## Dense and universal sets of words

## Narad Rampersad

July 14, 2015

Let  $w = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n$  be a word of length n. For a subset  $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k\} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $w_J$  denote the subsequence  $w_{j_1} w_{j_2} \cdots w_{j_k}$ . Similarly, if A is a set of words of length n, let  $A_J$  denote the set  $\{w_J : w \in A\}$ . A set of words  $A \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  is (n, k)-dense if there exists a k-element subset  $J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A_J = \{0, 1\}^k$ . The set A is (n, k)-universal if for every k-element subset J we have  $A_J = \{0, 1\}^k$ .

## **Example 1.** Let A be the set of words

Then A is (4,2)-dense, since if  $J := \{1,2\}$ , we have  $A_J = \{00,01,10,11\}$ . However, A is not (4,2)-universal, since if  $J := \{1,3\}$ , we have  $A_J = \{00,11\} \neq \{0,1\}^2$ .

Let B be the set of words

Then B is (4,2)-universal, as one may verify that for any i < j, we have  $B_{\{i,j\}} = \{0,1\}^2$ .

Clearly for a set A to be (n, k)-dense it must contain a word that contains at least k 1's. The set

$$X_{n,k} := \{ w \in \{0,1\}^n : w \text{ does not contain } k \text{ 1's} \}$$

is thus not (n, k)-dense. Define

$$t(n,k) := |X_{n,k}| = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {n \choose i}.$$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $A \subseteq \{0,1\}^n$ . If |A| > t(n,k) then A is (n,k)-dense.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on n and k. If k = 1 then for all n we have t(n, k) = 1 and every set A of more than 1 element is clearly (n, 1)-dense. Suppose then that k > 1. Define

$$B := \{x \in \{0,1\}^{n-1} : x \text{ is a prefix of } w \text{ for some } w \in A\}$$

and

$$C := \{x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1} : x0 \in A \text{ and } x1 \in A\}.$$

Then |A| = |B| + |C|. To see this, let  $w \in A$  and write w = xa for some letter  $a \in \{0, 1\}$ . If  $x\overline{a} \notin A$ , then the x in B accounts for w in A. If  $x\overline{a} \in A$ , then the x in B accounts for one of  $xa, x\overline{a}$  in A and the x in C accounts for the other. Thus, |A| = |B| + |C|. If |B| > t(n-1,k), then by induction B is (n-1,k)-dense, which implies that A is (n,k)-dense. Suppose then that  $|B| \le t(n-1,k)$ . We then have

$$|C| = |A| - |B|$$

$$> t(n,k) - t(n-1,k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {n \choose i} - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} {n-1 \choose i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left( {n \choose i} - {n-1 \choose i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} {n-1 \choose i-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} {n-1 \choose i}$$

$$= t(n-1,k-1),$$

where we have used the "Pascal's triangle" identity  $\binom{n}{i} = \binom{n-1}{i-1} + \binom{n-1}{i}$ . Since |C| > t(n-1,k-1), we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude that C is (n-1,k-1)-dense. However,  $C\{0,1\} \subseteq A$ , and so A is (n,k)-dense.  $\square$ 

**Example 3.** For n = 4 and k = 2, we have

$$t(4,2) = \sum_{i=0}^{1} {4 \choose i}$$
$$= {4 \choose 0} + {4 \choose 1}$$
$$= 1+4$$
$$= 5.$$

Thus every set A of at least 6 binary words of length 4 is (4,2)-dense.

Next we show the existence of small (n, k)-universal sets. We begin with the special case k = 2.

**Theorem 4.** For  $n \geq 2$  there is an (n, 2)-universal set of size  $2\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 2$ .

*Proof.* We define such a set A as follows. Let  $t := \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ . Define M to be the  $t \times n$  matrix whose columns consist of the binary representations of the integers  $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Let B denote the set of words of length n obtained by taking each row of M as a word. We define

$$A := \{0^n, 1^n\} \cup B \cup B',$$

where B' is the set of words formed by taking the binary complements of the words in B.

Consider any pair of indices  $J := \{i < j\}$ . Since  $0^n \in A$ , we have  $00 \in A_J$ , and similarly  $11 \in A_J$ . Furthermore, since the columns of M were distinct, there must be a word  $w \in B$  whose i-th and j-th symbols are different (say  $w_i = 0$  and  $w_j = 1$ ), so that  $01 \in A_J$ . Since A also contains the complements of the words in B, we also have  $10 \in A_J$ . Thus  $A_J$  consists of all binary words of length 2, as required.

**Example 5.** For n = 4 and k = 2, set t := 2 and define the  $2 \times 4$  matrix

$$M := \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Then  $B = \{0011, 0101\}$ ,  $B' = \{1100, 1010\}$ , and  $A = \{0000, 1111\} \cup B \cup B'$ . We have already seen in Example 1 that A is (4, 2)-universal.

For larger values of k, we use the probabilistic method.

**Theorem 6.** For  $n \ge 2$  and  $k \ge 3$  there is an (n, k)-universal set of size  $k2^k \lceil \log n + 1 \rceil$ .

*Proof.* Let t be an integer satisfying

$$\binom{n}{k} 2^k (1 - 2^{-k})^t < 1 \tag{1}$$

and let A be a set of t random words of length n. Each word in A is chosen by selecting each letter from  $\{0,1\}$  independently at random with probability 1/2. For every set J of k indices and every word  $x \in \{0,1\}^k$ , the probability that  $x \notin A_J$  is  $(1-2^{-k})^t$ . Since there are  $\binom{n}{k}$  choices for J and J choices for J choices for J and J choices for J choices f

$$\binom{n}{k} 2^k (1 - 2^{-k})^t,$$

which is less than 1 by our choice of t. Thus with positive probability A is (n, k)-universal. Using the inequalities  $\binom{n}{k} < (ne/k)^k$  and  $(1-2^{-k})^t \le e^{-t/2^k}$ , one verifies that when  $k \ge 3$ , (1) holds for  $t = k2^k \lceil \log n + 1 \rceil$ .

Theorem 2 is due (independently) to Perles and Shelah (see Shelah [1972]), Sauer [1972], and Vapnik and Chervonenkis [1971]. Theorem 4 is due to Chandra, Kou, Markowsky, and Zaks [1983]. Theorem 6 is due to Kleitman and Spencer [1973].