Exam in probability theory

Martin Sig Nørbjerg

 $April\ 25,\ 2022$

Contents

1	1: Basics of probability	Ę
2	Discrete random variables	,

4 CONTENTS

Chapter 1

1: Basics of probability

Definition 1.1. Let B be an event st. P(B) > 0, then for $A \subseteq S$, we definie **conditional** probability of A given B as

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Law of total probability and Bayes formula). Let B_1, B_2, \ldots , be sequence of events such that.

- $i) P(B_k) > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$
- ii) B_1, B_2, \dots partion the sample space S.

Then for any $A \subseteq S$ we have

i) Law of total probability:

$$P(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A|B_k)P(b_k)$$

ii) Assuming P(A) > 0, then $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$P(B_n|A) = \frac{P(A|B_n)P(B_n)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A|B_k)P(B_k)}$$

Proof. We have $A = A \cap S = A \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A \cap B_k$. By the **distributive** law of infinite unions, however $(A \cap B_i) \cap (A \cap B_j) = \emptyset$, pairwise disjoint. So

$$P(A) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A \cap B_k) \stackrel{(b)}{=} sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A|B_k) P(B_k)$$

Where (a) follows from the **3.** Axiom of probability and (b) from the definition of the conditional probability. This proves the law of total probability.

Now assume P(A) > 0 we have

$$P(A|B_k)P(B_k) = P(A \cap B_k) = P(B_k|A)P(A)$$

from the definition of conditional probability. This implies

$$P(B_k|A) = \frac{P(A|B_k)P(B_k)}{P(A)} \stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{P(A|B_k)P(B_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A|B_k)P(B_k)}$$

where (c) follows from law of total probability.

Chapter 2

Discrete random variables

Definition 2.1. Let X, be an **discrete stocastic random variable** with the **discrete** range $\{x_1, X_2, \ldots\}$ and define the function as

$$p(x_k) = P(X = x_k)$$

then, $p(x_k)$ is definied as the **probability mass function**.

Definition 2.2. Let X have pmf

$$p(k) = \lambda (1 - \lambda)^{k-1}, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

then X is said to follow the **geometric distribution** with parameter $\lambda > 0$ and we denote $X \sim \text{geom}(\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $X \sim geom(\lambda)$, then

$$E[X] = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad var[X] = \frac{1-p}{p^2}.$$

Proof. From proposition 2.9 we have

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(X > k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - p)^k = \frac{1}{p}$$

where we have that $P(X > k) = (1 - p)^k$, since we need to have at least k independent failures. Now we plan to use the fact that $var[X] = E[X^2]$

 ${\cal E}[X]^2$ and ${\cal E}[X^2] = {\cal E}[X] + {\cal E}[X(X-1)]$ (these are both known results) To get

$$\begin{split} E[X^2] &= E[X] + E[X(X-1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k-1)\lambda(1-\lambda)^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \lambda(1-\lambda) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \lambda(1-\lambda) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k-1)(1-\lambda)^{k-2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \lambda(1-\lambda) \frac{2}{(1-(1-\lambda))^3} \\ &= \frac{2-\lambda}{\lambda^2} \end{split}$$

This now gives us

$$Var[X] = E[X^2] - E[X]^2 = \frac{2-p}{p^2} - \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^2 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$$

which finishes the proof.

 $Remark\ 1.$ Note that there is a way easier proof using the theory of **generating functions**