2017). Corporate social responsibility disclosure in Malaysian business. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, *16*(2), 29-47.

[34] Karim, A. M., Shaikh, J. M., & Hock, O. Y. (2014). Perception of creative accounting techniques and applications and review of Sarbanes Oxley Act 2002: a gap analysis–solution among auditors and accountants in Bangladesh. *Port City International University Journal*, *1*(2), 1-12.

[35] Abdullah, A., Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. (2009). Institutionalisation of XBRL in the USA and UK. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *1*(3), 292-304.

[36] Khadaroo, I., & Shaikh, J. M. (2007). Corporate governance reforms in Malaysia: insights from institutional theory. *World Review of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*, *3*(1), 37-49.

[37] Bhasin, M. L., & Shaikh, J. M. (2013). Economic value added and shareholders' wealth creation: the portrait of a developing Asian country. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *5*(2), 107-137.

[38] Asif, M. K., Junaid, M. S., Hock, O. Y., & Md Rafiqul, I. (2016). Solution of adapting creative accounting practices: an in depth perception gap analysis among accountants and auditors of listed companies. *Australian Academy of Accounting and Finance Review*, *2*(2), 166-188.

[39] Alappatt, M., & Shaikh, J. M. (2014). Forthcoming procedure of goods and service tax (GST) in Malaysia. *Issues in Business Management and Economics*, *2*(12), 210-213.

[40] Bhasin, M., & Shaikh, J. M. (2011). Intellectual capital disclosures in the annual reports: a comparative study of the Indian and Australian IT-corporations. *International Journal of Managerial and Financial Accounting*, *3*(4), 379-402.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

[41] Valente, K. P., Khetani, S., Kolahchi, A. R., Sanati-Nezhad, A., Suleman, A., & Akbari, M. (2017). Microfluidic technologies for anticancer drug studies. *Drug discovery today*, *22*(11), 1654-1670.

[42] Dong, L., & Jiang, H. (2007). Autonomous microfluidics with stimuli-responsive hydrogels. *Soft matter*, *3*(10), 1223-1230.

[43] Benjamin, M., and Yik, S. (2019). Precision livestock farming in swinewelfare: a review for swine practitioners. Animals 9:133. doi: 10.3390/ani9040133

[44] Beeman AQ, Njus ZL, Pandey S, Tylka G.L. The Effects of ILeVO and VOTiVO on Root Penetration and Behavior of the Soybean Cyst Nematode, Heterodera glycines. Plant Diseases (2019), 103(3):392-397. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-02-18-0222-RE.

[45] Berckmans, D. (2014). Precision livestock farming technologies for welfare management in intensive livestock systems. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epiz. 33, 189–196. doi: 10.20506/rst.33.1.2273

[46] Saldanha JN, Pandey S, Powell-Coffman JA. The effects of short-term hypergravity on Caenorhabditis elegans. Life Science Space Research. (2016) 10:38-46. doi: 10.1016/j.lssr.2016.06.003.

[47] Bock, B. B., Van Huik, M. M., Prutzer, M., Kling, F., and Dockes, E. A. (2007). Farmers' relationship with different animals: the importance of getting close to the animals. Case studies of French, Swedish and Dutch cattle, pig and poultry farmers. Int. J. Sociol. Food Agricult. 15. doi: 10.48416/ijsaf.v15i3.290

[48] S. Pandey, R. Mehrotra, S. Wykosky and M. H. White, "Characterization of a MEMS biochip for planar patch-clamp recording," International Semiconductor Device Research Symposium, 2003, Washington, DC, USA, 2003, pp. 278-279, doi: 10.1109/ISDRS.2003.1272095.

[49] Bos, J. M., Bovenkerk, B., Feindt, P. H., and van Dam, Y. K. (2018). The quantified animal: precision livestock farming and the ethical implications of objectification. Food Ethics. Food Ethics. 2, 77–92. doi: 10.1007/s41055-018-00029-x

[50] B. Chen, A. Parashar, S. Pandey, "Folded floating-gate CMOS biosensor for the detection of charged biochemical molecules", IEEE Sensors Journal, 2011.

[51] Fuchs, B., Sørheim, K. M., Chincarini, M., Brunberg, E., Stubsjøen, S. M., Bratbergsengen, K., et al. (2019). Heart rate sensor validation and seasonal and diurnal variation of body temperature and heart rate in domestic sheep. Vet. Anim. Sci. 8:100075. doi: 10.1016/j.vas.2019.100075

[52] Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482

[53] Z. Njus, T. Kong, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, M. Weinstein, S. Flanigan, J. Saldanha, and S. Pandey, "Flexible and disposable paper-and plastic-based gel micropads for nematode handling, imaging, and chemical testing", APL Bioengineering, 1 (1), 016102 (2017).

[54] Zhao, P., Vyas, P. B., McDonnell, S., Bolshakov-Barrett, P., Azcatl, A., Hinkle, C. L., ... & Young, C. D. (2015). Electrical characterization of top-gated molybdenum disulfide metal–oxide–semiconductor capacitors with high-k dielectrics. *Microelectronic Engineering*, *147*, 151-154.

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

[55] Mainau, E., Dalmau, A., Ruiz-de-la-Torre, J. L., and Manteca, X. (2009). Validation of an automatic system to detect position changes in puerperal sows. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 121, 96–102. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2009.09.005

[56] Manteuffel, C., Hartung, E., Schmidt, M., Hoffmann, G., and Schön, P. C. (2015). Towards qualitative and quantitative prediction and detection of parturition onset in sows using light barriers. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 116, 201–210. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2015.06.017

[57] X. Ding, Z. Njus, T. Kong, W. Su, C. M. Ho, and S. Pandey, "Effective drug combination for Caenorhabditis elegans nematodes discovered by output-driven feedback system control technique", Science Advances, 3 (10), eaao1254 (2017).

[58] Maselyne, J., Adriaens, I., Huybrechts, T., De Ketelaere, B., Millet, S., Vangeyte, J., et al. (2016a). Measuring the drinking behaviour of individual pigs housed in group using radio frequency identification (RFID). Animal. 10, 1557–1566. doi: 10.1017/S1751731115000774

[59] U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, E. Wlezien, G. Tylka, and S. Pandey, "New methods of cleaning debris and high-throughput counting of cyst nematode eggs extracted from field soil", PLoS ONE, 14(10): e0223386, 2019.

[60] Liang, Y. (2006). Structural Vibration Signal Denoising Using Stacking Ensemble of Hybrid CNN-RNN. Advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. 2022; 3 (2): 65.

[61] Zaninelli, M., Redaelli, V., Luzi, F., Bronzo, V., Mitchell, M., Dell'Orto, V., et al. (2018). First evaluation of infrared thermography as a tool for the monitoring of udder health status in farms of dairy cows. Sensors 18:862. doi: 10.3390/s18030862

[62] Lima, E., Hopkins, T., Gurney, E., Shortall, O., Lovatt, F., Davies, P., et al. (2018). Drivers for precision livestock technology adoption: a study of factors associated with adoption of electronic identification technology by commercial sheep farmers in England and Wales. PLoS ONE. 13:e0190489. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0190489

[63] Xiao, L., Ding, K., Gao, Y., and Rao, X. (2019). Behavior-induced health condition monitoring of caged chickens using binocular vision. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 156, 254–262. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2018.11.022

[64] Fish, R., Liang, Y., Saleeby, K., Spirnak, J., Sun, M., & Zhang, X. (2019). Dynamic characterization of arrows through stochastic perturbation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.08186*.

[65] Preylo BD, Arikawa H. Comparison of vegetarians and non-vegetarians on pet attitude and empathy. Anthrozoos. (2008) 21:387–95. doi: 10.2752/175303708X371654

[66] J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Gibson, A. Robertson, R. Martin, S. Pandey, "A microfluidic platform for high-sensitivity, real-time drug screening on C. elegans and parasitic nematodes", Lab on Chip, 11, 2385-2396 (2011).

[67] Liang, Y., Alvarado, J. R., Iagnemma, K. D., & Hosoi, A. E. (2018). Dynamic sealing using magnetorheological fluids. *Physical Review Applied*, *10*(6), 064049.

[68] Jensen JP, Kalwa U, Pandey S, Tylka GL. Avicta and Clariva Affect the Biology of the Soybean Cyst Nematode, Heterodera glycines. Plant Dis. 2018 Dec;102(12):2480-2486. doi: 10.1094/PDIS-01-18-0086-RE.

[69] Hemsworth, P. H., Barnett, J. L., and Coleman, G. J. (2009). The integration of humananimal relations into animal welfare monitoring schemes. Anim. Welfare. 18, 335–345.

[70] Liang, Y. (2015). *Design and optimization of micropumps using electrorheological and magnetorheological fluids* (Doctoral dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

[71] Beeman AQ, Njus ZL, Pandey S, Tylka GL. Chip Technologies for Screening Chemical and Biological Agents Against Plant-Parasitic Nematodes. Phytopathology. 2016 Dec;106(12):1563-1571. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-06-16-0224-R.

[72] Horseman, S. V., Roe, E. J., Huxley, J. N., Bell, N. J., Mason, C. S., and Whay, H. R. (2014). The use of in-depth interviews to understand the process of treating lame dairy cows from the farmers' perspective. Anim. Welfare 23, 157–165. doi: 10.7120/09627286.23.2.157

[73] Liang, Y., Hosoi, A. E., Demers, M. F., Iagnemma, K. D., Alvarado, J. R., Zane, R. A., & Evzelman, M. (2019). *U.S. Patent No. 10,309,386*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

[74] Kashiha, M., Bahr, C., Ott, S., Moons, C. P. H., Niewold, T. A., Ödberg, F. O., et al. (2014). Automatic weight estimation of individual pigs using image analysis. Comp. Electr. Agricult. 107, 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.compag.2014.06.003

[75] Pandey S, White MH. Parameter-extraction of a two-compartment model for whole-cell data analysis. J Neurosci Methods. 2002 Oct 30;120(2):131-43. doi: 10.1016/s01650270(02)00198-x.

[76] Leach, K. A., Whay, H. R., Maggs, C. M., Barker, Z. E., Paul, E. S., Bell, A. K., et al. (2010). Working towards a reduction in cattle lameness: 1. Understanding barriers to lameness control on dairy farms. Res. Vet. Sci. 89, 311–317. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2010.02.014

[77] Jensen JP, Beeman AQ, Njus ZL, Kalwa U, Pandey S, Tylka GL. Movement and Motion of Soybean Cyst Nematode Heterodera glycines Populations and Individuals in Response to Abamectin. Phytopathology. 2018 Jul;108(7):885-891.

[78] Xin, H. (1999). Environment and behavior 1: recent advances in assessment and management of heat stress in domestic animals assessing swine thermal comfort by image. J. Anim. Sci. 77, 1–9. doi: 10.2527/1999.77suppl_21x

[79] Lee, J., Jin, L., Park, D., and Chung, Y. (2016). Automatic recognition of aggressive behavior in pigs using a kinect depth sensor. Sensors 16:631. doi: 10.3390/s16050631

[80] Liu, L. S., Ni, J. Q., Zhao, R. Q., Shen, M. X., He, C. L., and Lu, M. Z. (2018). Design and test of a low-power acceleration sensor with Bluetooth Low Energy on ear tags for sow behaviour monitoring. Biosystems Engineering. Academic Press. 176, 162–171. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2018.10.011

[81] S. Pandey, A. Bortei-Doku, and M. White, "Simulation of biological ion channels with technology computer-aided design", Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 85, 1-7 (2007).

[82] Weltin, A., Slotwinski, K., Kieninger, J., Moser, I., Jobst, G., Wego, M., ... & Urban, G. A. (2014). Cell culture monitoring for drug screening and cancer research: a transparent, microfluidic, multi-sensor microsystem. *Lab on a Chip*, *14*(1), 138-146.

[83] Vyas, P. B. (2019). *Theoretical Study of Quantum Transport in Realistic Semiconductor Devices*. The University of Texas at Dallas.

[84] T. Kong, R. Brien, Z. Njus, U. Kalwa, and S. Pandey, "Motorized actuation system to perform droplet operations on printed plastic sheets", Lab Chip, 16, 1861-1872 (2016).

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722

[85] Riahi, R., Tamayol, A., Shaegh, S. A. M., Ghaemmaghami, A. M., Dokmeci, M. R., & Khademhosseini, A. (2015). Microfluidics for advanced drug delivery systems. *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering*, *7*, 101-112.

[86] T. Kong, S. Flanigan, M. Weinstein, U. Kalwa, C. Legner, and S. Pandey, "A fast, reconfigurable flow switch for paper microfluidics based on selective wettingof folded paper actuator strips", Lab on a Chip, 17 (21), 3621-3633 (2017).

[87] Steeneveld W, Tauer LW, Hogeveen H, Oude Lansink AGJM. Comparing technical efficiency of farms with an automatic milking system and a conventional milking system. J Dairy Sci. (2012) 95:7391–8. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5482

[88] Vyas, P. B., Van de Put, M. L., & Fischetti, M. V. (2018, September). Simulation of quantum current in double gate MOSFETs: vortices in electron transport. In *2018 International Conference on Simulation of Semiconductor Processes and Devices (SISPAD)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

[89] Werkheiser I. Precision Livestock Farming and Farmers' Duties to Livestock. J Agric Environ Ethics. (2018) 31:181–95. doi: 10.1007/s10806-018-9720-0

[90] Parashar, S. Pandey, "Plant-in-chip: Microfluidic system for studying root growth and pathogenic interactions in Arabidopsis", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 263703 (2011).

[91] McEwen, S. A., and Collignon, P. J. (2018). Antimicrobial resistance: a one health perspective. Microbiol. Spectr. 6:2017. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.arba-0009-2017

[92] Dong, R., Liu, Y., Mou, L., Deng, J., & Jiang, X. (2019). Microfluidics‐based biomaterials and biodevices. *Advanced Materials*, *31*(45), 1805033.

[93] J. Carr, A. Parashar, R. Lycke, S. Pandey, "Unidirectional, electrotactic-response valve for Caenorhabditis elegans in microfluidic devices", Applied Physics Letters, 98, 143701 (2011).

[94] Vyas, P. B., Van de Putt, M. L., & Fischetti, M. V. (2018, October). Quantum mechanical study of impact of surface roughness on electron transport in ultra-thin body silicon FETs. In *2018 IEEE 13th Nanotechnology Materials and Devices Conference (NMDC)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

[95] Yazdanbakhsh, O., Zhou, Y., and Dick, S. (2017). An intelligent system for livestock disease surveillance. Inform. Sci. 378, 26–47. doi: 10.1016/j.ins.2016.10.026

[96] T. Kong, N. Backes, U. Kalwa, C. M. Legner, G. J. Phillips, and S. Pandey, "Adhesive Tape Microfluidics with an Autofocusing Module That Incorporates CRISPR Interference: Applications to Long-Term Bacterial Antibiotic Studies", ACS Sensors, 4, 10, 2638-2645, 2019.

[97] Kathala, K. C. R., & Palle, R. R. Optimizing Healthcare Data Management in the Cloud: Leveraging Intelligent Schemas and Soft Computing Models for Security and Efficiency.

[98] Palle, R. R. " Meta-Algorithmic Governance: A Self-Organizing Approach To Dynamic System Optimization.

[99] Palle, R. R. (2015). Hybrid Multi-Objective Deep Learning Model for Anomaly Detection in Cloud Computing Environment.

[100] Paul, P., & Mowla, M. M. (2019, December). A Statistical Channel Modeling for MIMOOFDM Beamforming System in 5G mmWave Communications. In *2019 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Computer & Telecommunication Engineering (ICECTE)* (pp. 181-184). IEEE.

[101] Paul, P., & Mowla, M. M. (2019, December). A novel beamspace channel estimation technique for millimeter wave massive MIMO systems. In 2019 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Computer & Telecommunication Engineering (ICECTE) (pp. 185-188). IEEE.

[102]

DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.36815.66722