

## II Constrained optimization

1. Equality constrained problems and the Lagrangian function

$$\oplus \quad \min f(x)$$

$$\text{st } h_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r < n$$

$$L(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j h_j(x)$$

$\oplus$  Assume  $J_h(x)$  is of rank  $r \rightarrow$  necessary condition

for  $(x^*, \lambda^*)$  is

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j}(x^*, \lambda^*) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

$\oplus$  Sufficient. . .  $f_i$  and  $h_j$  are convex function  
concave ( $\lambda_i < 0$ )

.  $H_L(x)$  is positive definite

$\oplus$  Prove indeed a minimum: for any step  $\Delta x$  compatible  
with  $h(x) = 0$

$$h(x^* + \Delta x) = h(x^*) + \nabla^T h(x^*) \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \Delta x^T H_h(x^*) \Delta x$$

Solve for  $\Delta x$

$\rightarrow$  solve for  $\Delta f < 0 \rightarrow \text{maximum}$   
 $> 0 \rightarrow \text{minimum}$

⊕ special cases: quadratic function with linear equality constraints

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x + b^T x + c$$

s.t

$$Cx = d$$

$$\rightarrow L(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x + b^T x + c + \lambda (Cx - d)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2} x^T (A + A^T) + b^T + \lambda^T C = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = Cx - d = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} Ax + b + C^T \lambda = 0 \\ Cx = d \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} x + C^T \lambda = -b \\ Cx = d \end{cases}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} A & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & C^T \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ d \end{bmatrix}$$

## 2. Optimization with inequality constraints. KKT conditions

⊕ Primal problem

$$\text{minimize } f(x)$$

$$\text{s.t. } g_j(x) \leq 0 \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$L(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j g_j(x)$$

KKT conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f(x^*)}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \frac{\partial g_j(x^*)}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i}} \quad g_j(x^*) &\leq 0 \\ \lambda_j^* g_j(x^*) &= 0 \quad \begin{cases} \lambda_j^* > 0 \Rightarrow g_j \text{ inactive} \\ \lambda_j^* = 0 \Rightarrow \text{active} \end{cases} \\ \lambda_j^* &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

⊕ Sufficient:  $f_i, g_j$  are all convex

⊕ Existence of  $\lambda^*$  is guaranteed  $\Leftrightarrow \exists h \in \mathbb{R}^n$

s.t. for each active constraint  $j$   $\nabla g_j(x^*)^T h \leq 0$

$\leftarrow$  convex  
 $\sum g_j$  active constraints at  $x^*$  is full-rank  
 all constraints are linear.

### 3. Duality theorem

④  $h(\lambda) = \min_x L(x, \lambda)$  - dual function

$$D = \{ \lambda \mid h(\lambda) \exists \text{ and } \lambda \geq 0 \}$$

defines the dual problem:

$$\max_{\lambda \in D} h(\lambda)$$

$$= \max_{\lambda \in D} (\min_x L(x, \lambda))$$

- ⊕ Need:  
•  $x^*$  is the solution of the primal problem  
•  $\lambda^*$  is the solution of the dual problem  
•  $f(x^*) = h(\lambda^*)$

⊕ Practical approach: solve DP  $\rightarrow$  to get  $x^*(\lambda^*)$

$\rightarrow$  Find  $\max_{\lambda} h(\lambda)$

$\rightarrow$  sub back in  $L(x^*, \lambda^*)$

## 9. Quadratic programming

$$\oplus \min f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x + b^T x + c \\ \text{st } Cx \leq d$$

solution is either  $\leftarrow$  interior point: unconstrained  
 boundary. Active

$\rightarrow$  key is to find the active set constraints

$\rightarrow$  Try different combinations of constraints

starting from the unconstrained solutions

## 5. Sequential Quadratic Programming (SQP)

$$\oplus \min f(x) \rightarrow \text{approximate through a QP}$$

st  $g_j(x) \leq 0, j=1, 2, \dots, m$

$$h_j(x) = 0, j=1, 2, \dots, r$$

Given estimates  $(x^k, \lambda^k, \mu^k), k=0, 1, \dots$  of the

solutions and the respective Lagrange multiplier values

$\lambda^k \geq 0 \rightarrow$  step s of iteration  $k+1$  st

$$x^{k+1} = x^k + s \text{ is given by}$$

the solution  $g_h$ th Q-P problem

A

$$\textcircled{+} \quad QP-k(x^k, \lambda^k, \mu^k)$$

$$\underset{s}{\operatorname{argmin}} \quad F(s) = g(x^k) + \nabla g(x^k)^T s + \frac{1}{2} s^T H_L(x^k) s$$

$$\text{st} \quad g(x^k) + \left[ \begin{array}{c} \nabla g(x^k) \\ \lambda^k \end{array} \right]^T s \leq 0$$

$$h(x^k) + \left[ \begin{array}{c} \nabla h(x^k) \\ \mu^k \end{array} \right]^T s = 0$$

$$H_L(x^k) = \nabla^2 f(x^k) + \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^k \nabla^2 g_j(x^k)$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j^k \nabla^2 h_j(x^k)$$

→ solve for  $s, \lambda^{k+1}, \mu^{k+1}$

$\textcircled{+}$  on each iteration, active set method is often used to determine the active set at that iteration

$\textcircled{+}$  once active set is determined

→ equality constrained problems

→ use Newton's QP.

- ④ After verify convergence of the sub-problem  
 → we look to update working set of the next iteration from the initial working set.
- Look for violation of constraints: if violated  
 → add to working set
  - No violation → check if the solution can be improved by:
    - Add active constraints:
$$\arg\max g_j \left( \frac{x_l + \alpha(x_h - x_l)}{x_h} \right), j \notin W_k$$

$$\& g_j \left( x_l + \alpha(x_h - x_l) \right), j \in W_h$$

$x_l$ : last when working set was updated

If  $\alpha < 1 \rightarrow x_l + \alpha(x_h - x_l) < x_h$   
 → update  $x_{k+1} = x_l + \alpha(x_h - x_l)$

→ add  $g_j$  to working set

  - Remove active constraints:
    - Remove negative multiplier (KKT)
    - All positive → completed and check KKT condition is satisfied
  - Initial working set, guess is important.

- $\oplus$  Newton SQP:  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$   
 - min  $f(x)$   
 st  $h_i(x) = 0 \quad i = 1, \dots, r$
- $\rightarrow L(x, \lambda) = f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i(x) \lambda_i = f(x) + \nabla^T h(x) \lambda$
- $\rightarrow$  conditions:  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$  Jacobian  $\in r \times n$   
 $\nabla L(x, \lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla f + \nabla^T h \lambda \\ h \end{pmatrix} = 0$
- APPROX gradient:  
 $\nabla L(x, \lambda) = \nabla L(x_k, \lambda) + \nabla^2 L(x_k, \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta \lambda \end{pmatrix}$   
 $= 0$   
 $\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta \lambda \end{pmatrix} = - (\nabla^2 L(x_k, \lambda))^{-1} \nabla L(x_k, \lambda)$
- $\rightarrow$  iterate:  $\begin{pmatrix} x^{k+1} \\ \lambda^{k+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x^k \\ \lambda^k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta \lambda \end{pmatrix}$
- $\nabla^2 L(x, \lambda) = H = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{xx} L & \nabla^T h \\ \nabla^T h & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
- $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ \vdots \\ h_r \end{pmatrix} = h(x)$

⊕ SQP application with SWI:

$$\min SP(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \left[ \frac{f(t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}) - f(t_i, t_{i+1})}{t_{i+2} - t_i} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{f(t_i, t_{i+1}) - f(t_{i-1}, t_i)}{t_{i+1} - t_{i-1}} \right]^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } (\hat{R}_j(x) - R_j) = 0$$

$$\frac{R_j - \varepsilon_k}{\hat{R}_k} \leq \hat{R}_k^{(x)} \leq \frac{R_j + \varepsilon_k}{\hat{R}_k}$$

$x$ : Curve's parameters: ln DF, etc.

→ Transform to L, pen: |SP|

$$\min |x| = \min u + v \\ \text{s.t. } \begin{cases} u - v = x \\ u \geq 0, v \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

→ problem is  $\min \sum u_i + v_i$

$$\text{s.t. } u_i - v_i = \frac{f(t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}) - f(t_i, t_{i+1})}{t_{i+2} - t_i} \\ - \frac{f(t_i, t_{i+1}) - f(t_{i-1}, t_i)}{t_{i+1} - t_{i-1}}$$

and remaining conditions

→ we linearise  $R_j(x) = R_j(x^h) + \nabla R_j(x^h)^T \nabla x$

→ solve by simplex