ild with unknown distributions, antimed...

Budgeted Allocation problem. Say Bi 7 Kti OPT = max [i,j dis xi; s.t. m.p. ti Σ; bi; x; ξ Bi Vj Σ, κis ≤ 1. [Assume P; = 1] Assume that for the optimal sola. to , all Budgets Pi's are exhausted. Twe Randon: Given j, match it to i with prob. Mij. (opt. soln.) X; = spend of i = m. X; = m. spend fi = m. Z; m bij xij = B; [E[min ski, Biz] is minimized when +; lije {0, bimar} & m→0. Thuy E[PR] = [ 81211 Algo w/o distribution knowledge: Inductive defr. Gum A, ... Aty ? Pth, . - ... Pm = Ht Also assume bij E Eo, bimar?

| with This assumption, given                                                                                                            |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| - remaining budget for i, Bi - remaining steps (m-t).                                                                                  |
| - remaining steps (m-t)  - In each step i gots bymax w.p. p;  jmax m                                                                   |
| Can estimate expected reconve from running pr for remaining steps.                                                                     |
| i. Match j to argumax $\{bij + E[T_{tH}, P_m]\}$ $A_{t} = iJ$                                                                          |
| As before, E[Ht] > E[Ht-1]                                                                                                             |
| E [ALA] 7 E [PR]                                                                                                                       |
| Open Avestion:— Can we climinate the assumption that Budgets are exhausted?  For example, making 8:-> or for some i should also resp.  |
|                                                                                                                                        |
| Resource Allocation Boblem: - (Recall)  i - resource, capacity Ci                                                                      |
| J= request, feasible options +;                                                                                                        |
| tkets, ti, consume acivik).                                                                                                            |
| profit = WWik) LP: max Zjik wCjik) xCjik) s.t.                                                                                         |
| $ \psi_{i}  \Sigma_{j,k}  \alpha(i,j,k)  \alpha(j,k)  S  C_{i}, $ $ \psi_{j}  \Sigma_{k}  \alpha(i,j,k)  S  L_{i},  \alpha(i,k)  70. $ |
|                                                                                                                                        |

ich model: distribution on j's. As before assume for simplicity that p(j) of Good: Design algo 8-t. W-P1-8, ALUZCHE) OTT. (High probability vs. in expectation) Suppose Ci 7 K. As before assume re acisik) know m. Also OTH/WCJIK> 7 K. Now, also assume we know the value of First, consider Pute Randon: - Given j, use option k w.p. 21/2 (opt.) Let X; = total capacity of i consumed by pure random. W = profit of Pure random n= # of resources. Clair- + 2870, If & 7 C. log(N/8) for some universal ex constant c, Than w.p 1-8, 4; X; & Ci & W > (17E) OPT. tor simplicity assume alijik) (0,1), & CiZX. IVY WCik) E[Oil] & OPT =X.

Champel bounds 
$$X_{i} = \sum_{t=1}^{m} X_{i+t}$$
.

 $E[X_{i+t}] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{x_{i,j}}{l+\epsilon} acl_{i,j}k) \leq \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] \leq \frac{C_{i}}{(l+\epsilon)}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] \leq \frac{C_{i}}{(l+\epsilon)}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] \leq \frac{C_{i}}{(l+\epsilon)}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] \leq \frac{C_{i}}{(l+\epsilon)}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] \leq \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] = \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] = \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] = \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon}$ 
 $E[X_{i}] = \frac{C_{i}}{m} cl_{i+\epsilon$ 

$$||I|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \right) = \frac{1-\epsilon}{1-\epsilon} \left( \frac{1-\epsilon}{1$$

Pr [xinci] = Pr [xxin x k] S E [Cite) Krin max cite) kxirci) / (ItE) K S S Pr[max (It E) KXI/Ci > (It E) SE = [ ]; X; 7C; ] < 8. = -.. cont'd ----

| <u> </u> | PR can be thought of as minimizing $\sum_{i} \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{K} \times i/C_{i}}{(1-\epsilon)^{K}} + \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{K}}{(1-\epsilon)^{K}} $ expectation. |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Idea     | i- Algo also minimites the same.<br>Using Hybrid argument, show That                                                                                             |
|          | E[\$\frac{\partial}{2} \leq \text{E[\$\partial}{2} \leq \text{E[\$\partial}{2} \right] \leq \text{E.}                                                            |
|          | (Actually an "upper bound" on these expectations)                                                                                                                |
|          | expectations)                                                                                                                                                    |
| Go v     | vsider It = AAZ-AP-FRPm.                                                                                                                                         |
|          | $\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{pq} \end{bmatrix}$                                                       |
|          | (1+E) Xit (1+E) Xit (1+E) Xit to to to the                                                                                                                       |
|          | $X_{i,t}$ of $t = T_{+1}$ , m are independent                                                                                                                    |
|          | of each other, and of XiT.                                                                                                                                       |
| 7        | E(1+€)Xi, t ≤                                                                                                                                                    |
| to Ff    | < TT e E Ci/Cite) in E Ci (m-T) = e Ci (m-T) m                                                                                                                   |
|          | t=+1                                                                                                                                                             |

$$E\left[\frac{C_{1}+\epsilon}{(1+\epsilon)^{K}}\right] = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^{K}}$$

$$T-1 \qquad \qquad | X^{A}_{i,t}| = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^{K}}$$

$$T=1 \qquad \qquad | X^{A}_{i,t-1}| = \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^{K}}$$

$$T=1 \qquad \qquad | X^{A}_{i,$$

| The min. choice is better than "Pune random choice."                                                                  |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| : E[ at [ A A] { Z; a; e ex/c+om} + dw.e                                                                              |
| As good as the upper bound on  E[off-1   A1, AT-1]                                                                    |
| $\phi_{i,0} = \frac{\epsilon  K  (m-1)}{\epsilon  (i\epsilon)m}$ $CH\epsilon)K$                                       |
| $\phi_{i,T} = \phi_{i,T-1} \cdot (1+\epsilon)^{*}$                                                                    |
| $e^{\xi K(tz)m}$ $\approx \phi_{i,T-1} \cdot e^{\xi K\left(\frac{X_{i,T}}{C_i} - \frac{1}{C(t+\varepsilon)m}\right)}$ |
| Simple dual-update rule.                                                                                              |
|                                                                                                                       |
|                                                                                                                       |