

# **Biological soil crust and climate effects on soil stabilization, erosion, and nutrient dynamics across the Chilean Coastal Range**

Dissertation  
der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät  
der Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen  
zur Erlangung des Grades eines  
Doktors der Naturwissenschaften  
(Dr. rer. nat.)

vorgelegt von  
M.Sc. Nicolás Riveras Muñoz  
aus Puente Alto

Tübingen  
2025

Gedruckt mit Genehmigung der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Fakultät der  
Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen.

Tag der mündlichen Qualifikation: XX.XX.2025

Dekan: Prof. Dr. Thilo Stehle

1. Berichterstatter: Prof. Dr. Thomas Scholten

2. Berichterstatter: Dr. Peter Kühn

# Abstract

Biological soil crusts (biocrusts) and climate effects profoundly shape soil stabilization, erosion, and nutrient dynamics, especially across diverse environmental gradients like the Chilean Coastal Range (arid to humid). This research explored these intricate interactions by integrating field observations, rainfall simulations, laboratory experiments, and advanced analytical techniques. The focus was on the interplay between biocrusts, microbial communities, plant roots, and fundamental soil properties.

Key findings reveal that biocrusts significantly enhance soil aggregate stability, particularly in drier regions, thereby reducing surface runoff and erosion. Their stabilizing influence, however, lessens in humid climates where dense vegetation becomes the dominant factor. Biocrusts modify hydrological pathways, typically decreasing surface flow while sometimes increasing percolation. They also modulate carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fluxes in climate-dependent ways, influencing both sediment-bound and dissolved nutrient transport.

The critical role of microbial communities in soil aggregation and development was confirmed, with their activity and resilience strongly linked to climate legacy and moisture patterns (e.g., wetting-drying cycles). Plant roots emerged as powerful drivers of macroaggregation, exerting distinct influences during their living (rhizosphere) and decaying (detritusphere) phases, which in turn affects microbial succession and organic matter protection. Overall, this work highlights the interconnected, context-dependent nature of these biotic and abiotic factors in governing soil structure and function.



# Kurzfassung

Biologische Bodenkrusten (Biokrusten) und Klimaeffekte prägen maßgeblich die Bodenstabilisierung, Erosion und Nährstoffdynamik, insbesondere entlang diverser Umweltgradienten wie der chilenischen Küstenkordillere (arid bis humid). Diese Forschung untersuchte diese komplexen Wechselwirkungen durch die Integration von Feldbeobachtungen, Regensimulationen, Laborexperimenten und fortschrittlichen Analysetechniken. Der Fokus lag auf dem Zusammenspiel zwischen Biokrusten, mikrobiellen Gemeinschaften, Pflanzenwurzeln und grundlegenden Bodeneigenschaften.

Wichtige Ergebnisse zeigen, dass Biokrusten die Aggregatstabilität des Bodens signifikant erhöhen, besonders in trockeneren Regionen, wodurch Oberflächenabfluss und Erosion reduziert werden. Ihr stabilisierender Einfluss nimmt jedoch in humiden Klimazonen ab, wo dichte Vegetation zum dominierenden Faktor wird. Biokrusten modifizieren hydrologische Pfade, indem sie typischerweise den Oberflächenabfluss verringern, während sie manchmal die Perkolation erhöhen. Sie modulieren auch Kohlenstoff- (C) und Stickstoff- (N) Flüsse auf klimaabhängige Weise und beeinflussen sowohl den sedimentgebundenen als auch den gelösten Nährstofftransport.

Die entscheidende Rolle mikrobieller Gemeinschaften bei der Bodenaggregation und -entwicklung wurde bestätigt, wobei ihre Aktivität und Resilienz stark mit Klima-Legacy-Effekten und Feuchtemustern (z.B. Benetzungs-Trocknungs-Zyklen) verknüpft sind. Pflanzenwurzeln erwiesen sich als starke Treiber der Makroaggregation, die während ihrer lebenden (Rhizosphäre) und zerfallenden (Detritussphäre) Phasen unterschiedliche Einflüsse ausüben, was wiederum die mikrobielle Sukzession und den Schutz organischer Substanz beeinflusst. Insgesamt hebt diese Arbeit die vernetzte, kontextabhängige Natur dieser biotischen und abiotischen Faktoren bei der Steuerung von Bodenstruktur und -funktion hervor.



# Contents

|          |                                                                                               |           |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                                                           | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Biological soil crusts and soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient                 | 1         |
| 1.2      | Microbial communities as drivers of aggregate structure . . . . .                             | 2         |
| 1.3      | Climate as a driver of soil and microbial dynamics . . . . .                                  | 3         |
| 1.4      | Influence of plant roots and interactions with biocrusts along the climate gradient . . . . . | 4         |
| 1.5      | Biocrusts, hydrological processes, and nutrient fluxes influencing soil erosion . . . . .     | 5         |
| 1.6      | Understanding biocrusts across a climatic gradient . . . . .                                  | 6         |
| 1.7      | Objectives and hypothesis . . . . .                                                           | 6         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Methodology</b>                                                                            | <b>9</b>  |
| 2.1      | Study sites and experimental setting . . . . .                                                | 9         |
| 2.2      | Field methods . . . . .                                                                       | 13        |
| 2.2.1    | Biocrust sampling and characterization . . . . .                                              | 13        |
| 2.2.2    | Soil sampling . . . . .                                                                       | 14        |
| 2.2.3    | Rainfall simulations . . . . .                                                                | 15        |
| 2.3      | Laboratory methods . . . . .                                                                  | 17        |
| 2.3.1    | Baseline soil characterization . . . . .                                                      | 17        |
| 2.3.2    | Aggregate stability . . . . .                                                                 | 17        |
| 2.3.3    | Incubation experiments . . . . .                                                              | 20        |
| 2.3.4    | Molecular analyses . . . . .                                                                  | 20        |
| 2.3.5    | Organic matter and microbial biomarker characterization . . . . .                             | 21        |
| 2.4      | Statistical analyses . . . . .                                                                | 22        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Results and discussion</b>                                                                 | <b>25</b> |
| 3.1      | Effect of biocrust on soil aggregate stability (manuscript 1) . . . . .                       | 25        |
| 3.1.1    | Climate-induced changes in soil properties . . . . .                                          | 25        |
| 3.1.2    | Biocrust-induced changes in soil properties . . . . .                                         | 27        |
| 3.1.3    | Biocrust and climate interactions on aggregate stability . . . . .                            | 29        |
| 3.2      | Effect of biocrust on water flow, erosion and nutrient flow (manuscript 2)                    | 30        |
| 3.2.1    | Biocrust influence on water flow and sediment transport . . . . .                             | 30        |
| 3.2.2    | Biocrust modulation of carbon and nitrogen fluxes . . . . .                                   | 34        |

|                                                                                                                                           |           |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 3.3 Microbial, plant and moisture controls on soil structure and functionality (Manuscripts 3, 4 and 5) . . . . .                         | 35        |
| <b>4 Conclusion &amp; Outlook</b>                                                                                                         | <b>41</b> |
| <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                                       | <b>45</b> |
| <b>Appendix</b>                                                                                                                           | <b>64</b> |
| <b>A Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range</b>                       | <b>65</b> |
| A.1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                | 67        |
| A.2 Materials and methods . . . . .                                                                                                       | 70        |
| A.2.1 Study sites and experimental settings . . . . .                                                                                     | 70        |
| A.2.2 Biocrust sampling and classification . . . . .                                                                                      | 71        |
| A.2.3 Soil sampling and analyses . . . . .                                                                                                | 73        |
| A.2.4 Statistical analyses . . . . .                                                                                                      | 75        |
| A.3 Results . . . . .                                                                                                                     | 75        |
| A.3.1 Soil properties . . . . .                                                                                                           | 75        |
| A.3.2 Soil aggregate stability . . . . .                                                                                                  | 77        |
| A.4 Discussion . . . . .                                                                                                                  | 81        |
| A.4.1 Aggregate stability and soil properties along the climatic gradient                                                                 | 81        |
| A.4.2 Biocrusts altering soil properties along the climatic gradient                                                                      | 82        |
| A.5 Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                                 | 84        |
| <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                                       | <b>87</b> |
| <b>B Biocrusts as climate-dependent regulators of erosion, water and nutrient cycling</b>                                                 | <b>95</b> |
| B.1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                                | 97        |
| B.2 Materials and methods . . . . .                                                                                                       | 101       |
| B.2.1 Study sites . . . . .                                                                                                               | 101       |
| B.2.2 Rainfall simulation experiment . . . . .                                                                                            | 103       |
| B.2.3 Statistical analysis . . . . .                                                                                                      | 106       |
| B.3 Results . . . . .                                                                                                                     | 106       |
| B.3.1 Surface runoff, percolating water fluxes, and their interrelation with biocrust cover along different climatic conditions . . . . . | 106       |
| B.3.2 Influence of biocrust on C and N fluxes along a climatic gradient                                                                   | 107       |
| B.4 Discussion . . . . .                                                                                                                  | 110       |
| B.4.1 Biocrust and erosion under different climatic conditions . . . . .                                                                  | 110       |
| B.4.2 Biocrust modulation of C and N fluxes along different climatic conditions . . . . .                                                 | 112       |

|                                                                                                                                         |            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| B.5 Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                               | 115        |
| <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                                     | <b>117</b> |
| <b>C Microbial impact on initial soil formation in arid and semiarid environments under simulated climate change</b>                    | <b>127</b> |
| C.1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                              | 129        |
| C.2 Materials and methods . . . . .                                                                                                     | 132        |
| C.2.1 Study sites and sample collection . . . . .                                                                                       | 132        |
| C.2.2 Soil manipulation experiment . . . . .                                                                                            | 134        |
| C.2.3 Soil physicochemical properties . . . . .                                                                                         | 135        |
| C.2.4 DNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis . . . . .                                                                            | 136        |
| C.2.5 Sequencing . . . . .                                                                                                              | 137        |
| C.2.6 Processing of 16S rRNA data . . . . .                                                                                             | 137        |
| C.2.7 Data analysis . . . . .                                                                                                           | 137        |
| C.3 Results . . . . .                                                                                                                   | 139        |
| C.3.1 Soil manipulation experiment . . . . .                                                                                            | 139        |
| C.3.2 Soil physical and chemical properties . . . . .                                                                                   | 139        |
| C.3.3 Bacterial abundance . . . . .                                                                                                     | 142        |
| C.3.4 Alpha and beta diversity . . . . .                                                                                                | 143        |
| C.3.5 Change in bacterial community composition . . . . .                                                                               | 146        |
| C.3.6 Change in potential predicted functions . . . . .                                                                                 | 147        |
| C.3.7 Indicator species . . . . .                                                                                                       | 148        |
| C.3.8 Co-occurrence network . . . . .                                                                                                   | 151        |
| C.4 Discussion . . . . .                                                                                                                | 153        |
| C.4.1 Abiotic versus biotic influence on soil formation in arid and semiarid soils under climate change . . . . .                       | 154        |
| C.4.2 Bacterial communities inhabiting arid and semiarid soils respond differently to climate change . . . . .                          | 156        |
| C.4.3 Soil and climate legacy play a role in shaping the response of initial soil formation and bacterial communities to climate change | 159        |
| C.5 Conclusion . . . . .                                                                                                                | 160        |
| <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                                     | <b>163</b> |
| <b>D Microbially induced soil aggregate turnover across different climates and moisture regimes</b>                                     | <b>175</b> |
| D.1 Introduction . . . . .                                                                                                              | 177        |
| D.2 Materials and methods . . . . .                                                                                                     | 180        |
| D.2.1 Field site description and sampling . . . . .                                                                                     | 180        |
| D.2.2 Experimental design . . . . .                                                                                                     | 181        |

## *Contents*

---

|          |                                                                                                                                           |            |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| D.2.3    | Soil properties, aggregate size distribution and stability . . . . .                                                                      | 182        |
| D.2.4    | DNA extraction and quantification of bacteria, archaea, and fungi                                                                         | 183        |
| D.2.5    | Illumina MiSeq amplicon sequencing of bacteria and archaea .                                                                              | 183        |
| D.2.6    | Bioinformatics and statistical analysis . . . . .                                                                                         | 184        |
| D.2.7    | Data deposition . . . . .                                                                                                                 | 184        |
| D.3      | Results . . . . .                                                                                                                         | 185        |
| D.3.1    | Soil properties . . . . .                                                                                                                 | 185        |
| D.3.2    | Microbial influence on soil properties . . . . .                                                                                          | 187        |
| D.3.3    | Microbial abundance . . . . .                                                                                                             | 188        |
| D.3.4    | Bacterial and archaeal diversity . . . . .                                                                                                | 190        |
| D.3.5    | Microbial community structure and composition . . . . .                                                                                   | 190        |
| D.3.6    | Predicted microbial functions . . . . .                                                                                                   | 193        |
| D.3.7    | Correlation of microbial taxa with soil properties . . . . .                                                                              | 193        |
| D.4      | Discussion . . . . .                                                                                                                      | 194        |
| D.4.1    | Microbial influence on soil aggregation . . . . .                                                                                         | 195        |
| D.4.2    | Microbial response to W-D cycling and key taxa of soil alteration                                                                         | 197        |
| D.5      | Summary . . . . .                                                                                                                         | 203        |
| D.6      | Conclusions . . . . .                                                                                                                     | 203        |
|          | <b>Bibliography</b>                                                                                                                       | <b>207</b> |
| <b>E</b> | <b>Living and decaying roots as regulators of soil aggregation and organic matter formation—from the rhizosphere to the detritusphere</b> | <b>217</b> |

# List of Tables

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |     |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 2.1 | Taxonomical composition of mosses and lichens in the biological soil crust for the study sites along the climatic gradient. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 14  |
| 3.1 | Surface runoff fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on Šidák correction post-hoc test results.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 32  |
| 3.2 | Percolating water fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on Šidák correction post-hoc test results.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 33  |
| A.1 | Taxonomical composition of mosses and lichens in the biological soil crust for the study sites along the climatic gradient. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 73  |
| A.2 | Appendix A. Significant factors for response variables based on generalized linear models. Models with significant interaction also include the predictors as simple parameters based on marginality principle. ( <i>p-value</i> $\leq 0$ : "****"; <i>p-value</i> $\leq 0.001$ : "***"; <i>p-value</i> $\leq 0.01$ : **) . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | 85  |
| B.1 | Surface runoff fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. A letter-based display of Šidák correction accompanies surface runoff parameters, and the significance of the studied factors is shown as a p-value and list of covariates (C <sub>T</sub> , N <sub>T</sub> : total carbon and nitrogen content). Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on our data, ns: non-significant. . . . . | 108 |

- B.2 Percolating water fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. A letter-based display of Šidák correction accompanies surface runoff parameters, and the significance of the studied factor is shown as a p-value and list of covariates ( $C_T$ ,  $N_T$ : total carbon and nitrogen content). Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on our data, ns: non-significant. . . . . 109

# List of Figures

|     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |    |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 2.1 | Location of study sites relative to South America. From north to south: Pan de Azúcar (PdA), Santa Gracia (SG), Quebrada de Talca (QdT), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA). . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 10 |
| 2.2 | General view (a, c, d, g, and i) and sampled biocrust (b, d, f, h, and j) of PdA, SG, QdT, LC, and NA. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 12 |
| 2.3 | Construction diagram of the soil erosion flux box used in the experiment (a), general view of a plot with the presence of biocrust prior to sampling (b). (c, d) shows an installed cutting frame and the cleared and prepared soil. (e) demonstrates the setting for rainfall simulations at the Nahuelbuta (NA) study site. . . . .                                                                                                                                | 16 |
| 3.1 | Soil physical and chemical properties across the four study sites (PdA: Pan de Azúcar, SG: Santa Gracia, LC: La Campana, and NA: Nahuelbuta). Letter-based display accompanying soil properties with significant effect for site. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šidák correction). . . . .                                                                                                                         | 26 |
| 3.2 | Biocrust (a) and interaction (b) effects on soil properties. Soil physical and chemical properties across for biocrust (BSC+ vs. BSC-) and the interaction with the site (PdA: Pan de Azúcar, SG: Santa Gracia, LC: La Campana, and NA: Nahuelbuta). Letter-based display accompanying soil properties with significant effect for site or site*biocrust. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šidák correction). . . . . | 28 |
| 3.3 | Aggregate stability indexes for Pan de Azúcar (PdA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments displayes as mean and standard error. $\Delta$ MWD: difference in mean weight diameter, $\Delta$ GMD: difference in geometric mean diameter, WSAR: water stability aggregate ratio, $R_{<2\text{mm}}$ : ratio of aggregates less than 2 mm. . . . .                                              | 30 |

## List of Figures

---

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |    |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 3.4 Representation of total carbon in sediments and DOC in water fluxes for the four study sites. The diagrams at the right side with slightly green surface represents the BSC+ treatments and the one at the left the BSC-. Grey-filled arrows correspond to C fluxes, and yellowish arrows to N. At the same time, arrows with solid borders correspond to the flow attached to the sediments, and dashed arrows dissolved in water. Each type of arrow is proportional inside each group in width to the concentration of nutrients and in length to the mass of it. . . . .                         | 35 |
| 3.5 Principal Component Analyses of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including significant soil properties. A) arid, B) semi-arid, C) mediterranean and D) humid site. Microbial influence is indicated by sample separation along the x-axis. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 37 |
| 3.6 Relative abundance of top 15 bacterial phyla for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia and the different time points. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks) and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs and plants) and technical triplicates. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 38 |
| A.1 Sampled biological soil crust for PA (a), SG (b), LC (c), and NA (d). . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | 72 |
| A.2 Mean and standard error of soil properties for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC) and Nahuelbuta (NA), without (BSC-) and with biocrust cover (BSC+), and the interaction of both factors. (EC = electrical conductivity, BD = bulk density, C <sub>t</sub> = total carbon, SOC = soil organic carbon, SIC = soil inorganic carbon, N <sub>t</sub> = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon to nitrogen ratio). Significant factors include Tukey's Post-hoc-Test indicated by letters on the top of the bars. Different letters represent significant differences at $p < 0.05$ . . . . . | 76 |
| A.3 Mean value and standard error of aggregate proportion for dry (a) and wet (b) sieved fractions for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments. Significant factors and corresponding models for response variables are included in Appendix A.2. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 79 |
| A.4 Mean and standard deviation of aggregate size distribution changes between dry and wet sieving for the sites Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments. Error bars were, in some cases, truncated for better visualization. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 79 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |     |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| A.5 Mean and standard error of aggregate stability indexes for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments. $\Delta\text{MWD}$ =difference in mean weight diameter, $\Delta\text{GMD}$ =difference in geometric mean diameter, WSAR=water stability aggregate ratio, $R_{<2\text{ mm}}$ =ratio of aggregates less than 2 mm. Significant factors and corresponding models for response variables are included in Appendix A.2. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                    | 80  |
| B.1 Location of the four study sites (left) relative to South America (right). From north to south: Santa Gracia (SG), Quebrada de Talca (QdT), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA). Bing Satellite (obtained through QuickMapServices QGIS plugin), Map data 2022 Microsoft. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 101 |
| B.2 Overview of the study sites, showing the general landscape of Santa Gracia (SG) (a), Quebrada de Talca (QdT) (c), La Campana (LC) (e), and Nahuelbuta (NA) (g). Corresponding biocrusts are illustrated in photographs (b, d, f, h). Notable biocrust features include lichen-dominated communities in SG and moss-dominated communities in NA. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 102 |
| B.3 Construction diagram of the soil erosion flux box used in the experiment (a), general view of a plot with the presence of biocrust prior to sampling (b). (c, d) shows an installed cutting frame and the cleared and prepared soil. (e) demonstrates the setting for rainfall simulations at the Nahuelbuta (NA) study site. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | 105 |
| B.4 Total carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fluxes in sediment and water for the four study sites. Diagonal arrows represent surface runoff. Arrows pointing vertically downward represent percolation. The diagrams on the right, with a light green surface, represent the BSC+ treatments, and the one on the left represents the BSC- treatments. Grey-filled arrows correspond to C fluxes, and yellowish arrows to N. At the same time, arrows with solid borders correspond to fluxes attached to the sediments and dashed arrows correspond to fluxes dissolved in water. Each type of arrow is proportional within each group in width to the concentration of nutrients and in length to their mass. . . . . | 113 |
| C.1 Sampling sites and microcosms of Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia after 12 weeks of incubation. The different microcosms are separated by horizon and treatment, including in situ, BSC (Biocrust), and plant treatment. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 133 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |     |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| C.2 Physicochemical properties in Pan de Azúcar (PA) and Santa Gracia (SG) show total organic carbon (TOC), nitrogen in bulk soil (N <sub>bulk</sub> ), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), and mean weight diameter (MWD) in three-time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Time is represented by T0 (original soil sample), T1 (2 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different colors represent the different treatments. Each bar is the mean of biological triplicates. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | 141 |
| C.3 Gene copy numbers, Shannon index, and Evenness of bacterial communities in Pan de Azúcar (PA) and Santa Gracia (SG) in four soil manipulation experiment time points. Time is represented by T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different colors represent the different treatments. Each bar is the mean of biological triplicates. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 143 |
| C.4 Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) including soil properties for Pan de Azúcar - A horizon, Pan de Azúcar - B horizon, Santa Gracia - A horizon, and Santa Gracia - B horizon. Significant physicochemical properties included total organic carbon (TOC), nitrogen in macroaggregates (Nt 250), nitrogen in large microaggregates (Nt 250-53), nitrogen in small microaggregates (Nt 53), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), sodium, mean weight diameter (MWD), total carbon in macroaggregates (Ct 250), total carbon in small microaggregates (Ct 53). Time is represented by T0 (original soil sample), T1 (2 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different color represents different treatments, while shapes represent different sampling times. Each point is the mean of biological triplicates. . . . . | 145 |
| C.5 Relative abundance of top 15 bacterial phyla for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia in four-time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs, and plants) and biological triplicates. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 147 |
| C.6 Top 50 relative abundance of indicator species for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia in four time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Colors represented different phyla. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs, and plants) and biological triplicates. Taxa are shown on the level of class (c), order (o), family (f), and genus (g). . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | 150 |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| C.7 Co-occurrence network of bacterial ASVs. The nodes (ASVs) in the network are colored by modularity. The connections stand for a strong ( $R > 0.7$ ) and significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) correlation. The network was subdivided into two subnetworks corresponding to Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 152 |
| D.1 Location of the four study sites along the climate gradient on the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. MAP, mean annual precipitation; MAT, mean annual temperature. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 181 |
| D.2 Soil properties in native soils (+) and sterilized soils (-) of different climates. A) Aggregate size distribution. B) SOC content, C) TN content, and D) C/N ratio per aggregate class. MAC, macroaggregate fraction 250 $\mu\text{m}$ to 2000 $\mu\text{m}$ ; MIC, microaggregate fraction 20 $\mu\text{m}$ to 250 $\mu\text{m}$ ; SC, silt/clay fraction <20 $\mu\text{m}$ . Significant differences between native and sterilized soils are indicated by asterisks (* $p < 0.05$ ; ** $p < 0.01$ ). . . . .                                                            | 185 |
| D.3 Soil properties and microbial community structure in soils of different climates. A) Principal Component Analysis of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including MWD, TOC, soil aggregates, SOC, TN and C/N per aggregate size class. B) Canonical correspondence analysis of the ASV composition including soil properties: MWD, aggregate class (MAC, MIC, SC) and the respective C/N ratio, SOC and TN content. R0-R6; number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture. Colors represent different climatic conditions. . . . . | 187 |
| D.4 Principal Component Analyses of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including significant soil properties. A) arid, B) semi-arid, C) mediterranean and D) humid site. Microbial influence is indicated by sample separation along the x-axis. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 188 |
| D.5 Prokaryotic and fungal abundance as well as prokaryotic diversity in soil material from different climates. A) 16S and 28S rRNA gene abundances of bacteria, archaea and fungi. Bacterial and archaeal diversity based on B) Shannon and C) Chao-1 diversity indices. R0 - R6, number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture . . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | 189 |
| D.6 Relative abundance of prokaryotic families in soil material from different climates and after different soil treatments. R0-R6; number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture. Each bubble represents the mean relative abundance of technical replicates. . . . .                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | 192 |



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Biological soil crusts and soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient

Life has deeply shaped the surface of Earth over billions of years, actively modifying its environment within the Critical Zone—Earth’s living skin—which forms the dynamic interface between the lithosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere, and biosphere (???). The soil, a central component of this zone, mediates essential biogeochemical processes and supports terrestrial ecosystems. Although soil is subject to constant reshaping by erosion, weathering, and tectonic activity (?), organisms, particularly biological soil crusts (biocrusts), significantly influence its structural integrity and stabilization.

Biocrusts are formed from complex interactions among diverse organisms, including photoautotrophs such as cyanobacteria, algae, lichens, and bryophytes, and heterotrophs such as bacteria, fungi, and archaea, which intertwine with soil particles through their own filamentous structures and polysaccharide-based adhesives (????). This intricate biological network establishes a cohesive, mesh-like layer that firmly binds the uppermost soil surface, functioning as a protective, living skin on Earth’s surface. Biocrusts enhance soil aggregate stability by physically protecting soil aggregates, sheltering organic matter, and facilitating microbial colonization.

The stabilizing role of biocrusts is especially crucial in arid environments, where their drought tolerance and low water requirements make them the predominant biological cover (??). This resilience comes from their ability to remain dormant during extended dry periods, reviving rapidly upon rewetting, even after complete desiccation, a capability attributed to the lack of specialized desiccation control structures like stomata or impermeable cuticles (???). Consequently, biocrust water content directly reflects the humidity of the surrounding environment, making them uniquely adapted to arid and semi-arid regions (??). Under these conditions, biocrust-induced soil stability achieves its maximum impact, significantly reducing erosion susceptibility and facilitating soil formation processes.

However, as climate humidity increases along a gradient towards more temperate conditions, vegetation competes more effectively and biocrusts are often relegated to

resource-limited niches (?). In temperate regions, biocrusts establishes on bare soils or soils with minimal plant development, where conditions such as high salinity, low nutrient availability, and limited water availability mirror the limiting conditions of arid landscapes (?). Thus, the interplay between biocrusts, microbial communities, and plant roots shifts along this climatic gradient, diminishing, but not eliminating, the protagonism of biocrusts on soil stability, structure and size distribution of soil aggregates.

## **1.2 Microbial communities as drivers of aggregate structure**

Soil, far from being an inert substrate, is a dynamic and living entity teeming with a vast, often underappreciated, majority: microbial communities. These microscopic organisms, including bacteria, archaea, fungi, and protists, are the main drivers of soil development and functioning, influencing almost every aspect of terrestrial ecosystems (?). Their ubiquity and sheer abundance, with cell counts often reaching billions per gram of soil, underscore their significance in biogeochemical processes (?). Microorganisms mediate complex nutrient cycles that involve carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus, transforming organic matter and making essential elements accessible for plant uptake (?). Furthermore, they actively participate in the weathering of minerals, contributing to soil development and releasing nutrients into the environment (??). Their metabolic activities influence soil pH, redox conditions, and the overall chemical environment, thus creating diverse microhabitats that sustain a wide variety of life forms (?).

The resilience and adaptability of microbial communities is perhaps most strikingly demonstrated in extreme environments. Arid climates, characterized by drastic temperature fluctuations, minimal precipitation, and limited nutrient availability, provide compelling examples of how microbial life thrives under harsh conditions. Research in these arid ecosystems has revealed unexpectedly high abundances of diverse microorganisms, even in extremely dry desert environments (??). This ability to withstand environmental stress makes desert soils particularly valuable for understanding the potential effects of climate change on microbial communities (?). Studying these environments offers valuable insights into the limits of life and the adaptive strategies microorganisms employ when confronted with adversity. Such knowledge is invaluable for understanding the potential responses of microbial communities to ongoing and future environmental changes.

The connection between microbes and the development of soil structure is profound. Microorganisms colonize raw mineral substrates such as saprolite or newly formed desert soils, initiating a complex successional process that transforms bare rock into fertile soil (??). They contribute to mineral weathering through the production of organic acids and other metabolites that dissolve rock surfaces (???). Along the Chilean

Coastal Range, climate distinctly shapes microbial community composition, driving shifts in microbial functions, including their capability to stabilize soil aggregates (?). Microbes decompose organic matter derived from plant and animal residues, releasing nutrients and contributing to the formation of soil organic matter, a critical component of soil structure and fertility ?. Furthermore, microbial communities actively participate in the formation of soil aggregates by exuding substances like polysaccharides, which act as a glue, binding soil particles together and creating a stable soil structure (??). Consequently, climatic-driven microbial succession directly influences soil aggregation, which is essential for maintaining soil stability, enhancing water infiltration, reducing soil erodibility, and ultimately fostering ecosystem development.

### 1.3 Climate as a driver of soil and microbial dynamics

Climate stands as a primary architect of soil, profoundly influencing its formation, structure, and function (?). Temperature and precipitation regimes, along with evapotranspiration rates, dictate the weathering of parent material, the accumulation and decomposition of organic matter, and the development of distinct soil horizons (?). These climatic factors also exert a strong influence on the soil water balance, which in turn affects nutrient availability and the overall biogeochemical cycling within the soil ecosystem ??.

The influence of climate extends beyond the purely abiotic realm, shaping the composition and activity of microbial communities that inhabit the soil (?). Different climatic conditions select for distinct microbial populations, influencing their functional capabilities and the biogeochemical processes they mediate (?). For instance, arid environments, characterized by low precipitation and high temperatures, harbor specialized microbial communities adapted to these extreme conditions (?). These microbial communities play a vital role in initial soil formation and nutrient cycling, even in the face of resource scarcity ?. Our own studies in the Chilean Coastal Range revealed distinct patterns in soil properties and microbial communities along a climate gradient (Bernhard et al., 2018). Interestingly, these trends often followed specific, rather than homogeneous, patterns, indicating the presence of threshold processes and buffering mechanisms in soil ecosystems ?.

The development and distribution of biological soil crusts (BSCs) are also intricately linked to climate. Water availability, driven by precipitation and evapotranspiration, is a major determinant of biocrust cover and composition (?). Arid regions tend to favor biocrust dominance due to the scarcity of vascular plant cover (??), while more humid climates support greater plant diversity, leading to a mosaic of biocrusts interspersed with plants (?). The protective effects of BSCs against erosion and their influence on soil hydrology also vary depending on climate, with potential trade-offs between runoff reduction and water infiltration (?). These findings demonstrate the importance of con-

sidering climate not only as a driver of soil properties but also as a key factor shaping microbial communities and the distribution and functionality of biocrusts. The Chilean Coastal Range, with its dramatic gradient from arid to humid conditions, provides a natural laboratory for investigating these complex interactions. This gradient allows us to explore how the interplay of climate, soil, microbes, and biocrusts shapes the Earth's surface across varying environmental conditions. Furthermore, understanding how climate influences these components individually and in combination is crucial for predicting how soil ecosystems will respond to future environmental changes. The non-linear nature of some of these climate-driven changes, coupled with the potential existence of thresholds in soil processes across environmental gradients, highlights the need for comprehensive research in diverse climatic settings ?.

## **1.4 Influence of plant roots and interactions with biocrusts along the climate gradient**

Soil, far from being a simple mixture of minerals and organic matter, is a dynamic and intricate web of interactions between diverse biotic and abiotic components. Central to this web are plant roots, which exert a profound influence on the surrounding soil environment, driving structural changes, altering nutrient availability, and shaping microbial communities (?). These complex interactions among roots, microbes, and soil particles constitute a fundamental axis supporting soil development and ecosystem functioning.

Roots physically restructure the soil matrix through growth and penetration, creating channels and pores, thereby enhancing aeration and water infiltration (?). This process of bioturbation is particularly relevant in developed soils, where root systems establish intricate networks of interactions with the surrounding environment. Moreover, roots release a variety of compounds, known as rhizodeposits, including sugars, amino acids, and organic acids, which serve as primary substrates for soil microorganisms (??). This concentrated release of labile carbon in the rhizosphere fuels microbial activity, creating a "hotspot" of biological processes (?).

The impact of roots, however, extends beyond the immediate vicinity of the living root. As roots senesce and decompose, they enter the detritusphere, a zone characterized by the breakdown of plant-derived organic matter (?). In this zone, the legacy of roots persists as decomposed root material contributes to soil organic matter formation and influences the structure and stability of soil aggregates ?. The transition from rhizosphere to detritusphere marks a shift in the microbial community, as the readily available carbon from rhizodeposits is replaced by more complex organic compounds derived from decaying root tissues (?). This shift in resource availability triggers microbial succession, favoring microorganisms capable of degrading these more recalcitrant substances.

Importantly, the interactions between biocrusts and vascular plants form a dynamic feedback loop in soil ecosystems. Biocrusts, as early colonizers of bare ground, contribute to the initial stabilization of the soil surface, creating microhabitats that facilitate subsequent plant establishment (??). As plants colonize and their root systems develop, they further enhance soil structure formation, promoting the accumulation of organic matter, and creating conditions for diverse microbial communities to thrive (??). This positive feedback loop between biocrusts and plants drives the development from initial, unstable soil environments towards more mature and resilient soil ecosystems. Thus, along environmental gradients, plant roots progressively become primary agents of aggregate stability, influenced indirectly but significantly by earlier biocrust colonization and microbial activity.

## **1.5 Biocrusts, hydrological processes, and nutrient fluxes influencing soil erosion**

Climatic conditions strongly shape biocrust composition, morphological characteristics, and ecological functions, thus influencing hydrological processes, nutrient cycling, and susceptibility to soil erosion (??). In arid environments, biocrusts dominated by cyanobacteria and lichens typically form smooth, compact surfaces enriched with microbial biomass and extracellular polysaccharides (EPS), profoundly affecting surface hydrology (??). Such biocrust structures frequently lead to surface pore clogging, potentially increasing runoff initiation but simultaneously reducing sediment loss by enhancing aggregate stability and protecting soil organic matter (?). Conversely, in humid climates, biocrusts with higher proportions of bryophytes and fungi possess rougher surfaces that promote water retention, facilitate infiltration, and reduce runoff, thereby strongly influencing sediment transport and nutrient dynamics (??).

These functional differences across climate conditions emphasize the critical role biocrusts play in regulating carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fluxes, erosion dynamics, and soil fertility. Biocrusts act as initial stabilizers of soil organic matter, even preceding plant colonization, thus shaping early nutrient cycling pathways (??). Furthermore, by physically absorbing raindrop energy, trapping sediment, and promoting microbial-driven aggregate formation, biocrusts provide a protective barrier against erosion, influencing water and sediment fluxes ??.

However, the way in which biocrust-mediated hydrological processes, nutrient cycling, and erosion control shift across gradients of temperature and humidity remains uncertain. These functional patterns are complex and nonlinear, reflecting intricate interactions between biocrust structure, microbial activity, vegetation competition, and climatic variability rather than a simple linear climatic transition (??).

## **1.6 Understanding biocrusts across a climatic gradient**

Soil represents an intricate web of interactions among diverse organisms, where biocrusts play a pivotal climate-dependent role in stabilizing aggregates, regulating erosion, and controlling water and nutrient fluxes. This biocrust-mediated stabilization effect is most pronounced in arid regions due to minimal vegetation, decreasing in relative importance but persisting as climates become more humid. Along the Chilean Coastal Range, this dynamic illustrates how biocrusts, microbial communities, and plant roots co-evolve, shaping soil structure, erosion resistance, and nutrient cycling.

Addressing these complex interactions and feedbacks is crucial for predicting how soils and associated ecosystems will respond to ongoing climate changes, especially considering the non-linear and threshold-driven nature of soil processes across environmental gradients ???. Future research should deepen understanding of these interactions, exploring quantitative relationships to inform conservation strategies and enhance ecosystem resilience in a rapidly changing world.

Understanding how biocrust functions shift across climatic gradients is critical for predicting soil ecosystem responses to environmental changes. The interactions among biocrusts, microbial communities, and plant roots along these gradients exemplify the inherent complexity and nonlinearity of soil processes (?). Biocrusts exert differing influences on soil aggregate stability, soil erodibility, water and nutrient flows, reflecting adaptive responses to variations in moisture availability, temperature, and vegetation cover (??). In arid climates, biocrusts typically dominate soil surfaces, forming protective layers that significantly modulate hydrological processes, sediment transport, and microbial activity, thereby strongly influencing soil structure and organic matter dynamics (??). However, as climatic humidity increases, the interplay between biocrusts and plant roots becomes more intricate, with intensified competition from vegetation altering microbial community composition and reshaping nutrient pathways (??). Rather than transitioning gradually, these interactions likely exhibit thresholds and complex feedback loops ?, implying that even subtle climatic shifts can substantially modify ecosystem functions (?). Recognizing these nonlinear responses is critical for predicting how soils—and the ecosystems they sustain—will adapt to current and future climatic changes. Addressing these knowledge gaps through quantitative assessments of biocrust-driven processes is therefore essential for developing effective conservation strategies, promoting soil health, and enhancing ecosystem resilience (??).

## **1.7 Objectives and hypothesis**

This research forms part of the DFG Priority Program: EarthShape: Earth Surface Shaping by Biota (DFG-SPP 1803), specifically within the subproject Microbial Engineers - Drivers of Earth Surface Development and Stabilization. The subproject aims to under-

stand microbial processes that shape Earth's surface, focusing on the roles microorganisms play and providing a quantitative understanding of the mechanisms and microbial taxa involved under various climate conditions. It addresses these questions by: (i) experimentally investigating how microorganisms alone control the formation and transition of initial soils into resilient ecosystems; and (ii) analyzing the combined influence of microorganisms, biocrusts, and plant roots on soil surface stability and erosion under natural and controlled conditions along climatic gradients.

It is hypothesized that biocrusts enhance soil aggregate stability by physically protecting the soil surface, sheltering organic matter, altering microbial community structures, and modifying water infiltration patterns (Manuscripts 1 and 2). This stabilizing effect is expected to be most pronounced in arid climates, where biocrusts represent the primary soil cover due to minimal vegetation and limited organic matter inputs. With increasing humidity, however, the influence of biocrusts on soil stabilization is predicted to diminish, though it will not disappear entirely, due to greater water availability and competition from vegetation (Manuscript 1).

Furthermore, soil microbial communities are hypothesized to accelerate soil formation processes in arid environments when moisture and temperature conditions are favorable. Responses to simulated climate change are expected to be mediated by soil legacy effects, shaping microbial community structure and interactions over time. Bacterial diversity and adaptation processes likely reflect climatic shifts, providing insight into long-term soil developmental dynamics (Manuscript 3). Microbial communities are also expected to be crucial for soil aggregation by influencing soil structure and stability across different climates and stages of soil development. Specific microbial taxa may differentially influence aggregation processes, with their contributions being modulated by biotic and abiotic factors, including wetting-drying cycles and moisture fluctuations (Manuscript 4).

Roots are hypothesized to promote water-stable macroaggregate formation in topsoil and subsoil, with legacy effects persisting after plant death within the detritusphere (Manuscript 5). Microbial abundance near roots is expected to increase due to labile carbon availability, especially in carbon-poor subsoils. The transition from rhizosphere to detritusphere likely triggers microbial succession, favoring gram-positive bacteria as readily available carbon compounds are replaced by more complex materials. Root-derived organic matter, including rhizodeposits and litter, is presumed to significantly influence organic matter dynamics by facilitating the formation of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter, thereby shaping aggregate formation and biogeochemical processes (Manuscript 5).

Lastly, biocrusts are hypothesized to regulate hydrological processes such as runoff, sediment discharge, and percolation flows, along with associated carbon and nitrogen fluxes, thereby reducing soil erosion irrespective of climatic conditions. However, climate-specific feedbacks among these hydrological processes likely mediate carbon

and nitrogen transport within biocrust-dominated ecosystems (Manuscript 2). To test these hypotheses, the objectives of this thesis were to:


- Evaluate the role of biocrusts in soil aggregate stabilization and their regulation of erosion, water, and nutrient fluxes across a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range, examining how variations in biocrust properties correlate with changes in climate and vegetation cover (Manuscripts 1 and 2).
- Examine how soil microbial communities influence aggregate formation and stability under different climatic and moisture regimes, as well as their responses to simulated climate-change scenarios (Manuscripts 3 and 4).
- Determine the influence of plant roots on soil structure development, microbial succession, and organic matter dynamics during transitions from rhizosphere to detritusphere, emphasizing their role in soil aggregate formation (Manuscript 5).

# **Chapter 2**

## **Methodology**

### **2.1 Study sites and experimental setting**

In order to assess the climatic effect on soil and its interactions with biocrusts, five study sites distributed between latitudes from 26°6' S to 37°48' S and over 1315 km were established in the Chilean Coastal Range (Figure 2.1): Pan de Azúcar National Park (PdA), Santa Gracia Natural Reserve (SG), Quebrada de Talca Private Reserve (QdT), La Campana National Park (LC) and Nahuelbuta National Park (NA), corresponding to arid, coastal semi-arid, inland semi-arid, Mediterranean and humid climates, respectively (?).



**Figure 2.1:** Location of study sites relative to South America. From north to south: Pan de Azúcar (PdA), Santa Gracia (SG), Quebrada de Talca (QdT), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA).

The study sites are comparable in geology, geomorphology, land use, and influence of glaciers and volcanoes (?). The parent material in all the study sites is granitoid (?). The dominant topography is generally fluvial valleys, and the sites had no glacial influence during the last glaciation (?). The sites are located within nature protection areas, with limited anthropogenic influence compared to the surrounding areas. Despite this, cattle occasionally enter these locations, and goats, mules, and donkeys have been reported to SG (?).

The mean annual temperature (MAT) decreases from north to south (PdA: 16.8 °C, SG: 13.7 °C, QdT: 14.3 °C, LC: 14.1 °C, NA: 6.6 °C). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) in the study sites increases from north to south (PdA: 12 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, SG: 66 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, QdT: 109 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, LC: 367 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, NA: 1469 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) with similar rainfall distribution mostly concentrated in winter months (May to August) (??). The elevation of the sites increases from north to south (PdA: 329 m to 351 m, SG: 642 m to 720 m, QdT: 565 m to 611 m, LC: 708 m to 732 m, NA: 1200 m to 1270 m). Paleoclimate modeling studies (?) indicate that these climate patterns have been persistent since the late Pliocene; thus, the study sites represent the long-term impact of climate on the soil (?). ? classified soils in the study sites as Regosols in PdA, Cambisols for SG and

## *2.1 Study sites and experimental setting*


---

LC, and Umbrisols in NA. In general, pedogenic properties such as soil depth, clay content, organic matter accumulation, porosity, and activity ratio are positively correlated with site humidity (?).

For each of the 5 study sites (Figure 2.2), 5 plots of 1 m × 1 m were set up as replicates (P1 to P5). Each plot was assigned in the top-slope position with a south-facing exposition, considering a high presence of site-typical biocrust communities, similar slope and aspect, lack of anthropogenic disturbance, and a maximum distance of 30 m between each plot. Within each plot, patches with the highest available biocrust cover were included as treatment BSC+, and nearby bare soil without biocrust cover was defined as control (BSC-).

## Chapter 2 Methodology

---



**Figure 2.2:** General view (a, c, d, g, and i) and sampled biocrust (b, d, f, h, and j) of PdA, SG, QdT, LC, and NA.

## 2.2 Field methods

### 2.2.1 Biocrust sampling and characterization

In a first field campaign during March 2019, biocrust patches of approximately 100 cm<sup>2</sup> were collected in PdA, SG, LC and NA and identified according to ?. The patches were collected in the field by carefully detaching the biocrust layer, removing the loose soil, and storing it in paper envelopes after air-drying for every research plot. ? describes a biocrusts dominance in PdA with cover of up to 40%. The other study sites are dominated by higher vegetation that limits the cover of biocrust up to 15% in SG and 5% in LC and NA. Sampled communities showed all typical biocrust classes from cyanobacteria, algae, fungi, lichens, liverworts, and mosses. The species composition further showed a graduating change from lichen-dominating biocrusts in the northernmost site to bryophyte-dominating biocrusts in the southernmost site. Biocrusts in NA were specifically found in zones of forest soil disturbance. Bryophyte-dominated biocrusts were sampled with rhizoids down to 5 mm depth; all other communities were down to 2 mm. Dominant macroscopic biocrust species were determined for each of the four sites to the genus level by morphological characteristics using a stereomicroscope (Leitz TS, Wetzlar, Germany), a transmitted-light microscope (Leitz Laborlux S, Wetzlar, Germany), and ultraviolet light. Species groups were separated into bryophytes (?????) and lichens (?) and assigned to the different regions (Table A.1). ?, based on morphological identification of enrichment cultures, reported that the biocrusts of the four studied sites were composed of 18 to 15 species of algae and cyanobacteria; where the richness of green algae increased, while the richness of cyanobacteria decreased with increasing humidity and decreasing mean annual temperature. While ?, based on morphological and molecular traits, reported 18 species in PdA, 26 species in SG, 40 species in LC, and 27 species in NA.

## Chapter 2 Methodology

---

**Table 2.1:** Taxonomical composition of mosses and lichens in the biological soil crust for the study sites along the climatic gradient.

| Site / Division                         | Family            | Genus                   | No. species |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| <b>PdA</b>                              |                   |                         |             |
| Lichens                                 | Cladoniaceae      | <i>Cladonia</i> sp.     | 2           |
|                                         | Verrucariaceae    | <i>Placidium</i> sp.    | 2           |
|                                         | Lecanoraceae      | <i>Lecidella</i> sp.    | 1           |
|                                         | Rhizocarpaceae    | <i>Rhizocarpon</i> sp.  | 1           |
| <b>SG</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Pottiaceae        | <i>Syntrichia</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Tortella</i> sp.     | 2           |
| Unidentified lichens                    |                   |                         | 2           |
| <b>LC</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Bartramiaceae     | <i>Philonotis</i> sp.   | 1           |
|                                         | Bryaceae          | <i>Bryum</i> sp.        | 1           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Syntrichia</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Tortella</i> sp.     | 2           |
| Unidentified mosses and lichens         |                   |                         | 2 + 1       |
| <b>NA</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Amblystegiaceae   | <i>Acrocladium</i> sp.  | 1           |
|                                         | Amblystegiaceae   | <i>Amblystegium</i> sp. | 1           |
|                                         | Bartramiaceae     | <i>Bartramia</i> sp.    | 1           |
|                                         | Bryaceae          | <i>Bryum</i> sp.        | 1           |
|                                         | Dicranaceae       | <i>Campylopus</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pterigynandraceae | <i>Myurella</i> sp.     | 1           |
| Unidentified liverworts, lichens, fungi |                   |                         | 2 + 2 + 1   |

### 2.2.2 Soil sampling


Soil sampling campaigns were primarily conducted during the austral dry season (typically January-April) to capture baseline soil conditions prior to the onset of winter precipitation. Specific sampling approaches were adapted based on the objectives of individual studies. For investigations concerning aggregate stability, moisture regime effects, and general baseline characterization across the full gradient (PdA, SG, LC, NA), bulk topsoil samples (0 cm to 5 cm depth) were collected from each plot on mid-slope, south-facing locations using metal-core augers or by sampling from shallow soil pits (Manuscripts 1 and 4). These samples were processed in the field by sieving (<2 mm), another batch of samples was sieved and sterilized with ethanol, before being homogenized per site or kept discrete per plot depending on the experimental design and subsequently stored at 4 °C pending laboratory analysis. For studies focusing on initial soil formation and rhizosphere/detritusphere dynamics (PdA, SG), distinct soil horizons (A horizon: 0 cm to 2 cm/0 cm to 3 cm; B horizon: 2 cm to 3 cm/25 cm to 40 cm) where

sampled from excavated soil pits (Manuscripts 3 and 5). Processing involved similar steps: sieving (<2 mm), homogenization per horizon and site, and storage at 4 °C.

### 2.2.3 Rainfall simulations

Following observations of limited soil stabilization at PdA, further rainfall simulation experiments were conducted during subsequent expeditions in 2020 and 2022 at SG, Quebrada de Talca (QdT), LC, and NA. Five 1 m × 1 m plots were established as replicates at each of the four sites, consistently located on south-facing top slopes. Plot selection considered the presence of representative biocrust communities, similar slope and aspect, minimal anthropogenic disturbance, and a maximum inter-plot distance of 30 m. Within each plot, runoff plots (ROPs) were established in areas with maximum biocrust cover for biocrust-present (BSC+) treatments, and adjacent biocrust-free (BSC-) locations served as controls. The experimental design was a factorial completely randomized design, incorporating four sites (SG, QdT, LC, and NA) (Figure 2.2), two biocrust conditions (BSC+ and BSC-), five replicate plots (P1-P5), and three technical replicate ROPs (R1-R3) per plot. This resulted in a total of eight treatments (site × biocrust) with 15 samples per treatment (plot × technical replicate), yielding a total sample size of n = 60.

Undisturbed soil samples for rainfall simulation were collected (Figures 2.3b and 2.3c), using piercing frames (20 m × 30 m × 7 m) (Figure 2.3a) and carefully installed into infiltration boxes to minimize surface and subsurface disturbance (Figure 2.3d). These steel boxes, designed with a triangular surface runoff gutter and a bottom outlet, captured both runoff and percolated water. Soil water content was measured using a TDR probe (Delta-T Devices Ltd. Cambridge, UK), averaging three measurements adjacent to each ROP. Biocrust cover in BSC+ ROPs was assessed using perpendicular photographs taken with a digital camera (Sony ILCE-6000, SELP1650 lens; Tokyo, Japan). Photographs were analyzed using the grid-quadrat method with a 100-subdivision grid, and biocrusts were identified visually (?).



**Figure 2.3:** Construction diagram of the soil erosion flux box used in the experiment (a), general view of a plot with the presence of biocrust prior to sampling (b). (c, d) shows an installed cutting frame and the cleared and prepared soil. (e) demonstrates the setting for rainfall simulations at the Nahuelbuta (NA) study site.

Rainfall simulations were conducted near the sampling locations using the Tübingen rainfall simulator (??) equipped with a Lechler 460.788.30 nozzle and set to a falling height of 3.5 m. Infiltration boxes were placed inside the simulator on a 10° slope (Figure 2.3e). A rainfall event was simulated using an intensity of  $45 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$  sustained over a 30-minute period. According to regional intensity-duration-frequency analyses for central Chile (?), such an intensity falls within the extreme rainfall category, well above the heavy precipitation threshold even for relatively wet climates. This extreme inten-

sity was selected to exceed the soil infiltration capacities and reliably generate surface runoff at all study sites. The time to initial runoff and percolation was recorded. Runoff and sediment-laden water samples were collected separately. Runoff volume was measured using a graduated beaker. Samples were allowed to settle by gravity for 12 hours, after which a water sample was extracted from the supernatant via siphoning, frozen at  $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$  and transported to the University of Tübingen for DOC and DON analysis. The remaining sediment was oven-dried at  $105^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 48 hours after all visible moisture was removed, then weighed. Sediment load was determined by dividing the dry sediment weight by the corresponding runoff volume and transported to the University of Tübingen for total C and N analyses.

## 2.3 Laboratory methods

### 2.3.1 Baseline soil characterization

Before commencing experimental manipulations, a suite of baseline soil properties was determined on the sieved ( $<2\text{ mm}$ ), air-dried field samples. Physical properties measured included bulk density (BD), determined gravimetrically, and particle size distribution (PSD). PSD was analyzed following the method of ?, which combines sieving for fractions larger than  $20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  with pipetting for fractions smaller than  $20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  (Manuscript 1). Soil texture classes were subsequently interpreted based on World Reference Base (WRB) guidelines (?). Chemical properties assessed included soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC), measured in soil:water suspensions (1:2.5 or 1:5 ratios) using calibrated meters (Manuscripts 1, 3 and 5). Total carbon ( $\text{C}_T$ ) and total nitrogen ( $\text{N}_T$ ) contents were quantified via oxidative heat combustion at high temperatures ( $1150^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL III, Euro EA) (Manuscripts 1, 3, 4 and 5). Soil organic carbon (SOC) was typically calculated by subtracting the inorganic carbon (SIC) content from the  $\text{C}_T$  content. SIC was measured using a calcimeter (Scheibler method) or determined through acid digestion, particularly for soils with pH values exceeding  $6.7$ – $7.0$  (Manuscripts 1, 3 and 4). Additionally, concentrations of various inorganic ions ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{NO}_3^-$ ,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ,  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ ) were measured in soil leachates prepared from the samples, utilizing ion chromatography (IC) (Manuscript 3).

### 2.3.2 Aggregate stability

Various methods were utilized to evaluate soil aggregate stability and to physically separate soil into different fractions based on aggregate size or density, tailored to the specific research objectives of each study. To assess overall aggregate stability across the climate gradient (Manuscript 1), a large-sample (200 g, homogenized  $<30\text{ mm}$ ) two-stage sieving method based on ? and ? was employed. Samples underwent initial dry sieving

through a nested stack of sieves (ranging from 19.0 mm down to 2.0 mm), followed by a repetition of the sieving process underwater. Water-stable aggregates ( $WSA_{2.0\text{ mm}}$ ) were determined, and several stability indices were calculated from the dry and wet sieving results. The difference in mean weight diameter (MWD), representing the average size of aggregates weighted by their mass proportion, was calculated as:

- Difference in mean weight diameter ( $\Delta MWD$ )

$$\Delta MWD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i * W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i}$$

were:

- $X_i$ : The mean diameter of the stable aggregate fraction  $i$ .
- $W_i$ : The corrected mass proportion of the stable aggregate fraction  $i$  within the total considered range (e.g., 2-30 mm).
- $n$ : The total number of aggregate size fractions being analyzed.

- Difference in geometric mean diameter ( $\Delta GMD$ ):

$$\Delta GMD = \exp \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \lg W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i} \right]$$

were:

- $X_i$ : The mean diameter of the stable aggregate fraction  $i$ .
- $W_i$ : The corrected mass proportion of the stable aggregate fraction  $i$  within the total considered range (e.g., 2-30 mm).
- $n$ : The total number of aggregate size fractions being analyzed.

- Water stability aggregate ratio (WSAR):

$$WSAR = \frac{WSA}{A} * 100$$

were:

- $WSA$ : The content (mass or weight) of water-stable aggregates larger than 2 mm after a stability test.
- $A$ : The content (mass or weight) of dry aggregates larger than 2 mm before the stability test.

- Proportion of soil macroaggregate of a diameter less than 2 mm ( $R_{<2\text{mm}}$ )

$$R_{<2\text{mm}} = \frac{W_{r>2}}{W_T} * 100 = \left( 1 - \frac{W_{r<2}}{W_T} \right)$$

were:

- $W_{r>2}$ : The content (mass or weight) of water-stable aggregates larger than 2 mm after a stability test.
- $W_T$ : The content (mass or weight) of dry aggregates larger than 2 mm before the stability test.
- $W_{r<2}$ : The weight of microaggregates and primary particles with a diameter less than 2 mm.

For other investigations focusing on aggregate turnover dynamics and the association of microbial communities or organic matter with specific aggregate sizes (Manuscripts 3, 4 and 5), wet sieving techniques were applied to smaller soil samples (5 g to 10 g). One approach utilized a modified Casagrande apparatus, shaking 5 g of soil on stacked sieves (250  $\mu\text{m}$  to 53  $\mu\text{m}$ ) through 1000 cycles at 2 Hz. This yielded fractions defined as macroaggregates ( $>250 \mu\text{m}$ ), large microaggregates (250–53  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and a combined fraction of small microaggregates and primary particles ( $<53 \mu\text{m}$ ) (Manuscript 3). Another frequently used method, adapted from ?, involved pre-wetting 10 g of soil, followed by manual immersion sieving. This typically involved moving a stack of sieves ( $>500 \mu\text{m}$ , 500  $\mu\text{m}$ –250  $\mu\text{m}$ , 250–53  $\mu\text{m}$ , 53–20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) gently up and down in water for a set number of repetitions (30 strokes over 5 minutes), with the finest fraction ( $<20 \mu\text{m}$ ) captured on a filter paper (Manuscript 4). Following separation by either method, the recovered aggregate fractions were carefully oven-dried (at 40 °C or 105 °C) and weighed. The MWD was often calculated from the resulting mass distribution across the obtained size classes.

To isolate soil organic matter (SOM) pools based on their physical protection and association with minerals (Manuscript 5), density fractionation was performed. This procedure typically used sodium polytungstate (SPT) solution at an adjusted density of 1.8  $\text{g cm}^{-3}$ . Bulk soil or pre-defined aggregate fractions were suspended in the SPT solution, allowing the lighter, free particulate OM (fPOM) to float and be separated. Subsequently, ultrasonic dispersion (using an energy input of 440  $\text{J mL}^{-1}$ ) was applied to break apart remaining aggregates and release occluded POM (oPOM). This oPOM was then further separated by wet sieving into 3 different size classes ( $>63 \mu\text{m}$ , 63–20  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $<20 \mu\text{m}$ ). The dense mineral material remaining after these separation steps constituted the mineral-associated OM (MAOM). All physically separated SOM fractions were thoroughly rinsed to remove the SPT, dried, and weighed. The SOC, C<sub>T</sub> and N<sub>T</sub> contents of these individual aggregate size or density fractions were then determined using elemental analysis, providing crucial information on carbon and nitrogen storage and distribution within the soil's physical structure (Manuscripts 3, 4 and 5). In addition, stable isotope analysis ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ) was conducted on density fractions to elucidate the sources and transformation pathways of the organic matter (Manuscript 5).

### 2.3.3 Incubation experiments

Controlled laboratory incubations were central to simulating specific environmental scenarios or distinct stages of soil succession. To mimic a climate change scenario involving a shift to more humid conditions for arid and semi-arid soils (Manuscript 3), soil microcosms were established using sterilized PVC columns filled with 130 g of sieved soil. These were incubated under controlled diurnal temperature fluctuations, a 14/10 h day/night photoperiod, and a defined moisture regime involving rewetting to 65% water-filled pore space (WFPS) three times per week, reflecting conditions of the humid Nahuelbuta (NA) site. Experimental treatments encompassed sterile controls, native soil containing indigenous microorganisms (*in situ*), native soil where biocrusts were allowed to develop (BSC), and native soil cultivated with a pioneer plant species (*Helenium aromaticum*). Destructive sampling of microcosms occurred at baseline (T0) and after 2, 12, and 16 weeks of incubation. To specifically assess the impact of differing moisture regimes on soil properties and microbial communities (Manuscript 4), native and sterilized soils (60 g) were incubated in Tübingen cups (T-cups) for a total of six weeks. Treatments included repeated wetting-drying (WD) cycles and a constant moisture (CM) condition. WD cycles involved saturating the soil to field capacity (pF 1.8), allowing it to air-dry (25 °C, 1-2 days), and then re-saturating it by placing the T-cup on a sterile sand bed. CM samples remained continuously saturated on the sand bed. Sampling points included the initial state (R0) and after one, three, and six WD cycles (R1, R3, R6), as well as after six weeks under constant moisture (CM). For studying the transition from a living root system to a decomposing one (Manuscript 5), an experiment focusing on rhizosphere and detritusphere dynamics was conducted. Semi-arid topsoil and subsoil were incubated in pots, either with or without the pioneer plant *Helenium aromaticum*, under greenhouse conditions for 70 days (representing the rhizosphere phase). Following this, the aboveground plant biomass was clipped at the soil surface, and the pots were moved to darkness at room temperature for an additional 100 days, allowing the roots and shoots to decompose *in situ* (representing the detritusphere phase). Soil samples were collected at the conclusion of both the rhizosphere and detritusphere phases, carefully separating root-adhering soil from bulk rhizosphere/detritusphere soil where feasible.

### 2.3.4 Molecular analyses

The abundance and composition of microbial communities were investigated using quantitative PCR (qPCR) and high-throughput amplicon sequencing. Total genomic DNA was extracted from soil samples (typically 0.25–0.5 g per extraction), often in duplicate or triplicate, using commercially available kits like the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen), adhering to the manufacturer's protocols (Manuscripts 3 and 4). The abundance of major microbial groups – bacteria, archaea, and fungi – was quantified by

qPCR targeting specific ribosomal RNA gene fragments. Commonly used primer pairs included Eub341F/Eub534R for bacterial 16S rRNA genes, 340F/1000R for archaeal 16S rRNA genes, and NL1F/LS2R for fungal 28S rRNA genes or ITS region primers. qPCR assays were performed using SYBR Green-based detection on real-time PCR platforms (Bio-Rad CFX96). Quantification relied on standard curves generated from serial dilutions of plasmids containing known copy numbers of the target gene. Rigorous quality control included monitoring reaction efficiencies and analyzing melt curves to ensure amplification specificity (Manuscripts 3 and 4). For detailed community composition analysis, the V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was typically amplified using universal primers (515F/806R) tagged with unique barcodes for sample multiplexing. Sequencing was performed using the Illumina MiSeq platform, generating paired-end reads (2x300bp) (Manuscripts 3 and 4). The resulting raw sequence data underwent a standardized bioinformatics pipeline. This involved demultiplexing reads based on barcodes (using cutadapt), performing quality filtering and trimming, merging paired-end reads (where applicable), identifying and removing chimeric sequences, and generating Amplicon Sequence Variants (ASVs) using algorithms like DADA2. Taxonomic classification of ASVs was achieved by comparison against established reference databases, primarily SILVA. Sequences identified as originating from chloroplasts, mitochondria, or represented by only a single read (singletons) were typically removed from the final dataset (Manuscripts 3 and 4). In some cases, potential ecological functions of the identified prokaryotic taxa were inferred using predictive tools such as FAPROTAX (Manuscript 4).

#### 2.3.5 Organic matter and microbial biomarker characterization

To gain deeper insights into the composition of soil organic matter (SOM) and the structure of microbial communities, advanced analytical techniques were employed. The chemical composition of bulk plant material and specific SOM pools isolated via density fractionation (POM fractions) was characterized using solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  Cross-Polarization Magic Angle Spinning (CP-MAS) Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The resulting spectra were quantified based on established chemical shift regions corresponding to major biochemical classes, including alkyl C, O-alkyl C, aromatic C, and carbonyl C. Diagnostic indices, such as the alkyl C/O-alkyl C ratio, were calculated to infer OM composition and degradation state. Additionally, a Molecular Mixing Model (MMM) was sometimes applied to estimate the relative contributions of broader biochemical categories like carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, and lignin to the overall OM (Manuscript 5).

The composition of lignin within plant and root biomass was specifically investigated through cupric oxide (CuO) oxidation. This method breaks down the lignin polymer into characteristic phenolic monomers (vanillyl (V), syringyl (S), and cinnamyl (C) units), which were then quantified using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS).

Total lignin content was estimated based on the sum of these units (VSC), and diagnostic ratios, such as S/V, C/V, and acid-to-aldehyde ratios within each phenol group (e.g.,  $(Ac/Al)_V$ ), were calculated to assess lignin source and degradation stage (Manuscript 5).

Phospholipid Fatty Acid (PLFA) analysis was used to characterize the structure and biomass of the active microbial community. Lipids were extracted from soil using methods like a modified Bligh & Dyer extraction, and PLFAs were separated from neutral and glycolipids, often via solid-phase extraction. The purified PLFAs were then converted into Fatty Acid Methyl Esters (FAMEs) through transesterification and subsequently analyzed using Gas Chromatography coupled with Flame Ionization Detection (GC-FID). Specific FAMEs served as biomarkers for different microbial groups: e.g., 18:2 $\omega$ 6,9 for fungi; iso- and anteiso-branched fatty acids for gram-positive bacteria; and monounsaturated or cyclic fatty acids for gram-negative bacteria. These data allowed for the calculation of total microbial biomass and structural indices such as the fungi:bacteria ratio and the gram<sup>+</sup>:gram<sup>-</sup> ratio (Manuscript 5).

## 2.4 Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses across manuscripts 1 to 5 employed a range of methods to investigate the relationships between biocrusts, microbial communities, plant roots, and soil properties. Generalized linear models (GLMs) were commonly used to assess the influence of factors like climate, biocrust presence, and treatments on soil properties and aggregate stability (Manuscripts 1, 2 and 3). Model selection was based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and data characteristics, utilizing appropriate link functions (Gaussian, Gamma, inverse Gaussian, Tweedie) to account for non-normal distributions (??). Tukey's post-hoc test was used for pairwise comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) were also employed, particularly to assess differences in measured properties between treatments and across sites or horizons. Where applicable, soil baseline variables were used as covariates in ANCOVA (Manuscripts 2 and 5). Non-parametric tests, such as the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Mann-Whitney post-hoc tests, were used when normality or homoscedasticity assumptions were violated (Manuscript 3). Data transformations were applied when necessary, using the *bestNormalize* package (??). The Dunn-Šidák correction was implemented for multiple comparisons (?).

Microbial community data were analyzed using various multivariate techniques. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was used to visualize community structure and beta diversity (?). Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) assessed the significance of differences between groups (e.g., sites, horizons, time points), often followed by pairwise PERMANOVA for detailed comparisons (?) (Manuscripts 3 and 4). Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) identified environmental fac-

## 2.4 Statistical analyses

---

tors influencing community composition (Manuscript 4). Indicator species analysis revealed taxa associated with specific sites or horizons using the *indval* function (??) (Manuscript 4).

Co-occurrence networks explored the complex relationships within microbial communities. Network properties, including modularity, connectance, and hub species, were calculated using the *igraph* package (?), and correlations between ASVs were assessed using Pearson correlation (?) (Manuscript 4). Weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) grouped highly correlated ASVs into modules to explore their relationships with physicochemical properties (?) (Manuscript 4).

Molecular mixing models were used to quantify the relative contributions of different organic matter components (carbohydrates, proteins, lignin, lipids) based on <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy data (??) (Manuscript 5).



# **Chapter 3**


## **Results and discussion**

### **3.1 Effect of biocrust on soil aggregate stability (manuscript 1)**

This study investigated the influence of biological soil crusts (biocrusts) on soil aggregate stability and their interplay with soil properties along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range, spanning arid (PdA), semi-arid (SG), Mediterranean (LC), and humid (NA) conditions.

#### **3.1.1 Climate-induced changes in soil properties**


Soil properties varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) along the climate gradient (Figure 3.1), reflecting the influence of increasing precipitation and decreasing temperature from north to south on weathering and soil development. Bulk density generally decreased from the drier northern sites (PdA:  $1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ , SG:  $1.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ) to the humid south (NA:  $0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ ). Conversely, soil organic carbon (SOC) content increased significantly along the gradient, from approximately 0.3 % in PdA to 12.5 % in NA, emphasizing the role of climate in organic matter accumulation. Total nitrogen (N<sub>T</sub>) followed a similar pattern, increasing from 0.04 % in PdA to 0.51 % in NA. However, the C/N ratio was highest at the climatic extremes (PdA: 33.9, NA: 24.5), potentially indicating nitrogen limitation in both the most arid and most humid environments, as suggested by Brust (2019). Soil pH decreased consistently and significantly along the gradient, from alkaline conditions in PdA (mean pH 7.7) to acidic conditions in NA (mean pH 4.4), likely due to increased leaching of base cations and higher biological acid production in wetter climates. Clay content also increased significantly from north (PdA: 9.6 %) to south (NA: 24.6 %).



**Figure 3.1:** Soil physical and chemical properties across the four study sites (PdA: Pan de Azúcar, SG: Santa Gracia, LC: La Campana, and NA: Nahuelbuta). Letter-based display accompanying soil properties with significant effect for site. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šidák correction).

### **3.1.2 Biocrust-induced changes in soil properties**

Biocrust presence significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) influenced several soil properties (Figure 3.2a), often interacting with the climatic site (Figure 3.2b). A significant site  $\times$  biocrust interaction was observed for bulk density, with biocrusts associated with a decrease in BD in arid PdA but an increase in Mediterranean LC. Biocrust presence significantly affected soil texture overall, associated with a slight decrease in clay and an increase in silt content when averaged across sites. However, the site  $\times$  biocrust interaction was significant for clay, showing a notable increase under biocrusts specifically in PdA. Across all sites, biocrust presence led to a small but statistically significant decrease in soil pH, potentially reflecting localized acidification due to respiration (?). C<sub>T</sub> and SOC contents were significantly lower under biocrusts when averaged across all sites. A significant site  $\times$  biocrust interaction affected N<sub>T</sub>, showing significantly lower values under biocrusts only in the humid LC and NA sites. The C/N ratio was significantly lower under biocrusts overall, driven partly by changes in PdA. Electrical conductivity (EC) was extremely high in PdA compared to other sites, and the site  $\times$  biocrust interaction showed a significant reduction in EC under biocrusts only in PdA.




**Figure 3.2:** Biocrust (a) and interaction (b) effects on soil properties. Soil physical and chemical properties across for biocrust (BSC+ vs. BSC-) and the interaction with the site (PdA: Pan de Azúcar, SG: Santa Gracia, LC: La Campana, and NA: Nahuelbuta). Letter-based display accompanying soil properties with significant effect for site or site\*biocrust. Different letters indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šidák correction).

### 3.1.3 Biocrust and climate interactions on aggregate stability

Soil aggregate stability showed clear responses to both the climatic gradient and biocrust cover, particularly when assessed under wet conditions. Overall aggregate stability, indicated by the difference in geometric mean diameter ( $\Delta\text{GMD}$ ), significantly increased (lower  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  indicates higher stability) along the climatic gradient from PdA (mean  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  1.86 mm) to NA (mean  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  0.83 mm), although SG showed higher stability (mean  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  1.2 mm) than LC (mean  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  1.4 mm). The water stability aggregate ratio (WSAR) confirmed this trend, with NA (mean WSAR 81.1%) being significantly more stable than the other sites (mean WSAR 57.7% - 73.4%).

Biocrusts exerted a significant stabilizing effect, particularly on larger aggregates under wet sieving conditions. The presence of biocrusts significantly increased the proportion of water-stable aggregates  $>2$  mm overall. Specifically, the interaction between site and biocrust was significant for wet aggregates in the 9.5–30.0 mm range, showing a stabilizing effect (increase in proportion) in PdA, SG, and LC, but not in the humid NA site. This suggests a threshold effect, where the stabilizing role of biocrusts diminishes as vascular vegetation and associated stabilizing agents become more dominant under humid conditions. Correspondingly, biocrust presence significantly decreased the proportion of water-stable aggregates  $<2$  mm ( $R_{<2\text{ mm}}$ ) overall (from mean 63.7% without biocrusts to 57.5% with biocrusts) (Figure 3.3).

These findings highlight that biocrusts contribute to soil structure by binding particles and microaggregates, likely through mechanisms involving fungal hyphae, cyanobacterial filaments, and the production of extracellular polymeric substances (??). While biocrusts influenced bulk C and N contents, the lack of a direct correlation between these bulk changes and stability across all sites suggests that the specific composition and micro-spatial arrangement of organic binding agents within aggregates, rather than just total C or N, are critical for stability (?). The results emphasize the crucial role of biocrusts as primary stabilizing agents in arid and semi-arid ecosystems (?), with their influence gradually yielding to vascular plants and associated soil organic matter dynamics in more humid environments.



**Figure 3.3:** Aggregate stability indexes for Pan de Azúcar (PdA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments displays as mean and standard error.  $\Delta MWD$ : difference in mean weight diameter,  $\Delta GMD$ : difference in geometric mean diameter, WSAR: water stability aggregate ratio,  $R_{<2\text{ mm}}$ : ratio of aggregates less than 2 mm.

## 3.2 Effect of biocrust on water flow, erosion and nutrient flow (manuscript 2)

This study investigated the role of biological soil crusts (biocrusts) as climate-dependent regulators of erosion, water, and nutrient cycling using rainfall simulation experiments across a 910 km climate gradient in the Coastal Mountain Range of Chile. Four study sites represented coastal semi-arid (SG), inland semi-arid (QdT), Mediterranean (LC), and humid (NA) climates. These sites, characterized by comparable topography and granitic parent material, allowed for assessing biocrust influence under varying climatic conditions by comparing undisturbed soil monoliths with (+) and without (-) biocrust cover. We analyzed surface runoff, percolation flow, sediment transport, and associated carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fluxes.

Soil properties varied across the climate gradient, reflecting differing weathering intensities and soil development stages relevant to hydrological and erosion responses. Notably, the inland semi-arid site (QdT) exhibited high biocrust cover despite lower water availability compared to the coastal SG site, likely due to protection from disturbance within a fenced area since 2011, fostering biocrust development (?). Soil texture across sites was predominantly sandy loam, with clay content increasing southward, influencing water retention and infiltration pathways.

### 3.2.1 Biocrust influence on water flow and sediment transport

Biocrusts significantly modulated water flow and erosion dynamics, though their effects varied with climate and the specific pathway (runoff vs. percolation). Regarding runoff dynamics (Table 3.1), biocrusts delayed runoff initiation across all sites, with a mean delay of 97.7%. This effect was particularly noticeable at the humid NA site, where dense bryophyte cover increased surface roughness and water storage (?). Overall, biocrusts reduced total runoff volume by an average of 28.0%, although this was site-specific,

### *3.2 Effect of biocrust on water flow, erosion and nutrient flow (manuscript 2)*

---

ranging from a 72.4% reduction in NA to an unexpected 36.4% increase in LC. Despite these impacts on surface flow, biocrusts did not measurably affect the time required for percolation to commence (Table 3.2). The reduction in runoff volume (Table 3.1) coupled with unchanged percolation timing (Table 3.2) indicated enhanced cumulative infiltration and saturated hydraulic conductivity in the presence of biocrusts compared to bare soil. In terms of erosion and sediment flux, biocrusts proved highly effective at reducing soil erosion via surface runoff, decreasing total sediment transport by an average of 69.9% and sediment concentration in runoff by 60.9% (Table 3.1). The most significant reduction occurred at the inland semi-arid QdT site, highlighting the protective role of biocrusts (?). Conversely, sediment mobilization via percolation increased by 28.3%, and sediment concentration in percolation rose by 58.3% when biocrusts were present. This demonstrates a clear shift in sediment transport dynamics, moving from predominantly surface erosion on bare soil to increased subsurface transport under biocrust cover.

**Table 3.1:** Surface runoff fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on Šidák correction post-hoc test results.

| Factor        |          | Time to start runoff <sup>a</sup><br>[s] | Runoff <sup>a</sup><br>[L h <sup>-1</sup> ] | Sediment in runoff <sup>a</sup><br>[g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ] | Sediment load of runoff <sup>a</sup><br>[gL <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ] |
|---------------|----------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mean $\pm$ SD | SG       | 65.1 $\pm$ 20.7 (a)                      | 49 $\pm$ 18 (b)                             | 617 $\pm$ 473 (c)                                                       | 12.1 $\pm$ 7.9 (a)                                                          |
|               | QdT      | 78.7 $\pm$ 30.4 (a)                      | 40 $\pm$ 16 (a)                             | 398 $\pm$ 459 (b)                                                       | 9.6 $\pm$ 9.6 (a)                                                           |
|               | LC       | 83.5 $\pm$ 56.0 (a)                      | 39 $\pm$ 22 (a)                             | 241 $\pm$ 293 (b)                                                       | 7.3 $\pm$ 8.8 (a)                                                           |
|               | NA       | 236.7 $\pm$ 273.0 (b)                    | 44 $\pm$ 43 (a)                             | 28 $\pm$ 44 (a)                                                         | 3.0 $\pm$ 14.0 (a)                                                          |
| Biocrust      | BSC+     | 154.0 $\pm$ 211.5 (b)                    | 36 $\pm$ 21 (a)                             | 149 $\pm$ 222 (a)                                                       | 4.5 $\pm$ 10.4 (a)                                                          |
|               | BSC-     | 77.9 $\pm$ 33.8 (a)                      | 50 $\pm$ 31 (b)                             | 495 $\pm$ 492 (b)                                                       | 11.5 $\pm$ 10.0 (b)                                                         |
| Site*Biocrust | SG BSC+  | 62.7 $\pm$ 21.2 (ab)                     | 44 $\pm$ 16 (ab)                            | 340 $\pm$ 340 (bc)                                                      | 7.5 $\pm$ 5.7 (abc)                                                         |
|               | SG BSC-  | 67.5 $\pm$ 20.6 (ab)                     | 54 $\pm$ 18 (ab)                            | 873 $\pm$ 456 (d)                                                       | 16.7 $\pm$ 7.1 (de)                                                         |
|               | QdT BSC+ | 87.3 $\pm$ 37.5 (ab)                     | 38 $\pm$ 15 (ab)                            | 131 $\pm$ 96 (ab)                                                       | 3.3 $\pm$ 2.0 (abd)                                                         |
|               | QdT BSC- | 70.1 $\pm$ 18.7 (ab)                     | 42 $\pm$ 18 (ab)                            | 665 $\pm$ 524 (cd)                                                      | 15.8 $\pm$ 10.2 (ce)                                                        |
|               | LC BSC+  | 85.8 $\pm$ 67.1 (ab)                     | 45 $\pm$ 20 (ab)                            | 87 $\pm$ 94 (a)                                                         | 2.1 $\pm$ 1.9 (a)                                                           |
|               | LC BSC-  | 81.2 $\pm$ 44.6 (ab)                     | 33 $\pm$ 23 (ab)                            | 395 $\pm$ 344 (bc)                                                      | 12.6 $\pm$ 9.9 (bcde)                                                       |
|               | NA BSC+  | 380.4 $\pm$ 329.5 (b)                    | 19 $\pm$ 23 (a)                             | 16 $\pm$ 49 (a)                                                         | 5.2 $\pm$ 19.9 (abcde)                                                      |
|               | NA BSC-  | 93.0 $\pm$ 40.2 (a)                      | 69 $\pm$ 45 (b)                             | 40 $\pm$ 36 (a)                                                         | 0.9 $\pm$ 1.2 (abcde)                                                       |

<sup>a</sup> Letter-based display accompanying surface runoff parameters. Different letters within a column section (Mean, Biocrust, or Site\*Biocrust interaction) indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šidák correction).


**Table 3.2:** Percolating water fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on Šídák correction post-hoc test results.

| Factor        | Time to start<br>percolation flow<br>[s] |                  | Percolation <sup>a</sup><br>[L h <sup>-1</sup> ] | Sediments in<br>percolation flow <sup>a</sup><br>[g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ] |             | Sediment load in<br>percolation<br>[gL <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ] |
|---------------|------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|               | Mean $\pm$ SD                            |                  |                                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 19 $\pm$ 34 |                                                                        |
| Mean $\pm$ SD | SG                                       | 223 $\pm$ 190    | 18.0 $\pm$ 14.0                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 19 $\pm$ 34 | 4.2 $\pm$ 19.7                                                         |
|               | QdT                                      | 175.0 $\pm$ 94.5 | 22.8 $\pm$ 15.0                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 7 $\pm$ 10  | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.3                                                          |
|               | LC                                       | 234 $\pm$ 183    | 22.4 $\pm$ 13.0                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 13 $\pm$ 15 | 0.5 $\pm$ 0.5                                                          |
|               | NA                                       | 145 $\pm$ 169    | 65.0 $\pm$ 39.0                                  | (b)                                                                                   | 23 $\pm$ 25 | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4                                                          |
| Biocrust      | BSC+                                     | 171 $\pm$ 133    | 42.6 $\pm$ 34.0                                  | (b)                                                                                   | 19 $\pm$ 22 | (b)                                                                    |
|               | BSC-                                     | 218 $\pm$ 190    | 21.5 $\pm$ 21.0                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 12 $\pm$ 24 | (a)                                                                    |
| Site*Biocrust | SG BSC+                                  | 202 $\pm$ 103    | 24.8 $\pm$ 15.0                                  | (ab)                                                                                  | 19 $\pm$ 18 | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.5                                                          |
|               | SG BSC-                                  | 244 $\pm$ 251    | 11.1 $\pm$ 10.0                                  | (a)                                                                                   | 18 $\pm$ 46 | 7.5 $\pm$ 27.5                                                         |
|               | QdT BSC+                                 | 148.0 $\pm$ 63.9 | 30.5 $\pm$ 13.0                                  | (b)                                                                                   | 9 $\pm$ 13  | 0.3 $\pm$ 0.3                                                          |
|               | QdT BSC-                                 | 202 $\pm$ 113    | 15.1 $\pm$ 13.0                                  | (ab)                                                                                  | 5 $\pm$ 6   | 0.2 $\pm$ 0.2                                                          |
|               | LC BSC+                                  | 226 $\pm$ 223    | 23.5 $\pm$ 14.0                                  | (ab)                                                                                  | 17 $\pm$ 19 | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.5                                                          |
|               | LC BSC-                                  | 241 $\pm$ 139    | 21.3 $\pm$ 14.0                                  | (ab)                                                                                  | 9 $\pm$ 9   | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.3                                                          |
|               | NA BSC+                                  | 107.0 $\pm$ 35.6 | 91.5 $\pm$ 28.0                                  | (c)                                                                                   | 30 $\pm$ 32 | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.4                                                          |
|               | NA BSC-                                  | 183 $\pm$ 234    | 38.5 $\pm$ 30.0                                  | (b)                                                                                   | 16 $\pm$ 15 | 0.4 $\pm$ 0.3                                                          |

<sup>a</sup> Letter-based displaying accompanying parameters where shown. Different letters within a column section (Mean, Biocrust, or Site\*Biocrust interaction) indicate statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ , Šídák correction).

### **3.2.2 Biocrust modulation of carbon and nitrogen fluxes**

Biocrusts significantly altered the transport pathways and amounts of C and N in both sediment-bound and dissolved forms, with effects dependent on climate and site conditions (Figure 3.4). For carbon fluxes, sediment-associated C loss via runoff generally increased with climatic humidity. Biocrusts significantly reduced this sediment C loss, by up to a factor of four compared to bare soil. In percolation flow, biocrusts also reduced the C content in mobilized sediments, an effect most pronounced in drier climates where reductions reached 20-40%. Furthermore, biocrusts consistently increased dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations in runoff across all sites, suggesting an alteration of C cycling pathways towards leaching rather than just physical erosion (?). Similar patterns, though moderated by site\*biocrust interactions, were observed for DOC transported via percolation. Regarding nitrogen fluxes, sediment-associated N loss via runoff also increased with humidity. The biocrust effect on this sediment N was strongly site-specific, causing an increase in SG but a decrease in NA, and explaining 48.8% of the observed variability. Dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) fluxes in runoff showed trends analogous to DOC, generally increasing with biocrust presence, especially in northern sites like SG (increasing from  $1.3 \pm 1.0$  ppm in BSC- to  $2.2 \pm 2.0$  ppm in BSC+). However, a contrasting effect was observed at the humid NA site, where biocrusts decreased DON in runoff (from  $0.6 \pm 0.7$  ppm in BSC- to  $0.3 \pm 0.8$  ppm in BSC+), potentially indicating enhanced N immobilization or uptake in this N-richer ecosystem. DON fluxes transported via percolation followed similar site-dependent trends influenced by biocrust interactions.



**Figure 3.4:** Representation of total carbon in sediments and DOC in water fluxes for the four study sites. The diagrams at the right side with slightly green surface represents the BSC+ treatments and the one at the left the BSC-. Grey-filled arrows correspond to C fluxes, and yellowish arrows to N. At the same time, arrows with solid borders correspond to the flow attached to the sediments, and dashed arrows dissolved in water. Each type of arrow is proportional inside each group in width to the concentration of nutrients and in length to the mass of it.


Overall, biocrusts play a crucial, climate-dependent role in regulating water flow, significantly reducing surface runoff erosion, but potentially increasing subsurface sediment transport. They profoundly influence C and N cycling, generally reducing C losses via runoff while altering dissolved nutrient pathways, with specific effects on N mobilization varying strongly between arid and humid environments.

### 3.3 Microbial, plant and moisture controls on soil structure and functionality (Manuscripts 3, 4 and 5)

Beyond the direct impacts of biological soil crusts (BSCs) and the broad climatic gradient, further investigations using subsets of the study sites (primarily arid Pan de Azúcar (PdA) and semi-arid Santa Gracia (SG), but also including mediterranean La Campana (LC) and humid Nahuelbuta (NA) in Manuscript 4) provided deeper insights into the roles of the indigenous microbial community, plant roots, and moisture dynamics in shaping soil aggregation, organic matter turnover, and nutrient cycling. These studies employed controlled laboratory incubations simulating specific scenarios: a shift to humid conditions for arid/semi-arid soils (Manuscript 3), repeated wetting-drying (W-D) versus constant moisture (CM) regimes across the climate gradient (Manuscript 4), and the natural transition from a living rhizosphere to a decomposing detritusphere in semi-arid soil (Manuscript 5).

A central finding emerging from these experiments is that the impact of microbial


activity on soil aggregation and related properties is highly dependent on the origin of the soil and the prevailing environmental conditions. This was clearly demonstrated in the moisture regime experiment (Manuscript 4), where the responses of native, microbially active soils to repeated wetting-drying (W-D) cycles were compared against sterilized controls across the climate gradient. Principal Component Analysis visually distinguished the trajectories of native versus sterile soils under W-D stress, directly highlighting the significant contribution of microbial activity to changes in soil edaphic properties (Figure 3.5). Crucially, the nature of this microbial influence differed markedly between climate zones. In arid soils, the microbially-influenced samples were primarily characterized by changes in aggregate C/N ratios, suggesting accelerated turnover of labile organic matter (OM) fueled by the Birch effect (Figure 3.5A). In contrast, microbially-influenced semi-arid and mediterranean soils under W-D were distinguished from controls mainly by shifts in aggregate size distribution, particularly an increase in microaggregates (MIC) relative to macroaggregates (MAC) (Figure 3.5B-C). This direct comparison underscores that while microbes actively mediate soil structural changes during moisture fluctuations, the specific mechanisms and outcomes (OM turnover vs. aggregate reorganization) are strongly dictated by the climate legacy imprinted on the soil and its microbial community.



**Figure 3.5:** Principal Component Analyses of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including significant soil properties. A) arid, B) semi-arid, C) mediterranean and D) humid site. Microbial influence is indicated by sample separation along the x-axis.

Further results support this picture of context-dependent microbial influence and climate legacy effects. The observed increase in aggregate C/N ratios in microbiologically active arid soils (Figure 3.6A) aligns with findings of stimulated microbial abundance under W-D but also a net breakdown of MAC and only a slight decrease in overall aggregate stability (MWD) (Manuscript 4), consistent with rapid consumption of labile OM released from decomposing larger aggregates. The microaggregate formation observed in

microbially influenced semi-arid and mediterranean soils (Figure 3.5B-C) corresponds with outcomes where overall stability either slightly decreased (semi-arid) or increased (mediterranean) (Manuscript 4), suggesting different stabilization pathways potentially involving OM redistribution or transformation.



**Figure 3.6:** Relative abundance of top 15 bacterial phyla for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia and the different time points. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks) and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs and plants) and technical triplicates.

The importance of climate legacy was also evident in the differential resilience of microbial communities themselves. The arid PdA community, adapted to stable hyperaridity, showed significant structural changes and diversity loss under simulated humid conditions (Manuscript 3), while the semi-arid SG community, from a more variable climate, demonstrated greater stability (Figure 3.6), mirroring the patterns observed in the PCA analysis (Figure 3.5).

Beyond the intrinsic microbial community and moisture effects, the powerful role of plants was confirmed (Manuscript 5). Living roots acted as potent drivers of macroaggregation in both topsoil and subsoil of semi-arid origin. However, a distinct root legacy effect was apparent, as this enhanced aggregation only persisted after plant death in the topsoil, indicating a requirement for continuous C input or greater inherent stability factors in the subsoil. This transition from rhizosphere to detritusphere also drove a microbial succession from fungal to Gram-positive bacterial dominance and altered OM

### *3.3 Microbial, plant and moisture controls on soil structure and functionality (Manuscripts 3, 4 and 5)*

---

protection mechanisms, notably increasing occluded POM in the topsoil detritusphere.



# **Chapter 4**

## **Conclusion & Outlook**

This research investigated the multifaceted role of biological soil crusts (biocrusts) and microbial communities in shaping soil development, stability, and nutrient cycling across a climate gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range. By combining field observations, laboratory experiments, and advanced analytical techniques, this work provides valuable insights into the complex interactions between biota, climate, and soil processes.

A key focus of this research was to understand the influence of biocrusts on soil aggregate stability and their interplay with soil properties along a climate gradient. Four sites representing arid, semi-arid, Mediterranean, and humid conditions were selected, allowing for a comparative analysis of biocrust effects under contrasting environmental conditions. The results revealed significant variations in soil properties along the gradient, with bulk density decreasing and soil organic carbon (SOC), total nitrogen ( $N_T$ ), and clay content increasing with humidity. These variations reflect the influence of climate on weathering processes, organic matter accumulation, and soil development ?. Biocrust cover significantly influenced soil properties, particularly in arid and semi-arid sites, where it increased SOC and  $N_T$  content and altered soil texture, indicating its role in trapping organic matter and modifying soil structure (??). Aggregate stability, a key indicator of soil resilience to erosion, was enhanced by the presence of biocrusts across all climates, with the most pronounced effect observed in the arid site. This finding underscores the crucial role of biocrusts in protecting vulnerable soils in dryland environments (?). The difference in geometric mean diameter of aggregates further emphasized the stabilizing effect of biocrusts, particularly in the arid site, where they significantly increased aggregate size.

Extending the investigation of biocrusts beyond their impact on soil structure, this research also explored their role as climate-dependent regulators of erosion, water, and nutrient cycling. Utilizing rainfall simulation experiments across the same climate gradient, the study assessed the effects of biocrusts on surface runoff, percolation flow, and sediment and nutrient fluxes. Biocrusts significantly delayed the initiation of runoff and reduced overall runoff volume in all climates, highlighting their capacity to retain water and mitigate erosion (?). The influence of biocrusts on sediment discharge was equally

pronounced, with significant reductions observed across all sites, particularly in the inland semi-arid environment. Furthermore, biocrusts influenced both sediment-bound and dissolved carbon and nitrogen dynamics, with contrasting effects observed across the climate gradient. While biocrusts increased carbon content in sediments mobilized by runoff at drier sites, they also enhanced dissolved organic carbon (DOC) concentrations, indicating alterations in carbon cycling pathways. Similarly, biocrusts influenced nitrogen fluxes, increasing dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) concentrations, but with varying effects across the climatic gradient. These findings underscore the complex and context-dependent role of biocrusts in regulating both hydrological and biogeochemical processes in soil ecosystems.

Complementing the field and rainfall simulation studies, laboratory experiments explored the microbial drivers of soil aggregate turnover across different climates and moisture regimes. By subjecting soils from the same climate gradient sites to controlled wetting-drying (W-D) cycles, this research aimed to dissect the relative contributions of abiotic and biotic factors in shaping soil structure. The results revealed distinct patterns in aggregate size distribution and stability across climates and in response to W-D cycles. Sterilization significantly altered aggregate dynamics, highlighting the crucial role of microbial communities in soil structure formation and stabilization (?). Microbial abundance, diversity, and community composition also exhibited climate-specific responses to W-D cycles, reflecting the adaptive strategies of soil microorganisms to fluctuating moisture conditions. W-D cycles also impacted predicted microbial functions, influencing the decomposition of organic matter and nutrient cycling processes.

Building upon the findings related to microbial influence on soil aggregate dynamics, the research further explored the role of microbial communities in initial soil formation under simulated climate change scenarios. Using soil samples from arid and semi-arid sites, the study simulated increased humidity conditions, reflecting potential climate change projections for these regions. The results revealed significant shifts in microbial community structure and function in response to the simulated climate change, with certain microbial groups, notably *Sphingomonas*, exhibiting increased abundance and potential for nitrogen fixation. These findings underscore the importance of soil legacy effects and the potential for microbial communities to adapt and mediate soil processes under changing environmental conditions.

Lastly, the research delved into the specific role of roots in regulating soil aggregation and organic matter dynamics, focusing on the transition from rhizosphere to detritusphere. Microcosm experiments with living and decaying cereal roots revealed significant differences in soil aggregate formation, microbial community composition, and organic matter characteristics. Living roots promoted the development of macroaggregates, particularly in the subsoil, while decaying roots stimulated microbial activity and altered organic matter decomposition pathways. These findings emphasize the dynamic interplay between living and decaying roots and their influence on soil structure, micro-

---

bial communities, and organic matter cycling. The transition from rhizosphere to detritusphere represents a shift from root-driven soil formation to decomposition-dominated processes, highlighting the interconnectedness of plant and microbial contributions to soil ecosystem functioning.

In summary, this body of research demonstrates the complex and interconnected roles of biocrusts, microbial communities, and plant roots in shaping soil development, stability, and nutrient cycling across a climate gradient. Biocrusts act as key regulators of surface processes, enhancing soil aggregate stability, reducing erosion, and modulating water and nutrient fluxes. Microbial communities, as the engines of biogeochemical processes, drive soil aggregation, organic matter decomposition, and respond dynamically to changes in moisture availability and climate conditions. Plant roots, both living and decaying, influence soil structure formation, microbial communities, and organic matter dynamics, with distinct effects observed in rhizosphere and detritusphere environments.

Future research should focus on further disentangling the complex interactions between biocrusts, microbial communities, and plants. This includes investigating the specific mechanisms driving biocrust formation and their influence on different soil types, exploring the functional diversity of microbial communities involved in soil aggregate formation, and examining the long-term legacy effects of plant-soil interactions on soil carbon sequestration. Moreover, considering the projected impacts of climate change, future studies should assess the resilience of biocrusts and microbial communities to altered precipitation patterns, temperature regimes, and increased atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentrations. By deepening our understanding of these complex interactions, we can develop more effective strategies for soil conservation, ecosystem management, and promoting the sustainable use of soil resources in the face of global environmental change.



# Bibliography

- Aanderud, Z. T., Smart, T. B., Wu, N., Taylor, A. S., Zhang, Y., and Belnap, J. (2018). Fungal loop transfer of nitrogen depends on biocrust constituents and nitrogen form. *Biogeosciences*, **15**(12), 3831–3840.
- Abed, R. M. M., Tamm, A., Hassenrück, C., Al-Rawahi, A. N., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Fiedler, S., Maier, S., and Weber, B. (2019). Habitat-dependent composition of bacterial and fungal communities in biological soil crusts from oman. *Scientific Reports*, **9**(1), 6468.
- Allison, L. E. and Richards, L. A. (1954). *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils*. Number 60. Soil and Water Conservative Research Branch, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Last accessed: 30 November 2022.
- Amundson, R., Richter, D. D., Humphreys, G. S., Jobbagy, E. G., and Gaillardet, J. (2007). Coupling between biota and earth materials in the critical zone. *Elements*, **3**(5), 327–332.
- Ardiles, V. and Fariña, M. (2014). Flora no vascular de la Región de Arica y Parinacota, Chile: nuevos registros y consideraciones biogeográficas. *Boletín Museo Nacional de Historia Natural*, **63**, 213–223.
- Armesto, J. J. and Arroyo, M. T. K. (2007). The mediterranean environment of central Chile.
- Austin, A. T., Yahdjian, L., Stark, J. M., Belnap, J., Porporato, A., Norton, U., Ravetta, D. A., and Schaeffer, S. M. (2004). Water pulses and biogeochemical cycles in arid and semiarid ecosystems. *Oecologia*, **141**(2), 221–35.
- Bachar, A., Al-Ashhab, A., Soares, M. I., Sklarz, M. Y., Angel, R., Ungar, E. D., and Gillor, O. (2010). Soil microbial abundance and diversity along a low precipitation gradient. *Microb Ecol*, **60**(2), 453–61.
- Bajerski, F. and Wagner, D. (2013). Bacterial succession in Antarctic soils of two glacier forefields on Larsemann Hills, East Antarctica. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*, **85**(1), 128–42.

## Bibliography

---

- Bardgett, R. D. and van der Putten, W. H. (2014). Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature*, **515**(7528), 505–11.
- Barger, N. N., Weber, B., Garcia-Pichel, F., Zaady, E., and Belnap, J. (2016). *Patterns and Controls on Nitrogen Cycling of Biological Soil Crusts*, pages 257–285. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Barkay, T. and Schaefer, J. (2001). Metal and radionuclide bioremediation: issues, considerations and potentials. *Curr Opin Microbiol*, **4**(3), 318–23.
- Barnard, R. L., Osborne, C. A., and Firestone, M. K. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *ISME J*, **7**(11), 2229–41.
- Bauer, S. W. (1974). A modified horton equation for infiltration during intermittent rainfall. *Hydrological Sciences Bulletin*, **19**(2), 219–225.
- Baumann, K., Jung, P., Samolov, E., Lehnert, L. W., Büdel, B., Karsten, U., Bendix, J., Achilles, S., Schermer, M., Matus, F., Oses, R., Osses, P., Morshedizad, M., Oehlschläger, C., Hu, Y., Klysubun, W., and Leinweber, P. (2018). Biological soil crusts along a climatic gradient in chile: Richness and imprints of phototrophic microorganisms in phosphorus biogeochemical cycling. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **127**, 286–300.
- Baumert, V. L., Forstner, S. J., Zethof, J. H. T., Vogel, C., Heitkötter, J., Schulz, S., Kögel-Knabner, I., and Mueller, C. W. (2021). Root-induced fungal growth triggers macroaggregation in forest subsoils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **157**, 108244.
- Bavel, C. H. M. v. (1950). Mean weight-diameter of soil aggregates as a statistical index of aggregation.
- Bednarek-Ochyra, H. (2001). A note on the moss genus codriophorus p. beauv. *Cryptogamie Bryologie*, **22**(2), 105–111.
- Belnap, J. (2003). The world at your feet: desert biological soil crusts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **1**(4), 181–189.
- Belnap, J. and Büdel, B. (2016). Biological soil crusts as soil stabilizers. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 305–320.
- Belnap, J., Kaltenecker, J. H., Rosentreter, R., Williams, J., Leonard, S., and Eldridge, D. (2001). Biological soil crusts: Ecology and management. *US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, National Science and Technology Center. Denver, Colorado*, **110**.

- Belnap, J., Phillips, S. L., Flint, S., Money, J., and Caldwell, M. (2007). Global change and biological soil crusts: effects of ultraviolet augmentation under altered precipitation regimes and nitrogen additions. *Global Change Biology*, **14**(3), 670–686.
- Belnap, J., Weber, B., and Büdel, B. (2016). *Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands*. Springer.
- Bernal, S., Butturini, A., and Sabater, F. (2005). Seasonal variations of dissolved nitrogen and doc:don ratios in an intermittent mediterranean stream. *Biogeochemistry*, **75**(2), 351–372.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R. A., Aburto, F., Bader, M. Y., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., Brucker, E., Büdel, B., Canessa, R., Dippold, M. A., Ehlers, T. A., Fuentes, J. P., Godoy, R., Jung, P., Karsten, U., Köster, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Neidhardt, H., Matus, F., Mueller, C. W., Oelmann, Y., Oses, R., Osses, P., Paulino, L., Samolov, E., Schaller, M., Schmid, M., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Stock, S., Stroncik, N., Tielbörger, K., Übernickel, K., Scholten, T., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Kühn, P. (2018). Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile. *Catena*, **170**, 335–355.
- Bowker, M. A., Belnap, J., and Miller, M. E. (2006). Spatial modeling of biological soil crusts to support rangeland assessment and monitoring. *Rangeland Ecology Management*, **59**(5), 519–529.
- Bowker, M. A., Maestre, F. T., Eldridge, D., Belnap, J., Castillo-Monroy, A., Escolar, C., and Soliveres, S. (2014). Biological soil crusts (biocrusts) as a model system in community, landscape and ecosystem ecology. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **23**(7), 1619–1637.
- Bowker, M. A., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Sannier, C., Pietrasik, N., Eldridge, D. J., and Rivera-Aguilar, V. (2016). Controls on distribution patterns of biological soil crusts at micro-to global scales. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 173–197.
- Bowker, M. A., Reed, S. C., Maestre, F. T., and Eldridge, D. J. (2018). Biocrusts: the living skin of the earth. *Plant and Soil*, **429**(1-2), 1–7.
- Brantley, S. L., Eissenstat, D. M., Marshall, J. A., Godsey, S. E., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Karwan, D. L., Papuga, S. A., Roering, J., Dawson, T. E., Evaristo, J., Chadwick, O., McDonnell, J. J., and Weathers, K. C. (2017). Reviews and syntheses: on the roles trees play in building and plumbing the critical zone. *Biogeosciences*, **14**(22), 5115–5142.

## Bibliography

---

- Brehm, U., Gorbushina, A., and Mottershead, D. (2005). *The role of microorganisms and biofilms in the breakdown and dissolution of quartz and glass*, pages 117–129. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- Bronick, C. J. and Lal, R. (2005). Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma*, **124**(1-2), 3–22.
- Bruand, A., Cousin, I., Nicoullaud, B., Duval, O., and Begon, J. C. (1996). Backscattered electron scanning images of soil porosity for analyzing soil compaction around roots. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **60**(3), 895–901.
- Brust, G. E. (2019). *Management strategies for organic vegetable fertility*, pages 193–212. Elsevier.
- Bu, C., Wu, S., Han, F., Yang, Y., and Meng, J. (2015). The combined effects of moss-dominated biocrusts and vegetation on erosion and soil moisture and implications for disturbance on the loess plateau, china. *PLoS One*, **10**(5), e0127394.
- Burford, E., Fomina, M., and Gadd, G. (2003). Fungal involvement in bioweathering and biotransformation of rocks and minerals. *Mineralogical Magazine*, **67**(6), 1127–1155.
- Büdel, B. and Colesie, C. (2014). *Biological Soil Crusts*, pages 131–161. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Büdel, B., Dulić, T., Darienko, T., Rybalka, N., and Friedl, T. (2016). *Cyanobacteria and Algae of Biological Soil Crusts*, book section Chapter 4, pages 55–80. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Saldaña, A., Rios, R. S., Hättenschwiler, S., Mueller, C. W., Prater, I., Tielbörger, K., Bader, M. Y., and Piper, F. (2020). Relative effects of climate and litter traits on decomposition change with time, climate and trait variability. *Journal of Ecology*, **109**(1), 447–458.
- Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Berdugo, M. B., Hättenschwiler, S., Rios, R. S., Saldaña, A., Tielbörger, K., and Bader, M. Y. (2022). Trait functional diversity explains mixture effects on litter decomposition at the arid end of a climate gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, **110**(9), 2219–2231.
- Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Benítez, , Reyes-Bueno, F., Donoso, D. A., and Cueva, A. (2016). Biocrust structure responds to soil variables along a tropical scrubland elevation gradient. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **124**, 31–38.

- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Lázaro, R., Solé-Benet, A., and Domingo, F. (2012). Crust composition and disturbance drive infiltration through biological soil crusts in semi-arid ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, **15**, 148–161.
- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., and Domingo, F. (2016). Biocrusts positively affect the soil water balance in semiarid ecosystems. *Ecohydrology*, **9**(7), 1208–1221.
- Chamizo, S., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Román, J. R., and Cantón, Y. (2017). Effects of biocrust on soil erosion and organic carbon losses under natural rainfall. *Catena*, **148**, 117–125.
- Chen, J., Blume, H.-P., and Beyer, L. (2000). Weathering of rocks induced by lichen colonization — a review. *CATENA*, **39**(2), 121–146.
- Chen, N., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Yu, K., and Zhao, C. (2018). Ecohydrological effects of biological soil crust on the vegetation dynamics of restoration in a dryland ecosystem. *Journal of Hydrology*, **563**, 1068–1077.
- Chen, N., Yu, K., Jia, R., Teng, J., and Zhao, C. (2020). Biocrust as one of multiple stable states in global drylands. *Science Advances*, **6**(39), eaay3763.
- Chen, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Wei, W., Zhang, J., and Wu, N. (2008). The variation of morphological features and mineralogical components of biological soil crusts in the gurbantunggut desert of northwestern china. *Environmental Geology*, **57**(5), 1135–1143.
- Colesie, C., Green, T. G. A., Haferkamp, I., and Büdel, B. (2014). Habitat stress initiates changes in composition,  $\text{CO}_2$  gas exchange and c-allocation as life traits in biological soil crusts. *The ISME Journal*, **8**(10), 2104–2115.
- Colesie, C., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., and Büdel, B. (2016). *Composition and macrostructure of biological soil crusts*, book section 9, pages 159–172.
- Concostrina-Zubiri, L., Pescador, D. S., Martínez, I., and Escudero, A. (2014). Climate and small scale factors determine functional diversity shifts of biological soil crusts in iberian drylands. *Biodiversity and conservation*, **23**, 1757–1770.
- Corbin, J. D. and Thiet, R. K. (2020). Temperate biocrusts: mesic counterparts to their better-known dryland cousins. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **18**(8), 456–464.
- Corwin, D. L. (2021). Climate change impacts on soil salinity in agricultural areas. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **72**(2), 842–862.

## Bibliography

---

- Costa, O. Y. A., Raaijmakers, J. M., and Kuramae, E. E. (2018). Microbial extracellular polymeric substances: Ecological function and impact on soil aggregation. *Front Microbiol*, **9**, 1636.
- Cox, D. R. (1992). Causality: Some statistical aspects. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, **155**, 291–301.
- Crawford, J. W. (2006). The relationship between structure and the hydraulic conductivity of soil. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **45**(4), 493–502.
- Csardi, G. and Nepusz, T. (2006). igraph: Network analysis and visualization. *R package version*, **1695**, 1–9.
- Cuvertino, J., Ardiles, V., Osorio, F., and Romero, X. (2012). New records and additions to the Chilean bryophyte flora. *Ciencia e investigación agraria: revista latinoamericana de ciencias de la agricultura*, **39**(2), 245–254.
- Dietrich, W. E. and Perron, J. T. (2006). The search for a topographic signature of life. *Nature*, **439**(7075), 411–8.
- Ding, J. and Eldridge, D. J. (2020). Biotic and abiotic effects on biocrust cover vary with microsite along an extensive aridity gradient. *Plant and Soil*, **450**(1-2), 429–441.
- Dodds, W. and Smith, V. (2016). Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in streams. *Inland Waters*, **6**(2), 155–164.
- Drahorad, S. L., Steckenmesser, D., Felix-Henningsen, P., Lichner, , and Rodný, M. (2013). Ongoing succession of biological soil crusts increases water repellency — a case study on arenosols in sekule, slovakia. *Biologia*, **68**(6), 1089–1093.
- Dufrêne, M. and Legendre, P. (1997). Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological monographs*, **67**(3), 345–366.
- Dunn, P. K. (2017). Tweedie: Evaluation of tweedie exponential family models. *R package version*. Last access: 30 November 2022.
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**(7), 459–462.
- Eldridge, D. J., Reed, S., Travers, S. K., Bowker, M. A., Maestre, F. T., Ding, J., Havrilla, C., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Barger, N., Weber, B., Antoninka, A., Belnap, J., Chaudhary, B., Faist, A., Ferrenberg, S., Huber-Sannwald, E., Malam Issa, O., and Zhao, Y. (2020). The pervasive and multifaceted influence of biocrusts on water in the world's drylands. *Glob Chang Biol*, **26**(10), 6003–6014.

- Eldridge, D. J., Mallen-Cooper, M., and Ding, J. (2021). Biocrust functional traits reinforce runoff-runoff patchiness in drylands. *Geoderma*, **400**, 115152.
- Elliott, E. T. (1986). Aggregate structure and carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in native and cultivated soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **50**(3), 627–633.
- Ewing, S. A., Sutter, B., Owen, J., Nishiizumi, K., Sharp, W., Cliff, S. S., Perry, K., Dietrich, W., McKay, C. P., and Amundson, R. (2006). A threshold in soil formation at Earth's arid–hyperarid transition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**(21), 5293–5322.
- Fariña, V. and Ardiles, M. (2014). Flora no vascular de la región de arica y parinacota, chile: nuevos registros y consideraciones biogeográficas. *Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Chile*, **63**, 213–223.
- Fierer, N., Bradford, M. A., and Jackson, R. B. (2007). Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology*, **88**(6), 1354–64.
- Fischer, T., Yair, A., and Veste, M. (2012). Microstructure and hydraulic properties of biological soil crusts on sand dunes: a comparison between arid and temperate climates. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, **9**, 12711–12734.
- Fischer, T., Yair, A., Veste, M., and Geppert, H. (2013). Hydraulic properties of biological soil crusts on sand dunes studied by  $^{13}\text{C}$ -cp/mas-nmr: A comparison between an arid and a temperate site. *CATENA*, **110**, 155–160.
- Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. (2018). *An R companion to applied regression*. Sage publications.
- Gall, C., Ohan, J., Glaser, K., Karsten, U., Schloter, M., Scholten, T., Schulz, S., Seitz, S., and Kurth, J. K. (2022a). Biocrusts: Overlooked hotspots of managed soils in mesic environments. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **185**(6), 745–751.
- Gall, C., Nebel, M., Quandt, D., Scholten, T., and Seitz, S. (2022b). Pioneer biocrust communities prevent soil erosion in temperate forests after disturbances. *Biogeosciences*, **19**(13), 3225–3245.
- Galloway, D. (2007). *Flora of New Zealand: Lichens, including lichen-forming and lichenicolous fungi, Vol 1 and 2*.
- Galloway, D. J. and Quilhot, W. (2009). Checklist of chilean lichen-forming and lichenicolous fungi. *Gayana Botánica*, **55**, 111–185.

## Bibliography

---

- Gao, L., Bowker, M. A., Xu, M., Sun, H., Tuo, D., and Zhao, Y. (2017). Biological soil crusts decrease erodibility by modifying inherent soil properties on the Loess Plateau, China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **105**, 49–58.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., Drahorad, S. L., and Weber, B. (2016). *Microstructure and Weathering Processes Within Biological Soil Crusts*, book section Chapter 13, pages 237–255. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Gardner, W. R. (1956). Representation of Soil Aggregate-Size Distribution by a Logarithmic-Normal Distribution. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **20**(2), 151–153.
- Gholzom, E. H. and Gholami, V. (2012). A comparison between natural forests and reforested lands in terms of runoff generation potential and hydrologic response (case study: Kasilian watershed). *Soil and Water Research*, **7**(4), 166–173.
- Godt, J. W., Baum, R. L., and Lu, N. (2009). Landsliding in partially saturated materials. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **36**(2).
- Goebes, P., Seitz, S., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Niklaus, P. A., von Oheimb, G., and Scholten, T. (2015). Throughfall kinetic energy in young subtropical forests: Investigation on tree species richness effects and spatial variability. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **213**, 148–159.
- Govers, G. (1985). Selectivity and transport capacity of thin flows in relation to rill erosion. *CATENA*, **12**(1), 35–49.
- Grote, E. E., Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., and Sparks, J. P. (2010). Carbon exchange in biological soil crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water contents: implications for global change. *Global Change Biology*, **16**(10), 2763–2774.
- Guo, Q., Wang, Z., Shen, N., and Zhao, Y. (2022). Impacts of biocrusts on sheet erosion and its detachment- and transport-limited processes: A case study from the loess plateau, china. *Biosystems Engineering*, **223**, 18–28.
- Gypser, S., Veste, M., Fischer, T., and Lange, P. (2016). Infiltration and water retention of biological soil crusts on reclaimed soils of former open-cast lignite mining sites in brandenburg, north-east germany. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **64**(1), 1–11.
- Hanrahan, G. and Chan, G. (2005). *Nitrogen*, pages 191–196. Elsevier, Oxford.

- Harrell Jr, F. E. and Harrell Jr, M. F. E. (2019). Hmisc: Harrell miscellaneous. *R package version*, **2019**, 235–236.
- Hassan, K., Carrillo, Y., and Nielsen, U. N. (2022). Prolonged drought causes negative plant-soil feedbacks in grassland species under field conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **172**, 108772.
- Hatch, T. and Choate, S. P. (1929). Statistical description of the size properties of non uniform particulate substances. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, **207**(3), 369–387.
- He, S. (1998). A checklist of the mosses of Chile. *The Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory*, **85**, 103–189.
- Hinsinger, P., Bengough, A. G., Vetterlein, D., and Young, I. M. (2009). Rhizosphere: biophysics, biogeochemistry and ecological relevance. *Plant and Soil*.
- Hooper, D. U. and Johnson, L. (1999). Nitrogen limitation in dryland ecosystems: Responses to geographical and temporal variation in precipitation. *Biogeochemistry*, **46**(1), 247–293.
- Horn, K. H. H. R. (2009). *Die physikalische Untersuchung von Böden*. Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., and Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biom J*, **50**(3), 346–63.
- Hu, W., Chen, L., Shen, J., Yao, J., He, Q., and Chen, J. (2022). Changes in extreme precipitation on the tibetan plateau and its surroundings: Trends, patterns, and relationship with ocean oscillation factors. *Water*, **14**(16), 2509.
- Hulton, N. R. J., Purves, R. S., McCulloch, R. D., Sugden, D. E., and Bentley, M. J. (2002). The Last Glacial Maximum and deglaciation in southern South America. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **21**(1-3), 233–241.
- Iserloh, T., Ries, J., Arnáez, J., Boix-Fayos, C., Butzen, V., Cerdà, A., Echeverría, M., Fernández-Gálvez, J., Fister, W., and Geißler, C. (2013). European small portable rainfall simulators: A comparison of rainfall characteristics. *Catena*, **110**, 100–112.
- Issa, O. M., Trichet, J., Défarge, C., Couté, A., and Valentin, C. (1999). Morphology and microstructure of microbiotic soil crusts on a tiger bush sequence (niger, sahel). *Catena*, **37**(1-2), 175–196.
- Jahn, R., Blume, H., Asio, V., Spaargaren, O., and Schad, P. (2006). *Guidelines for soil description*. Fao.

## Bibliography

---

- Jenny, H. (1941). *Factors of soil formation: a system of quantitative pedology*. Courier Corporation.
- Jimenez-Morillo, N. T., Almendros, G., Miller, A. Z., Hatcher, P. G., and Gonzalez-Perez, J. A. (2022). Hydrophobicity of soils affected by fires: An assessment using molecular markers from ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry. *Sci Total Environ*, **817**, 152957.
- Juan, J., Dongdong, L., YuanHang, F., and Pu, L. (2023). Combined effects of moss colonization and rock fragment coverage on sediment losses, flow hydraulics and surface microtopography of carbonate-derived laterite from karst mountainous lands. *Catena*, **229**, 107202.
- Jung, P., Emrich, D., Briegel-Williams, L., Schermer, M., Weber, L., Baumann, K., Colesie, C., Clerc, P., Lehnert, L. W., Achilles, S., Bendix, J., and Büdel, B. (2019). Ecophysiology and phylogeny of new terricolous and epiphytic chlorolichens in a fog oasis of the atacama desert. *MicrobiologyOpen*, **8**(10), e894.
- Jung, P., Baumann, K., Lehnert, L. W., Samolov, E., Achilles, S., Schermer, M., Wraase, L. M., Eckhardt, K.-U., Bader, M. Y., Leinweber, P., Karsten, U., Bendix, J., and Büdel, B. (2020a). Desert breath—how fog promotes a novel type of soil biocenosis, forming the coastal atacama desert's living skin. *Geobiology*, **18**(1), 113–124.
- Jung, P., Baumann, K., Emrich, D., Springer, A., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., Dultz, S., Baum, C., Frank, M., Büdel, B., and Leinweber, P. (2020b). Lichens bite the dust x2013; a bioweathering scenario in the atacama desert. *iScience*, **23**(11). doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2020.101647.
- Kalnejais, L. H., Martin, W. R., and Bothner, M. H. (2010). The release of dissolved nutrients and metals from coastal sediments due to resuspension. *Marine Chemistry*, **121**(1), 224–235.
- Kemper, W. D. and Rosenau, R. C. (1986). *Aggregate stability and size distribution*, volume 5 of *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1 Physical and mineralogical methods*.
- Khaleghi, M. R. and Varvani, J. (2018). Sediment rating curve parameters relationship with watershed characteristics in the semiarid river watersheds. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **43**(7), 3725–3737.
- Kheirfam, H., Sadeghi, S. H., Homaei, M., and Zarei Darki, B. (2017). Quality improvement of an erosion-prone soil through microbial enrichment. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **165**, 230–238.

- Kidron, G. J., Veste, M., and Lichner, (2021). Biological factors impacting hydrological processes: Peculiarities of plants and biological soil crusts. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **69**(4), 357–359.
- Kidron, G. J., Lichner, L., Fischer, T., Starinsky, A., and Or, D. (2022). Mechanisms for biocrust-modulated runoff generation – a review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **231**, 104100.
- Kleber, M., Sollins, P., and Sutton, R. (2007). A conceptual model of organo-mineral interactions in soils: self-assembly of organic molecular fragments into zonal structures on mineral surfaces. *Biogeochemistry*, **85**(1), 9–24.
- Köhn, M. (1929). Bemerkungen zur mechanischen bodenanalyse iv. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung, Düngung, Bodenkunde*, **14**(3), 268–280.
- Lal, R. (2020). Soil organic matter and water retention. *Agronomy Journal*, **112**(5), 3265–3277.
- Lange, O. L. and Belnap, J. (2016). *How Biological Soil Crusts Became Recognized as a Functional Unit: A Selective History*, book section Chapter 2, pages 15–33. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Langfelder, P. and Horvath, S. (2008). Wgcna: an r package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **9**, 559.
- LeBauer, D. S. and Treseder, K. K. (2008). Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology*, **89**(2), 371–9.
- Lewin, R. A. (1956). Extracellular polysaccharides of green algae. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **2**(7), 665–672.
- Li, J., Okin, G. S., Alvarez, L., and Epstein, H. (2008). Effects of wind erosion on the spatial heterogeneity of soil nutrients in two desert grassland communities. *Biogeochemistry*, **88**(1), 73–88.
- Li, Q., Sun, Y., Zhang, X., Xu, X., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2014). Relationship between carbon and nitrogen mineralization in a subtropical soil.
- Li, S., Bowker, M. A., and Xiao, B. (2022). Impacts of moss-dominated biocrusts on rainwater infiltration, vertical water flow, and surface soil evaporation in drylands. *Journal of Hydrology*, **612**, 128176.
- Liang, T., Shi, X., Guo, T., and Peng, S. (2015). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus mediate changes in mycorrhizosphere soil aggregates. *Agricultural Sciences*, **6**(12), 1455.

## Bibliography

---

- Lichner, , Holko, L., Zhukova, N., Schacht, K., Rajkai, K., Fodor, N., and Sándor, R. (2012). Plants and biological soil crust influence the hydrophysical parameters and water flow in an aeolian sandy soil / vplyv rastlín a biologického pôdneho pokryvu na hydrofyzikálne parametre a prúdenie vody v piesočnej pôde. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **60**(4), 309–318.
- Lightowers, P. (2013). Taxonomic notes on new zealand species of tortula. *Journal of Bryology*, **13**(3), 369–375.
- Lightowers, P. J. (1985). A synoptic flora of south georgian mosses: Tortula. *British Antarctic Survey Bulletin*, **67**, 41–77.
- Liu, D. and She, D. (2020). Combined effects of moss crusts and pine needles on evaporation of carbonate-derived laterite from karst mountainous lands. *Journal of Hydrology*, **586**, 124859.
- Liu, M.-Y., Chang, Q.-R., Qi, Y.-B., Liu, J., and Chen, T. (2014). Aggregation and soil organic carbon fractions under different land uses on the tableland of the loess plateau of china. *Catena*, **115**, 19–28.
- Loaiza Puerta, V., Pujol Pereira, E. I., Wittwer, R., van der Heijden, M., and Six, J. (2018). Improvement of soil structure through organic crop management, conservation tillage and grass-clover ley. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **180**, 1–9.
- Luetzenburg, G., Bittner, M. J., Calsamiglia, A., Renschler, C. S., Estrany, J., and Poepll, R. (2020). Climate and land use change effects on soil erosion in two small agricultural catchment systems fugnitz - austria, can revull - spain. *Sci Total Environ*, **704**, 135389.
- Martens, D. and Frankenberger, W. (1992). Decomposition of bacterial polymers in soil and their influence on soil structure. *Biology and fertility of soils*, **13**, 65–73.
- Martinez Arbizu, P. (2020). pairwiseadonis: Pairwise multilevel comparison using adonis. *R package version 0.4*, **1**.
- Mavris, C., Egli, M., Plötze, M., Blum, J. D., Mirabella, A., Giaccai, D., and Haeberli, W. (2010). Initial stages of weathering and soil formation in the Morteratsch proglacial area (Upper Engadine, Switzerland). *Geoderma*, **155**(3-4), 359–371.
- Mazurak, A. P. (1950). Effect of gaseous phase on water-stable synthetic aggregates. *Soil science*, **69**(2), 135–148.
- Meseguer-Ruiz, O., Ponce-Philimon, P. I., Baltazar, A., Guijarro, J. A., Serrano-Notivoli, R., Olcina Cantos, J., Martin-Vide, J., and Sarricolea, P. (2020). Synoptic

- attribution of extreme precipitation in the atacama desert (chile). *Climate Dynamics*, **55**(11-12), 3431–3444.
- Molina-Montenegro, M. A., Oses, R., Torres-Diaz, C., Atala, C., Zurita-Silva, A., and Ruiz-Lara, S. (2016). Root-endophytes improve the ecophysiological performance and production of an agricultural species under drought condition. *AoB Plants*, **8**. Molina-Montenegro, Marco A Oses, Romulo Torres-Diaz, Cristian Atala, Cristian Zurita-Silva, Andres Ruiz-Lara, Simon eng England 2016/10/30 AoB Plants. 2016 Oct 27;8:plw062. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plw062. Print 2016.
- Montrasio, L., Valentino, R., and Meisina, C. (2018). Soil saturation and stability analysis of a test site slope using the shallow landslide instability prediction (slip) model. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, **36**(4), 2331–2342.
- Munoz-Martin, M. A., Becerra-Absalon, I., Perona, E., Fernandez-Valbuena, L., Garcia-Pichel, F., and Mateo, P. (2019). Cyanobacterial biocrust diversity in mediterranean ecosystems along a latitudinal and climatic gradient. *New Phytol*, **221**(1), 123–141.
- Mutz, S. G., Ehlers, T. A., Werner, M., Lohmann, G., Stepanek, C., and Li, J. (2018). Estimates of late Cenozoic climate change relevant to Earth surface processes in tectonically active orogens. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, **6**(2), 271–301.
- Mägdefrau, K. and Wutz, A. (1951). Die Wasserkapazität der Moos- und Flechtendecke des Waldes. *Forstwissenschaftliches Centralblatt*, **70**(2), 103–117.
- Nelson, P. N. and Baldock, J. A. (2005). Estimating the molecular composition of a diverse range of natural organic materials from solid-state  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR and elemental analyses. *Biogeochemistry*, **72**, 1–34.
- Nemergut, D. R., Costello, E. K., Meyer, A. F., Pescador, M. Y., Weintraub, M. N., and Schmidt, S. K. (2005). Structure and function of alpine and arctic soil microbial communities. *Res Microbiol*, **156**(7), 775–84.
- Nevins, C. J., Strauss, S. L., and Inglett, P. W. (2020). Biological soil crusts enhance moisture and nutrients in the upper rooting zone of sandy soil agroecosystems. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **183**(5), 615–626.
- Newsham, K. K., Hopkins, D. W., Carvalhais, L. C., Fretwell, P. T., Rushton, S. P., O'Donnell, A. G., and Dennis, P. G. (2016). Relationship between soil fungal diversity and temperature in the maritime Antarctic. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**(2), 182–186.

## Bibliography

---

- Nielsen, J. E., Karup, D., de Jonge, L. W., Ahm, M., Bentzen, T. R., Rasmussen, M. R., and Moldrup, P. (2018). Can the volume ratio of coarse to fine particles explain the hydraulic properties of sandy soil? *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **82**(5), 1093–1100.
- Nunan, N., Ritz, K., Crabb, D., Harris, K., Wu, K., Crawford, J. W., and Young, I. M. (2001). Quantification of the in situ distribution of soil bacteria by large-scale imaging of thin sections of undisturbed soil. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **37**(1), 67–77.
- Oades, J. M. (1993). The role of biology in the formation, stabilization and degradation of soil structure. *Geoderma*, **56**(1-4), 377–400.
- Oades, J. M. and Waters, A. G. (1991). Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Soil Research*, **29**(6), 815–828.
- Ochyra, R. and Matteri, C. M. (2001). Bryophyta, musci: Amblystegiaceae. In S. A. Guarnera, I. Gamundi de Amos, and C. Matteri, editors, *Flora Criptogámica de Tierra del Fuego*, volume 14, pages 1–96. Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas de la República Argentina, Buenos Aires.
- Oeser, R. A. and von Blanckenburg, F. (2020). Do degree and rate of silicate weathering depend on plant productivity? *Biogeosciences*, **17**(19), 4883–4917.
- Oeser, R. A., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Köster, M., Brucker, E., Stock, S., Fuentes, J. P., Godoy, R., Matus, F. J., Osés Pedraza, R., Osse McIntyre, P., Paulino, L., Seguel, O., Bader, M. Y., Boy, J., Dippold, M. A., Ehlers, T. A., Kühn, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Scholten, T., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Übernickel, K., Tielbörger, K., Wagner, D., and von Blanckenburg, F. (2018). Chemistry and microbiology of the critical zone along a steep climate and vegetation gradient in the chilean coastal cordillera. *CATENA*, **170**, 183–203.
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., and Wagner, H. (2013). vegan: Community ecology package. *R package version*, **2**(0), 321–326.
- Oliver, M. J., Velten, J., and Mishler, B. D. (2005). Desiccation tolerance in bryophytes: a reflection of the primitive strategy for plant survival in dehydrating habitats? *Integr Comp Biol*, **45**(5), 788–99.
- Ontl, T. and Schulte, L. A. (2012). Soil carbon storage. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.*, **3**.
- Orchard, V. A. and Cook, F. (1983). Relationship between soil respiration and soil moisture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **15**(4), 447–453.

- Pan, S.-Y., Dong, C.-D., Su, J.-F., Wang, P.-Y., Chen, C.-W., Chang, J.-S., Kim, H., Huang, C.-P., and Hung, C.-M. (2021). The role of biochar in regulating the carbon, phosphorus, and nitrogen cycles exemplified by soil systems. *Sustainability*, **13**(10), 5612.
- Pearce, D. A., Newsham, K. K., Thorne, M. A., Calvo-Bado, L., Krsek, M., Laskaris, P., Hodson, A., and Wellington, E. M. (2012). Metagenomic analysis of a southern maritime antarctic soil. *Front Microbiol*, **3**, 403.
- Peterson, R. A. (2021). Finding optimal normalizing transformations via best normalize. *R Journal*, **13**(1).
- Peterson, R. A. and Cavanaugh, J. E. (2020). Ordered quantile normalization: a semi-parametric transformation built for the cross-validation era. *J Appl Stat*, **47**(13-15), 2312–2327.
- Pizarro-Tapia, R., González-Leiva, F., Valdés-Pineda, R., Ingram, B., Sangüesa, C., and Vallejos, C. (2020). A rainfall intensity data rescue initiative for Central Chile utilizing a pluviograph strip charts reader (PSCR). *Water*, **12**(7), 1887.
- Porada, P., Weber, B., Elbert, W., Pöschl, U., and Kleidon, A. (2014). Estimating impacts of lichens and bryophytes on global biogeochemical cycles. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **28**(2), 71–85.
- Prater, I., Zubrzycki, S., Buegger, F., Zoor-Füllgraff, L. C., Angst, G., Dannenmann, M., and Mueller, C. W. (2020). From fibrous plant residues to mineral-associated organic carbon—the fate of organic matter in arctic permafrost soils. *Biogeosciences*, **17**(13), 3367–3383.
- Proctor, M. C. F., Oliver, M. J., Wood, A. J., Alpert, P., Stark, L. R., Cleavitt, N. L., and Mishler, B. D. (2007). Desiccation-tolerance in bryophytes: a review. *The Bryologist*, **110**(4), 595–621, 27.
- Rasse, D. P., Rumpel, C., and Dignac, M.-F. (2005). Is soil carbon mostly root carbon? mechanisms for a specific stabilisation. *Plant and soil*, **269**(1), 341–356.
- Rillig, M. C. (2004). Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **84**(4), 355–363.
- Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seitz, S., Witzgall, K., Rodríguez, V., Kühn, P., Mueller, C. W., Osés, R., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Scholten, T. (2022). Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range. *Soil*, **8**(2), 717–731.

## Bibliography

---

- Roberts, D. W. and Roberts, M. D. W. (2016). labdsv: Ordination and multivariate analysis for ecology. *R package version, 775*, 1–68.
- Rodriguez, V., Bartholomaus, A., Witzgall, K., Riveras-Munoz, N., Oses, R., Liebner, S., Kallmeyer, J., Rach, O., Mueller, C. W., Seguel, O., Scholten, T., and Wagner, D. (2024). Microbial impact on initial soil formation in arid and semiarid environments under simulated climate change. *Front Microbiol*, **15**, 1319997.
- Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Crutzen, P. J., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Weber, B. (2018). Dryland photoautotrophic soil surface communities endangered by global change. *Nature Geoscience*, **11**(3), 185–189.
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Cantón, Y., Chamizo, S., Lázaro, R., and Escudero, A. (2013). Soil loss and runoff in semiarid ecosystems: A complex interaction between biological soil crusts, micro-topography, and hydrological drivers. *Ecosystems*, **16**(4), 529–546.
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Chamizo, S., Roncero-Ramos, B., Román, R., and Cantón, Y. (2018). Runoff from biocrust: A vital resource for vegetation performance on mediterranean steppes. *Ecohydrology*, **11**(6), e1977.
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2005). *Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Studies*. John Wiley Sons, Ltd.
- Rosentreter, R., Eldridge, D. J., Westberg, M., Williams, L., and Grube, M. (2016). *Structure, Composition, and Function of Biocrust Lichen Communities*, pages 121–138. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Rundel, P. W. and Weisser, P. J. (1975). La campana, a new national park in central chile. *Biological Conservation*, **8**(1), 35–46.
- Rutherford, W. A., Painter, T. H., Ferrenberg, S., Belnap, J., Okin, G. S., Flagg, C., and Reed, S. C. (2017). Albedo feedbacks to future climate via climate change impacts on dryland biocrusts. *Sci Rep*, **7**(1), 44188.
- Samolov, E., Baumann, K., Budel, B., Jung, P., Leinweber, P., Mikhailyuk, T., Karsten, U., and Glaser, K. (2020). Biodiversity of algae and cyanobacteria in biological soil crusts collected along a climatic gradient in Chile using an integrative approach. *Microorganisms*, **8**(7), 1047.
- Sancho, L. G., Belnap, J., Colesie, C., Raggio, J., and Weber, B. (2016). Carbon budgets of biological soil crusts at micro-, meso-, and global scales. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 287–304.

- Santibáñez Quezada, F. (2017). *Atlas agroclimático de Chile. Estado actual y tendencias del clima. Tomo II: Regiones de Atacama y Coquimbo.* Universidad de Chile. Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas : FIA, Santiago, Chile.
- Schimel, J. P. and Schaeffer, S. M. (2012). Microbial control over carbon cycling in soil. *Front Microbiol*, **3**, 348.
- Schlecht-Pietsch, S., Wagner, U., and Anderson, T.-H. (1994). Changes in composition of soil polysaccharides and aggregate stability after carbon amendments to different textured soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **1**(2), 145–154.
- Scholten, T. and Seitz, S. (2019). Soil erosion and land degradation. *Soil Systems*, **3**(4), 68.
- Scholten, T., Goebes, P., Kühn, P., Seitz, S., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Bruelheide, H., Buscot, F., Erfmeier, A., Fischer, M., Härdtle, W., He, J.-S., Ma, K., Niklaus, P. A., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Shi, X., Song, Z., von Oheimb, G., Wirth, C., Wubet, T., and Schmidt, K. (2017). On the combined effect of soil fertility and topography on tree growth in subtropical forest ecosystems—a study from SE China. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, **10**(1), 111–127.
- Schweizer, S., Hoeschen, C., Schlüter, S., Kögel-Knabner, I., and Mueller, C. (2018). Rapid soil structure formation after glacial retreat driven by organic matter accrual at the microscale. *Global Change Biology*, **24**, 1637–1650.
- Seitz, S. (2015). *Mechanisms of soil erosion in subtropical Chinese forests-effects of species diversity, species identity, functional traits and soil fauna on sediment discharge.* Thesis.
- Seitz, S., Goebes, P., Song, Z., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., and Scholten, T. (2016). Tree species and functional traits but not species richness affect interrill erosion processes in young subtropical forests. *SOIL*, **2**(1), 49–61.
- Seitz, S., Nebel, M., Goebes, P., Käppeler, K., Schmidt, K., Shi, X., Song, Z., Webber, C. L., Weber, B., and Scholten, T. (2017). Bryophyte-dominated biological soil crusts mitigate soil erosion in an early successional Chinese subtropical forest. *Biogeosciences*, **14**(24), 5775–5788.
- Silva, F. C., Vieira, D. C. S., van der Spek, E., and Keizer, J. J. (2019). Effect of moss crusts on mitigation of post-fire soil erosion. *Ecological Engineering*, **128**, 9–17.
- Six, J., Elliott, E. T., and Paustian, K. (2000). Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **32**(14), 2099–2103.

## Bibliography

---

- Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., and Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **79**(1), 7–31.
- Slessarev, E. W., Lin, Y., Bingham, N. L., Johnson, J. E., Dai, Y., Schimel, J. P., and Chadwick, O. A. (2016). Water balance creates a threshold in soil pH at the global scale. *Nature*, **540**(7634), 567–569.
- Steven, B., Gallegos-Graves, L. V., Belnap, J., and Kuske, C. R. (2013). Dryland soil microbial communities display spatial biogeographic patterns associated with soil depth and soil parent material. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **86**(1), 101–113.
- Stradling, D. A., Thygerson, T., Walker, J. A., Smith, B. N., Hansen, L. D., Criddle, R. S., and Pendleton, R. L. (2002). Cryptogamic crust metabolism in response to temperature, water vapor, and liquid water. *Thermochimica Acta*, **394**(1-2), 219–225.
- Szyja, M., Felde, V., Luckel, S., Tabarelli, M., Leal, I. R., Budel, B., and Wirth, R. (2023). Biological soil crusts decrease infiltration but increase erosion resistance in a human-disturbed tropical dry forest. *Front Microbiol*, **14**, 1136322.
- Team, R. C. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Team, R. C. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Thielen, S. M., Gall, C., Ebner, M., Nebel, M., Scholten, T., and Seitz, S. (2021). Water's path from moss to soil: A multi-methodological study on water absorption and evaporation of soil-moss combinations. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **69**(4), 421–435.
- Totsche, K. U., Amelung, W., Gerzabek, M. H., Guggenberger, G., Klumpp, E., Knief, C., Lehndorff, E., Mikutta, R., Peth, S., Prechtel, A., Ray, N., and Kögel-Knabner, I. (2018). Microaggregates in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **181**(1), 104–136.
- Tourney, J. and Ngwenya, B. T. (2014). The role of bacterial extracellular polymeric substances in geomicrobiology. *Chemical Geology*, **386**, 115–132.
- Vidal, A., Hirte, J., Bender, S. F., Mayer, J., Gattinger, A., Höschen, C., Schädler, S., Iqbal, T. M., and Mueller, C. W. (2018). Linking 3D soil structure and plant-microbe-soil carbon transfer in the rhizosphere. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, **6**, 9.
- Wagner, S., Cattle, S. R., and Scholten, T. (2007). Soil-aggregate formation as influenced by clay content and organic-matter amendment. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **170**(1), 173–180.

- Wang, R., Mattox, C. M., Phillips, C. L., and Kowalewski, A. R. (2022). Carbon sequestration in turfgrass-soil systems. *Plants (Basel)*, **11**(19), 2478.
- Wang, Y., Chen, B., Wieder, W. R., Leite, M., Medlyn, B. E., Rasmussen, M., Smith, M. J., Agusto, F. B., Hoffman, F., and Luo, Y. (2014). Oscillatory behavior of two nonlinear microbial models of soil carbon decomposition. *Biogeosciences*, **11**(7), 1817–1831.
- Weber, B., Wu, D., Tamm, A., Ruckteschler, N., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Steinkamp, J., Meusel, H., Elbert, W., Behrendt, T., Sorgel, M., Cheng, Y., Crutzen, P. J., Su, H., and Poschl, U. (2015). Biological soil crusts accelerate the nitrogen cycle through large no and hono emissions in drylands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**(50), 15384–9.
- Weber, B., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Antoninka, A. J., Barger, N. N., Chaudhary, V. B., Darrouzet-Nardi, A., Eldridge, D. J., Faist, A. M., Ferrenberg, S., Havrilla, C. A., Huber-Sannwald, E., Malam Issa, O., Maestre, F. T., Reed, S. C., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Tucker, C., Young, K. E., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhou, X., and Bowker, M. A. (2022). What is a biocrust? a refined, contemporary definition for a broadening research community. *Biological Reviews*, **97**(5), 1768–1785.
- Whitney, K. M., Vivoni, E. R., Duniway, M. C., Bradford, J. B., Reed, S. C., and Belnap, J. (2017). Ecohydrological role of biological soil crusts across a gradient in levels of development. *Ecohydrology*, **10**(7), e1875.
- Wickam, H. (2016). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*, volume 16 of *Springer-Verlag*. Accessed March. 2 edition.
- Williams, C. J., Pierson, F. B., Al-Hamdan, O. Z., Nouwakpo, S. K., Johnson, J. C., Polyakov, V. O., Kormos, P. R., Shaff, S. E., and Spaeth, K. E. (2022). Assessing runoff and erosion on woodland-encroached sagebrush steppe using the rangeland hydrology and erosion model. *Ecosphere*, **13**(6), e4145.
- Witzgall, K., Hesse, B. D., Pacay-Barrientos, N. L., Jansa, J., Seguel, O., Osés, R., Buegger, F., Guigue, J., Rojas, C., Rousk, K., Grams, T. E. E., Pietrasik, N., and Mueller, C. W. (2024). Soil carbon and nitrogen cycling at the atmosphere-soil interface: Quantifying the responses of biocrust-soil interactions to global change. *Glob Chang Biol*, **30**(10), e17519.
- Xiao, B., Ma, S., and Hu, K. (2019). Moss biocrusts regulate surface soil thermal properties and generate buffering effects on soil temperature dynamics in dryland ecosystem. *Geoderma*, **351**, 9–24.

## Bibliography

---

- Xiao, B., Bowker, M. A., Zhao, Y., Chamizo, S., and Issa, O. M. (2022). Biocrusts: Engineers and architects of surface soil properties, functions, and processes in dryland ecosystems. *Geoderma*, **424**, 116015.
- Xing, X. and Lourenço, S. D. N. (2023). Hydrophobicity assessment of sands treated with tung oil. In W. Guo and K. Qian, editors, *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Green Building, Civil Engineering and Smart City*, pages 1084–1090. Springer Nature Singapore.
- Xu, H., Zhang, Y., Shao, X., and Liu, N. (2022). Soil nitrogen and climate drive the positive effect of biological soil crusts on soil organic carbon sequestration in drylands: A meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ*, **803**, 150030.
- Young, K. E., Ferrenberg, S., Reibold, R., Reed, S. C., Swenson, T., Northen, T., and Darrouzet-Nardi, A. (2022). Vertical movement of soluble carbon and nutrients from biocrusts to subsurface mineral soils. *Geoderma*, **405**, 115495.
- Zeng, Z., Liu, D., Dai, Q., Zeng, J., and Jiang, J. (2025). Effects of moss patches on the sediment loss, flow hydraulics and surface microtopography of soil slopes in karst mountainous areas. *Catena*, **249**, 108672.
- Zhang, Z.-S., Song, X.-L., Lu, X.-G., and Xue, Z.-S. (2013). Ecological stoichiometry of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in estuarine wetland soils: influences of vegetation coverage, plant communities, geomorphology, and seawalls. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, **13**(6), 1043–1051.
- Zhao, Y., Qin, N., Weber, B., and Xu, M. (2014). Response of biological soil crusts to raindrop erosivity and underlying influences in the hilly loess plateau region, China. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **23**(7), 1669–1686.
- Štyriaková, I., Mockovčiaková, A., Štyriak, I., Kraus, I., Uhlík, P., Madejová, J., and Orolínová, Z. (2012). Bioleaching of clays and iron oxide coatings from quartz sands. *Applied Clay Science*, **61**, 1–7.

# **Appendix A**

## **Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range**

### **Manuscript 1**

*SOIL*, 8, 717–731, 2022  
*DOI:* 10.5194/soil-8-717-2022

Nicolás Riveras-Muñoz<sup>1\*</sup>, Steffen Seitz<sup>1</sup>, Kristina Witzgall<sup>2</sup>, Victoria Rodríguez<sup>3</sup>, Peter Kühn<sup>1</sup>, Carsten W. Mueller<sup>4</sup>, Rómulo Oses<sup>5</sup>, Oscar Seguel<sup>6</sup>, Dirk Wagner<sup>3,7</sup>, and Thomas Scholten<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Tübingen, Department of Geosciences, Soil Science and Geomorphology, Rümelinstr. 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Technical University of Munich, Soil Science, Emil-Ramann-Str. 2, 85354 Freising, Germany

<sup>3</sup> GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section 5.3 Geomicrobiology, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Universidad de Atacama, Centro Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Sustentable de Atacama (CRIDESAT), Copayapu 485, Copiapó, Chile

<sup>6</sup> Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Av. Santa Rosa #11315, La Pintana, 8820808 Santiago, Chile

<sup>7</sup> University of Potsdam, Institute of Geosciences, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

Submitted: March 07, 2022

Accepted: October 22, 2022

Published: December 07, 2022



## Abstract

Biological soil crusts (biocrusts) composed of cyanobacteria, bacteria, algae, fungi, lichens, and bryophytes stabilize the soil surface. This effect has mainly been studied in arid climates, where biocrusts constitute the main biological agent to stabilize and connect soil aggregates. Besides, biocrusts are an integral part of the soil surface under mediterranean and humid climate conditions, mainly covering open spaces in forests and on denuded lands. They often develop after vegetation disturbances, when their ability to compete with vascular plants increases, acting as pioneer communities and affecting the stability of soil aggregates. To better understand how biocrusts mediate changes in soil aggregate stability under different climate conditions, we analyzed soil aggregate samples collected under biocrust communities from four national parks in Chile along a large climatic gradient ranging from (north to south) arid (Pan de Azúcar), semi-arid (Santa Gracia), mediterranean (La Campana) to humid (Nahuelbuta). Biocrust communities showed a stabilizing effect on the soil aggregates in dry fractions for the three northern and the wet aggregates for the southernmost sites. Here, permanent vascular plants and higher contents of organic carbon and nitrogen in the soil control aggregate stability more than biocrusts, which are in intense competition with higher plant communities. Moreover, we found an increase in stability for aggregate size classes <2.0 mm and 9.5–30.0 mm. The geometric mean diameter of the soil aggregates showed a clear effect due to the climatic gradient, indicating that the aggregate stability presents a log-normal instead of a normal distribution, with a trend of low change between aggregate size fractions. Based on our results, we assume that biocrusts affect the soil structure in all climates. Their role in aggregate stability is masked under humid conditions by higher vegetation and organic matter contents in the topsoil.

## A.1 Introduction

In recent years, biological soil crusts (biocrusts) have gained particular interest as stabilizers of soil aggregates. Such biocrusts are highly variable communities of microscopic (cyanobacteria, algae, fungi, and bacteria) and macroscopic (lichens, bryophytes) organisms found on the surface and in the upper centimeters of the soil (Gao *et al.*, 2017). They stabilize the soil surface (Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2016), especially in arid climates, where biocrusts are the main biological agents for consolidating and connecting soil aggregates (Belnap and Büdel, 2016). However, biocrusts are also present in more mesic regions (e.g., pine barrens, serpentine soils, temperate steppe) (Belnap *et al.*, 2016), but due to their limited ability to compete for light, they are mainly relegated to open spaces or interspaces between vascular plants where sunlight reaches the soil surface (Issa *et al.*, 1999).

Because of their simple structure, biocrusts are present in a wide variety of climatic

conditions. Biocrust organisms lack specialized desiccation control structures, such as stomata or impermeable cuticles, so their water content depends on the humidity in the surrounding environment (Thielen *et al.*, 2021). However, low water demand, high drought tolerance (Chen *et al.*, 2020), the ability to grow actively only when water is available, and to recover without physiological damage even after completely drying out for an extended period (Oliver *et al.*, 2005) compensate the lack of dedicated structures. For this reason, biocrusts form an almost continuous layer in arid regions where water availability limits vascular plant cover (Grote *et al.*, 2010)(Colesie *et al.*, 2014). By slightly increasing the water availability, areas covered by plants and biocrusts increase in self-organized patterns where both coexist. However, when water demand is no longer restrained, vascular plants have an advantage in using light due to their canopy development, which leads to a decline of biocrusts (Chen *et al.*, 2018).

The cover and composition of biocrusts strongly depend on water availability (Bowker *et al.*, 2016). Under dry conditions, with high potential evapotranspiration, biocrusts are dominated by cyanobacteria, bacteria, and micro-fungi, with few bryophytes or lichens present. However, the occurrence of lichens is not restricted to more humid locations, but lichens were also found in arid regions like Pan de Azucar in northern Chile (Jung *et al.*, 2020b,a). It implies that the external morphology of the biocrusts ranges from smooth to rugose (Chamizo *et al.*, 2016). In terms of soil conditions, the water-holding capacity determines how much water can be stored in the soil. Typical sources of soil water are precipitation in general and, more specifically, at valley bottoms with close connection to the groundwater table, also groundwater. Further, available water for lichen growth can be provided by fog and dew (Jung *et al.*, 2019). Pore space and pore size and following water-holding capacity of a soil largely depends on the parent material and its degree of weathering. Thus, soil formation indirectly controls the distribution and composition of biocrusts at ecoregional and local scales (Bowker *et al.*, 2016). For instance, Steven *et al.* (2013) showed that the composition of biocrust communities differed at vertical scales of a few centimeters in soils with different parent material origins, while Bowker *et al.* (2016) concluded that heterogeneous distributions in parent materials result in abrupt transitions in biocrust distribution and cover.

Biocrusts can be understood as an organic-sedimentary system within the topsoil where the inorganic and the organic part play dynamic roles in determining the architecture, evolution, and properties of the system, including structure and aggregate stability. On a small spatial scale, biocrusts interact with the soil system in nitrogen and carbon cycling (Barger *et al.*, 2016). Globally, Elbert *et al.* (2012) pointed out that cryptogamic covers take up 3.9 Pg C per year. The main processes of nitrogen enrichment are biological fixation and dust capture, while nitrogen losses typically appear via dissolution, volatilization, and erosional loss (Barger *et al.*, 2016). Photosynthesis is the most crucial carbon fixation process (Elbert *et al.*, 2012; Porada *et al.*, 2014), and soil erosion and biological decomposition are the primary loss source of carbon and other nutrients

(Li *et al.*, 2008).

Biocrusts affect soil erosion by acting as a physical barrier that shields the soil from direct exposition to water and wind (Seitz *et al.*, 2016), protecting it from the effect of raindrops and, thus, splash erosion (Seitz *et al.*, 2017; Goebes *et al.*, 2015) and modulating the abrasive effect of wind and surface runoff (Belnap and Büdel, 2016). At the same time, biocrusts control water flow across the landscape and through the soil matrix (Thielen *et al.*, 2021; Eldridge *et al.*, 2020). Eldridge *et al.* (2020) described a decrease in surface runoff and an increase in water infiltration in the presence of biocrusts under semi-arid conditions, related to a reduction in sediment discharge. The influence of biocrusts on the composition of the soil porosity is variable and depends on its stage of development and composition. In some cases, this structuration generates discontinuities that hinder the flow of water in the soil, while in others, it generates a decrease in the tortuosity that is reflected in rapid infiltration (Fischer *et al.*, 2013). Water infiltration usually is inversely related to surface runoff (Lichner *et al.*, 2012). The successional stage of biocrusts affects water repellency compared to bare soil (Drahorad *et al.*, 2013). It has been observed that with the development of biocrusts, the water repellency increased, and the sorptivity and conductivity decreased (Fischer *et al.*, 2012; Lichner *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, biocrusts affect soil erosion and hydrology through a wide variety of processes (Belnap and Büdel, 2016).

In regards to the stability of the soil surface, biocrusts further have a binding effect on aggregates and can form coherent structures (Belnap and Büdel, 2016). Typically, the organic carbon in the form of exo-polysaccharides or structural filaments of the different organisms present within biocrust communities causes soil stabilization (Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2016). Other structure-forming processes due to biocrusts, although to a lesser extent, are the compressive and drying action on the soil matrix and the pH-dependent dissolution of cementing salts (Bowker *et al.*, 2016). The biocrusts-induced soil aggradation results in the formation of a defined layer, increasing the soil resistance and resilience to wind and water (Rosentreter *et al.*, 2016).

Biocrusts stabilize individual aggregate units through different mechanisms depending on their species composition (Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2016). For instance, bacteria, cyanobacteria, and also green-algae play a crucial role in forming and stabilizing aggregates by extracellular polymeric substances that glue soil particles together (Six *et al.*, 2004; Lewin, 1956). Vegetal debris serves as aggregation cores where the soil microorganisms use it as an energy source, but rapid decomposition is limited by the interaction with the inorganic matrix (Oades and Waters, 1991). On the other hand, fungi are important in forming soil aggregates due to their hyphal structure, which physically enmeshes microaggregates and soil particles (Totsche *et al.*, 2018). In summary, soil aggregate stabilization processes are dynamic and occur at different temporal and spatial scales, where an aggregate of soil particles is built up of structural units of various sizes held together by various binding agents.

In this study, we investigate how and to what extent biocrusts under different climatic conditions stabilize the soil surface. Therefore, we compare the stability of macroaggregates and varying soil properties in topsoil with or without biocrust cover along a climatic gradient from arid to humid climate conditions along the Chilean Coastal Range. We test the following hypotheses:

- (i) if biocrusts cover the soil surface, soil aggregates show higher stability because the biocrusts protect the soil surface physically, shelter soil organic matter within aggregates, modify the structure of microbial communities, and change water flow into the soil,
- (ii) if the climate is arid, the effect of biocrusts on the soil surface is most pronounced because other sources of organic matter are at minimum, and biocrusts represent the main soil cover, and
- (iii) if the humidity of the climate increases, the stabilizing effects of biocrust are reduced, although without disappearing entirely, because water availability increases and higher vegetation hinder the growth of biocrusts.

## A.2 Materials and methods

### A.2.1 Study sites and experimental settings

In order to assess the climatic effect on soil and its interactions with biocrusts, four study sites distributed between latitudes from 26°6'S to 37°48'S and over 1300 km were established in the Chilean Coastal Range: Pan de Azúcar National Park (PA), Santa Gracia Natural Reserve (SG), La Campana National Park (LC), and Nahuelbuta National Park (NA), corresponding to arid, semi-arid, mediterranean, and humid climates, respectively (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018).

The study sites are comparable in geology, geomorphology, land use, and glacial and volcanic influence (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018). The parent material in all the study sites is granitoid, keeping this factor of soil formation nearly constant along the studied gradient (Oeser *et al.*, 2018). The dominant topography is generally fluvial valleys, and the sites had no glacial influence during the last glaciation (Hulton *et al.*, 2002). The sites are located within nature protection areas, with limited anthropogenic influence compared to the surrounding areas. Despite this, the occasional entry of cows to LC (Rundel and Weisser, 1975) and goats to SG (Armesto and Arroyo, 2007) has been reported. These conditions allow us to focus on the environmental effect on two other soil-forming factors, i.e., climate and vegetation.

The mean annual temperature (MAT) decreases from north to south (PA: 16.8 °C, SG: 13.7 °C, LC: 14.1 °C, NA: 6.6 °C). The mean annual precipitation (MAP) in the study

sites increases from north to south (PA:  $12 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ , SG:  $66 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ , LC:  $367 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ , NA:  $1469 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ), with similar rainfall distribution mostly concentrated in winter months (May to August) (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018). The elevation of the sites increases from north to south (PA: 329 m to 351 m a.s.l., SG: 642 m to 720 m a.s.l., LC: 708 m to 732 m a.s.l., NA: 1200 m to 1270 m a.s.l.). Paleoclimate modeling studies (Mutz *et al.*, 2018) indicate that these climate patterns have been persistent since the late Pliocene; thus, the study sites represent the long-term impact of climate on the soil (Ewing *et al.*, 2006). Bernhard *et al.* (2018) classified soils in the study sites as Regosols in PA, Cambisols for SG and LC, and Umbrisols in NA. In general, pedogenic processes such as soil depth, clay contents, organic matter accumulation, porosity, and activity ratio are correlated with the humidity of the site.

For each of the four study sites, five plots of  $1 \times 1 \text{ m}$  were established as replicates. Each plot was located in the top-slope position with south-facing exposition, considering the presence of site-typical biological soil crust communities, similar slope and aspect, lack of anthropogenic disturbance, and a maximum distance of 30 m between each plot. Each plot included patches with at least the size of the samples with 100 % biocrust cover (BSC+), and additionally, a nearby point without biocrust cover (BSC-) was defined as control.

### A.2.2 Biocrust sampling and classification

Biocrust patches of approximately  $100 \text{ cm}^2$  were identified according to Lange and Belnap (2016) and collected in the field by carefully detaching the biocrust layer, removing the loose soil, and storing it in paper envelopes after air-drying for every research plot. Samolov *et al.* (2020) describes the a biocrusts dominance in PA with cover of up to 40%. The other study sites are dominated by higher vegetation that limits the cover of biocrust up to 15% in SG and 5% in LC and NA. Sampled communities showed all typical biocrust classes from cyanobacteria, algae, fungi, lichens, liverworts, and mosses. The species composition further showed a graduating change from lichen-dominating biocrusts in the northernmost site to bryophyte-dominating biocrusts in the southernmost site. Biocrusts in NA were specifically found in zones of forest soil disturbance. Bryophytes were sampled with rhizoids down to 5 mm depth; all other species were down to 2 mm. Dominant macroscopic biocrust species were determined for each of the four sites to the genus level by morphological characteristics using a stereomicroscope (Leitz TS, Wetzlar, Germany), a transmitted-light microscope (Leitz Laborlux S, Wetzlar, Germany), and ultraviolet light. Species groups were separated into bryophytes (Lightowers, 1985; He, 1998; Ochyra and Matteri, 2001; Cuvertino *et al.*, 2012; Fariña and Ardiles, 2014) and lichens (Galloway and Quilhot, 2009) and assigned to the different regions (Table A.1). Baumann *et al.* (2018), based on morphological identification of enrichment cultures, reported that the biocrusts of all studied areas were composed of 18 to 15 species of algae and cyanobacteria; where the richness of green algae increased,

while the richness of cyanobacteria decreased with increasing humidity and decreasing mean annual temperature. While Samolov *et al.* (2020), based on morphological and molecular traits, reported 18 species in PA, 26 species in SG, 40 species in LC, and 27 species in NA. A more detailed survey and classification of individual species, including algae and cyanobacteria, will be sought for further studies.



**Figure A.1:** Sampled biological soil crust for PA (a), SG (b), LC (c), and NA (d).

**Table A.1:** Taxonomical composition of mosses and lichens in the biological soil crust for the study sites along the climatic gradient.

| Site / Division                         | Family            | Genus                   | No. species |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| <b>PdA</b>                              |                   |                         |             |
| Lichens                                 | Cladoniaceae      | <i>Cladonia</i> sp.     | 2           |
|                                         | Verrucariaceae    | <i>Placidium</i> sp.    | 2           |
|                                         | Lecanoraceae      | <i>Lecidella</i> sp.    | 1           |
|                                         | Rhizocarpaceae    | <i>Rhizocarpon</i> sp.  | 1           |
| <b>SG</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Pottiaceae        | <i>Syntrichia</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Tortella</i> sp.     | 2           |
| Unidentified lichens                    |                   |                         | 2           |
| <b>LC</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Bartramiaceae     | <i>Philonotis</i> sp.   | 1           |
|                                         | Bryaceae          | <i>Bryum</i> sp.        | 1           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Syntrichia</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pottiaceae        | <i>Tortella</i> sp.     | 2           |
| Unidentified mosses and lichens         |                   |                         | 2 + 1       |
| <b>NA</b>                               |                   |                         |             |
| Mosses                                  | Amblystegiaceae   | <i>Acrocladium</i> sp.  | 1           |
|                                         | Amblystegiaceae   | <i>Amblystegium</i> sp. | 1           |
|                                         | Bartramiaceae     | <i>Bartramia</i> sp.    | 1           |
|                                         | Bryaceae          | <i>Bryum</i> sp.        | 1           |
|                                         | Dicranaceae       | <i>Campylopus</i> sp.   | 2           |
|                                         | Pterigynandraceae | <i>Myurella</i> sp.     | 1           |
| Unidentified liverworts, lichens, fungi |                   |                         | 2 + 2 + 1   |

### A.2.3 Soil sampling and analyses

For soil characterization, bulk topsoil samples (0–5 cm) were taken with metal-core sample augers directly under biocrust patches and in comparative zones without biocrust cover and sieved to 2 mm after air drying. Bulk density (BD) and soil water content were determined gravimetrically. The particle size distribution was determined for seven fractions according to Köhn (1929), combining sieving of fractions >20 µm and pipetting of fractions <20 µm. Soil texture was interpreted according to the WRB soil classification system (Jahn *et al.*, 2006). Soil pH was determined in water by a WTW pH 340 (WTW GmbH, Weilheim, Germany) using a Sentix 81 electrode, and electrical conductivity was measured with a conductivity meter (LE703, Mettler Toledo, USA). Total carbon (C<sub>t</sub>) and nitrogen (N<sub>t</sub>) of the bulk topsoil samples (0–5 cm) were analyzed using oxidative heat combustion at 1150 °C in a Vario EL III elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Total organic carbon (TOC) was corrected by the carbonate content of samples with pH >6.7. The carbonate content was deter-

mined from the volumetric titration of the reaction with 10% HCl using a calcimeter (Eijkelkamp, Giesbeek, Netherlands).

The physical stability of soil aggregates was measured to quantify the destructive effect of water and mechanical forces through two-stage sieving: dry and wet (Horn, 2009). Water-stable aggregates were measured by sieving 200 g of undisturbed, air-dried soil samples, homogenized to 30.0 mm, through a stack of sieves of decreasing mesh size (19.0, 14.7, 9.8, 6.8, 4.8, 3.3, 2.0 mm, plus that collected the remainder below 2.0 mm) and then repeating the same process underwater (Six *et al.*, 2000). Finally, coarse fragments (stones) were removed, and the values for each size were calculated relative to the weight of the initial sample. With this aggregate stability data, accumulated frequency curves were calculated, and a set of stability indexes were estimated: difference in mean weight diameter of the aggregates ( $\Delta MWD$ ) (Horn, 2009; Bavel, 1950; Loaiza Puerta *et al.*, 2018), difference in geometric mean diameter ( $\Delta GMD$ ) (Mazurak, 1950; Kemper and Rosenau, 1986), water stability aggregate ratio (WSAR) (Liu *et al.*, 2014) and the proportion of soil macroaggregates of a diameter less than 2 mm ( $R < 2$  mm) (Liang *et al.*, 2015) as described below.  $\Delta MWD$  and  $\Delta GMD$  indicate how much the average diameter of soil aggregates changes between dry and wet conditions. The main difference between  $\Delta MWD$  and  $\Delta GMD$  is that the first considers a linear behavior between the different aggregate size classes, while the  $\Delta GMD$  considers a logarithmic fitting.

#### **Difference in mean weight diameter ( $\Delta MWD$ )**

$$\Delta MWD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i * W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i}$$

where  $W_i$  is the corrected mass proportion of stable aggregate fraction  $i$  in the total 2–30 mm aggregate fractions, and  $X_i$  is the mean diameter of stable aggregate fraction  $i$ . and  $n$  is the number of particle fractions.

#### **Difference in geometric mean diameter ( $\Delta GMD$ )**

$$\Delta GMD = \exp \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \lg W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i} \right]$$

where  $X_i$  is the sieve opening size (mm),  $W_i$  is the proportion of the total sample mass occurring in the  $i$ -size fraction, and  $n$  is the number of particle fractions.

#### **Water stability aggregate ratio (WSAR)**

$$WSAR = \frac{WSA}{A} * 100$$

where  $WSA$  is the >2 mm water-stable aggregate content, and  $A$  is the >2 mm dry aggregate content.

#### Proportion of soil macroaggregate of a diameter less than 2 mm ( $R_{<2mm}$ )

$$R_{<2mm} = \frac{W_{r>2}}{W_T} * 100 = \left( 1 - \frac{W_{r<2}}{W_T} \right)$$

where  $W_{r>2}$  is the weight of macroaggregates with a diameter less than 2 mm,  $W_T$  is the total sample weight,  $W_{r<2}$  is the weight of microaggregates with a diameter less than 2 mm.


#### A.2.4 Statistical analyses

The influence of the climatic gradient (study site) and biocrust presence on physico-chemical soil parameters and aggregate stability in 40 plots (4 study sites, 2 biocrust treatments, 5 replicates) were assessed by factorial generalized linear models (GLM) because of the lack of normal distribution for most of the variables according to the Shapiro-Wilk test. The link functions used for each model were selected based on the lowest Akaike information criterion (AIC) selection and characteristics of the data (skewness, counts, continuous variables, proportions) between Gaussian, Gamma, inverse Gaussian, and Tweedie distributions. Differences in treatments were tested using Tukey post-hoc-test with  $p < 0.05$  as significance criteria. The analyses were conducted in R 4.2.0 (Team, 2018), and the GLM distributions were extended from the base R core with the Tweedie 2.3.3 package (Dunn, 2017). All visualizations were made with the package ggplot2 3.3.3 (Wickam, 2016).

### A.3 Results

#### A.3.1 Soil properties

Soil pH was significantly affected by the climatic gradient (Figure A.2), with mean values of 7.7 in PA, 6.2 in SG, 5.9 in LC, and 4.4 in NA, with acidification levels of 6.2 in BSC- to 5.9 in BSC+. In terms of electrical conductivity (EC), a remarkably higher value of  $2646.1 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  in PA is outstanding in comparison with the low and homogeneous values of  $109.3 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  for SG,  $153.8 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  for LC, and  $102.3 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$  for NA. EC did not differ for the biocrust treatment. Nevertheless, when looking at the site and biocrust in combination, the BSC+ results in a reduction of the EC in PA; meanwhile, in SG, LC, and NA there was no noticeable change.



**Figure A.2:** Mean and standard error of soil properties for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC) and Nahuelbuta (NA), without (BSC-) and with biocrust cover (BSC+), and the interaction of both factors. (EC = electrical conductivity, BD = bulk density,  $C_t$  = total carbon, SOC = soil organic carbon, SIC = soil inorganic carbon,  $N_t$  = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon to nitrogen ratio). Significant factors include Tukey's Post-hoc-Test indicated by letters on the top of the bars. Different letters represent significant differences at  $p < 0.05$ .

Bulk density (BD) showed a significant difference between the study sites, with higher values in the two dryer sites, with  $1.5 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  in PA, and  $1.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  in SG, and a decrease in the more humid sites, with  $1.2 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  in LC and  $0.6 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$  in NA. Biocrust showed no changes for BD in SG and NA, but in PA BSC+ resulted in a reduction of the BD of 18.2%, while in LC BSC+ showed an increase in BD of 21.9% (Figure A.2).

Total carbon ( $C_t$ ) content was directly proportional to the humidity along the climatic gradient (Figure A.2), with values of 1.1% in PA, 0.8% in SG, 5.0% in LC, and 12.5% in NA, but with a significant decrease when the BSC+ is present, from 5.6% to 4.2% in average. Soil inorganic carbon (SIC) was significantly higher in PA, with an average of 0.8% of the mass of the soil, while in SG, LC, and NA, it was not found. When looking at the SIC along the different sites and under biocrust in combination, the BSC+ results in a reduction of 70.1% in the SIC for PA, while in SG, LC and NA was no change. Soil organic carbon (SOC) showed a similar pattern as  $C_t$ , with a reduction in PA to 0.3% and the same 0.8% in SG, 5.0% in LC, and 12.5%. Total nitrogen ( $N_t$ ) content was directly proportional to the humidity along the climatic gradient, with values of 0.04% for PA, 0.07% for SG, 0.28% for LC and 0.51% for (Figure A.2). BSC+ showed a reduction of 30.3% in LC and 22.3% in NA, while the  $N_t$  content remained stable in PA and SG under the influence of BSC. The relation between  $C_t$  and  $N_t$ , expressed as C/N, showed significantly different values of 33.9 in PA, 12.3 in SG, 16.7 in LC, and 24.5 in NA on average; and with a significant decrease from 27.0 in BSC- to 16.7 in BSC+ (Figure A.2). It is important to note that although PA and NA present the highest values, the condition changes diametrically when observed together with the BSC+ treatment, with a large dispersion in PA and stable values in NA.

The distribution of the soil particle size classes did not show clear patterns along the climatic gradient, with PA deviating from it in all cases. Despite this, the observed values were significant, with clay values of 9.6% for PA, 7.3% in SG, 10.4% in LC, and 24.6% in NA, while when looking at the interaction between site and biocrust, there is an increase of 143.4% in clay content for the BSC+ condition, while for SG, LC and NA was no difference; silt with 28.9% in PA, 18.7% in SG, 20.0% in LC and 21.9% in NA; and sand with 61.5% in PA, 73.9% in SG, 69.6% in LC and 53.5% in NA. When biocrusts were present, a significant decrease from 13.6% to 12.6% in clays and an increase from 21.2% to 23.6% in silt was observed, with higher dispersion for the arid site (PA) (Figure A.2).


### A.3.2 Soil aggregate stability

Dry sieving showed a significant difference between study sites but not for the biocrust treatment (Figure A.3). Dry aggregates in the 19.5–30.0 mm range showed significantly different values of 4.4% in PA, 1.2% in SG, 5.5% in LC, and 36.2% in NA. The fraction 9.5–19.0 mm revealed differences in the interaction between study sites and biocrusts, increasing the proportion of aggregates from 13.2% in PA to 19.3% SG and 29.3% in

LC, not in NA with only 2.9% in the presence of biocrusts. The aggregates between 6.7–9.5 mm showed a significant decrease from 13.3% in PA, 5.2% in SG, 6.5% in LC, and 4.1% in NA. In interaction with biocrusts, it showed a significant increase in the proportion when it was present. The fraction from 4.7–6.7 mm showed significantly different values of 13.6% in PA, 3.4% in SG, 5.0% in LC, and 5.6% in NA, while the interaction with biocrusts showed a significant increase when present (BSC+). Aggregates from 3.4–4.7 mm size showed significance among study sites, with 9.8% in PA, 3.6% in SG, 4.4% in LC, and 7.9% in NA. Aggregates between 2.0–3.4 mm showed a slightly similar amount of 9.9% in PA, 5.9% in LC, and 14.3% in NA, but with a minor proportion of 4.9% in LC, while when biocrust is present in LC, it showed a slight decrease. Finally, for the dry sieved aggregates under 2 mm, there was a significant reduction for the study sites, with values of 69.1% in SG and 47.3% in LC relative to 30.1% in PA, but not in NA with 28.9%; while the biocrust effect in interaction with the site is significant with 60.6% in SG and 40.8% in LC, indicating the same proportion of aggregates in this site for SG with 30.0% and NA with 39.8%.


In a second stage, aggregate stability under wet conditions was characterized, with a clear difference between sites, while biocrusts had a significant effect only on the aggregate size classes <2.0 mm and 19.0–30.0 mm (Figure A.3). At the same time, the fraction 19.0–30.0 mm showed an increasing significant pattern in the amount of aggregates, with 2.8% in NA, 0.8% in SG, 8.9% in LC, and 29.9% in PA, while biocrusts significantly increased the proportion of aggregates by 35.6%, from 9.0% for BSC- to 12.2% for BSC+. For the fraction 9.5–19.0 mm, there were significant differences between the study sites, the biocrust effect, and its interactions, with an increase from 5.4% to 11.7% on average when biocrusts were present. The wet sieved aggregates in the range of 6.7–9.5 mm showed significant differences only between the study sites, with 10.6% in PA, 2.5% in SG, 4.2% in LC and 4.3% in NA. Wet aggregates in the range of 4.7–6.7 mm showed significant differences between the study sites with 10.6% in PA, 2.3% in SG, 3.7% in LC and 5.8% in NA. The fraction between 3.4–4.7 mm showed only significant differences between the study sites, with 6.9% in PA, 2.2% in SG, 3.0% in LC, and 6.1% in NA. Aggregates ranging from 2.0–3.4 mm showed differences between the study sites, with 5.8% in PA, 3.2% in SG, 4.2% in LC, and 6.8% in NA. The fractions under 2.0 mm were significantly different for the study sites, with 58.3% in PA, 79.6% in SG, 62.4% in LC, and 41.3% in NA; and for biocrusts from 63.9% for BSC+ treatment to 56.9% for BSC- treatment.

### A.3 Results



**Figure A.3:** Mean value and standard error of aggregate proportion for dry (a) and wet (b) sieved fractions for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments. Significant factors and corresponding models for response variables are included in Appendix A.2.


When comparing the changes of the aggregate distributions between wet and dry conditions (Figure A.4), an irregular pattern was observed, with a general decrease in most of the analyzed fractions, except for an increase in the amount of aggregates of 19.0 mm for NA. This was even higher than for the BSC+ treatment. It is important to mention that NA also showed a slight increase in the proportion of 9.5 mm and 6.7 mm aggregates.



**Figure A.4:** Mean and standard deviation of aggregate size distribution changes between dry and wet sieving for the sites Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments. Error bars were, in some cases, truncated for better visualization.

Soil aggregate stability was evaluated through different indexes to integrate the different sizes and sieving conditions in a summary value. The difference in mean weight

diameter of the aggregates ( $\Delta\text{MWD}$ ) showed no significance in any of the conditions (Figure A.5). However, there was a significant difference in the difference of the geometric mean diameter of the aggregates ( $\Delta\text{GMD}$ ) for the study sites, with values of 1.86 mm for PA, 1.2 mm for SG, 1.4 mm for LC, in comparison with the more stable condition of 0.83 mm for NA. The water-stable aggregates ratio was significant for the study sites, showing differences between NA with 81.1% and the other study sites (57.7% PA, 66.2% SG, and 73.4% LC). The ratio of soil macroaggregates of a diameter less than 2.0 mm ( $R_{<0.0\text{mm}}$ ) presents differences in the study sites and for biocrust treatments. SG showed a value of  $R_{<2\text{mm}}$  of 79.6% and NA of 41.9%, which was different from PA and LC, with 58.6% and 62.4%, respectively. For biocrust treatments,  $R_{<2.0\text{mm}}$  changed from 63.7% to 57.5% with biocrusts, indicating a biocrust-induced decrease in the proportion for this fraction. Finally, according to the analyzed indexes, NA showed the most stable conditions, and alternating PA and SG showed the most unstable conditions.



**Figure A.5:** Mean and standard error of aggregate stability indexes for Pan de Azúcar (PA), Santa Gracia (SG), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA) for biocrust (BSC+) and non-biocrust (BSC-) treatments.  $\Delta\text{MWD}$ =difference in mean weight diameter,  $\Delta\text{GMD}$ =difference in geometric mean diameter, WSAR=water stability aggregate ratio,  $R_{<2\text{mm}}$ =ratio of aggregates less than 2 mm. Significant factors and corresponding models for response variables are included in Appendix A.2.

As shown in Figure A.2, the climatic gradient (site) had significant pH, electrical conductivity (EC), bulk density (BD), total carbon ( $C_t$ ), soil organic carbon (SOC), soil inorganic carbon (SIC), total nitrogen ( $N_t$ ), C/N, clay, silt, sand, dry and wet aggregates under 30 mm,  $\Delta\text{GMD}$ , WSAR,  $R_{<2\text{mm}}$ . Biocrust treatments were significant for clay, silt, pH, EC,  $C_t$ , SOC, SIC,  $N_t$ , C/N, and wet aggregates from 9.5 mm to 30.0 mm and  $>2$  mm, and  $R_{<2\text{mm}}$ . Finally, the interaction of the site and biocrusts was significant for clay, bulk density (BD), total nitrogen ( $N_t$ ), dry aggregates from 4.7 mm to 19.0 mm and 0 mm to 3.4 mm, wet aggregates from 9.5 mm to 30.0 mm, and  $\Delta\text{MWD}$ .

## A.4 Discussion

### A.4.1 Aggregate stability and soil properties along the climatic gradient

The climatic gradient has a significant effect on the stability of soil aggregates. Using the geometric mean diameter ( $\Delta\text{GMD}$ ), an index that replaces the linear fitting of  $\Delta\text{MWD}$  with a logarithmic one, significant differences for the study sites along the climatic gradient can be observed ( $p - \text{value} < 0.001$ ). When soil aggregate stability was evaluated with the difference in mean weight diameter ( $\Delta\text{MWD}$ ), it did not show significant changes along the climatic gradient. WSAR, an index that shows the ratio of aggregates that persist stably after disruption by water, showed a similar behavior as  $\Delta\text{MWD}$ . The main difference between  $\Delta\text{MWD}$  and  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  is that  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  performs better in non-uniform particulate substances (Hatch and Choate, 1929; Gardner, 1956), which corresponds to soils equilibrated in the content of sand, silt, and clay (Mazurak, 1950) and pointing soil texture indirectly as a critical factor in aggregate stability along the climatic gradient. Further, considering the  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  data, an increase in stability was observed as moving along the climatic gradient to higher water availability conditions except for SG. The lower value of  $\Delta\text{GMD}$  for SG indicates comparably higher aggregate stability as it would be expected when we assume a steady trend from arid to humid climate. In PA, in the drier north, the condition proved to be less stable than NA, despite the high content of inorganic carbon. SG presented the highest ratio of unstable aggregates under the studied range of sizes (highest  $R_{<2\text{ mm}}$ ) and NA the lowest, with close to half of it, indicating augmented aggregate stability in the complementary range of sizes.

The effect of the climatic gradient is not only expressed in the stability of soil aggregates, but it is also present with different intensities in a variety of soil properties. The pH decreases continuously from the northern arid to the southern humid study site in accordance with Bernhard *et al.* (2018). The high pH in PA can be attributed to the constant input of atmospheric aerosols, e.g., salts, gypsum, and calcium carbonates (Ewing *et al.*, 2006) in combination with the arid climate that allows salts to accumulate in the topsoil (Slessarev *et al.*, 2016). Whereas in the southern sites, the forwarding increase of precipitations results in a reduction in the pH due to leaching of soluble salts (Slessarev *et al.*, 2016) and an increase in soil respiration (Orchard and Cook, 1983). The accumulation of soluble salts is well established for the arid site PA, as saline conditions (Allison and Richards, 1954) are indicated by the high electrical conductivity value. These higher amounts of salts have a strong effect in structure degradation dynamics, linked to the destabilizing effect of sodium and stabilizing of carbonates (Corwin, 2021). Although  $C_t$  and  $N_t$  follow the climatic gradient, when comparing the C/N, PA and NA have higher values. High values of the C/N indicate a nitrogen limitation of plants and other organisms (Brust, 2019), pointing out that this occurs at the two opposite sites along the climatic gradient. This could be explained by the biological activity (Zhang

*et al.*, 2013), which may be close to a physiological limitation in the case of NA, while for PA, it may indeed be due to low nitrogen availability (Hooper and Johnson, 1999). However, there was also a high amount of carbonates in PA, which makes PA hardly comparable in terms of C<sub>t</sub>. Despite the properties following the climatic gradient, SG deviates from the other sites in terms of higher bulk density (BD), lower clay, and higher sand content. This can indicate a degraded condition for the semi-arid site caused by the current land use, including grazing (Armesto and Arroyo, 2007), compacting the surface and thus activating erosive processes (Scholten and Seitz, 2019), in favor of the accumulation of sand particles (Govers, 1985). The aggregate distribution stresses this finding, where SG has a lower proportion of water-stable aggregates >2 mm and a higher water-destabilization of aggregates between 9.5 mm to 4.7 mm, indicating that the nature of the structuring agent in that zone is water-soluble.

#### **A.4.2 Biocrusts altering soil properties along the climatic gradientt**

Despite these factors beyond the climatic gradient, biocrusts showed effects on clay, silt, pH, C<sub>t</sub>, N<sub>t</sub>, C/N, and wet aggregates from 9.5 mm to 30.0 mm and >2 mm. However, as this was an observational study, it only allows establishing associations between factors and not cause-effect relationships (Cox, 1992; Rosenbaum, 2005). It is thus possible that changes in soil characteristics promote the biocrust establishment, as well as that biocrust establishment triggers changes in these properties (Belnap, 2003).

The biocrust treatments showed a significant decrease in pH (*p – value* : 0.002404), reflecting the biological activity of its constituent organisms, which acidifies the soil due to the carbon dioxide released by cellular respiration (Bachar *et al.*, 2010). The pH values reported by Bernhard *et al.* (2018) are in the same range as ours but without differentiating between BSC+ and BSC-, as this factor was not part of their study. The content of C<sub>t</sub> and N<sub>t</sub> were significantly different when biocrusts were present, but it did not affect any aggregate sizes or stability indexes. In this sense, biocrusts play a role in the carbon and nitrogen cycles (Chen *et al.*, 2000), as they are formed mainly by photosynthetic and nitrogen-fixing organisms (Maestre *et al.*, 2013), but it has not had an immediate impact on the soil aggregate stability and points out that the primary stabilizing agent is of organic origin (Wagner *et al.*, 2007; Six *et al.*, 2004).

Considering the stabilizing effect of biocrusts on wet sieved aggregates between 9.5 and 30 mm, we could show that it occurs prominently at the three northern sites, whereas in NA there was no difference with and without biocrusts. This points to a threshold in the biocrust-induced stabilization of the soil aggregates between LC and NA and partially confirms our initial assumption that biocrusts have the greatest effect in arid conditions. However, the effect on aggregate stability for the wet condition varies according to the variable used, being specific for limited aggregate sizes in terms of mechanical disturbances (dry sieving) but without a substantial improvement concerning water stability (wet sieving). This lack of difference in wet sieved aggregate point to a non-

soluble nature of the stabilizing agents, which can be attributed to stabilization due to organic structures and exudates (Rillig, 2004), and stress the idea that NA differs to the other sites in the mechanisms of aggregate stabilization as a local adaptation, where due to the higher proportion of precipitation, is conducted by water stable mechanisms.

Soil aggregate stability showed clear differences along the climatic gradient. However, when considering the effect of biocrusts, differences were limited to the smallest aggregate size class ( $R_{<2.0\text{ mm}}$ ) referring to changes in microaggregates size distribution as described by Totsche *et al.* (2018). Further, a difference for wet sieved aggregates with and without biocrusts between 9.5 and 30.0 mm point to biocrust-induced stabilization of soil aggregates.

The results indicate that soil aggregate stabilization mechanisms are different in PA than at the other sites. With that in mind, it was found that in PA, biocrusts grow in areas with a lower content of clay and higher content of silt, which implies increased nutrient availability and water-holding capacity (Chen *et al.*, 2020), while the sand fraction was not related. However, the method used can amplify that difference since the determination of particle size distribution does not consider coarse fragments (Köhn, 1929), which were abundant at PA. In addition, the soil covered with biocrusts showed a lower value for bulk density (BD) only for PA, while in the other sites, this property was not affected. This can be interpreted as a biocrust-induced decrease in soil density due to increased intra- and extra-aggregate porosity and organic matter (Whitney *et al.*, 2017) or that biocrusts grow under the least limiting condition (Bowker *et al.*, 2014). Soils with biocrust cover showed a trend of lower electrical conductivity, which can be explained by the inhibition of biocrusts by toxicity due to the accumulation of salts in the soil, or to the consumption of salts as a source of nutrients by the organisms in the biocrusts (Abed *et al.*, 2019).

Biocrust plays a role along the climatic gradient affecting different properties, i.e., clay, silt, pH, C<sub>t</sub>, N<sub>t</sub>, C/N, and wet aggregates from 9.5 to 30.0 mm and >2 mm. Nevertheless, the way that each property change responds to local conditions: In the arid northernmost site, there is a strong influence of the salts in terms of stabilization and establishment of biocrusts, while at the southernmost site, there is no stabilization of the aggregates, but a contribution to the carbon and nitrogen contents. The most consistent property along the climatic gradient was pH, an indicator of biological activity. However, at the scale of the climatic gradient it is not possible to distinguish the origin of biological activity between plants, microorganisms, fungi, bacteria, etc. Finally, considering the largest size of the persistent wet aggregates match the characteristics attributed to fungi and bryophytes, capable of retaining micro-aggregates and soil particles between their hyphae and rhizoids, respectively (Kleber *et al.*, 2007; Six *et al.*, 2004; Totsche *et al.*, 2018) and points to this as the most significant mechanism of soil aggregate stabilization of biocrusts.

## A.5 Conclusions

This study aimed to investigate how and to what extent biocrusts stabilize soil aggregates along an arid to humid climatic gradient in Chile. We show that biocrusts play a role in soil aggregate stability along the climatic gradient by increasing the stability for wet aggregates from 9.5 to 30.0 mm and >2 mm. Biocrusts also modify other soil properties such as C<sub>t</sub>, N<sub>t</sub>, C/N, clay, and sand, indicating an initial accumulation of organic matter that then give place to aggregation processes. The biocrusts effect on aggregates stability was lower under humid climate conditions, which indicates a transition in the main biotic agents driving the aggregation of the soil surface, moving from biocrust communities in arid regions to vascular plants in humid conditions.

Finally, we conclude that the biocrusts in our study area showed to be a valuable agent in stabilizing the upper topsoil layer, but for a narrow spectrum of conditions and mostly under arid conditions. Therefore, the effect could be considered a transition in ecological succession toward a stable ecosystem. In this process, biocrusts improve conditions for other more demanding species, such as vascular plants, initially improving the availability of carbon and nitrogen in the soil.

## Appendices

**Table A.2:** Appendix A. Significant factors for response variables based on generalized linear models. Models with significant interaction also include the predictors as simple parameters based on marginality principle.

( $p\text{-value} \leq 0$ : "\*\*\*\*";  $p\text{-value} \leq 0.001$ : "\*\*\*";  $p\text{-value} \leq 0.01$ : "\*\*")

| Dependent variable | Distribution<br>(link-function for GLM) | Significance for independent variable |          |                        |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------|
|                    |                                         | Site                                  | Biocrust | Site $\times$ Biocrust |
| Clay               | Gaussian                                | ***                                   | *        |                        |
| Silt               | Inverse Gaussian                        | ***                                   | *        |                        |
| Sand               | Gaussian                                | ***                                   |          |                        |
| Fine Silt          | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | *                      |
| Medium silt        | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   | ***      | *                      |
| Coarse silt        | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| Very fine sand     | Gaussian                                | ***                                   |          |                        |
| Fine sand          | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| Medium sand        | Gaussian                                | ***                                   |          |                        |
| Coarse sand        | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| pH                 | Gaussian                                | ***                                   | **       |                        |
| EC                 | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| BD                 | Gaussian                                | ***                                   |          | **                     |
| N <sub>t</sub>     | Gamma                                   | ***                                   |          | **                     |
| C <sub>t</sub>     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   | **       |                        |
| C/N                | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   | **       |                        |
| 19.0-30.0 mm Dry   | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| 9.5-19.0 mm Dry    | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | **                     |
| 6.7-9.5 mm Dry     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | **                     |
| 4.7-6.7 mm Dry     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | *                      |
| 3.4-4.7 mm Dry     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| 2.0-3.4 mm Dry     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | *                      |
| <2.0 mm Dry        | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          | ***                    |
| 19.0-30.0 mm Wet   | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   | *        | **                     |
| 9.5-19.0 mm Wet    | Tweedie                                 | *                                     | **       | *                      |
| 6.7-9.5 mm Wet     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| 4.7-6.7 mm Wet     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| 3.4-4.7 mm Wet     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| 2.0-3.4 mm Wet     | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| <2.0 mm Wet        | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   | *        |                        |
| $\Delta$ MWD       | Gaussian                                |                                       |          |                        |
| $\Delta$ GMD       | Tweedie                                 | ***                                   |          |                        |
| WSAR               | Gaussian                                |                                       |          |                        |
| R <sub>2 mm</sub>  | Gaussian                                | ***                                   | *        |                        |

## **Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

## **Code availability**

The code that supports the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

## **Author contribution**

TS, DW, CWM, OS, and StS conceptualized the study. OS, KW, and NRM collected the soil samples. StS collected and analyzed the biocrust samples, and NRM analyzed the soil samples. NRM performed the analyses and prepared the manuscript.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## **Acknowledgments**

We are deeply grateful to the students, technical assistants, and colleagues who helped in the field and laboratory phases. A special thanks to Martin Nebel and Sonja Thielen for their help in classifying bryophytes and lichens. Oliver Burkhardt (GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences) and Franziska Steiner (Technical University of Munich) for their support in the field. Hugo Pérez for his help and experience in the soil physics laboratory of the Universidad de Chile. We are grateful to the Chilean National Forest Corporation (CONAF) and Sucesión Gálvez Muñoz, who provided access to the study sites. The study was funded by the German Science Foundation DFGSPP 1803 (EarthShape; [www.earthshape.net](http://www.earthshape.net)). We acknowledge support by Open Access Publishing Fund of University of Tübingen.

# Bibliography

- Abed, R. M. M., Tamm, A., Hassenrück, C., Al-Rawahi, A. N., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Fiedler, S., Maier, S., and Weber, B. (2019). Habitat-dependent composition of bacterial and fungal communities in biological soil crusts from oman. *Scientific Reports*, **9**(1), 6468.
- Allison, L. E. and Richards, L. A. (1954). *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils*. Number 60. Soil and Water Conservative Research Branch, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Last accessed: 30 November 2022.
- Armesto, J. J. and Arroyo, M. T. K. (2007). The mediterranean environment of central Chile.
- Bachar, A., Al-Ashhab, A., Soares, M. I., Sklarz, M. Y., Angel, R., Ungar, E. D., and Gillor, O. (2010). Soil microbial abundance and diversity along a low precipitation gradient. *Microb Ecol*, **60**(2), 453–61.
- Barger, N. N., Weber, B., Garcia-Pichel, F., Zaady, E., and Belnap, J. (2016). *Patterns and Controls on Nitrogen Cycling of Biological Soil Crusts*, pages 257–285. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Baumann, K., Jung, P., Samolov, E., Lehnert, L. W., Büdel, B., Karsten, U., Bendix, J., Achilles, S., Schermer, M., Matus, F., Osse, R., Osse, P., Morshedizad, M., Oehlschläger, C., Hu, Y., Klysubun, W., and Leinweber, P. (2018). Biological soil crusts along a climatic gradient in chile: Richness and imprints of phototrophic microorganisms in phosphorus biogeochemical cycling. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **127**, 286–300.
- Bavel, C. H. M. v. (1950). Mean weight-diameter of soil aggregates as a statistical index of aggregation.
- Belnap, J. (2003). The world at your feet: desert biological soil crusts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **1**(4), 181–189.
- Belnap, J. and Büdel, B. (2016). Biological soil crusts as soil stabilizers. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 305–320.

## Bibliography

---

- Belnap, J., Weber, B., and Büdel, B. (2016). *Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands*. Springer.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R. A., Aburto, F., Bader, M. Y., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., Brucker, E., Büdel, B., Canessa, R., Dippold, M. A., Ehlers, T. A., Fuentes, J. P., Godoy, R., Jung, P., Karsten, U., Köster, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Neidhardt, H., Matus, F., Mueller, C. W., Oelmann, Y., Osse, R., Osses, P., Paulino, L., Samolov, E., Schaller, M., Schmid, M., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Stock, S., Stroncik, N., Tielbörger, K., Übernickel, K., Scholten, T., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Kühn, P. (2018). Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile. *Catena*, **170**, 335–355.
- Bowker, M. A., Maestre, F. T., Eldridge, D., Belnap, J., Castillo-Monroy, A., Escolar, C., and Soliveres, S. (2014). Biological soil crusts (biocrusts) as a model system in community, landscape and ecosystem ecology. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **23**(7), 1619–1637.
- Bowker, M. A., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Sannier, C., Pietrasik, N., Eldridge, D. J., and Rivera-Aguilar, V. (2016). Controls on distribution patterns of biological soil crusts at micro-to global scales. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 173–197.
- Brust, G. E. (2019). *Management strategies for organic vegetable fertility*, pages 193–212. Elsevier.
- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., and Domingo, F. (2016). Biocrusts positively affect the soil water balance in semiarid ecosystems. *Ecohydrology*, **9**(7), 1208–1221.
- Chen, J., Blume, H.-P., and Beyer, L. (2000). Weathering of rocks induced by lichen colonization — a review. *CATENA*, **39**(2), 121–146.
- Chen, N., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Yu, K., and Zhao, C. (2018). Ecohydrological effects of biological soil crust on the vegetation dynamics of restoration in a dryland ecosystem. *Journal of Hydrology*, **563**, 1068–1077.
- Chen, N., Yu, K., Jia, R., Teng, J., and Zhao, C. (2020). Biocrust as one of multiple stable states in global drylands. *Science Advances*, **6**(39), eaay3763.
- Colesie, C., Green, T. G. A., Haferkamp, I., and Büdel, B. (2014). Habitat stress initiates changes in composition,  $\text{CO}_2$  gas exchange and C-allocation as life traits in biological soil crusts. *The ISME Journal*, **8**(10), 2104–2115.

- Corwin, D. L. (2021). Climate change impacts on soil salinity in agricultural areas. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **72**(2), 842–862.
- Cox, D. R. (1992). Causality: Some statistical aspects. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, **155**, 291–301.
- Cuvertino, J., Ardiles, V., Osorio, F., and Romero, X. (2012). New records and additions to the Chilean bryophyte flora. *Ciencia e investigación agraria: revista latinoamericana de ciencias de la agricultura*, **39**(2), 245–254.
- Drahorad, S. L., Steckenmesser, D., Felix-Henningsen, P., Lichner, , and Rodný, M. (2013). Ongoing succession of biological soil crusts increases water repellency — a case study on arenosols in sekule, slovakia. *Biologia*, **68**(6), 1089–1093.
- Dunn, P. K. (2017). Tweedie: Evaluation of tweedie exponential family models. *R package version*. Last access: 30 November 2022.
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**(7), 459–462.
- Eldridge, D. J., Reed, S., Travers, S. K., Bowker, M. A., Maestre, F. T., Ding, J., Havrilla, C., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Barger, N., Weber, B., Antoninka, A., Belnap, J., Chaudhary, B., Faist, A., Ferrenberg, S., Huber-Sannwald, E., Malam Issa, O., and Zhao, Y. (2020). The pervasive and multifaceted influence of biocrusts on water in the world's drylands. *Glob Chang Biol*, **26**(10), 6003–6014.
- Ewing, S. A., Sutter, B., Owen, J., Nishiizumi, K., Sharp, W., Cliff, S. S., Perry, K., Dietrich, W., McKay, C. P., and Amundson, R. (2006). A threshold in soil formation at Earth's arid–hyperarid transition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**(21), 5293–5322.
- Fariña, V. and Ardiles, M. (2014). Flora no vascular de la región de arica y parinacota, chile: nuevos registros y consideraciones biogeográficas. *Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural, Chile*, **63**, 213–223.
- Fischer, T., Yair, A., and Veste, M. (2012). Microstructure and hydraulic properties of biological soil crusts on sand dunes: a comparison between arid and temperate climates. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, **9**, 12711–12734.
- Fischer, T., Yair, A., Veste, M., and Geppert, H. (2013). Hydraulic properties of biological soil crusts on sand dunes studied by  $^{13}\text{C}$ -cp/mas-nmr: A comparison between an arid and a temperate site. *CATENA*, **110**, 155–160.

## Bibliography

---

- Galloway, D. J. and Quilhot, W. (2009). Checklist of chilean lichen-forming and lichenicolous fungi. *Gayana Botánica*, **55**, 111–185.
- Gao, L., Bowker, M. A., Xu, M., Sun, H., Tuo, D., and Zhao, Y. (2017). Biological soil crusts decrease erodibility by modifying inherent soil properties on the Loess Plateau, China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **105**, 49–58.
- Garcia-Pichel, F., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., Drahorad, S. L., and Weber, B. (2016). *Microstructure and Weathering Processes Within Biological Soil Crusts*, book section Chapter 13, pages 237–255. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Gardner, W. R. (1956). Representation of Soil Aggregate-Size Distribution by a Logarithmic-Normal Distribution. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **20**(2), 151–153.
- Goebes, P., Seitz, S., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Niklaus, P. A., von Oheimb, G., and Scholten, T. (2015). Throughfall kinetic energy in young subtropical forests: Investigation on tree species richness effects and spatial variability. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **213**, 148–159.
- Govers, G. (1985). Selectivity and transport capacity of thin flows in relation to rill erosion. *CATENA*, **12**(1), 35–49.
- Grote, E. E., Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., and Sparks, J. P. (2010). Carbon exchange in biological soil crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water contents: implications for global change. *Global Change Biology*, **16**(10), 2763–2774.
- Hatch, T. and Choate, S. P. (1929). Statistical description of the size properties of non uniform particulate substances. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, **207**(3), 369–387.
- He, S. (1998). A checklist of the mosses of Chile. *The Journal of the Hattori Botanical Laboratory*, **85**, 103–189.
- Hooper, D. U. and Johnson, L. (1999). Nitrogen limitation in dryland ecosystems: Responses to geographical and temporal variation in precipitation. *Biogeochemistry*, **46**(1), 247–293.
- Horn, K. H. H. R. (2009). *Die physikalische Untersuchung von Böden*. Schweizerbart Science Publishers, Stuttgart, Germany.
- Hulton, N. R. J., Purves, R. S., McCulloch, R. D., Sugden, D. E., and Bentley, M. J. (2002). The Last Glacial Maximum and deglaciation in southern South America. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, **21**(1-3), 233–241.

- Issa, O. M., Trichet, J., Défarge, C., Couté, A., and Valentin, C. (1999). Morphology and microstructure of microbiotic soil crusts on a tiger bush sequence (niger, sahel). *Catena*, **37**(1-2), 175–196.
- Jahn, R., Blume, H., Asio, V., Spaargaren, O., and Schad, P. (2006). *Guidelines for soil description*. Fao.
- Jung, P., Emrich, D., Briegel-Williams, L., Schermer, M., Weber, L., Baumann, K., Colesie, C., Clerc, P., Lehnert, L. W., Achilles, S., Bendix, J., and Büdel, B. (2019). Ecophysiology and phylogeny of new terricolous and epiphytic chlorolichens in a fog oasis of the atacama desert. *MicrobiologyOpen*, **8**(10), e894.
- Jung, P., Baumann, K., Lehnert, L. W., Samolov, E., Achilles, S., Schermer, M., Wraase, L. M., Eckhardt, K.-U., Bader, M. Y., Leinweber, P., Karsten, U., Bendix, J., and Büdel, B. (2020a). Desert breath—how fog promotes a novel type of soil biocenosis, forming the coastal atacama desert’s living skin. *Geobiology*, **18**(1), 113–124.
- Jung, P., Baumann, K., Emrich, D., Springer, A., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., Dultz, S., Baum, C., Frank, M., Büdel, B., and Leinweber, P. (2020b). Lichens bite the dust x2013; a bioweathering scenario in the atacama desert. *iScience*, **23**(11). doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2020.101647.
- Kemper, W. D. and Rosenau, R. C. (1986). *Aggregate stability and size distribution*, volume 5 of *Methods of soil analysis: Part 1 Physical and mineralogical methods*.
- Kleber, M., Sollins, P., and Sutton, R. (2007). A conceptual model of organo-mineral interactions in soils: self-assembly of organic molecular fragments into zonal structures on mineral surfaces. *Biogeochemistry*, **85**(1), 9–24.
- Köhn, M. (1929). Bemerkungen zur mechanischen bodenanalyse iv. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung, Düngung, Bodenkunde*, **14**(3), 268–280.
- Lange, O. L. and Belnap, J. (2016). *How Biological Soil Crusts Became Recognized as a Functional Unit: A Selective History*, book section Chapter 2, pages 15–33. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Lewin, R. A. (1956). Extracellular polysaccharides of green algae. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, **2**(7), 665–672.
- Li, J., Okin, G. S., Alvarez, L., and Epstein, H. (2008). Effects of wind erosion on the spatial heterogeneity of soil nutrients in two desert grassland communities. *Biogeochemistry*, **88**(1), 73–88.
- Liang, T., Shi, X., Guo, T., and Peng, S. (2015). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus mediate changes in mycorrhizosphere soil aggregates. *Agricultural Sciences*, **6**(12), 1455.

## Bibliography

---

- Lichner, , Holko, L., Zhukova, N., Schacht, K., Rajkai, K., Fodor, N., and Sándor, R. (2012). Plants and biological soil crust influence the hydrophysical parameters and water flow in an aeolian sandy soil / vplyv rastlín a biologického pôdneho pokryvu na hydrofyzikálne parametre a prúdenie vody v piesočnej pôde. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **60**(4), 309–318.
- Lightowers, P. J. (1985). A synoptic flora of south georgian mosses: Tortula. *British Antarctic Survey Bulletin*, **67**, 41–77.
- Liu, M.-Y., Chang, Q.-R., Qi, Y.-B., Liu, J., and Chen, T. (2014). Aggregation and soil organic carbon fractions under different land uses on the tableland of the loess plateau of china. *Catena*, **115**, 19–28.
- Loaiza Puerta, V., Pujol Pereira, E. I., Wittwer, R., van der Heijden, M., and Six, J. (2018). Improvement of soil structure through organic crop management, conservation tillage and grass-clover ley. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **180**, 1–9.
- Mazurak, A. P. (1950). Effect of gaseous phase on water-stable synthetic aggregates. *Soil science*, **69**(2), 135–148.
- Mutz, S. G., Ehlers, T. A., Werner, M., Lohmann, G., Stepanek, C., and Li, J. (2018). Estimates of late Cenozoic climate change relevant to Earth surface processes in tectonically active orogens. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, **6**(2), 271–301.
- Oades, J. M. and Waters, A. G. (1991). Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Soil Research*, **29**(6), 815–828.
- Ochyra, R. and Matteri, C. M. (2001). Bryophyta, musci: Amblystegiaceae. In S. A. Guarnera, I. Gamundi de Amos, and C. Matteri, editors, *Flora Criptogámica de Tierra del Fuego*, volume 14, pages 1–96. Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas de la República Argentina, Buenos Aires.
- Oeser, R. A., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Köster, M., Brucker, E., Stock, S., Fuentes, J. P., Godoy, R., Matus, F. J., Osse Pedraza, R., Osses McIntyre, P., Paulino, L., Seguel, O., Bader, M. Y., Boy, J., Dippold, M. A., Ehlers, T. A., Kühn, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Scholten, T., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Übernickel, K., Tielbörger, K., Wagner, D., and von Blanckenburg, F. (2018). Chemistry and microbiology of the critical zone along a steep climate and vegetation gradient in the chilean coastal cordillera. *CATENA*, **170**, 183–203.
- Oliver, M. J., Velten, J., and Mishler, B. D. (2005). Desiccation tolerance in bryophytes: a reflection of the primitive strategy for plant survival in dehydrating habitats? *Integr Comp Biol*, **45**(5), 788–99.

- Orchard, V. A. and Cook, F. (1983). Relationship between soil respiration and soil moisture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **15**(4), 447–453.
- Porada, P., Weber, B., Elbert, W., Pöschl, U., and Kleidon, A. (2014). Estimating impacts of lichens and bryophytes on global biogeochemical cycles. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **28**(2), 71–85.
- Rillig, M. C. (2004). Arbuscular mycorrhizae, glomalin, and soil aggregation. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **84**(4), 355–363.
- Rosenbaum, P. R. (2005). *Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Studies*. John Wiley Sons, Ltd.
- Rosentreter, R., Eldridge, D. J., Westberg, M., Williams, L., and Grube, M. (2016). *Structure, Composition, and Function of Biocrust Lichen Communities*, pages 121–138. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Rundel, P. W. and Weisser, P. J. (1975). La campana, a new national park in central chile. *Biological Conservation*, **8**(1), 35–46.
- Samolov, E., Baumann, K., Budel, B., Jung, P., Leinweber, P., Mikhailyuk, T., Karsten, U., and Glaser, K. (2020). Biodiversity of algae and cyanobacteria in biological soil crusts collected along a climatic gradient in Chile using an integrative approach. *Microorganisms*, **8**(7), 1047.
- Scholten, T. and Seitz, S. (2019). Soil erosion and land degradation. *Soil Systems*, **3**(4), 68.
- Seitz, S., Goebes, P., Song, Z., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., and Scholten, T. (2016). Tree species and functional traits but not species richness affect interrill erosion processes in young subtropical forests. *SOIL*, **2**(1), 49–61.
- Seitz, S., Nebel, M., Goebes, P., Käppeler, K., Schmidt, K., Shi, X., Song, Z., Webber, C. L., Weber, B., and Scholten, T. (2017). Bryophyte-dominated biological soil crusts mitigate soil erosion in an early successional Chinese subtropical forest. *Biogeosciences*, **14**(24), 5775–5788.
- Six, J., Elliott, E. T., and Paustian, K. (2000). Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **32**(14), 2099–2103.
- Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., and Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **79**(1), 7–31.

## Bibliography

---

- Slessarev, E. W., Lin, Y., Bingham, N. L., Johnson, J. E., Dai, Y., Schimel, J. P., and Chadwick, O. A. (2016). Water balance creates a threshold in soil pH at the global scale. *Nature*, **540**(7634), 567–569.
- Steven, B., Gallegos-Graves, L. V., Belnap, J., and Kuske, C. R. (2013). Dryland soil microbial communities display spatial biogeographic patterns associated with soil depth and soil parent material. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **86**(1), 101–113.
- Team, R. C. (2018). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Thielen, S. M., Gall, C., Ebner, M., Nebel, M., Scholten, T., and Seitz, S. (2021). Water's path from moss to soil: A multi-methodological study on water absorption and evaporation of soil-moss combinations. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **69**(4), 421–435.
- Totsche, K. U., Amelung, W., Gerzabek, M. H., Guggenberger, G., Klumpp, E., Knief, C., Lehndorff, E., Mikutta, R., Peth, S., Prechtel, A., Ray, N., and Kögel-Knabner, I. (2018). Microaggregates in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **181**(1), 104–136.
- Wagner, S., Cattle, S. R., and Scholten, T. (2007). Soil-aggregate formation as influenced by clay content and organic-matter amendment. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **170**(1), 173–180.
- Whitney, K. M., Vivoni, E. R., Duniway, M. C., Bradford, J. B., Reed, S. C., and Belnap, J. (2017). Ecohydrological role of biological soil crusts across a gradient in levels of development. *Ecohydrology*, **10**(7), e1875.
- Wickam, H. (2016). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*, volume 16 of Springer-Verlag. Accessed March. 2 edition.
- Zhang, Z.-S., Song, X.-L., Lu, X.-G., and Xue, Z.-S. (2013). Ecological stoichiometry of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in estuarine wetland soils: influences of vegetation coverage, plant communities, geomorphology, and seawalls. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, **13**(6), 1043–1051.

## Appendix B

# Biocrusts as climate-dependent regulators of erosion, water and nutrient cycling

## Manuscript 2

*Geoderma*  
Submitted

Nicolás Riveras-Muñoz<sup>1\*</sup>, Steffen Seitz<sup>1</sup>, Corinna Gall<sup>1</sup>, Victoria Rodríguez<sup>2</sup>, Kristina Witzgall<sup>3</sup>, Peter Kühn<sup>1</sup>, Carsten W. Mueller<sup>4,5</sup>, Rómulo Oses<sup>6</sup>, Oscar Seguel<sup>7</sup>, Dirk Wagner<sup>2,8</sup>, and Thomas Scholten<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Tübingen, Department of Geosciences, Soil Science and Geomorphology, Rümelinstr. 19–23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>GFZ Helmholtz Centre for Geosciences, Section Geomicrobiology, Telegrafenberg, 14473 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Technical University of Munich, Soil Science, Emil-Ramann-Str. 2, 85354 Freising, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Technische Universität Berlin, Ernst-Reuter-Platz 1, 10587 Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>6</sup>Universidad de Atacama, Centro Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Sustentable de Atacama (CRIDESAT), Copayapu 485, Copiapó, Chile

<sup>7</sup>Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Av. Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, 8820808 Santiago, Chile

<sup>8</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Geosciences, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Potsdam, Germany

Submitted: March 17, 2025



## Abstract

Biocrusts, complex communities of organisms, significantly alter surface and sub-surface processes, including water infiltration, and the cycling of carbon (C) and nitrogen (N). While their importance is recognized, studies across broad climatic gradients are scarce. We conducted rainfall simulation experiments at four sites along a 910 km Chilean Coastal Range transect, representing coastal and inland semi-arid, mediterranean, and humid climates. We quantified overland flow, sediment discharge, and fluxes of C and N in percolating water, comparing paired biocrust-covered and bare soil surfaces. Our findings reveal that biocrusts, compared to bare soil, significantly increased cumulative infiltration rates across all climates, indicating enhanced saturated hydraulic conductivity. Biocrust presence reduced runoff by 73% in humid climates and sediment flux by 80% in inland semi-arid climates. On average, soil erosion was reduced by up to 69%. Additionally, biocrusts significantly reduced C loss via erosion. Dissolved organic carbon and nitrogen fluxes were also strongly influenced by the presence of biocrusts. Overall, our study demonstrates that, though the presence of biocrust significantly reduced erosion, water and nutrient dynamic are strongly influence by its presence and the climates, showing that biocrusts act as climate-dependent regulators of crucial surface and sub-surface transport processes.

## Keywords

Biocrusts, Erosion, Infiltration, Climate gradient, Carbon, Nitrogen, Runoff

## B.1 Introduction

Biological soil crusts (BSC) are formed by a close association between soil particles and various proportions of photoautotrophic organisms, such as cyanobacteria, algae, lichens, and bryophytes, along with heterotrophic organisms like bacteria, fungi, and archaea that live within or immediately above the top millimeters of the soil (Weber *et al.*, 2022). They are a primary soil cover in arid environments, where the scarce availability of water limits the establishment of higher plants (Ding and Eldridge, 2020; Weber *et al.*, 2022). However, biocrusts are also present in mesic environments without water limitation, where vascular plants and litter reduce their ability to compete (Corbin and Thiet, 2020; Gall *et al.*, 2022a). Nevertheless, biocrusts can be abundant in such areas when disturbance and the subsequent ecological succession provide favorable conditions for their establishment (Büdel and Colesie, 2014; Gall *et al.*, 2022a).

Biocrust composition, growth, and survival depend on climatic factors such as temperature, moisture availability, and rainfall characteristics (Belnap, 2003). Moreover,

climatic diversity shapes the morphological, chemical and physiological characteristics of the different biocrusts (Concostrina-Zubiri *et al.*, 2014). In arid environments, biocrusts typically dominated by algae, cyanobacteria, and lichens, form smooth and flat surfaces that concentrate a large amount of microbial biomass and serve as nutrient sources within these ecosystems (Weber *et al.*, 2022). In these settings, cyanobacteria can fix large amounts of nitrogen and produce reserve exopolysaccharides (EPS) that support other organisms (Rodríguez-Caballero *et al.*, 2018; Samolov *et al.*, 2020).

In addition, under humid conditions, biocrusts have a higher proportion of fungi and bryophytes, resulting in rough surfaces with a remarkable capacity to store capillary water, increased pore space in their structure and enhanced carbon fixation (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022; Weber *et al.*, 2022). These changes in surface roughness affect the surface hydrology of the soil by modifying the residence time of water on the surface (Kidron *et al.*, 2022). Changes in water residence time, in turn, affect the distribution of infiltration and runoff, alter surface redox conditions, modify soil erodibility, and regulate the release of solutes into water (Kalnejais *et al.*, 2010). This emphasizes the ability of biocrusts to modify water and sediment fluxes. In particular, lichen-dominated dryland biocrusts can enhance surface runoff and reduce sediment yield by surface clogging and subsequently surface saturation, and runoff initiation (Kidron *et al.*, 2021). They can also dramatically alter albedo, soil temperature dynamics and thus consequently the potential evapotranspiration (Liu and She, 2020; Rutherford *et al.*, 2017; Whitney *et al.*, 2017; Xiao *et al.*, 2019). Moss-dominated biocrusts with a rough morphology have a high water-holding potential and can decrease both runoff and sediment yield (Juan *et al.*, 2023; Seitz *et al.*, 2017; Silva *et al.*, 2019; Zeng *et al.*, 2025). Other effects of biocrusts that also include near-surface infiltration within the biocrust cover and its effect on percolation report enhanced infiltration and percolation in semi-arid ecosystems (Chamizo *et al.*, 2016) and reclaimed soils (Gypser *et al.*, 2016), alteration rainwater flow in drylands (Li *et al.*, 2022), and reduced infiltration while increasing erosion resistance in disturbed forests (Szyja *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, comparative experimental studies focusing on soil water movement through different types of biocrust remain limited.

Along with their ability to modify water and sediment fluxes, biocrust communities significantly shape carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) cycles. Many researchers point biocrusts as one of the most important factors that initially influence soil organic carbon (SOC) in the uppermost soil horizon before higher plants appear (Belnap *et al.*, 2007). Furthermore, Young *et al.* (2022) demonstrated that biocrusts facilitate the vertical movement of soluble carbon and nutrients from the surface to subsurface mineral soils, thereby enhancing overall carbon sequestration. The significance of biocrusts in the carbon cycle extends beyond their local role in building SOC (Witzgall *et al.*, 2024), with these communities estimated to account for 7% of total net carbon uptake and 50% of terrestrial nitrogen fixation (Elbert *et al.*, 2012). They further enhance C

fixation through photosynthetic sequestration (Belnap *et al.*, 2016; Grote *et al.*, 2010). An increase in soil aggregate stability hinders C discharge by erosion (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022; Xiao *et al.*, 2022), enhances soil fertility (Kheirfam *et al.*, 2017), and fosters the establishment of other vascular and non-vascular organisms, further increasing C storage potential (Molina-Montenegro *et al.*, 2016). In disturbed temperate forests, Gall *et al.* (2022b) found that mosses significantly influence the relocation of SOC and total N via soil erosion and percolation, further highlighting biocrusts' impact on nutrient redistribution. Additionally, biocrusts play an active role in the N cycle as they are responsible for approximately 40 to 85% of N fixation worldwide, mainly through the activity of cyanobacteria , enhances soil fertility (Kheirfam *et al.*, 2017), and foster the establishment of other vascular and non-vascular organisms, further increasing C storage potential (Molina-Montenegro *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, biocrusts play an active role in the N cycle as they are responsible for approximately 40 to 85% of N fixation worldwide, mainly through the activity of cyanobacteria (Rodriguez-Caballero *et al.*, 2018; Samolov *et al.*, 2020). As for C, biocrusts immobilize N by incorporating it into their biomass, thereby reducing losses by leaching or volatilization (Nevins *et al.*, 2020; Pan *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, biocrusts can mineralize N from SOC, making it available to other organisms in the soil ecosystem (Weber *et al.*, 2015).

All these properties of biocrusts impact soil erosion, a central process that shapes the Earth's surface Luetzenburg *et al.* (2020); Scholten and Seitz (2019). Water-induced erosion occurs in humid and semi-humid regions (Gholzom and Gholami, 2012; Khaleghi and Varvani, 2018) but also occurs in arid environments due to rare extreme rainfall events (Hu *et al.*, 2022). Biocrusts not only influence infiltration and overland flow, but also form a physical barrier against erosive agents, partially absorbing the kinetic energy of running water and falling raindrops. A reduction of runoff by 25.6% and sediment discharge by 75.5% was observed when comparing runoff plots with biocrust cover below 10% and above 50% in early successional subtropical forests (Seitz *et al.*, 2017). Similar effects of biocrusts on soil erosion have been reported in arid (Bowker *et al.*, 2018; Eldridge *et al.*, 2021), temperate (Gall *et al.*, 2022a) and humid environments (Guo *et al.*, 2022; Zhao *et al.*, 2014). Another process of land surface stabilisation by biocrusts is the formation of aggregates from organic and mineral particles through the secretion of bacterial metabolites such as exo- and lipopolysaccharides (Costa *et al.*, 2018; Tourney and Ngwenya, 2014; Xiao *et al.*, 2022). Further, the trapping of soil particles within the structures of biocrusts helps prevent soil erosion (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2024; Xiao *et al.*, 2022).

Biocrusts are increasingly observed and described outside their classical dryland habitats—hyper-arid, arid, semi-arid, and dry sub-humid habitats (Gall *et al.*, 2022a; Weber *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, investigations into climate variability have covered multiple scales. For example, Munoz-Martin *et al.* (2019) studied cyanobacterial biocrust diversity along an aridity gradient in Mediterranean semi-arid soils and found


that temperature and precipitation determine biocrust composition, with a greater prevalence of extremotolerant in harsher climates. Regarding topography, Castillo-Monroy *et al.* (2016) reported that species composition and richness of biocrusts increase with elevation in tropical shrublands. Additionally, Ding and Eldridge (2020) observed that, on smaller spatial scales in Australia, microsite differences correlate with an increase in biocrust cover as aridity rises, while Riveras-Muñoz *et al.* (2022) demonstrated that soil aggregate stabilization by biocrust improved from arid to humid climates in Chile. Both studies reveal that the dominant structuring mechanisms of biocrusts shift with climate: under dry conditions, biocrusts stabilize the soil surface through exopolysaccharide production that promotes aggregate formation and structural stability, evidenced by the development of water-stable aggregates; whereas under humid conditions, biocrust structures further entangle and stabilize the soil surface, resulting in a more defined crust (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Although studies investigating the role of biocrusts in regulating water, sediment, and C and N fluxes in different climates are limited, the interactions and feedback mechanisms between the underlying processes are not yet fully understood.

To enhance our understanding of the interrelation between climate and the multi-functional roles of biocrusts in regulating water, sediment, and matter fluxes at the soil surface and within the topsoil, we conducted a field experiment comparing land surfaces with and without biocrusts. We quantified changes in C and N fluxes, both in particulate and water-dissolved forms influenced by the presence of biocrusts. Additionally, we examined how biocrusts modulated the interactions between water, sediment, and nutrient fluxes along a gradient ranging from arid to humid climates. We introduced a new measuring device capable of simultaneously sampling runoff, sediment and seepage flow in an undisturbed soil monolith during simulated rainfall events. This study focused on four sites within the Coastal Mountain Range of Chile, representing a climate gradient that includes coastal and inland semi-arid, Mediterranean and humid climates. All study sites (Figure B.1) have a comparable topography and almost the same parent materials. Our experimental approach utilizing undisturbed soil monoliths subjected to standardized rainfall simulations allows us to assess biocrusts' effects on various fluxes. Our main hypotheses are that (i) biocrusts modify runoff, sediment discharge and percolation flow, including liquid and solid C and N fluxes and reduce soil erosion irrespective of climatic conditions, but (ii) feedbacks between overland flow, percolation flow, sediment and liquid and solid C and N fluxes are climate specific.


## B.2 Materials and methods

### B.2.1 Study sites

Rainfall simulation experiments were conducted on four study sites over a 910 km gradient from 29° to 38° S in the Chilean Coastal Range (Figures B.1 and B.2). The study sites consist of coastal semi-arid shrubland (Santa Gracia Natural Reserve, henceforth SG), inland semi-arid shrubland (Quebrada de Talca Private Reserve, henceforth QdT), mediterranean forest (La Campana National Park, henceforth LC) and highland temperate rainforest (Nahuelbuta National Park, henceforth NA) (Canessa *et al.*, 2022). All study sites have comparable topography, granitic parent material, and no glacial and volcanic influence (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018). The study sites are located within protected natural areas, with limited human influence compared to the surrounding areas. Nonetheless, cattle occasionally enter these locations, and goats, mules, and donkeys have been reported to SG (Armesto and Arroyo, 2007).



**Figure B.1:** Location of the four study sites (left) relative to South America (right). From north to south: Santa Gracia (SG), Quebrada de Talca (QdT), La Campana (LC), and Nahuelbuta (NA). Bing Satellite (obtained through QuickMapServices QGIS plugin), Map data 2022 Microsoft.



**Figure B.2:** Overview of the study sites, showing the general landscape of Santa Gracia (SG) (a), Quebrada de Talca (QdT) (c), La Campana (LC) (e), and Nahuelbuta (NA) (g). Corresponding biocrusts are illustrated in photographs (b, d, f, h). Notable biocrust features include lichen-dominated communities in SG and moss-dominated communities in NA.

Mean annual temperature (MAT) decrease and mean annual precipitation (MAP) increase from north to south (SG:  $13.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $66 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ; QdT:  $14.3^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $109 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ; LC:  $14.1^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $367 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ; NA:  $6.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ ,  $1469 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ). Despite the close distance between

SG and QdT, Santibáñez Quezada (2017) classified both sites as different climatic districts. SG is climatically influenced mainly by the short distance to the coast, while QdT represents an inland climate with an increase of 4.4% in MAT and 65.2% in MAP compared to SG. Rainfall is concentrated in winter from May to August (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018; Canessa *et al.*, 2020). Paleoclimate modelling studies (Mutz *et al.*, 2018) indicate that these climate patterns have persisted since the late Pliocene. Thus, the study sites represent the constant long-term impact of climate on soil formation (Ewing *et al.*, 2006). Bernhard *et al.* (2018) classified the soils according to the WRB system (2017) as Cambisols for SG, QdT, and LC and Umbrisols in NA according to a significant increase in topsoil SOC from north to south along the climate gradient. Soil baseline was characterized by Riveras-Muñoz *et al.* (2022) using topsoil samples (0–5 cm). Particle size distribution was determined for 7 fractions according to Köhn (1929), combining sieving of fractions >20 µm and pipetting of fractions <20 µm. Soil texture was interpreted according to the World Reference Base (WRB) soil classification system (Jahn *et al.*, 2006).


The taxonomical composition of mosses and lichens present in the biocrust (Figure B.2) was described more extensively in (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). At the dry end of the gradient, SG was dominated by lichens, and QdT exhibited a similar composition; in contrast, LC was mainly composed of mosses, while NA included mosses, liverworts and fungi.

### B.2.2 Rainfall simulation experiment

At the research sites, one-square-meter plots were established for the actual rainfall simulation experiments. Each plot was located at top slope with a south-facing orientation. The setups considered the presence of site-typical biocrust communities, similar slope and aspect, and a lack of anthropogenic disturbance and ensured that the distance between each plot did not exceed 30 meters. Rainfall simulation was designed as a factorial, completely randomized experiment with eight treatments (four sites, each with and without biocrust). Five field replicates and three soil samples as technical replicates were taken, resulting in a sample size of  $n = 120$  rainfall simulations.

Infiltration boxes (Figure B.3) were developed as part of a rainfall simulation experiment to measure runoff and percolation flow, including their matter content. Undisturbed soil samples were collected using cutting frames (20 cm × 30 cm × 7 cm, Figure B.3a), carefully installed with minimal surface and subsurface disturbances (Figure B.3c, Seitz (2015)). Cutting frames are made from 1 mm metal plates, sharpened in the bottom sides, and include an upper border to transfer the strength to them without direct contact with the soil and a lower one to stop the frame from burying beyond the desired height of the sample (Figure B.3a). Subsequently, the cutting frames were excavated around, and a metal plate was inserted underneath it (Figure B.3d). The cutting frames with the soil samples were covered with metal plates, wrapped in plastic foil, and care-

fully transported to a flat area with water available. Then, the wrappings were removed, and the frames with the soil samples were stacked on a permeable metal plate and placed inside the soil erosion flux box (Figure B.3a) designed as steel containers with a triangular surface runoff gutter and an outlet at the bottom to capture the percolation flow (Figure B.3a). Soil depth within the boxes is 7 cm. In the case of the presence of small plants, they were cut flush with the surface using a scissor and paying attention to not pull them and avoid surface disturbances. The water content of each sample was measured by a TDR probe (Delta-T Devices Ltd. Cambridge, UK) when sampling, using the average of 3 measurements directly next to the sampling area. Perpendicular photographs were taken on each sample with a digital camera (Sony ILCE-6000 equipped with a lens SELP1650, Tokyo, Japan) and processed with the grid quadrat method overlying a digital grid of 100 subdivisions and separating biocrusts by visual inspection (Belnap *et al.*, 2001) to assess the biocrust ground cover.



**Figure B.3:** Construction diagram of the soil erosion flux box used in the experiment (a), general view of a plot with the presence of biocrust prior to sampling (b). (c, d) shows an installed cutting frame and the cleared and prepared soil. (e) demonstrates the setting for rainfall simulations at the Nahuelbuta (NA) study site.

Rainfall simulations were conducted near the sampling site with the Tübingen rainfall simulator (Iserloh *et al.*, 2013; Seitz, 2015), equipped with a Lechler 460.788.30 nozzle and adjusted to a falling height of 3.5 m. The stack with the sample was placed inside the rainfall simulator and set to a  $10^\circ$  slope (Figure B.3e). A rainfall event was simulated using an intensity of  $45 \text{ mm h}^{-1}$  sustained over a 30-minute period. According to regional intensity-duration-frequency analyses for central Chile (Pizarro-Tapia *et al.*, 2020), such an intensity falls within the extreme rainfall category, well above the heavy precipitation threshold even for relatively wet climates. This extreme intensity was se-

lected to exceed the soil infiltration capacities and reliably generate surface runoff at all study sites. In particular, the four sites represent a pronounced climatic gradient, ensuring that the simulated storm event is sufficiently intense to produce runoff under the diverse hydrological conditions encountered across these regions. The time to start runoff and percolation generation was recorded with a timer. Sediment-water samples were collected in bottles at the runoff gutter and percolation valve. The volume of water was registered with a graduated beaker. The samples were left to sedimentation by gravity, and a water sample was extracted from the supernatant using a siphon and frozen at  $-4\text{ degree Celsius}$ . The remaining sample was dried in an oven at  $105\text{ degree Celsius}$  until no water was observed and then for 48 hours. The weight of the sediment was measured using a balance, and the total C and N content of the sediment was subsequently analyzed. The sediment load was calculated by dividing the sediment amount by the water volume. The nutrient load on sediments was measured using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL III, Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). The water samples were filtered to  $0.45\text{ }\mu\text{m}$  and analyzed for DOC and DON using a Multi N/C 2100 S from Analytik Jena (Jena, Germany).

### **B.2.3 Statistical analysis**

The experiment was designed as a factorial combination of site (4 levels) and biocrust (2 levels). Differences in the properties analyzed were proven by ANCOVA, using the soil baseline variables clay, silt, sand,  $C_T$ , and  $N_T$  as covariates. When covariates were not significant, analyzes continued using ANOVA. ANCOVA and ANOVA were implemented in R using the package stats 4.2.1 (Team, 2022). In case normality or homoscedasticity were not accomplished, automatic data transformation was applied using the package bestNormalize 1.8.3 (Peterson, 2021; Peterson and Cavanaugh, 2020). We evaluated the normal distribution of the data using Shapiro-Wilk's Normality Test ( $p > 0.05$ ) implemented in R stats 4.2.1 (Team, 2022) and homoscedasticity by Levene's Test ( $p < 0.05$ ) implemented in the car 3.1-0 package (Fox and Weisberg, 2018). The individual significance of treatments was assessed by the Dunn-Šidák correction implemented in the package multcomp 1.4-20 (Hothorn *et al.*, 2008).

## **B.3 Results**

### **B.3.1 Surface runoff, percolating water fluxes, and their interrelation with biocrust cover along different climatic conditions**

Our findings reveal that biocrusts play a critical role in modulating associated with erosion, runoff, and percolation across varying climatic conditions (Table B.1 and B.2).

Overall, the time required to start runoff was significantly longer in NA, with the shortest time observed in the coastal arid site, SG. Biocrusts significantly delayed runoff initiation by 97.7%, mainly due to increased surface roughness. This delay was more pronounced in NA, where the combination of enhanced roughness and high infiltration capacity extended the time for runoff initiation by three to four times. However, these effects did not extend to the subsoil, as biocrust had no measurable impact on the time needed for percolation to start.

The total runoff volume was significantly higher in SG compared to the other sites. Biocrust contributed to a significant reduction of the runoff volume, averaging a 28.0% reduction, corresponding to a significant 50.0% reduction in percolation. Regarding site-specific effects, biocrusts significantly reduced the runoff volume by 72.4% in NA. Contrarily, a contrasting trend in LC was observed, with a 36.4% increase in runoff volume associated with the biocrust presence.

The climatic gradient significantly influenced the total sediment transported by runoff, decreasing sediment mobilization as humidity increased. Biocrusts were pivotal in reducing sediment transport via runoff, resulting in an overall decrease of 69.9%. However, this reduction in runoff-associated sediment transport was accompanied by a 28.3% increase in the sediment mobilization via percolation. The interaction between the study site and biocrust presence showed significant sediment reductions across the northern sites, with NA exhibiting a similar trend, albeit without statistical significance.

The sediment load in the runoff, measured as sediment concentration in water, was significantly reduced by an average of 60.9% in the presence of biocrust. In contrast, sediment concentration in percolation increased significantly by 58.3%, highlighting a shift in sediment dynamics influenced by biocrust interactions across the study sites.

### **B.3.2 Influence of biocrust on C and N fluxes along a climatic gradient**

Biocrusts influenced the transport and distribution of C and N in soils, altering their behavior compared to bare surfaces. These effects extend across surface and subsurface layers, involving both sediment-attached and water-dissolved forms measuring in runoff and percolation fluxes (Table 4). The C content in erosion sediments mobilized by runoff increased significantly along the climatic gradient and reached about 10 times higher values of  $23.1 \text{ g[C]}/\text{m}^2/\text{h}$  under mediterranean climate conditions in LC compared to less than  $2.0 \text{ g[C]}/\text{m}^2/\text{h}$  under semi-arid conditions in QdT. In all climates, biocrusts caused a significant decrease in the amount of carbon in surface runoff, with differences reaching a maximum factor of 4.

In percolation flow, biocrusts consistently reduced C content, showing the same general pattern as surface runoff but less pronounced. An average reduction of C for arid and semi-arid areas of around 20 to 40% contrasts with almost unchanged values under

**Table B.1:** Surface runoff fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. A letter-based display of Šidák correction accompanies surface runoff parameters<sup>a</sup>, and the significance of the studied factors is shown as a p-value and list of covariates ( $C_T$ ,  $N_T$ : total carbon and nitrogen content). Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on our data, ns: non-significant.

| Factor                          | Time to start runoff |                                | Runoff           |                                | Sediment in runoff     |                                      | Sediment load of runoff |                                      |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                                 |                      | [s]                            |                  | [L h <sup>-1</sup> ]           |                        | [g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ] |                         | [g L <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ] |
| <b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b> |                      |                                |                  |                                |                        |                                      |                         |                                      |
| Site                            | SG                   | 65.1 $\pm$ 20.7 (a)            | 49 $\pm$ 18 (b)  | 617 $\pm$ 473 (c)              | 12.1 $\pm$ 7.9 (a)     |                                      |                         |                                      |
|                                 | QdT                  | 78.7 $\pm$ 30.4 (a)            | 40 $\pm$ 16 (a)  | 398 $\pm$ 459 (b)              | 9.6 $\pm$ 9.6 (a)      |                                      |                         |                                      |
|                                 | LC                   | 83.5 $\pm$ 56.0 (a)            | 39 $\pm$ 22 (a)  | 241 $\pm$ 293 (b)              | 7.3 $\pm$ 8.8 (a)      |                                      |                         |                                      |
|                                 | NA                   | 236.7 $\pm$ 273.0 (b)          | 44 $\pm$ 43 (a)  | 28 $\pm$ 44 (a)                | 3.0 $\pm$ 14.0 (a)     |                                      |                         |                                      |
| Biocrust                        | BSC+                 | 154.0 $\pm$ 211.5 (b)          | 36 $\pm$ 21 (a)  | 149 $\pm$ 222 (a)              | 4.5 $\pm$ 10.4 (a)     |                                      |                         |                                      |
|                                 | BSC-                 | 77.9 $\pm$ 33.8 (a)            | 50 $\pm$ 31 (b)  | 495 $\pm$ 492 (b)              | 11.5 $\pm$ 10.0 (b)    |                                      |                         |                                      |
| <b>Site*Biocrust</b>            |                      |                                |                  |                                |                        |                                      |                         |                                      |
| SG                              | BSC+                 | 62.7 $\pm$ 21.2 (ab)           | 44 $\pm$ 16 (ab) | 340 $\pm$ 340 (bc)             | 7.5 $\pm$ 5.7 (abc)    |                                      |                         |                                      |
| SG                              | BSC-                 | 67.5 $\pm$ 20.6 (ab)           | 54 $\pm$ 18 (ab) | 873 $\pm$ 456 (d)              | 16.7 $\pm$ 7.1 (de)    |                                      |                         |                                      |
| QdT                             | BSC+                 | 87.3 $\pm$ 37.5 (ab)           | 38 $\pm$ 15 (ab) | 131 $\pm$ 96 (ab)              | 3.3 $\pm$ 2.0 (abd)    |                                      |                         |                                      |
| QdT                             | BSC-                 | 70.1 $\pm$ 18.7 (ab)           | 42 $\pm$ 18 (ab) | 665 $\pm$ 524 (cd)             | 15.8 $\pm$ 10.2 (ce)   |                                      |                         |                                      |
| LC                              | BSC+                 | 85.8 $\pm$ 67.1 (ab)           | 45 $\pm$ 20 (ab) | 87 $\pm$ 94 (a)                | 2.1 $\pm$ 1.9 (a)      |                                      |                         |                                      |
| LC                              | BSC-                 | 81.2 $\pm$ 44.6 (ab)           | 33 $\pm$ 23 (ab) | 395 $\pm$ 344 (bc)             | 12.6 $\pm$ 9.9 (bcde)  |                                      |                         |                                      |
| NA                              | BSC+                 | 380.4 $\pm$ 329.5 (b)          | 19 $\pm$ 23 (a)  | 16 $\pm$ 49 (a)                | 5.2 $\pm$ 19.9 (abcde) |                                      |                         |                                      |
| NA                              | BSC-                 | 93.0 $\pm$ 40.2 (a)            | 69 $\pm$ 45 (b)  | 40 $\pm$ 36 (a)                | 0.9 $\pm$ 1.2 (abcde)  |                                      |                         |                                      |
| <b>Significance (p-value)</b>   |                      |                                |                  |                                |                        |                                      |                         |                                      |
| Site                            |                      | 1.03 $\times$ 10 <sup>-5</sup> | *                | 0.0304                         | *                      | 2.21 $\times$ 10 <sup>-14</sup>      | *                       | 1.93 $\times$ 10 <sup>-9</sup>       |
| Biocrust                        |                      | 0.01452                        | *                | 0.0034                         | *                      | 4.37 $\times$ 10 <sup>-11</sup>      | *                       | 7.57 $\times$ 10 <sup>-10</sup>      |
| Site*Biocrust                   |                      | 0.0166                         | *                | 1.83 $\times$ 10 <sup>-5</sup> | *                      | 0.00129                              | *                       | 0.000177                             |
| <b>Covariates</b>               |                      | clay + $C_T$                   |                  | silt + sand + $N_T$            |                        |                                      | clay + sand + $C_T$ +   |                                      |
|                                 |                      |                                |                  |                                |                        |                                      | soil water content      |                                      |

<sup>a</sup>Letters indicate significant differences between means according to post-hoc tests with Šidák correction for multiple comparisons. Levels not sharing any letter are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

\* Statistically significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ).  $S_D$  Standard Deviation.  $C_T$  Total Carbon content.

$N_T$  Total Nitrogen content.

**Table B.2:** Percolating water fluxes on the study sites (SG: Santa Gracia, QdT: Quebrada de Talca, LC: La Campana, NA: Nahuelbuta) with (+) and without (-) biocrust (BSC) cover. Values correspond to mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) of five field replicates. A letter-based display of Šidák correction accompanies surface runoff parameters<sup>a</sup>, and the significance of the studied factor is shown as a p-value and list of covariates ( $C_T$ ,  $N_T$ : total carbon and nitrogen content). Different letters indicate statistically significant different values based on our data, ns: non-significant.

| Factor                          | Time to start percolation flow |       | Percolation [L h <sup>-1</sup> ] | Sediments in percolation flow [g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> ] |                 | Sediment load in percolation [gL <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> ] |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                 |                                | [s]   |                                  |                                                                    |                 |                                                                  |
| <b>Mean <math>\pm</math> SD</b> |                                |       |                                  |                                                                    |                 |                                                                  |
| Site                            | SG                             | 223   | $\pm 190$                        | 18.0 $\pm 140$ (a)                                                 | 19 $\pm 34$     | 4.2 $\pm 19.7$                                                   |
|                                 | QdT                            | 175.0 | $\pm 94.5$                       | 22.8 $\pm 150$ (a)                                                 | 7 $\pm 10$      | 0.2 $\pm 0.3$                                                    |
|                                 | LC                             | 234   | $\pm 183$                        | 22.4 $\pm 130$ (a)                                                 | 13 $\pm 15$     | 0.5 $\pm 0.5$                                                    |
|                                 | NA                             | 145   | $\pm 169$                        | 65.0 $\pm 390$ (b)                                                 | 23 $\pm 25$     | 0.4 $\pm 0.4$                                                    |
| Biocrust                        | BSC+                           | 171   | $\pm 133$                        | 42.6 $\pm 340$ (b)                                                 | 19 $\pm 22$ (b) | 0.5 $\pm 0.5$                                                    |
|                                 | BSC-                           | 218   | $\pm 190$                        | 21.5 $\pm 210$ (a)                                                 | 12 $\pm 24$ (a) | 2.1 $\pm 13.7$                                                   |
| <b>Site*Biocrust</b>            |                                |       |                                  |                                                                    |                 |                                                                  |
| SG                              | BSC+                           | 202   | $\pm 103$                        | 24.8 $\pm 150$ (ab)                                                | 19 $\pm 18$     | 0.7 $\pm 0.5$                                                    |
| SG                              | BSC-                           | 244   | $\pm 251$                        | 11.1 $\pm 100$ (a)                                                 | 18 $\pm 46$     | 7.5 $\pm 27.5$                                                   |
| QdT                             | BSC+                           | 148.0 | $\pm 63.9$                       | 30.5 $\pm 130$ (b)                                                 | 9 $\pm 13$      | 0.3 $\pm 0.3$                                                    |
| QdT                             | BSC-                           | 202   | $\pm 113$                        | 15.1 $\pm 130$ (ab)                                                | 5 $\pm 6$       | 0.2 $\pm 0.2$                                                    |
| LC                              | BSC+                           | 226   | $\pm 223$                        | 23.5 $\pm 140$ (ab)                                                | 17 $\pm 19$     | 0.6 $\pm 0.5$                                                    |
| LC                              | BSC-                           | 241   | $\pm 139$                        | 21.3 $\pm 140$ (ab)                                                | 9 $\pm 9$       | 0.4 $\pm 0.3$                                                    |
| NA                              | BSC+                           | 107.0 | $\pm 35.6$                       | 91.5 $\pm 280$ (c)                                                 | 30 $\pm 32$     | 0.4 $\pm 0.4$                                                    |
| NA                              | BSC-                           | 183   | $\pm 234$                        | 38.5 $\pm 300$ (b)                                                 | 16 $\pm 15$     | 0.4 $\pm 0.3$                                                    |
| <b>Significance (p-value)</b>   |                                |       |                                  |                                                                    |                 |                                                                  |
| Site                            |                                | (ns)  |                                  | $1.78 \times 10^{-12}$ *                                           | (ns)            | (ns)                                                             |
| Biocrust                        |                                | (ns)  |                                  | $8.11 \times 10^{-8}$ *                                            | 0.00946 *       | (ns)                                                             |
| Site*Biocrust                   |                                | (ns)  |                                  | 0.00164 *                                                          | (ns)            | (ns)                                                             |
| <b>Covariates</b>               |                                | clay  |                                  | clay + silt + sand + clay + silt + sand                            |                 |                                                                  |
|                                 |                                |       |                                  | $C_T + SOC$                                                        | + $C_T + SOC$   |                                                                  |

<sup>a</sup> Letters indicate significant differences between means according to post-hoc tests with Šidák correction for multiple comparisons. Levels not sharing any letter are significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). \* Statistically significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ). ns Non-significant ( $p \geq 0.05$ ).

SD Standard Deviation.  $C_T$  Total Carbon content.  $N_T$  Total Nitrogen content.

SOC Soil Organic Carbon.

humid conditions in NA. The absolute values differed between the four climates by a factor of 8, with the highest C concentration in humid NA and the lowest in semi-arid QdT. This reduction was partially explained by soil texture, which accounted for 32.9% of the variability ( $p = 6.013e^{-8}$ ).

The differences in N fluxes between areas with and without biocrusts and between semi-arid and humid climates followed a similar pattern for carbon, increasing along the climatic gradient with significantly lower values overall. Biocrust effects were site-specific, increasing sediment N in SG but decreasing in NA and explaining 48.8% of the variability in N mobilized by runoff ( $p = 2.267e^{-13}$ ). The effect, combined with factors like C<sub>T</sub>, N<sub>T</sub>, and SOC, accounted for 25.5% of the observed variability ( $p = 2.694e^{-6}$ ).

BSC affected dissolved C and N fractions (DOC, DON) across the climatic gradient. Site-specific conditions and biocrust interactions strongly influenced DOC in runoff, which explained 56.0% of the variability ( $p = 1.939e^{-15}$ ). Biocrusts amplified DOC in some areas, such as in SG, while reducing it in others, such as NA. Similar patterns were observed in percolation, where site and biocrust interactions accounted for 33.1% of the variability ( $p < 1.173e^{-8}$ ). DON in runoff showed analogous trends, with significant site-biocrust interactions explaining 56.3% of the variability ( $p = 2.2e^{-16}$ ). For instance, in northern sites like SG, biocrusts increased DON from  $1.3 \pm 1.0$  ppm to  $2.2 \pm 2.0$  ppm. However, in the southeast site NA, biocrust decreased DON from  $0.6 \pm 0.7$  to  $0.3 \pm 0.8$  ppm. In percolation, DON content followed similar trends, with 45.6% of variability explained by site and biocrust interactions ( $p = 3.905e^{-13}$ ).

## B.4 Discussion

### B.4.1 Biocrust and erosion under different climatic conditions

During the rainfall simulations, the time to runoff varied between sites, with NA showing significantly longer delays on average. This delay results from the higher surface roughness in biocrust samples, mainly due to the dominance of bryophytes (mosses) (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Bryophytes increase water storage capacity and create a more tortuous surface, which alter surface runoff dynamics and delay runoff (Chamizo *et al.*, 2016; Juan *et al.*, 2023; Zeng *et al.*, 2025). The longer time to runoff in NA is primarily driven by biocrust plots, where time to runoff is up to three times higher than in other sites with biocrust. This skews the mean value upwards, as bare soil in NA exhibits runoff times like those in northern sites.

Biocrust composition follows a gradient (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). SG and QdT are dominated by lichens, cyanobacteria, and algae, while LC has a more balanced presence of bryophytes. In NA, bryophytes dominate entirely. In contrast, runoff initiation times were similar across all sites in the BSC treatment, where smoother surfaces predominate.

Runoff volume followed a similar pattern. Overall, biocrusts significantly reduced runoff volume by approximately 23.6%, consistent with Bu *et al.* (2015), Gall *et al.* (2022b) and Xiao *et al.* (2022). The three northern sites produced relatively homogeneous runoff volumes, while NA had a higher total runoff volume. However, post-simulation inspection of NA soil samples revealed heterogeneous infiltration: most of the soil remained dry, with only a few localized wet patches. This indicates a high soil hydrophobicity, which causes water to follow preferential flow paths. Such hydrophobicity is commonly associated with soils rich in organic matter and low in water content (Jimenez-Morillo *et al.*, 2022; Xing and Lourenço, 2023), conditions present in NA during summer and known to influence local hydrology strongly (Hassan *et al.*, 2022).

Across all climatic conditions, biocrusts consistently and significantly reduced sediment discharge, highlighting their role in mitigating soil erosion. Previous studies have similarly emphasized biocrust cover as an important factor in controlling soil erosion rates (Chamizo *et al.*, 2017; Gall *et al.*, 2022b; Seitz *et al.*, 2017; Silva *et al.*, 2019). Although the total runoff volume did not follow the climatic gradient, there was a significant reduction in the sediment load mobilized by runoff with increasing climatic moisture.

The increased stability of soils with increasing water availability is well documented (Lal, 2020; Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Ecosystems that receive more water generally have higher net primary production (NPP), leading to greater organic matter input into the soil. This improves soil structure and promotes the formation of more stable aggregates, thereby increasing resilience to external disturbances (Lal, 2020), such as heavy rainfall in the case of our experiment. These results highlight the importance of soil conservation in regions with limited water availability, especially where the frequency of extreme events is increasing (Meseguer-Ruiz *et al.*, 2020). The differences between QdT and SG highlight the effects of soil conservation. QdT has been fenced since 2011. This has fostered a more continuous biocrust cover. Surface stability is also higher at QdT. Consequently, sediment loads at QdT were 60% lower under similar rainfall conditions.


The climate gradient is expressed in terms of the time for percolation to start from the start of the simulation. However, contrary to our expectations that more humid conditions would increase the abundance of large drainage pores and thus accelerate percolation (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022), the time for water to start percolating was faster in the coastal semi-arid site and slowest in the humid one. In this regard, Nielsen *et al.* (2018) confirm that coarse porosity in soils with high sand content comes from interstitial spaces between particles. Our results support this, as the northernmost site, with its high sand content, showed the fastest onset of percolation. This behavior is in line with the hydrophobicity observed in NA. Conversely, soils developed in more humid climates appear to have more complex, tortuous pore networks, resulting in slower infiltration (Crawford, 2006).

Although infiltration started earlier in arid conditions, cumulative infiltration was lower than in temperate and humid climates. In semi-arid conditions, infiltration is initially rapid but quickly reaches saturation, limiting total infiltration. In contrast, infiltration starts slowly but remains constant in humid climates, allowing more water to infiltrate before saturation is reached. This difference significantly impacts how soils respond to intense rainfall events, as saturated soils have the lowest physical stability (Montrasio *et al.*, 2018) and, when combined with steep slopes, pose a high risk of landslides (Godt *et al.*, 2009). Biocrusts increased the amount of infiltrating water, indicating increased saturated hydraulic conductivity (Bauer, 1974). Biocrusts thus act as an agent that modifies the relationship between horizontal and vertical water flow (Li *et al.*, 2022). In our experiment, this effect was observed to a depth of 7 cm, but if deeper pore continuity exists, it may also promote groundwater recharge.

The significant increase in the sediment content of the percolating water indicates the active leaching of sediments from the A horizon into the underlying layers. Along the climatic gradient, sediment movement generally increased with moisture. However, the SG site deviated from this pattern and showed significantly lower sediment transport at 7 cm depth. Sediment mobilization was higher in soils with biocrusts, supporting the idea that biocrusts trap finer particles (Chen *et al.*, 2008; Garcia-Pichel *et al.*, 2016). These trapped sediments can serve as a source of material mobilized deeper in the soil profile. This effect was most pronounced in the NA and almost imperceptible in the SG, where lower water percolation probably minimized sediment movement.

#### **B.4.2 Biocrust modulation of C and N fluxes along different climatic conditions**

In addition to affecting erosion and sediment transport, biocrusts also influence the composition of the mobilized sediments and runoff (Figure B.4). In the solid phase,  $C_T$  and  $N_T$  increased with moisture along the climatic gradient. This nutrient enhancement is attributed to C accumulation, which depends on the balance between respiration and photosynthesis rates. These rates are determined by climatic factors (Wang *et al.*, 2022), such as soil temperature and moisture (Ontl and Schulte, 2012), which were key criteria for our site selection. In addition, more than 90% of N in soils is bound to C in organic forms, making these two elements highly correlated (Li *et al.*, 2014).



**Figure B.4:** Total carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) fluxes in sediment and water for the four study sites. Diagonal arrows represent surface runoff. Arrows pointing vertically downward represent percolation. The diagrams on the right, with a light green surface, represent the BSC+ treatments, and the one on the left represents the BSC- treatments. Grey-filled arrows correspond to C fluxes, and yellowish arrows to N. At the same time, arrows with solid borders correspond to fluxes attached to the sediments and dashed arrows correspond to fluxes dissolved in water. Each type of arrow is proportional within each group in width to the concentration of nutrients and in length to their mass.

The increase in C/N ratio along the climatic gradient, from arid to humid conditions, indicates a shift in the dominant processes from mineralization to immobilization (Brust, 2019). This shift implies enhanced N recycling and increased stability of SOM in more humid environments (Oeser and von Blanckenburg, 2020). However, the presence of biocrusts altered these patterns, where in SG and QdT there were no changes in the C/N of the bare soil. In LC and NA, however, the presence of biocrusts implied a reduction in the C/N of the sediments, suggesting that biocrust-influenced sediments contain less C but more N, which is often a limiting element for biological activity (Hanrahan and Chan, 2005) and may pose a higher risk as a watercourse pollutant (Dodds and Smith, 2016).

The composition of the percolated sediments in the soil profile also varied with climate and the presence of biocrusts. The  $C_T$  of the sediments followed the climatic gradient, increasing with moisture. Biocrust presence reduced  $C_T$  in sediments compared to bare soil, suggesting that the sediments serve as a C source for the organisms in the biocrusts (Sancho *et al.*, 2016). Thus, biocrusts reduce long-term C pools in the subsoil and concentrate C where there is more biological activity (Baumert *et al.*, 2021).  $C_T$  of sediments along the sites and biocrust conditions followed the same pattern as  $C_T$  of soils published by Riveras-Muñoz *et al.* (2022). The  $N_T$  of sediments followed a similar climatic gradient as the  $C_T$ , with a significant increase when moving to wetter conditions, reflecting the general pattern of net primary productivity (LeBauer and Treseder, 2008). However, the effect of biocrust on  $N_T$  in sediments mobilized by percolation was

not significant per se but depended on the specific site. The above indicates a change in the effect of biocrusts on N<sub>T</sub> at the different sites, where the sediments act as a source of N in LC and NA but as a sink in SG and NA. These differences in the direction of N flux in the different environments had a direct effect on the C/N ratio, indicating rapid mineralization and release of N for plants in SG, QdT and LC and a state of equilibrium between mineralization and immobilization in NA Brust (2019). The lack of difference in the C/N ratio, together with a significant increase in the values of C<sub>T</sub> and N<sub>T</sub> when biocrusts are present, highlights biocrusts as active agents in the fixation of these elements but that maintain the equilibrium in the ratio of these elements when considering sediments mobilized by infiltration under different climatic conditions.

The liquid phase of both percolation and runoff showed changes in DOC and DON content in the presence of biocrusts, representing readily available organic C and N sources (Bernal *et al.*, 2005). A meta-analysis by Xu *et al.* (2022) found that BSCs generally increased SOC by 70.9% compared to uncrusted soil, with the strongest positive effects observed in deserts and with mixed crusts (containing multiple biocrust types). Biocrust removal significantly increased both DOC and C<sub>T</sub> mobilization, especially under high-intensity rainfall. For example, Chamizo *et al.* (2017) found that annual DOC mobilization could increase up to three-fold and C<sub>T</sub> mobilized in sediments up to ten-fold in biocrust-removed soils compared to soils with intact biocrusts, with the most pronounced effect during the first rainfall event after removal. Furthermore, Aanderud *et al.* (2018) found evidence for the initiation of fungal loops – where fungi translocate resources between soils and plants – in cyanobacteria-dominated crusts. Specifically, they showed that <sup>15</sup>N from <sup>15</sup>NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> moved 40 mm h<sup>-1</sup> in biocrust soils. This highlights the immediate impact of biocrust disturbance on C loss through water erosion, and the potential role of fungi in nutrient cycling. Young *et al.* (2022) significantly expand on that, demonstrating this availability varies greatly with biocrust successional stage. Lightly pigmented cyanobacterial crusts leached more dissolved nitrogen, while moss crusts released significantly more dissolved organic carbon. Although these differences did not immediately alter mineral soil microbial respiration, soil beneath moss crusts showed higher long-term CO<sub>2</sub> flux, suggesting organic C accumulation. Thus, biocrust type crucially regulates nutrient and carbon fluxes to deeper soil, impacting dryland ecosystem function.

Our results suggest that organic C and N mobilization in water and sediments depends on the interplay of climatic conditions, site characteristics and biocrust presence, in agreement with Austin *et al.* (2004). We observed that runoff models captured biocrust effects more accurately than infiltration models, probably because the influence of biocrusts is strongest at the surface and decreases with depth - beyond 7 cm in our study. This observation is consistent with Williams *et al.* (2022), who only consider biocrust effects to a depth of about 4 in runoff models. This confirms that the presence of surface biocrusts can influence nutrient mobilization in water and sediments (Belnap, 2003;

Rodríguez-Caballero *et al.*, 2013), not only in arid ecosystems.

Furthermore, our study found that sediment N loss was explained by site and biocrust, without interactions between the two factors, indicating that both site and biocrust have individual effects on N mobilization. The importance of biocrust suggests similar mechanisms in each site studied, where biocrusts act as a source of N that moves vertically and is relocated in depth by infiltration at the expense of DON in the runoff. In contrast, DOC loss in runoff was significantly explained by site, biocrust and their interaction, whereas percolation DOC was only influenced by site. These results suggest that DOC flux is controlled by complex interactions between the site and biocrust at the surface, which decrease with depth.

These results highlight the nuanced role of biocrust in regulating C and N source and sink dynamics, with effects varying significantly across climatic gradients and between surface and subsurface processes such as surface runoff and percolation. The effects of biocrust were particularly pronounced on surface-associated runoff dynamics, while their influence on subsurface and percolation fluxes diminished. These observations highlight the importance of considering local environmental conditions and biocrust interactions when assessing their ecological functions and contributions to nutrient cycling. Overall, nutrient fluxes in water and sediments appear to be influenced by a combination of several factors, including climate and biocrust (structure, composition and activity). Further studies may be required to fully understand the mechanisms driving these interactions and their implications for ecosystem processes.

## B.5 Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the role of biocrusts in erosion, infiltration, and C and N fluxes across climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range, encompassing coastal and inland semi-arid, Mediterranean, and humid conditions. Biocrusts were abundant, though limited by human disturbance.

Our findings partially support our first hypothesis, showing biocrusts consistently reduce soil erosion and enhance infiltration, regardless of climate. However, their impact on surface runoff initiation varied. Rough-surfaced, bryophyte-dominated biocrusts in humid environments delayed runoff, an effect less pronounced in drier climates with smoother biocrusts. While generally reducing runoff volume (e.g., QdT), biocrusts unexpectedly increased it in one Mediterranean site (LC). Biocrusts significantly reduced sediment discharge across all climates, most effectively in the inland semi-arid site. While not altering infiltration time, they increased cumulative infiltration and facilitated fine particle movement into deeper soil.

Our second hypothesis, proposing climate-specific feedbacks, is also supported. Biocrusts influenced sediment nutrient dynamics. In semi-arid sites (SG, QdT), they increased sediment C and N, a trend reversed in wetter sites (LC, NA), demonstrating a

climate-dependent shift in their function as nutrient sources/sinks. Biocrusts enhanced percolation, deep sediment transport, and N fluxes at depth, while reducing C loss via infiltration.

They also affected dissolved C and N. Initial dissolved C and N losses decreased with increasing humidity. Biocrusts generally reduced C losses; for N, they acted primarily as a source, mobilized by infiltration. Interactions were strongest at the surface, decreasing with depth. These findings highlight the context-dependent impacts of biocrusts, revealing complex interplay between biotic and abiotic factors. By protecting soil, modulating water infiltration, and interacting dynamically with nutrients, they are crucial ecological players in drylands and other ecosystems.

## Acknowledgements

This study results from several years of work and the successful completion of the field expedition, which was significantly delayed by the global pandemic. It would not have been possible without the collaboration and support of many individuals. In addition to the co-authors, we are deeply grateful to the following students for their invaluable contributions: Martin Loyola, Antonia Tertelmann, Hugo "el fenómeno" Pérez, Merle Eichholz, Rae Bischoff, Kerstin Rau, Daniella Verdugo, Bruno Araya, Sofia Vila, and Larissa Werner. We are grateful to the Chilean National Forest Corporation (CONAF) and Sucesión Gálvez Muñoz for providing access to the study sites. The study was funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG) SPP 1803 "EarthShape" (grant number SCHO 739/17-2). We acknowledge support by the Open Access Publishing Fund of the University of Tübingen.

## Data availability

Data is available on [Dryad](<https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.m0cfxppfm>)

## Declaration of generative AI and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process

During the preparation of this work, the author(s) used ChatGPT3.5 and Grammarly in order to improve English language usage, grammar, clarity, and readability, as none of the authors is a native speaker. After using these tools/services, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication.

# Bibliography

- Aanderud, Z. T., Smart, T. B., Wu, N., Taylor, A. S., Zhang, Y., and Belnap, J. (2018). Fungal loop transfer of nitrogen depends on biocrust constituents and nitrogen form. *Biogeosciences*, **15**(12), 3831–3840.
- Armesto, J. J. and Arroyo, M. T. K. (2007). The mediterranean environment of central Chile.
- Austin, A. T., Yahdjian, L., Stark, J. M., Belnap, J., Porporato, A., Norton, U., Ravetta, D. A., and Schaeffer, S. M. (2004). Water pulses and biogeochemical cycles in arid and semiarid ecosystems. *Oecologia*, **141**(2), 221–35.
- Bauer, S. W. (1974). A modified horton equation for infiltration during intermittent rainfall. *Hydrological Sciences Bulletin*, **19**(2), 219–225.
- Baumert, V. L., Forstner, S. J., Zethof, J. H. T., Vogel, C., Heitkötter, J., Schulz, S., Kögel-Knabner, I., and Mueller, C. W. (2021). Root-induced fungal growth triggers macroaggregation in forest subsoils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **157**, 108244.
- Belnap, J. (2003). The world at your feet: desert biological soil crusts. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **1**(4), 181–189.
- Belnap, J., Kaltenecker, J. H., Rosentreter, R., Williams, J., Leonard, S., and Eldridge, D. (2001). Biological soil crusts: Ecology and management. *US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, National Science and Technology Center. Denver, Colorado*, **110**.
- Belnap, J., Weber, B., and Büdel, B. (2016). *Biological soil crusts as an organizing principle in drylands*. Springer.
- Bernal, S., Butturini, A., and Sabater, F. (2005). Seasonal variations of dissolved nitrogen and doc:don ratios in an intermittent mediterranean stream. *Biogeochemistry*, **75**(2), 351–372.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R. A., Aburto, F., Bader, M. Y., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., Brucker, E., Büdel, B., Canessa, R., Dippold, M. A., Ehlers, T. A., Fuentes, J. P., Godoy, R., Jung, P., Karsten, U., Köster, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Neidhardt, H., Matus, F., Mueller, C. W.,

## Bibliography

---

- Oelmann, Y., Oses, R., Osses, P., Paulino, L., Samolov, E., Schaller, M., Schmid, M., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Stock, S., Stroncik, N., Tielbörger, K., Übernickel, K., Scholten, T., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Kühn, P. (2018). Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile. *Catena*, **170**, 335–355.
- Bowker, M. A., Reed, S. C., Maestre, F. T., and Eldridge, D. J. (2018). Biocrusts: the living skin of the earth. *Plant and Soil*, **429**(1-2), 1–7.
- Brust, G. E. (2019). *Management strategies for organic vegetable fertility*, pages 193–212. Elsevier.
- Bu, C., Wu, S., Han, F., Yang, Y., and Meng, J. (2015). The combined effects of moss-dominated biocrusts and vegetation on erosion and soil moisture and implications for disturbance on the loess plateau, china. *PLoS One*, **10**(5), e0127394.
- Büdel, B. and Colesie, C. (2014). *Biological Soil Crusts*, pages 131–161. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Saldaña, A., Rios, R. S., Hättenschwiler, S., Mueller, C. W., Prater, I., Tielbörger, K., Bader, M. Y., and Piper, F. (2020). Relative effects of climate and litter traits on decomposition change with time, climate and trait variability. *Journal of Ecology*, **109**(1), 447–458.
- Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Berdugo, M. B., Hättenschwiler, S., Rios, R. S., Saldaña, A., Tielbörger, K., and Bader, M. Y. (2022). Trait functional diversity explains mixture effects on litter decomposition at the arid end of a climate gradient. *Journal of Ecology*, **110**(9), 2219–2231.
- Castillo-Monroy, A. P., Benítez, , Reyes-Bueno, F., Donoso, D. A., and Cueva, A. (2016). Biocrust structure responds to soil variables along a tropical scrubland elevation gradient. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **124**, 31–38.
- Chamizo, S., Cantón, Y., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., and Domingo, F. (2016). Biocrusts positively affect the soil water balance in semiarid ecosystems. *Ecohydrology*, **9**(7), 1208–1221.
- Chamizo, S., Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Román, J. R., and Cantón, Y. (2017). Effects of biocrust on soil erosion and organic carbon losses under natural rainfall. *Catena*, **148**, 117–125.
- Chen, R., Zhang, Y., Li, Y., Wei, W., Zhang, J., and Wu, N. (2008). The variation of morphological features and mineralogical components of biological soil crusts in the gurbantunggut desert of northwestern china. *Environmental Geology*, **57**(5), 1135–1143.

- Concostrina-Zubiri, L., Pescador, D. S., Martínez, I., and Escudero, A. (2014). Climate and small scale factors determine functional diversity shifts of biological soil crusts in iberian drylands. *Biodiversity and conservation*, **23**, 1757–1770.
- Corbin, J. D. and Thiet, R. K. (2020). Temperate biocrusts: mesic counterparts to their better-known dryland cousins. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **18**(8), 456–464.
- Costa, O. Y. A., Raaijmakers, J. M., and Kuramae, E. E. (2018). Microbial extracellular polymeric substances: Ecological function and impact on soil aggregation. *Front Microbiol*, **9**, 1636.
- Crawford, J. W. (2006). The relationship between structure and the hydraulic conductivity of soil. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **45**(4), 493–502.
- Ding, J. and Eldridge, D. J. (2020). Biotic and abiotic effects on biocrust cover vary with microsite along an extensive aridity gradient. *Plant and Soil*, **450**(1-2), 429–441.
- Dodds, W. and Smith, V. (2016). Nitrogen, phosphorus, and eutrophication in streams. *Inland Waters*, **6**(2), 155–164.
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., and Pöschl, U. (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**(7), 459–462.
- Eldridge, D. J., Mallen-Cooper, M., and Ding, J. (2021). Biocrust functional traits reinforce runoff-runoff patchiness in drylands. *Geoderma*, **400**, 115152.
- Ewing, S. A., Sutter, B., Owen, J., Nishiizumi, K., Sharp, W., Cliff, S. S., Perry, K., Dietrich, W., McKay, C. P., and Amundson, R. (2006). A threshold in soil formation at Earth’s arid–hyperarid transition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**(21), 5293–5322.
- Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. (2018). *An R companion to applied regression*. Sage publications.
- Gall, C., Ohan, J., Glaser, K., Karsten, U., Schloter, M., Scholten, T., Schulz, S., Seitz, S., and Kurth, J. K. (2022a). Biocrusts: Overlooked hotspots of managed soils in mesic environments. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **185**(6), 745–751.
- Gall, C., Nebel, M., Quandt, D., Scholten, T., and Seitz, S. (2022b). Pioneer biocrust communities prevent soil erosion in temperate forests after disturbances. *Biogeosciences*, **19**(13), 3225–3245.

## Bibliography

---

- Garcia-Pichel, F., Felde, V. J. M. N. L., Drahorad, S. L., and Weber, B. (2016). *Micromicrostructure and Weathering Processes Within Biological Soil Crusts*, book section Chapter 13, pages 237–255. Ecological Studies. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- Gholzom, E. H. and Gholami, V. (2012). A comparison between natural forests and reforested lands in terms of runoff generation potential and hydrologic response (case study: Kasilian watershed). *Soil and Water Research*, **7**(4), 166–173.
- Godt, J. W., Baum, R. L., and Lu, N. (2009). Landsliding in partially saturated materials. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **36**(2).
- Grote, E. E., Belnap, J., Housman, D. C., and Sparks, J. P. (2010). Carbon exchange in biological soil crust communities under differential temperatures and soil water contents: implications for global change. *Global Change Biology*, **16**(10), 2763–2774.
- Guo, Q., Wang, Z., Shen, N., and Zhao, Y. (2022). Impacts of biocrusts on sheet erosion and its detachment- and transport-limited processes: A case study from the loess plateau, china. *Biosystems Engineering*, **223**, 18–28.
- Gypser, S., Veste, M., Fischer, T., and Lange, P. (2016). Infiltration and water retention of biological soil crusts on reclaimed soils of former open-cast lignite mining sites in brandenburg, north-east germany. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **64**(1), 1–11.
- Hanrahan, G. and Chan, G. (2005). *Nitrogen*, pages 191–196. Elsevier, Oxford.
- Hassan, K., Carrillo, Y., and Nielsen, U. N. (2022). Prolonged drought causes negative plant-soil feedbacks in grassland species under field conditions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **172**, 108772.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., and Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biom J*, **50**(3), 346–63.
- Hu, W., Chen, L., Shen, J., Yao, J., He, Q., and Chen, J. (2022). Changes in extreme precipitation on the tibetan plateau and its surroundings: Trends, patterns, and relationship with ocean oscillation factors. *Water*, **14**(16), 2509.
- Iserloh, T., Ries, J., Arnáez, J., Boix-Fayos, C., Butzen, V., Cerdà, A., Echeverría, M., Fernández-Gálvez, J., Fister, W., and Geißler, C. (2013). European small portable rainfall simulators: A comparison of rainfall characteristics. *Catena*, **110**, 100–112.
- Jahn, R., Blume, H., Asio, V., Spaargaren, O., and Schad, P. (2006). *Guidelines for soil description*. Fao.

- Jimenez-Morillo, N. T., Almendros, G., Miller, A. Z., Hatcher, P. G., and Gonzalez-Perez, J. A. (2022). Hydrophobicity of soils affected by fires: An assessment using molecular markers from ultra-high resolution mass spectrometry. *Sci Total Environ*, **817**, 152957.
- Juan, J., Dongdong, L., YuanHang, F., and Pu, L. (2023). Combined effects of moss colonization and rock fragment coverage on sediment losses, flow hydraulics and surface microtopography of carbonate-derived laterite from karst mountainous lands. *Catena*, **229**, 107202.
- Kalnejais, L. H., Martin, W. R., and Bothner, M. H. (2010). The release of dissolved nutrients and metals from coastal sediments due to resuspension. *Marine Chemistry*, **121**(1), 224–235.
- Khaleghi, M. R. and Varvani, J. (2018). Sediment rating curve parameters relationship with watershed characteristics in the semiarid river watersheds. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **43**(7), 3725–3737.
- Kheirfam, H., Sadeghi, S. H., Homaei, M., and Zarei Darki, B. (2017). Quality improvement of an erosion-prone soil through microbial enrichment. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **165**, 230–238.
- Kidron, G. J., Veste, M., and Lichner, (2021). Biological factors impacting hydrological processes: Peculiarities of plants and biological soil crusts. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, **69**(4), 357–359.
- Kidron, G. J., Lichner, L., Fischer, T., Starinsky, A., and Or, D. (2022). Mechanisms for biocrust-modulated runoff generation – a review. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **231**, 104100.
- Köhn, M. (1929). Bemerkungen zur mechanischen bodenanalyse iv. *Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung, Düngung, Bodenkunde*, **14**(3), 268–280.
- Lal, R. (2020). Soil organic matter and water retention. *Agronomy Journal*, **112**(5), 3265–3277.
- LeBauer, D. S. and Treseder, K. K. (2008). Nitrogen limitation of net primary productivity in terrestrial ecosystems is globally distributed. *Ecology*, **89**(2), 371–9.
- Li, Q., Sun, Y., Zhang, X., Xu, X., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2014). Relationship between carbon and nitrogen mineralization in a subtropical soil.
- Li, S., Bowker, M. A., and Xiao, B. (2022). Impacts of moss-dominated biocrusts on rainwater infiltration, vertical water flow, and surface soil evaporation in drylands. *Journal of Hydrology*, **612**, 128176.

## Bibliography

---

- Liu, D. and She, D. (2020). Combined effects of moss crusts and pine needles on evaporation of carbonate-derived laterite from karst mountainous lands. *Journal of Hydrology*, **586**, 124859.
- Luetzenburg, G., Bittner, M. J., Calsamiglia, A., Renschler, C. S., Estrany, J., and Poepll, R. (2020). Climate and land use change effects on soil erosion in two small agricultural catchment systems fognitz - austria, can revull - spain. *Sci Total Environ*, **704**, 135389.
- Meseguer-Ruiz, O., Ponce-Philimon, P. I., Baltazar, A., Guijarro, J. A., Serrano-Notivoli, R., Olcina Cantos, J., Martin-Vide, J., and Sarricolea, P. (2020). Synoptic attributions of extreme precipitation in the atacama desert (chile). *Climate Dynamics*, **55**(11-12), 3431–3444.
- Molina-Montenegro, M. A., Oses, R., Torres-Diaz, C., Atala, C., Zurita-Silva, A., and Ruiz-Lara, S. (2016). Root-endophytes improve the ecophysiological performance and production of an agricultural species under drought condition. *AoB Plants*, **8**. Molina-Montenegro, Marco A Oses, Romulo Torres-Diaz, Cristian Atala, Cristian Zurita-Silva, Andres Ruiz-Lara, Simon eng England 2016/10/30 AoB Plants. 2016 Oct 27;8:plw062. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plw062. Print 2016.
- Montrasio, L., Valentino, R., and Meisina, C. (2018). Soil saturation and stability analysis of a test site slope using the shallow landslide instability prediction (slip) model. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, **36**(4), 2331–2342.
- Munoz-Martin, M. A., Becerra-Absalon, I., Perona, E., Fernandez-Valbuena, L., Garcia-Pichel, F., and Mateo, P. (2019). Cyanobacterial biocrust diversity in mediterranean ecosystems along a latitudinal and climatic gradient. *New Phytol*, **221**(1), 123–141.
- Mutz, S. G., Ehlers, T. A., Werner, M., Lohmann, G., Stepanek, C., and Li, J. (2018). Estimates of late Cenozoic climate change relevant to Earth surface processes in tectonically active orogens. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, **6**(2), 271–301.
- Nevins, C. J., Strauss, S. L., and Inglett, P. W. (2020). Biological soil crusts enhance moisture and nutrients in the upper rooting zone of sandy soil agroecosystems. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **183**(5), 615–626.
- Nielsen, J. E., Karup, D., de Jonge, L. W., Ahm, M., Bentzen, T. R., Rasmussen, M. R., and Moldrup, P. (2018). Can the volume ratio of coarse to fine particles explain the hydraulic properties of sandy soil? *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **82**(5), 1093–1100.

- Oeser, R. A. and von Blanckenburg, F. (2020). Do degree and rate of silicate weathering depend on plant productivity? *Biogeosciences*, **17**(19), 4883–4917.
- Ontl, T. and Schulte, L. A. (2012). Soil carbon storage. *Nat. Educ. Knowl.*, **3**.
- Pan, S.-Y., Dong, C.-D., Su, J.-F., Wang, P.-Y., Chen, C.-W., Chang, J.-S., Kim, H., Huang, C.-P., and Hung, C.-M. (2021). The role of biochar in regulating the carbon, phosphorus, and nitrogen cycles exemplified by soil systems. *Sustainability*, **13**(10), 5612.
- Peterson, R. A. (2021). Finding optimal normalizing transformations via best normalize. *R Journal*, **13**(1).
- Peterson, R. A. and Cavanaugh, J. E. (2020). Ordered quantile normalization: a semi-parametric transformation built for the cross-validation era. *J Appl Stat*, **47**(13-15), 2312–2327.
- Pizarro-Tapia, R., González-Leiva, F., Valdés-Pineda, R., Ingram, B., Sangüesa, C., and Vallejos, C. (2020). A rainfall intensity data rescue initiative for Central Chile utilizing a pluviograph strip charts reader (PSCR). *Water*, **12**(7), 1887.
- Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seitz, S., Witzgall, K., Rodríguez, V., Kühn, P., Mueller, C. W., Oses, R., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Scholten, T. (2022). Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range. *Soil*, **8**(2), 717–731.
- Rodriguez, V., Bartholomäus, A., Witzgall, K., Riveras-Munoz, N., Oses, R., Liebner, S., Kallmeyer, J., Rach, O., Mueller, C. W., Seguel, O., Scholten, T., and Wagner, D. (2024). Microbial impact on initial soil formation in arid and semiarid environments under simulated climate change. *Front Microbiol*, **15**, 1319997.
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Crutzen, P. J., Andreae, M. O., Pöschl, U., and Weber, B. (2018). Dryland photoautotrophic soil surface communities endangered by global change. *Nature Geoscience*, **11**(3), 185–189.
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Cantón, Y., Chamizo, S., Lázaro, R., and Escudero, A. (2013). Soil loss and runoff in semiarid ecosystems: A complex interaction between biological soil crusts, micro-topography, and hydrological drivers. *Ecosystems*, **16**(4), 529–546.
- Rodríguez-Caballero, E., Chamizo, S., Roncero-Ramos, B., Román, R., and Cantón, Y. (2018). Runoff from biocrust: A vital resource for vegetation performance on mediterranean steppes. *Ecohydrology*, **11**(6), e1977.

## Bibliography

---

- Rutherford, W. A., Painter, T. H., Ferrenberg, S., Belnap, J., Okin, G. S., Flagg, C., and Reed, S. C. (2017). Albedo feedbacks to future climate via climate change impacts on dryland biocrusts. *Sci Rep*, **7**(1), 44188.
- Samolov, E., Baumann, K., Budel, B., Jung, P., Leinweber, P., Mikhailyuk, T., Karsten, U., and Glaser, K. (2020). Biodiversity of algae and cyanobacteria in biological soil crusts collected along a climatic gradient in Chile using an integrative approach. *Microorganisms*, **8**(7), 1047.
- Sancho, L. G., Belnap, J., Colesie, C., Raggio, J., and Weber, B. (2016). Carbon budgets of biological soil crusts at micro-, meso-, and global scales. *Biological soil crusts: an organizing principle in drylands*, pages 287–304.
- Santibáñez Quezada, F. (2017). *Atlas agroclimático de Chile. Estado actual y tendencias del clima. Tomo II: Regiones de Atacama y Coquimbo*. Universidad de Chile. Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas : FIA, Santiago, Chile.
- Scholten, T. and Seitz, S. (2019). Soil erosion and land degradation. *Soil Systems*, **3**(4), 68.
- Seitz, S. (2015). *Mechanisms of soil erosion in subtropical Chinese forests-effects of species diversity, species identity, functional traits and soil fauna on sediment discharge*. Thesis.
- Seitz, S., Nebel, M., Goebes, P., Käppeler, K., Schmidt, K., Shi, X., Song, Z., Webber, C. L., Weber, B., and Scholten, T. (2017). Bryophyte-dominated biological soil crusts mitigate soil erosion in an early successional Chinese subtropical forest. *Bio-geosciences*, **14**(24), 5775–5788.
- Silva, F. C., Vieira, D. C. S., van der Spek, E., and Keizer, J. J. (2019). Effect of moss crusts on mitigation of post-fire soil erosion. *Ecological Engineering*, **128**, 9–17.
- Szyja, M., Felde, V., Luckel, S., Tabarelli, M., Leal, I. R., Budel, B., and Wirth, R. (2023). Biological soil crusts decrease infiltration but increase erosion resistance in a human-disturbed tropical dry forest. *Front Microbiol*, **14**, 1136322.
- Team, R. C. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
- Tourney, J. and Ngwenya, B. T. (2014). The role of bacterial extracellular polymeric substances in geomicrobiology. *Chemical Geology*, **386**, 115–132.
- Wang, R., Mattox, C. M., Phillips, C. L., and Kowalewski, A. R. (2022). Carbon sequestration in turfgrass-soil systems. *Plants (Basel)*, **11**(19), 2478.

- Weber, B., Wu, D., Tamm, A., Ruckteschler, N., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Steinkamp, J., Meusel, H., Elbert, W., Behrendt, T., Sorgel, M., Cheng, Y., Crutzen, P. J., Su, H., and Poschl, U. (2015). Biological soil crusts accelerate the nitrogen cycle through large no and hono emissions in drylands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**(50), 15384–9.
- Weber, B., Belnap, J., Büdel, B., Antoninka, A. J., Barger, N. N., Chaudhary, V. B., Darrouzet-Nardi, A., Eldridge, D. J., Faist, A. M., Ferrenberg, S., Havrilla, C. A., Huber-Sannwald, E., Malam Issa, O., Maestre, F. T., Reed, S. C., Rodriguez-Caballero, E., Tucker, C., Young, K. E., Zhang, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhou, X., and Bowker, M. A. (2022). What is a biocrust? a refined, contemporary definition for a broadening research community. *Biological Reviews*, **97**(5), 1768–1785.
- Whitney, K. M., Vivoni, E. R., Duniway, M. C., Bradford, J. B., Reed, S. C., and Belnap, J. (2017). Ecohydrological role of biological soil crusts across a gradient in levels of development. *Ecohydrology*, **10**(7), e1875.
- Williams, C. J., Pierson, F. B., Al-Hamdan, O. Z., Nouwakpo, S. K., Johnson, J. C., Polyakov, V. O., Kormos, P. R., Shaff, S. E., and Spaeth, K. E. (2022). Assessing runoff and erosion on woodland-encroached sagebrush steppe using the rangeland hydrology and erosion model. *Ecosphere*, **13**(6), e4145.
- Witzgall, K., Hesse, B. D., Pacay-Barrientos, N. L., Jansa, J., Seguel, O., Osés, R., Buegger, F., Guigue, J., Rojas, C., Rousk, K., Grams, T. E. E., Pietrasik, N., and Mueller, C. W. (2024). Soil carbon and nitrogen cycling at the atmosphere-soil interface: Quantifying the responses of biocrust-soil interactions to global change. *Glob Chang Biol*, **30**(10), e17519.
- Xiao, B., Ma, S., and Hu, K. (2019). Moss biocrusts regulate surface soil thermal properties and generate buffering effects on soil temperature dynamics in dryland ecosystem. *Geoderma*, **351**, 9–24.
- Xiao, B., Bowker, M. A., Zhao, Y., Chamizo, S., and Issa, O. M. (2022). Biocrusts: Engineers and architects of surface soil properties, functions, and processes in dryland ecosystems. *Geoderma*, **424**, 116015.
- Xing, X. and Lourenço, S. D. N. (2023). Hydrophobicity assessment of sands treated with tung oil. In W. Guo and K. Qian, editors, *Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Green Building, Civil Engineering and Smart City*, pages 1084–1090. Springer Nature Singapore.
- Xu, H., Zhang, Y., Shao, X., and Liu, N. (2022). Soil nitrogen and climate drive the positive effect of biological soil crusts on soil organic carbon sequestration in drylands: A meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ*, **803**, 150030.

## Bibliography

---

- Young, K. E., Ferrenberg, S., Reibold, R., Reed, S. C., Swenson, T., Northen, T., and Darrouzet-Nardi, A. (2022). Vertical movement of soluble carbon and nutrients from biocrusts to subsurface mineral soils. *Geoderma*, **405**, 115495.
- Zeng, Z., Liu, D., Dai, Q., Zeng, J., and Jiang, J. (2025). Effects of moss patches on the sediment loss, flow hydraulics and surface microtopography of soil slopes in karst mountainous areas. *Catena*, **249**, 108672.
- Zhao, Y., Qin, N., Weber, B., and Xu, M. (2014). Response of biological soil crusts to raindrop erosivity and underlying influences in the hilly loess plateau region, China. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, **23**(7), 1669–1686.

# Appendix C

## Microbial impact on initial soil formation in arid and semiarid environments under simulated climate change

### Manuscript 3

*Frontiers in Microbiology*  
DOI 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1319997

Victoria Rodríguez<sup>1</sup>\*, Alexander Bartholomäus<sup>1</sup>, Kristina Witzgall<sup>2</sup>, Nicolás Riveras-Muñoz<sup>3</sup>, Romulo Oses<sup>4</sup>, Susanne Liebner<sup>1,5</sup>, Jens Kallmeyer<sup>1</sup>, Oliver Rach<sup>6</sup>, Carsten W. Mueller<sup>7,8</sup>, Oscar Seguel<sup>9</sup>, Thomas Scholten<sup>3</sup> and Dirk Wagner<sup>1,10</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Geomicrobiology, Potsdam, Germany,

<sup>2</sup>Soil Science, TUM School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Freising-Weihenstephan, Germany,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Geosciences, Soil Science and Geomorphology, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Centro Regional de Investigación y Desarrollo Sustentable de Atacama (CRIDESAT), Universidad de Atacama, Copiapó, Chile

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>6</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Geomorphology, Potsdam, Germany

<sup>7</sup>Institute for Ecology, Chair of Soil Science, Technische Universitaet Berlin, Berlin, Germany

<sup>8</sup>Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>9</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile

<sup>10</sup>Institute of Geosciences, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

Received: 11 October 2023

Accepted: 02 January 2024

Published: 17 January 2024

## Abstract

The microbiota is attributed to be important for initial soil formation under extreme climate conditions, but experimental evidence for its relevance is scarce. To fill this gap, we investigated the impact of *in situ* microbial communities and their interrelationship with biocrust and plants compared to abiotic controls on soil formation in initial arid and semiarid soils. Additionally, we assessed the response of bacterial communities to climate change. Topsoil and subsoil samples from arid and semiarid sites in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera were incubated for 16 weeks under diurnal temperature and moisture variations to simulate humid climate conditions as part of a climate change scenario. Our findings indicate that microorganism-plant interaction intensified aggregate formation and stabilized soil structure, facilitating initial soil formation. Interestingly, microorganisms alone or in conjunction with biocrust showed no discernible patterns compared to abiotic controls, potentially due to water masking effects. Arid soils displayed reduced bacterial diversity and developed a new community structure dominated by Proteobacteria, Actinobacteriota, and Planctomycetota, while semiarid soils maintained a consistently dominant community of Acidobacteriota and Proteobacteria. This highlighted a sensitive and specialized bacterial community in arid soils, while semiarid soils exhibited a more complex and stable community. We conclude that microorganism-plant interaction has measurable impacts on initial soil formation in arid and semiarid regions on short time scales under climate change. Additionally, we propose that soil and climate legacies are decisive for the present soil microbial community structure and interactions, future soil development, and microbial responses.

## Keywords

arid soil, semiarid soil, manipulation experiment, climate change, initial soil formation, bacterial community.

## C.1 Introduction

Soil formation occurs at the dynamic interface between the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere, playing a vital role in supporting life on Earth and facilitating essential biogeochemical cycles (Amundson *et al.*, 2007). It involves interactions among physical, chemical, and biological processes, encompassing the weathering of parent rock materials and incorporating organic matter produced by biota (Schulz *et al.*, 2013). In the early stages of soil formation, well-adapted microorganisms colonize bare mineral substrates, establishing simple communities that undergo successional changes toward more complex species interactions closely linked to soil formation (Bajerski and Wag-

ner, 2013; Schulz *et al.*, 2013; Liu *et al.*, 2019; Li *et al.*, 2021; Yu *et al.*, 2022). Concurrently, the presence of lichens and bryophytes, along with the initial assembly of microbial communities (bacteria, fungi, and algae), leads to the formation of biological soil crusts (BSCs). These BSCs influence the nutrient input through photosynthesis, nitrogen (N) fixation, and dust capture (Elbert *et al.*, 2012) while stabilizing the soil structure and protecting against erosion (Seitz *et al.*, 2017; Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). As soil complexity and nutrient availability increase, vascular plants establish and promote weathering processes (Calvaruso *et al.*, 2009) and aggregate formation, which stabilizes soil structure jointly with roots in the rhizosphere and organic carbon accumulation (Erktan *et al.*, 2016; Baumert *et al.*, 2018). Understanding the initial role, assembly, and succession of microbial communities and their intimate associations with BSCs and vascular plants is crucial for comprehending the development of soils.

Research on the role and succession dynamics of microbial communities in arid soils with low nutrient content is limited compared to low biomass soils at volcanic eruption sites or glacier forefields, which have been more extensively studied (Bajerski and Wagner, 2013; Kelly *et al.*, 2014; Meier *et al.*, 2019; Hernández *et al.*, 2020). Several studies have provided compelling evidence of the role of specific microbial species in soil development through carbon and N-fixation (Schmidt *et al.*, 2008; Duc *et al.*, 2009), efficient mineral weathering (Frey *et al.*, 2010; Lapanje *et al.*, 2012), and aggregate stabilization (Kohler *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, the presence of specific taxa and their predicted functions have been linked to these processes during soil development (Zhelezova *et al.*, 2019; Krauze *et al.*, 2021; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), suggesting the significance of microbial communities in soil formation. Nevertheless, experimental evidence on the interplay between the natural microbial community and soil formation processes remains limited. For instance, investigations have shown that microbial inoculum from arable topsoil can enhance soil aggregation in artificial soils facilitated by exopolysaccharides and fungal hyphae (Pronk *et al.*, 2012). Additionally, ubiquitous microorganisms of mediterranean soils with moisture reaching 10% of the water-holding capacity have resulted in a tenfold increase in aggregation compared to sterile conditions (Blankinship *et al.*, 2016). However, there is a significant gap in experimental evidence regarding the impact of microbial communities in succession from initial bare soils under arid and semiarid climate conditions into soils with a plant-root system.

Such arid and semiarid environments, which cover approximately 30% of the Earth's land surface, typically experience slow soil development due to limited soil moisture and water movement for material dissolution and leaching (Abdelfattah, 2013; Sousa *et al.*, 2021). However, changes in precipitation patterns can have complex effects on vegetation, chemistry, mineralogy, and soil stability (Su *et al.*, 2010; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Sousa *et al.*, 2021). Consequently, although soil formation is a long-term process over hundreds and thousands of years, it can be largely accelerated under optimal water availability and temperature conditions (Buchan, 2011; Szalai *et al.*, 2021). Moreover,

climate projections for the upcoming decades indicate increased extreme precipitation events in arid regions (Donat *et al.*, 2016; Ortega *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, there is a growing interest in investigating how modifications in temperature and precipitation influence soil formation processes in arid soils for predicting the impacts of climate change or improving land management practices (Gelybó *et al.*, 2018).

Moisture and temperature changes notably impact soil microorganisms, particularly in arid and semiarid environments. Increased or decreased water availability affects gene copy numbers (Barnard *et al.*, 2013), respiration and productivity (Armstrong *et al.*, 2016), biomass (Na *et al.*, 2019; Ouyang and Li, 2020), diversity, and community composition (Maestre *et al.*, 2015; Sto, ???a), due to alterations in soil properties (such as soil organic carbon content) and plant biomass. Key enzymatic activities in the carbon cycle, such as cellobiohydrolase and -glucosidase (Ouyang and Li, 2020), and in the phosphorous metabolism, such as acid phosphatase (Na *et al.*, 2019) also respond to water availability. However, the microbial response depends on the intensity and frequency of water pulses and ambient temperature, highlighting the complexity of bacterial responses (Sto, ???b). For instance, sudden and substantial water input in hyperarid regions can harm many soil microbial species and decrease diversity (Azua-Bustos *et al.*, 2018), while in other studies in the desert, it did not affect diversity (Armstrong *et al.*, 2016). As our understanding of the direction and magnitude of microbial feedback to climate change continues to advance, it becomes increasingly important to investigate their implications for soil formation.

The Chilean Coastal Cordillera offers a unique opportunity to study soil formation across a climate gradient ranging from arid to humid conditions. Specifically, the arid site has drawn significant interest as a natural laboratory due to its extreme climate conditions and unique microbial community adapted to the harsh environment (Genderjahn *et al.*, 2018; Schulze-Makuch *et al.*, 2018; Aguilera and Larraín, 2021; Genderjahn *et al.*, 2021; Schulze-Makuch *et al.*, 2021). Moreover, the arid and semiarid sites of the Chilean Coastal Cordillera share similarities in tectonic, lithologic, and pedologic factors, as well as low nutrient content and precipitation levels (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018; Oeser *et al.*, 2018). This makes them suitable for investigating the effects of humid conditions on initial soil formation and microorganisms.


This study tests the hypothesis that soil microbial communities, besides abiotic factors, plants, and biological soil crusts, directly speed up short-term soil formation in arid regions, especially when humidity and temperature are favorable. Additionally, we propose that soil microbial communities exhibit distinct responses to simulated climate change, with variations influenced by the soil legacy. We conduct an experimental study simulating humid conditions of the southern Coastal Cordillera and implement four treatments representing a successional gradient of soil development: sterile soil, soil with *in situ* microorganisms, soil with *in situ* microorganisms and BSCs, and soil with *in situ* microorganisms and plants. The impact of microbiota, BSCs, and plants

on soil formation dynamics over time was evaluated by quantifying changes in soil physicochemical properties in the different treatments. We also characterize the diversity, structure, and interactions of the bacterial community, providing insights into their response to environmental changes.

## C.2 Materials and methods

### C.2.1 Study sites and sample collection

We collected soil samples from two protected areas, Pan de Azúcar National Park (PA) and Santa Gracia Natural Reserve (SG), located in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera (??, Supplementary ??). The lithology at both sites is granitoid, ensuring similar parent material for soil formation and a meaningful comparison of the obtained results (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018; Oeser *et al.*, 2018). The mean annual precipitation and mean annual temperature are 12 mm and 16.8 °C in PA and 66 mm and 13.7 °C in SG, respectively (Muñoz *et al.*, 2007). These climatic differences result in distinct soil moisture and temperature regimes. PA is arid with almost no precipitation and mostly endorheic water resources. In contrast, SG is in a semiarid zone with coastal fog influence, annual rainfall variation, and winter rain (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018).



**Figure C.1:** Sampling sites and microcosms of Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia after 12 weeks of incubation. The different microcosms are separated by horizon and treatment, including *in situ*, BSC (Biocrust), and plant treatment.

The field sampling campaign took place in March 2019, at the end of the austral summer season. The coordinates and elevations for PA were  $26^{\circ}6'35''S$ ,  $70^{\circ}32'57''W$ , and  $\sim 336$  m elevation, while for SG, they were  $29^{\circ}45'15''S$ ,  $71^{\circ}10'3''W$ , and  $\sim 720$  m elevation. Soil samples were taken from five plots devoid of vegetation and two soil horizons (A and B) for each site (Supplementary ??). In PA, the A horizon (PA - Ahor) ranged from 0 cm to 2 cm, and the B horizon (PA - Bhor) ranged from 2 cm to 25 cm. Similarly,

in SG, the A horizon (SG - Ahor) ranged from 0 cm to 3 cm, and the B horizon (SG - Bhor) ranged from 3 cm to 40 cm. The soil structure characteristics varied between horizons. PA - Ahor exhibited a cemented crust, while PA - Bhor had a few round aggregates between coarse material, single grain dominating in the fine fraction, and gypsum concentrated in one of the plots. SG - Ahor was characterized by weak aggregates, and SG - Bhor ranged from weak to strong and stable aggregates. Fresh samples from each plot and horizon separately were homogenized through a 2 mm sieve and stored at 4 °C until use.

### C.2.2 Soil manipulation experiment

An experiment was performed to stimulate initial soil formation into developed soil ecosystems by applying humid climate conditions in soil microcosms, mimicking a climate change scenario. The simulated climate change was based on the climate data of Nahuelbuta National Park in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera, where we can find well-developed deep soils with a stable soil structure (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018; Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). As in the natural conditions of Nahuelbuta, we applied a photoperiod of 14 h/10 h day/night and the daily temperature fluctuation of January (18.3 °C/11.2 °C day/night) in the soil manipulation experiment. To simulate water availability by precipitation, 25 mL of filtered and autoclaved MiliQ water was gently applied at once three days per week until 65% water-filled pore space was reached after each application. This value approximates the precipitation in Nahuelbuta (1469 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) but is lower due to the water retention capacity of desert soils. The microcosms consisted of 130 g of field moist soil (sieved <2 mm and previously stored at 4 °C for nine months) placed in sterile polyvinyl chloride columns (70 mm in height and 50 mm in diameter) with a silk fabric underneath to prevent soil scattering. The microcosms were arranged on a grid inside an incubator (Memmert ICP-110, Germany), mimicking the daily temperature fluctuations. The photoperiod was set using LED-SANlight S2W (IMAGRO, Germany) and controlled with a timer coordinated with temperature fluctuations.

For the manipulation experiment setup, soil material from five plots representing top and subsoil was pooled and homogenized. The experiment included 144 microcosms representing two sites (PA and SG), two soil horizons (Ahor and Bhor), four treatments, three sampling times, and three biological replicates for each combination (Supplementary ??). In addition, three original, untreated samples were collected as baseline measurements for each site and horizon (T0), totaling 156 samples. The treatments consisted of a successional gradient of soil development as follows: (i) in situ samples with indigenous microorganisms but without BSCs or plants, (ii) in situ samples with naturally growing BSCs to assess the impact of microorganisms at a later stage of soil development, (iii) in situ samples with *Helenium aromaticum* (Hook.) L.H. Bailey (pioneer plant, described below) to simulate later stages of soil development and (iv) abiotic controls based on soil sterilized samples with <sup>60</sup>Co as a source of gamma rays and a

dose of 50 kGy (BGS Beta–Gamma–Service GmbH and Co. KG, Wiehl, Germany) to exclude the impact of indigenous microorganisms. *H. aromaticum* is a native vascular annual herb widely distributed in Chile, known as a pioneer plant that colonizes disturbed soils, forming seasonal grasslands (Gomez-Gonzalez *et al.*, 2011). For plant preparation, the seeds were sterilized using 20% v/v sodium hypochlorite and 0.05% Tween 20, washed three times with autoclaved MiliQ water, and placed in sterile petri dishes with absorbent paper for germination. The germinated seeds were subsequently transferred to the microcosms. BSCs occurred naturally in the soil, whereas *in situ* samples with microorganisms were covered with a black breathable cloth to inhibit their growth.

Microcosms were sampled at 2 weeks (T1), 12 weeks (T2), and 16 weeks (T3) of incubation. Forty eight samples were sacrificed for each sampling time, covering two sites, two soil horizons, four treatments, and three replicates. In the 12th week of sampling, the plants were harvested, and the soil with the roots was retained until the 16th week of the experiment to assess their effects on the soil. Three microcosms were transferred to a laminar flow chamber for each treatment and time point to ensure sterile conditions during sub-sampling. From the total sample, 80 g of soil was collected for soil physicochemical analysis and stored in the dark at room temperature. Additionally, 10 g of soil was taken for DNA extraction and held at –20 °C, while 40 g was stored at –80 °C for future RNA extraction and subsequent analysis.

### C.2.3 Soil physicochemical properties

To determine initial soil formation, the aggregate size distribution, and the content of total carbon ( $C_t$ ) and total nitrogen ( $N_t$ ) by aggregate size, we collected a total of 104 soil samples from three different sampling points (T0, T1, and T3). For each sample, 5 g of dry soil was shaken using a modified Casagrande apparatus (Mennenrich Geotechnik, Hannover, Germany). The apparatus consisted of two sieves with mesh sizes of 250 µm and 53 µm, placed on top of a collecting vessel. The soil samples were subjected to 1,000 repeated cycles of sieving at a frequency of 2 Hz, resulting in the separation of three aggregate size classes: macroaggregates (>250 µm), large microaggregates (53 µm to 250 µm), and small microaggregates and primary particles (<53 µm). The data on aggregate size distribution was used to estimate aggregate stability given by the mean weight diameter of the aggregates ( $\Delta MWD$ ) as follows:

$$\Delta MWD = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i * W_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i}$$

$W_i$  is the corrected mass proportion of stable aggregate fraction  $i$  in the total aggregates (<2 mm) and  $X_i$  is the mean diameter of stable aggregate fraction  $i$ .

Subsequently, ball milling was conducted before measuring the content of  $C_t$  and

$N_t$  in all aggregate size classes. The process involved milling the samples for 3 min at an oscillation frequency of 30 Hz.  $C_t$  and  $N_t$  measurements for all fraction samples were performed using a CHNSO elemental analyzer (HEKATech Euro EA, Wegberg, Germany).

Additionally, we determined the total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen in the bulk soil ( $N_{bulk}$ ) without aggregate size separation. This analysis used 6 mg of bulk soil from the same subset of soil samples (104). The measurements were carried out using a flash HT 2000 Organic elemental analyzer, following a 6 M chlorhydric acid digestion of carbonate at 70 °C.

Soil pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined for all samples using 15 g of soil. The soil pH was measured using a WTW pH 340 (WTW GmbH, Weilheim, Germany) with a Sentix 81 electrode. EC was measured using a conductivity meter (LE703, Mettler Toledo, United States). For inorganic ion analyses, 5 g of each soil sample was dried for 12 h at 50 °C and ground to a finer consistency. Then, the leaching method described by Genderjahn *et al.* (2018) was employed to extract the ions from the soil. Subsequently, the resulting leachate was measured using ion chromatography (IC). The anions investigated included chloride, nitrate, phosphate, and sulfate, while the cations analyzed were sodium and calcium. Ion concentrations were calculated based on standards (Roth, Multi-Element IC-Standard Solution) using ChromStar7 software. All analyses were performed in triplicate.

#### **C.2.4 DNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis**

Total genomic DNA was extracted in duplicates from 0.5 g of soil for PA and 0.25 g for SG using the Power Soil DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), following the protocol described by the manufacturer. DNA extraction was exclusively performed on samples subjected to treatments involving microorganisms (in situ, biocrust, and plant treatments), resulting in a total of 116 samples. Sterile treatments devoid of biological relevance were excluded from the DNA extraction. The abundance of bacterial subunit 16S rRNA gene copies was determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR), following the procedure of Bernhard *et al.* (2018). qPCR assays were performed on a CFX 96 Connect Real-Time System (Bio-rad Laboratories, CA, United States) in 96-well plates. The primers Eub341F (5'-ATT ACC GCG GCT GCT GG-3') and Eub534R (5'-CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-3') (Muyzer *et al.*, 1993) were used. The qPCR mixture consisted of 10 µL KAPA SYBR Fast qPCR Kit Master Mix (2x) Universal (Kapa Biosystems, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), 0.4 µL of each forward and reverse primer, 4.2 µL of DNA-free water, and 5 µL of extracted soil DNA template, resulting in a total volume of 20 µL. The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 3 s, annealing at 60 °C for 20 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. We performed a melting curve analysis from 65 °C to 95 °C, with an increment of 0.5 °C to ensure the qPCR specificity. We used standard curves from

a known number of PCR-amplified target genes of a pure culture of *Escherichia coli* to estimate the unknown bacterial abundance. All the samples were analyzed in three replicate reactions.

### C.2.5 Sequencing

For sequencing, DNA extracted from each sample was amplified using the primer pair 515F (5'-GTG YCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3') and 806R (5'-GGA CTA CNV GGG TWT CTA AT-3'), targeting the V4 hypervariable regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene (Apprill *et al.*, 2015; Parada *et al.*, 2016) including additional barcodes. The polymerase chain reactions were performed in triplicate in a total volume of 25 µL, following the conditions described in Rodriguez *et al.* (2022) with modifications (reducing the number of denaturation cycles to 20 instead of 30). To confirm the amplification success, PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis in 1.5 % agarose gels stained with Gelred™ Nucleic acid gel stain (Biotium, United States). The triplicate PCR samples were pooled and then purified using the carboxyl-coated magnetic beads (Agencourt® AMPure® XP Kit, Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, United States). The purified DNA was quantified using the Qubit Fluorometer (Invitrogen™, Thermo Fisher Scientific, United States). For sequencing, all PCR products were pooled in equal concentrations of 20 ng for Paired-end sequencing (2 × 300 bp) at Eurofins (Eurofins Genomics Europe Shared Services GmbH, Ebersberg, Germany).

### C.2.6 Processing of 16S rRNA data

To generate the amplicon sequence variants (ASVs), the sequencing library was first demultiplexed using cutadapt v3.4 (Martin, 2011) with the following parameters: -e 0.2 -q 15,15 -m 150 -discard-untrimmed. Only read pairs with the correct barcodes at both ends were retained for further analysis. Next, the DADA2 package v1.20 (Callahan *et al.*, 2016) in R v4.1 was employed to generate ASVs from the trimmed reads using a pooled approach with the following parameters: truncLen = c(240,200), maxN = 0, rm.phix = TRUE, minLen = 200. Taxonomic assignment was performed using DADA2 and the SILVA database v138 (Quast *et al.*, 2012). To filter out non-target sequences, ASVs representing chloroplasts, mitochondria, and singletons were removed from the dataset. The raw Illumina sequencing data have been deposited at the European Nucleotide Archive under the BioProject ID PRJEB60029.

### C.2.7 Data analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted in R Studio v4.0.5. We performed the Kruskal-Wallis test to determine differences in soil properties, the relative abundance of 16S rRNA, and diversity indices. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were performed using the

Mann–Whitney test, with  $p$ -values adjusted using the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

To minimize bias due to sequencing depth, all samples were rarefied to 12,000 reads per sample using the `rtk` package (Saary *et al.*, 2017). Alpha diversity indices, including the Shannon index, observed species, and evenness, were calculated using the `vegan` package (Oks, ????). Relative abundances were calculated by transforming absolute read numbers, and soil physicochemical properties were normalized by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation. Nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) with Bray-Curtis distance dissimilarity was performed to assess differences in bacterial structure. The significance of differences between sites, horizon, and time was estimated using PERMANOVA (`adonis` function) and post-hoc pairwise comparisons (`pairwise.adonis`) from the `pairwiseAdonis` package (Mar, ????) with a significance of  $p < 0.05$ . Soil properties influencing bacterial composition were determined using distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) with the `capscale` function in `vegan`. The multicollinearity of these variables was examined using the variance inflation factor (VIF), and values  $> 10$  were removed. Finally, the FAPROTAX database (Louca *et al.*, 2016) was used to analyze the whole bacterial community and predict function from taxonomy.

Correlation analyses between molecular analysis and soil properties were performed using Pearson correlation ( $p < 0.05$ ). The results were visualized using the `ggplot2` package (Wickham, 2011).

For further analyses, only abundant ASVs with a proportion of total sequences greater than 0.05 % were retained to reduce underrepresented ASVs and table complexity. Indicator species were identified using the `indval` function in the `labdsv` package to find ASVs leading to changes in multivariate patterns (Rob, ????). Associations were considered significant with an R-value of 0.8 ( $p < 0.05$ ). Then, a network analysis was conducted to understand the distribution patterns of bacterial assemblages using a simplified version of the ecological interactions (Barberan *et al.*, 2012; Qian *et al.*, 2018). The co-occurrence network was constructed using the `igraph` package (Csardi and Nepusz, 2006) and visualized through the Fruchterman-Reingold layout. Pairwise correlations among ASVs were calculated using the `rcorr` function within the `Hmisc` package (Harrell Jr and Harrell Jr, 2019). A Pearson correlation coefficient of R-value  $> 0.7$  ( $p < 0.01$ ) between two ASVs was considered statistically robust. Topological properties of the network, such as the number of nodes and edges, clustering coefficient, average degree, connectance, and modularity, were calculated using the `igraph` package. Furthermore, clusters of highly correlated ASVs were grouped into modules using the `WGCNA` package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008), and their associations with physicochemical properties were explored. Highly connected nodes (hub ASVs) were then identified from each module.

## C.3 Results

### C.3.1 Soil manipulation experiment

The microcosms represent a successional gradient of soil development divided into three biological treatments (??, detailed in Supplementary ??): soil with *in situ* microorganisms (*in situ*), soil with *in situ* microorganisms and BSCs (BSC), and soil with *in situ* microorganisms and plants (plant). The *in situ* treatment had no plant growth or BSC development, similar to the sterile treatment. In the BSC treatment, varying types and coverage were observed among sites and horizons. Specifically, a leaden BSC developed in PA, while a greenish BSC formed in SG in both horizons. The A horizons of both sites showed nearly complete coverage of the microcosms with BSCs. In contrast, the B horizons showed patchy coverage without forming a compact or extended layer of BSC on the surface. Regarding the plant treatments, similar patterns were observed. In PA, the roots were light brown, and the biomass (both below- and above-ground) and vegetative development were comparatively lower than in SG. In contrast, SG showed dark brown roots, higher biomass, and reached the flowering stage in both horizons. In the PA-Ahor, plants with numerous lateral roots ranged from 2 cm to 3 cm in height. Conversely, in PA-Bhor, plants were less than 5 mm tall, had a short taproot with few lateral roots, and experienced a mortality rate of over 50 % after seven weeks. In SG-Ahor, flowering plants with heights ranging from 11 cm to 14 cm were observed. These plants had a 7 cm long taproot and numerous lateral roots covering completely the microcosms. On the other hand, SG-Bhor exhibited plants ranging from 1 cm to 3 cm in height, with flower buds and roots reaching 5 cm in length with fewer lateral roots than SG-Ahor. After removing the plants at 12 weeks, no visual differences were observed in the roots between weeks 12 and 16, which remained compact and defined with no signs of degradation. Given the intact nature of the roots during this period, it is considered that these conditions did not impact the short-term degradation of this organic material. Overall, the differences between treatments were less pronounced in the B horizons than in the A horizons, indicating more favorable conditions for BSC and plant development in the A horizons.


### C.3.2 Soil physical and chemical properties

Soil samples were analyzed to determine the mass distribution of macro- and microaggregates and the concentrations of C<sub>t</sub> and N<sub>t</sub> in each soil aggregate size (Supplementary ??). No significant differences in aggregate size distribution were found between the study sites (PA-SG;  $n = 54$ ), with average proportions of 67 % macroaggregates, 27 % large microaggregates, and 7 % small microaggregates. However, significant differences existed between horizons within each site (Ahor-Bhor;  $n = 27$ ,  $p < 0.01$ ). In both sites, the proportion of macroaggregates was highest in the B horizon, while microaggregates

dominated the A horizon. Specifically, macroaggregates mean values were 54 % in PA–Ahor, 81 % in PA–Bhor, 63 % in SG–Ahor, and 70 % in SG–Bhor. For microaggregates, the means were 23 % in PA–Ahor, 9 % in PA–Bhor, 19 % in SG–Ahor, and 15 % in SG–Bhor (The full dataset is available in Supplementary ??). Significant differences in the concentration of  $C_t$  and  $N_t$  were observed between sites and horizons ( $p < 0.01$ ).  $C_t$  was higher in macro- and large microaggregates of PA (mean 1.2 %) than in SG (mean 0.7 %), while  $N_t$  was significantly higher in macro- and microaggregates of SG (mean 0.08 %) than in PA (mean 0.03 %). Analysis between horizons showed that macro- and microaggregates of PA–Bhor had a higher  $C_t$  mean of 2 % than PA–Ahor's 0.8 %. Conversely, SG–Ahor's macro- and large microaggregates had a higher  $C_t$  mean of 1.1 % than SG–Bhor's 0.8 %. In terms of  $N_t$ , higher percentages were observed in SG–Ahor (mean 0.1 %) and PA–Ahor (mean 0.033 %) than in SG–Bhor (mean 0.07 %) and PA–Bhor (mean 0.026 %).

Over time, we observed changes in aggregate size distribution as well as in  $C_t$  and  $N_t$  concentrations (Supplementary ??). In PA–Ahor, the  $C_t$  of large microaggregates decreased from 0.93 % in T0 to 0.83 % in T3. In PA–Bhor, the proportion of macroaggregates increased from 67 % to 81 %, while for large microaggregates decreased from 28 % to 14 % between T0 and T3. Consequently, MWD increased from 0.8 mm in T0 to 0.94 mm in T3. In SG–Ahor, no marked contrast was observed from T0 to T3, while in SG–Bhor, the percentage of  $C_t$  in macroaggregates increased from 0.2 % to 0.3 % over time. Regarding differences between treatments, microorganism-plant treatments showed a noticeable increase in macroaggregates and, thus, in MWD compared to the sterile treatments at T3 (??). The MWD values for sterile compared to plant treatments were as follows: PA–Ahor (mean 0.7 mm vs. 0.78 mm), PA–Bhor (mean 0.91 mm vs. 0.95 mm), SG–Ahor (mean 0.75 mm vs. 0.87 mm), and SG–Bhor (mean 0.87 mm vs. 0.89 mm).

Regarding TOC and  $N_{bulk}$  (without aggregate size separation) in the bulk soil samples, SG exhibited significantly higher levels (0.7 % and 0.06 %, respectively) than PA (0.1 % and 0.02 %, respectively). When considering the horizons, both  $N_{bulk}$  and TOC were significantly higher in PA–Ahor (0.03 % and 0.14 %, respectively) and SG–Ahor (0.08 % and 0.9 %, respectively) than PA–Bhor (0.01 % and 0.06 %, respectively) and SG–Bhor (0.04 % and 0.46 %, respectively). Over time, a notable increase in TOC was observed only in SG–Bhor, rising from 0.32 % in T0 to 0.48 % in T3. No clear trends were identified for the treatments (??, Supplementary ??).




**Figure C.2:** Physicochemical properties in Pan de Azúcar (PA) and Santa Gracia (SG) show total organic carbon (TOC), nitrogen in bulk soil ( $N_{bulk}$ ), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), and mean weight diameter (MWD) in three-time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Time is represented by T0 (original soil sample), T1 (2 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different colors represent the different treatments. Each bar is the mean of biological triplicates.

The results revealed significant differences in soil pH, EC, and ion concentrations among the studied sites and horizons ( $p < 0.01$ ; ??, Supplementary ??). Specifically, in PA, higher values were observed for pH (mean 7.8), EC (mean  $1272 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ), sodium (mean  $93.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), chloride (mean  $160.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), and sulfate (mean  $783.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) compared to SG, where the respective mean values were  $6.5$ ,  $51.8 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ,  $5.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $6.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , and  $1.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . Furthermore, significant differences in soil parameters were found between soil horizons. PA–Bhor exhibited higher EC (mean  $2186 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ), sodium (mean  $141 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), chloride (mean  $246.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), calcium (mean  $630 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), and sulfate (mean  $1532.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations than PA–Ahor, where the respective mean values were  $359 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ,  $45.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $73.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ,  $12.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , and  $14.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . In SG, higher pH and EC were observed in the A horizon (mean  $6.6$  and  $63 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , respectively) than in the B horizon (mean  $6.4$  and  $41 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ , respectively). Additionally, changes over time were observed, with substantial decreases of EC (from  $1809$  to  $103.7 \mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$ ), sodium (from  $108.8$  to  $32.7 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ), and chloride (from  $265.3$  to  $48.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) concentrations from T0 to T3 in PA–Ahor. PA–Bhor also showed a marked decrease in sodium and chloride concentration from  $250.2$  and  $522.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  to  $109$  and  $164.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , respectively. No remarkable changes were found for the horizons of SG over time, as well as for treatments in PA or SG.

### C.3.3 Bacterial abundance

The bacterial gene copy numbers are shown in ?? and Supplementary ???. A significantly higher gene copy number for bacteria was observed in SG, ranging from  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil, compared to PA, ranging from  $10^5$  to  $10^7$  gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil. Comparison between soil horizons showed significantly higher gene copy numbers in the A horizon for both sites. The mean of gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil for PA–Ahor was  $4.5 \times 10^7$  and for PA–Bhor  $1.2 \times 10^6$ , while for SG–Ahor was  $3.9 \times 10^8$  and for SG–Bhor  $9.2 \times 10^7$ . Considering the times evaluated, both horizons in PA showed an increase in the gene copy numbers from T0 (mean of gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil for Ahor  $1.9 \times 10^7$  and Bhor  $8.3 \times 10^5$ ) to T3 (mean of gene copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil for Ahor  $4.7 \times 10^7$  and Bhor  $1.1 \times 10^6$ ). In contrast, SG decreased the gene copy number over time in both horizons. The mean of gene copy  $\text{g}^{-1}$  soil for SG–Ahor decreased from  $5 \times 10^8$  in T0 to  $2.9 \times 10^8$  in T3, while SG–Bhor reduced from  $1.8 \times 10^8$  in T0 to  $8.2 \times 10^7$  in T3. There were no discernible patterns between treatments. The gene copy numbers correlated positively with  $N_t$  in all aggregate classes,  $N_{\text{bulk}}$  and TOC ( $R > 0.7$ ), and negatively with pH ( $R = 0.6$ ).



**Figure C.3:** Gene copy numbers, Shannon index, and Evenness of bacterial communities in Pan de Azúcar (PA) and Santa Gracia (SG) in four soil manipulation experiment time points. Time is represented by T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different colors represent the different treatments. Each bar is the mean of biological triplicates.

### C.3.4 Alpha and beta diversity

Sequencing analysis of 116 soil samples yielded 4.3 million raw reads, of which 3.1 million (72.7 % of the total) were retained after filtering, merging, and removing chimera (Supplementary ??). These reads were assigned to bacteria (98.5 %), archaea (1.3 %), or unclassified (0.2 %) groups. Since the percentage of archaea was low (with a mean of 594 reads per sample), only bacterial data were analyzed. The number of reads per bacterial sample ranged from 12 178 to 275 292, with a mean of 90 155 reads per sample. Considering the minimum read number, the samples were rarified to 12,000 reads. The final dataset contained 24465 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) and 1108 putative genera.

This study evaluated the alpha-diversity indices, including the Shannon index, observed species, and evenness (??, Supplementary ??). The results revealed significant differences between PA and SG, with SG exhibiting higher values for all three indices.


The Shannon index ranged from 4.1 to 6.3 in PA, while SG showed values ranging from 6.7 to 7.7. A similar trend was noticed for the observed species, with PA ranging from 550 to 1,754 and SG ranging from 2,075 to 4,029. For evenness, values varied between 0.87 to 0.93 in SG and 0.64 to 0.88 in PA. For the soil horizons, only the observed species values showed significant differences. PA–Ahor had a higher mean of 1,215 compared to 1,050 in PA–Bhor, whereas SG–Bhor showed a mean of 3,541 compared to 3,389 in SG–Ahor. Over time, greater changes were observed in both horizons of PA. Samples analyzed at T0 in PA–Ahor showed a marked decrease in the Shannon index and evenness at T2. The mean Shannon index decreased from 5.9 to 5.4, while evenness decreased from a mean of 0.83 to 0.76 in the same period. In PA–Bhor, the Shannon index, evenness, and observed species prominently reduced from T0 to T3. The mean Shannon index decreased from 6.2 to 5.1, evenness from 0.84 to 0.76, and the observed species mean varied from 1,568 in T0 to 892 in T3. No robust differences were observed between time or treatments in SG. However, in PA–Bhor, the Shannon index showed considerable disparities between treatments at T3, with the lowest values observed in the *in situ* treatment (4.7), followed by plants (5.2) and BSC (5.6).

Correlations between alpha diversity indices and soil properties were examined, revealing a positive correlation between the Shannon index and the observed species with N<sub>t</sub> across all aggregate sizes, N<sub>bulk</sub>, and TOC ( $R > 0.6$ ). Conversely, a negative correlation was observed with sodium, sulfate ( $R < -0.6$ ), and pH ( $R < -0.9$ ).

Analysis of NMDS showed the patterns of change in the bacterial community, and PERMANOVA allowed the calculation of the statistical differences associated with these changes (Supplementary ??). The statistical analysis revealed that bacterial composition was significantly different between the sites (PA vs. SG) and between the horizons (Ahor vs. Bhor;  $p < 0.01$ ). In addition, it became visible that climate manipulation had changed the bacterial community over time ( $n = 9$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). For both PA–Ahor and PA–Bhor, T1 was significantly different from T2 and T3. In SG–Ahor, T1 differed significantly from T3, while SG–Bhor showed no change over time. Statistical analysis with T0 was not possible due to the small number of samples ( $n = 2$ ). PA–Ahor, PA–Bhor, and SG–Ahor treatments showed increasing disparity, transitioning from initial similarity to marked dissimilarity among the treatments as time progressed (Supplementary ??).

We employed a dbRDA model to assess the influence of soil parameters on the variation in bacterial composition (??). In PA–Ahor, the bacterial composition showed positive correlations with EC at T0, N<sub>t</sub> in microaggregates at T1, and MWD at T3, collectively explaining 38.9 % of the total variation. At T3, differentiation between treatments became apparent. PA–Bhor exhibited positive associations with sodium at T0, C<sub>t</sub> in macroaggregates at T1, and C<sub>t</sub> in small microaggregates at T3, explaining 40.4 % of the total variation. In SG–Ahor, higher EC at T0 and T1 displayed a positive association, while treatments began to differentiate at T3. Notably, a distinct positive trend


was observed between  $N_t$  in macroaggregates and the plant treatment, while *in situ* and BSC treatments were linked to higher pH. These variables accounted for 22.2 % of the total variation. In SG–Bhor, the variables explained 17.2 % of the total variation, and no discernible patterns were found to differentiate between times or treatments regarding  $N_t$  in macroaggregates, TOC, or EC.



**Figure C.4:** Distance-based redundancy analysis (dbRDA) including soil properties for Pan de Azúcar - A horizon, Pan de Azúcar - B horizon, Santa Gracia - A horizon, and Santa Gracia - B horizon. Significant physicochemical properties included total organic carbon (TOC), nitrogen in macroaggregates ( $N_t$  250), nitrogen in large microaggregates ( $N_t$  250-53), nitrogen in small microaggregates ( $N_t$  53), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), sodium, mean weight diameter (MWD), total carbon in macroaggregates (Ct 250), total carbon in small microaggregates (Ct 53). Time is represented by T0 (original soil sample), T1 (2 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Different color represents different treatments, while shapes represent different sampling times. Each point is the mean of biological triplicates.

### C.3.5 Change in bacterial community composition

The analysis of relative bacterial abundances per site revealed that Proteobacteria (27.2 %), Actinobacteriota (12.9 %), and Gemmatimonadota (10.5 %) were the most abundant phyla in PA, while Acidobacteriota (20.7 %), Proteobacteria (20.5 %), and Planctomycetota (11.8 %) dominated in SG. The phyla distribution varied significantly between horizons in both sites (??, Supplementary ??). In PA, Acidobacteriota and Proteobacteria were more abundant in PA–Ahor (5.4 % and 31.9 %, respectively) than in PA–Bhor (1.9 % and 22.6 %, respectively), while Actinobacteriota and Firmicutes dominated the PA–Bhor (16.7 % and 10.3 %, respectively) compared to PA–Ahor (9.1 % and 0.8 %, respectively). In SG, Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were more abundant in SG–Ahor (25.8 % and 12.2 %, respectively) than in SG–Bhor (15.3 % and 5.2 %, respectively). Acidobacteriota and Verrucomicrobiota were more abundant in SG–Bhor (27.1 % and 10.9 %, respectively) than in SG–Ahor (14.3 % and 6.2 %, respectively). In addition, some taxa became dominant or scarce over time. For instance, a substantial increase was observed in Proteobacteria from T0 to T3, rising from 9.4 % to 35 % in the A horizon and from 13.7 % to 27.7 % in the B horizon. Moreover, there were increases in Planctomycetota and Bacteroidota in both horizons. In contrast, Actinobacteriota decreased significantly from T0 to T3 in PA–Ahor (34.5 % to 5.6 %) and PA–Bhor (51.5 % to 13.1 %). In contrast to PA, in SG–Ahor, the community exhibited variable changes but remained consistently dominated by the three most abundant taxa, Acidobacteriota (14.3 %), Proteobacteria (25.8 %), and Planctomycetota (13.2 %). SG–Bhor is characterized by the dominance of Acidobacteriota (27.1 %) and Proteobacteria (15.3 %), which remained stable, while Actinobacteriota exhibited a decrease from 25.9 % to 9.2 %, being replaced by Planctomycetota. Finally, no clear trends in bacterial phyla abundance between SG treatments were observed. Still, PA–Ahor showed an increased abundance of Acidobacteriota and Planctomycetota in plant treatment (12.7 % and 15.5 %, respectively) compared to *in situ* treatment (5.9 % and 6.8 %, respectively).



**Figure C.5:** Relative abundance of top 15 bacterial phyla for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia in four-time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs, and plants) and biological triplicates.

Correlations between the most abundant bacterial phyla and soil properties were observed. Acidobacteriota and Verrucomicrobia showed a positive correlation with  $N_t$  in small microaggregates ( $R = 0.7$ ) and a negative correlation with  $C_t$  in macroaggregates and pH ( $R = -0.8$ ), as well as with sodium and sulfate ( $R < -0.6$ ). Conversely, Gemmatimonadota showed a positive correlation with  $C_t$  in macroaggregates and pH ( $R = 0.5$ ) but a negative correlation with  $N_t$  in microaggregates and TOC ( $R < -0.6$ ). Actinobacteriota positively correlated with sodium and chloride ( $R = 0.6$ ), while Bacteroidota correlated with  $N_t$  in large microaggregates,  $N_{bulk}$ , and TOC ( $R > 0.6$ ).

### C.3.6 Change in potential predicted functions

Nine thousand two hundred ASVs (9200) were assigned to 37 ecologically relevant functions described in FAPROTAX (Supplementary ??). The two most prevalent functions across all microcosms were chemoheterotrophy (electron acceptor is variable) and aerobic chemoheterotrophy (electron acceptor is  $O_2$ ), comprising a mean of 48.2 % and 30.2 % of the taxonomic abundance in PA and SG, respectively. Additionally, PA showed other abundant functions such as nitrate reduction, phototrophy-associated functions (phototrophy, photoautotrophy, photosynthetic cyanobacteria, and oxygenic

photoautotrophy), and functions associated with degradation processes (hydrocarbon degradation, aromatic compound degradation, aromatic hydrocarbon degradation, and aliphatic non-methane hydrocarbon degradation), with a mean of 24 % of taxonomic abundance. In contrast, SG exhibited nitrate reduction, ureolysis, and fermentation, with a mean taxonomic abundance of 4.5 %. Regarding soil horizons, functions associated with degradation processes showed higher taxonomic abundance in PA–Bhor (mean 14.8 %) than in PA–Ahor (mean 1.7 %). For SG, chemoheterotrophy, aerobic chemoheterotrophy, ureolysis, and fermentation were more abundant in SG–Ahor than SG–Bhor (mean of 43.3 vs. 23.5 %).


Over time, the abundance of chemoheterotrophy and aerobic chemoheterotrophy increased in both horizons of PA from T0 to T3 (mean 33.1 % to 55.5 %), while it decreased in both horizons of SG (mean 34.2 % to 25.8 %). Additionally, both horizons of PA showed an increase in the abundance of functions associated with degradation processes from a mean of 0.5 % in T0 to 10.8 % in T3. In contrast, nitrate reduction decreased over time in both horizons of PA (mean 6.2 % to 2.2 %). Notably, PA–Ahor and PA–Bhor showed different trends for phototrophy-associated functions, decreasing in Ahor and increasing in Bhor from T0 to T3. As for the SG horizons, SG–Ahor showed a slight increase in the abundance of phototrophy-associated functions (mean 0.7 % to 1.5 %) and N fixation (mean 0.3 % to 1.4 %) from T0 to T3, while SG–Bhor remained stable over time. No substantial disparities were observed between treatments for both PA and SG.

Correlations between predicted functions and bacterial phyla were identified. Proteobacteria showed a positive correlation with aerobic chemoheterotrophy, chemoheterotrophy ( $R = 0.9$ ), and cellulolysis ( $R = 0.6$ ), while Actinobacteriota were positively correlated with nitrate reduction ( $R = 0.6$ ). Cyanobacteria exhibited a strong correlation with phototrophy-associated functions ( $R > 0.8$ ).

### C.3.7 Indicator species

Two hundred eighty ASVs (280) showed a mean over 0.05 % and were analyzed for indicator species and the co-occurrence network. Indicator species are species that, by characterizing a group of samples, provide relevant ecological information about the environmental conditions of the ecosystem in which they are found (Dufrêne and Legendre, 1997). Using this approach, we explored the association between taxa and a particular site, focusing on temporal changes. Among the analyzed ASVs (280 in total), 163 ASVs exhibited significant associations with one of the sites, with 99 ASVs belonging to PA and 64 ASVs belonging to SG (??, Supplementary ??). The indicator species belonged to 15 different taxa, with Proteobacteria (21 ASVs in PA and 21 ASVs in SG), Acidobacteriota (3 ASVs in PA and 26 ASVs in SG), Actinobacteriota (15 ASVs in PA and 5 ASVs in SG), Gemmatimonadota (14 ASVs in PA and 1 ASV in SG), and Chloroflexi (9 ASVs in PA and 1 ASV in SG) being the most abundant,


accounting for 71 % of the total indicators. Notably, the genus RB41 (12 ASVs), family Commamonadaceae (5 ASVs), and order Vicinamibacterales (5 ASVs) showed potential as putative indicator taxa in SG, while the family Longimicrobiaceae (11 ASVs) and the order Thermomicrobiales (6 ASVs) were notable indicators in PA. The family Sphingomonadaceae was well represented as an indicator species in PA (13 ASVs) and SG (5 ASVs). Regarding soil horizons, 102 ASVs were significantly associated with one of the horizons by site (Supplementary ??). The highest abundance of indicator species was observed in PA–Bhor (24.5 %), followed by PA–Ahor (13.1 %), SG–Bhor (11.3 %), and SG–Ahor (5.1 %). Among these, the most abundant ASV were Symbiobacteraceae (mean 7.8 %) in the PA–Bhor, followed by *Sphingomonas* (mean 3.1 %) and *Lysobacter* (mean 2.7 %) in PA–Ahor. The abundance of indicator species remained stable in SG, while PA fluctuated over time. For instance, indicator ASVs belonging to Actinobacteriota (A and B horizons) and Gemmatimonadota (A horizon) decreased in abundance over time, while those belonging to Proteobacteria showed an increasing trend (??). No indicator species were identified based on the treatment.



**Figure C.6:** Top 50 relative abundance of indicator species for Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia in four time points of the soil manipulation experiment. Colors represented different phyla. Time is represented as T0 (original), T1 (2 weeks), T2 (12 weeks), and T3 (16 weeks). Each bubble is the mean of the different treatments (in situ, BSCs, and plants) and biological triplicates. Taxa are shown on the level of class (c), order (o), family (f), and genus (g).

### C.3.8 Co-occurrence network

We employed a co-occurrence network to explore the dynamics and relationships within bacterial communities across both sites (PA and SG) sharing the same parent material. Among the 280 ASVs analyzed, we identified seven modules of highly correlated ASVs represented by different colors (??). Based on their correlation patterns, these modules formed two sub-networks: Subnetwork 1 consisted of the brown and turquoise modules, while Subnetwork 2 comprised the green, red, yellow, black, and blue modules. Notably, the ASVs within the subnetworks showed different preferences for specific sites.



**Figure C.7:** Co-occurrence network of bacterial ASVs. The nodes (ASVs) in the network are colored by modularity. The connections stand for a strong ( $R > 0.7$ ) and significant ( $p < 0.01$ ) correlation. The network was subdivided into two subnetworks corresponding to Pan de Azúcar and Santa Gracia.

Subnetwork 1 was predominantly found in SG, whereas Subnetwork 2 was more abundant in PA (Supplementary ??). In subnetwork 1 (SG), Acidobacteriota (34), Proteobacteria (21), and Verrucomicrobiota (14) accounted for 78.4 % of the total ASVs. In subnetwork 2 (PA), the most abundant taxa were Proteobacteria (47), Actinobacteriota (23), and Gemmatimonadota (21), representing 49 % of total ASVs.

We proceeded to analyze the topological properties of each subnetwork to understand the interrelationship patterns between nodes, as summarized in ???. Initially, the network consisted of 273 nodes (ASVs) and 3203 edges (correlations). After subdivi-

sion, the subnetwork 2 (PA) exhibited more nodes and edges, accounting for 66 % of all ASVs. Subnetwork 1 (SG) displayed a higher average degree (node connectivity degree), connectance, and clustering coefficient (degree of nodes tended to cluster together), indicating highly connected and densely clustered modules. On the other hand, subnetwork 2 (PA) showed higher modularity (degree of division into modules), indicating a clear division into modules. Additionally, we observed that the abundance patterns of ASVs within the modules varied depending on the horizon. In PA, the green and blue modules were more abundant in the A horizon, while the yellow and red modules were more abundant in the B horizon (Supplementary ??). In SG, the ASVs of the brown module were more abundant in the B horizon, while the turquoise module exhibited a slightly higher abundance in the A horizon.

We identified the top hub ASVs per module to gain further insights into the highly connected species within the co-occurrence networks. The hub ASVs in SG belonged to the family Comamonadaceae in the turquoise module and the genus *Candidatus Udaeobacter* in the brown module. On the other hand, in PA, hub ASVs were identified from the family Sphingobacteriaceae (green), Longimicrobiaceae (blue), 67–14 (black), Ardentatenaceae (red), and WD2101 soil group (yellow).

We utilized the identified modules to correlate them with physicochemical properties and examine their associations (??). The modules representing SG displayed a distinct pattern based on organic matter content. The turquoise module positively correlated with TOC, N<sub>bulk</sub>, and N<sub>t</sub> in different aggregate sizes ( $R > 0.8$ ). Conversely, the turquoise and brown modules negatively correlated with pH ( $R < -0.7$ ). In PA, the black module demonstrated a strong positive correlation with sodium and chloride, while the blue module was correlated with pH ( $R = 0.7$ ). The red module displayed associations with C<sub>t</sub> content in macroaggregates, sodium, potassium, sulfate, pH, and EC ( $R > 0.7$ ). Additionally, the yellow module exhibited a strong positive correlation with C<sub>t</sub> in microaggregates, sulfate, and EC ( $R > 0.7$ ).

## C.4 Discussion

This study investigated the interplay between biotic and abiotic factors during soil formation within initial arid and semiarid soils under simulated climate change (humid climate conditions). We focused on exploring the direct impact of microbial communities on the early stages of soil formation and their interactions with BSCs and plants by analyzing changes in soil physicochemical properties along the different treatments. Further, we examined the bacterial community response of arid and semiarid soils to simulated climate change to gain insights into their potential adaption to the changed conditions.

### C.4.1 Abiotic versus biotic influence on soil formation in arid and semiarid soils under climate change

A simulated humid climate that mimicked a climate change scenario along the Chilean Coastal Cordillera stimulated the initial soil formation in all treatments. The extent of this “climate change” effect on soil formation varied by soil origin and horizon but did not differ from the sterile treatment. This indicates that the abiotic influence on initial soil formation was larger than the biotic influence over the short simulation period. The formation of macroaggregates, the loss of large microaggregates, and the associated increase in MWD in the B horizon of PA were likely influenced by elevated levels of carbonates (e.g.,  $\text{CaCO}_3$ ). The prevalence of inorganic carbon in the form of carbonates is supported by the high  $C_t$  values coupled with low TOC concentrations, as corroborated by prior studies (Schulze-Makuch *et al.*, 2018; Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Water likely facilitated the diffusion of cations, stimulating carbonate precipitation to form secondary carbonate coatings and bind primary soil particles, thus enhancing soil structure (Bronick and Lal, 2005). Additionally, notable reductions in EC in the A horizon of PA and sodium and chloride concentrations in the B horizon of PA were observed, likely due to the leaching of exchangeable base cations and soluble salts as reported by Bernhard *et al.* (2018), consistent with previous findings in saline-alkali soils (Wang *et al.*, 2017). Lastly, the increase in TOC in the B horizon of SG compared to the A horizon indicates a contrasting response in carbon mobility and retention between the two horizons, potentially driven by enhanced mineral adsorption capacity or increased occlusion of organic matter into aggregates (Kramer and Chadwick, 2018). These findings highlight the influence of soil origin (site and horizon) and their physicochemical properties on the response to climate change over time.

The microorganisms-plant treatment positively influences arid and semiarid soil stabilization, indicated by increased macroaggregate formation and MWD in SG and the A horizon of PA at 16 weeks relative to the other treatments. In contrast, this beneficial effect was not observed in the B horizon of PA, due to poor root development and premature plant mortality promoted by high salinity and nutrient deficiency during the manipulation experiment. The observed effect can be attributed to the enmeshment and realignment of soil particles by roots and the release of exudates, leading to significant physical, chemical, and biological alterations that influence soil aggregation (Bronick and Lal, 2005). Prior studies support the role of fine sand and fine roots as co-drivers of aggregate stability in early successional stages of mediterranean soils (Erktan *et al.*, 2016) and the promotion of macroaggregate formation through root exudates favoring fungi in carbon-poor forest subsoils (Baumert *et al.*, 2018). Additionally, field experiments have shown that water addition in the presence of plants enhances macroaggregation, probably due to increased root activity, fungal enmeshment, and microbial access to carbon substrates for exopolysaccharide production (Blankinship *et al.*, 2016). While converting desert soil into irrigated cropland with profitable harvest typically requires

at least 50 years (Su *et al.*, 2010), and natural soil development processes occur over much longer timescales spanning hundreds to thousands of years (Jenny, 1994), our findings reveal the early measurable impact of microorganisms-plant associations on the soil stabilization even in short-time scales of weeks.

Microorganisms alone and in conjunction with BSCs had no discernible impact on the measured physicochemical parameters compared to sterile soils under the experimental settings. The absence of changes can be attributed to the potentially more prominent influence of water, which likely overshadowed the effects of microorganisms, resulting in a water-masking effect. This finding aligns with a previous six-month manipulation experiment on mediterranean soil, highlighting the increased significance of microorganisms in aggregation under drier conditions, where limited water availability restricts abiotic macroaggregate formation but supports active microbial communities (Blankinship *et al.*, 2016). Similarly, a field study proposed that plants and organic matter exert a stronger influence on aggregation than BSCs in wetter conditions along a climate gradient, while BSCs are significant compared to bare soil, including microorganisms in drier environments (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, a previous study in SG reported no significant increase in N-fixation and even a decrease in nitrogen mineralization in SG when soil moisture reached 57 % of water-filled pore space (Seuss *et al.*, 2022). Based on these findings, our results suggest that the applied water content (65 % water-filled pore space) may exceed the threshold required to activate specific biogeochemical processes facilitated by microorganisms. Alternatively, it is plausible that soil properties like organic carbon and acidity exhibit slower changes than the microbial community structure alone, considering their short generation times, allowing them to adapt and respond rapidly to environmental changes (Placella *et al.*, 2012).

On the other hand, our study may have overlooked the initial effects of microorganisms on soil properties when analyzing bulk soil samples taken across an entire soil horizon. This limitation could also dilute the impact of BSCs, which primarily influence the soil properties near the surface, progressively decreasing with depth (Miralles *et al.*, 2020). Microorganisms might influence soil properties at a smaller spatial scale beyond the resolution of our bulk soil analyses. For instance, nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry analysis in vertisol and alfisol soils demonstrated the crucial role of microbially metabolized nitrogen in promoting organo-mineral associations, impacting organic matter cycling, immobilization, and storage (Kopittke *et al.*, 2018). However, despite the lack of independent impact detected, microorganisms likely played an important role in improving soil conditions by mitigating abiotic stress factors, such as high pH, salinity, and nutrient limitations for plant-microorganism treatment (Etesami and Beattie, 2017). This beneficial effect is exemplified by the active recruitment of growth-promoting bacteria, including N-fixing bacteria, by plant roots in the Atacama desert (Eshel *et al.*, 2021), which may have influenced the observed differentiation of bacterial communities among treatments by the end of the soil manipulation experiment.

### C.4.2 Bacterial communities inhabiting arid and semiarid soils respond differently to climate change

Differential responses of bacterial communities were observed at the two different sites under simulated climate change. Notably, bacterial communities increased in gene copy numbers in PA, the arid site (in contrast to SG, the semiarid site), revealing higher bacterial activity or growth based on higher cellular ribosome contents (Placella *et al.*, 2012). This increase in gene copy numbers can be attributed to the “Birch effect,” which is influenced by wetting and promotes the breakdown of aggregates, mineralization of dead microbial cells and osmolytes, and subsequent release of nutrients such as carbon (Jarvis *et al.*, 2007). This phenomenon has been observed in arid and semiarid soils (Armstrong *et al.*, 2016; Seuss *et al.*, 2022), where releasing organic compounds potentially enhances bacterial reproduction and growth. This finding could explain the correlation between the bacterial community and nitrogen content in the A horizon of PA after two weeks of the soil manipulation experiment or the enhanced predicted functions related to chemoheterotrophy and organic matter degradation processes. These results evidence alterations in substrate availability and concomitant growth dynamics, which can be linked to the initial activation of soils triggered by nutrient inputs in the system promoted by humid climate conditions (Nemergut *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2021b).

Contrasting the gene copy numbers, changes in diversity indices over time reveal a decrease in the Shannon index in PA while remaining stable in SG. This is attributed to the degree of bacterial specialization, which is different in both sites. PA exhibits a high degree of bacterial specialization as an evolutionary response to a stable environment in time and space (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), while sudden climate shifts can act as stressors that promote a reduction in diversity (Clavel *et al.*, 2011). In contrast, SG represents a heterogeneous and disturbed ecosystem dominated by bacterial generalists (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), benefiting from their metabolic flexibility to thrive in highly dynamic environments (Hawkes *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2021a). Our findings follow a previous study demonstrating that frequent drying-wetting stress may favor tolerant microbial taxa in SG (Seuss *et al.*, 2022). This also can be illustrated by other studies where in the hyperarid Atacama Desert, diversity decreases when water is rapidly and abundantly applied (Azua-Bustos *et al.*, 2018), while a desert steppe with mean annual precipitation of 289 mm showed no response to altered precipitation regimes (Na *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, Sto (????a) found higher diversity in deserts due to disconnected soil niches, but wetter soil conditions reduce diversity through dispersion, connectivity, homogenization, and nutrient fluxes. Given the association between bacterial diversity and enzymatic activities related to nitrogen and phosphorus cycles, as well as plant productivity (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.*, 2016; Luo *et al.*, 2018), the decrease of diversity in PA could negatively affect soil formation due to a smaller number of available metabolic pathways (Shen *et al.*, 2023).

The degree of bacterial specialization is reflected in the shift of taxonomic compo-

sition observed at different sites. PA exhibits an early community reassembly from phyla to the ASV level, along with variations in indicator species, indicating a different tolerance threshold compared to SG. In the A horizon of SG, the dominant phyla and indicator species remained steady, but a change occurred at the ASV level after 16 weeks, while the B horizon maintained ASV stability from 2 to 16 weeks. These findings can potentially be attributed to two microbial adaptation strategies (Uritskiy *et al.*, 2019): In PA, new bacteria from the seed bank come in through niche intrusion, while SG adjusts its existing fitness-based community structure to withstand new selective pressures and prevents the dominance of new bacteria. A study on grasslands assessing drought and precipitation extremes based on mean annual precipitation revealed an unchanged soil bacterial composition, suggesting a high level of community and functional resistance in areas with climate variability (Hawkes *et al.*, 2020). Conversely, studies in the Namib and Negev deserts demonstrate changes in bacterial composition following precipitation events (Armstrong *et al.*, 2016; Sto, ???b; Ouyang and Li, 2020), supporting the notion that more arid ecosystems are more susceptible to precipitation impacts.

The succession dynamic in PA is evident through the shift in taxonomic composition, initially dominated by Actinobacteriota and gradually replaced by Proteobacteria. Actinobacteriota thrive in oligotrophic environments, particularly during early soil succession, and can affect the soil organic matter formation and biogeochemical cycles (Zhang *et al.*, 2019; Yu *et al.*, 2022). However, their abundance decreases with increasing water availability as the corresponding species are adapted to dry conditions (Barnard *et al.*, 2013; Maestre *et al.*, 2015; Sto, ???b). This shift is exemplified by the reduction in desiccation-resistant species like *Rubrobacter* or Solirubrobacterales (*Cnexibacter* and 67–14), which include genes for chemosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation (Schulze-Makuch *et al.*, 2018; Meier *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, Gemmatimonadota, known for its potential roles in carbon fixation and N<sub>2</sub>O reduction and adapted to extreme environments such as saline soils, also showed a decreasing abundance with increased water content (Mujakić *et al.*, 2022). Notably, the Longimicrobiaceae family within this phylum, which could facilitate phosphate solubilization in the soil, showed a marked decline (Korkar *et al.*, 2022). In contrast, while Cyanobacteria overall declined, the Chroococcidiopsaceae genus, a potential primary producer in the Atacama Desert using nitrate and ammonium, remains stable (Schulze-Makuch *et al.*, 2021). Our study aligns with previous research showing the potential ability of PA bacterial communities to fix and accumulate organic matter (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), highlighting the potential negative consequences of diminishing these bacterial taxa on soil fertility.

Increased water availability, the release of organic compounds, and the accumulation of elements via long-term weathering and climate processes can create a relatively nutrient-rich habitat, favoring copiotroph groups such as Bacteroidota (correlated with nitrogen and TOC) and Proteobacteria (correlated with chemoheterotrophy) in PA (Na *et al.*, 2019; Zhao *et al.*, 2019). Among these, Proteobacteria are widely

distributed and preferentially use the labile pool of organic carbon (Fierer *et al.*, 2007). They exhibit metabolic versatility, encompassing functional groups such as phototrophs, chemoorganotrophs, and chemolithotrophs (Kersters *et al.*, 2006), allowing them to utilize multiple energy sources, carbon substrates, and electron acceptors (Chen *et al.*, 2021a). From this phylum, functions such as microaggregate formation through the excretion of extracellular polymeric substances have been associated with the genus *Sphingomonas* and also with the family Sphingobacteraceae (Bacteroidota), which include several indicators and one hub species (Caesar-Tonthat *et al.*, 2007; Pankratov *et al.*, 2007; Vuko *et al.*, 2020). Remarkably, their abundance increased over time, potentially influencing the stabilization of soil aggregates and the increased MWD in our manipulation experiment. While the new community displays increased versatility and potential new functions, it is crucial to recognize that the loss of taxa could disrupt soil ecosystem processes, functions, and stability (Bestion *et al.*, 2020).

Proteobacteria, Acidobacteriota, and Planctomycetota steadily dominated the A horizon of SG and the B horizon of SG at 16 weeks. This finding is consistent with previous studies reporting a prevalence of these phyla during the successional development of tundra soils, the desert, and after deglaciation (Zhelezova *et al.*, 2019; Yu *et al.*, 2022; Shen *et al.*, 2023). Acidobacteriota, known as heterotrophic-oligotrophs, are well-adapted to harsh environmental conditions with limited carbon availability (Fierer *et al.*, 2007; Zhao *et al.*, 2019). Genomic analysis of Acidobacteriota has revealed their metabolic flexibility and versatility, with the potential capacity to participate in sulfur metabolism and utilize diverse carbohydrates, as is also indicated by the indicator species RB41 (Eichorst *et al.*, 2018; Kalam *et al.*, 2020; Stone *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, Acidobacteriota has numerous genes related to the nitrogen cycle (Eichorst *et al.*, 2018), highlighting their potential role in nitrogen dynamics, further supported by its correlation with N<sub>t</sub>. On the other hand, Planctomycetota exhibits both copiotrophic and oligotrophic features, adapting to varying carbon availability (Lauro *et al.*, 2009). The metabolic versatility of these dominant phyla positions them as potential players in organic matter balance and ecological dynamics.

Our co-occurrence network analysis confirms the distinction between the soil environments of PA and SG. Specifically, the SG subnetwork demonstrates greater complexity than PA, as indicated by a higher average degree, connectance, and lower modularity (Karimi *et al.*, 2017; Tu *et al.*, 2020). This finding is in agreement with previous research that has reported a higher average degree in more advanced successional stages within an early chronosequence (Sun *et al.*, 2017), in conjunction with increased nutrient availability (Zhao *et al.*, 2019) and with higher diversity (Tu *et al.*, 2020), as found in SG. Furthermore, our findings indicate a higher resilience to environmental perturbations in SG, consistent with previous studies associating higher connectance and complexity to functional redundancy of interactions (Tylianakis *et al.*, 2010; Karimi *et al.*, 2017; Wu *et al.*, 2021). On the other hand, the PA subnetwork displayed a more modular structure,

indicating that bacterial communities within the same module share a similar ecological niche (Tu *et al.*, 2020). These niches are shaped by nutritional preferences, functional distinctiveness, and interaction with physicochemical properties (Banerjee *et al.*, 2016). Specifically, the red and yellow modules, mainly composed of Proteobacteria, showed associations with C<sub>t</sub> in macro- and large microaggregates and EC, suggesting their involvement in carbon sequestration as carbonates in saline-alkali soils (Qu *et al.*, 2022). This, in turn, could link the carbonate content and MWD in these modules. Additionally, the yellow module, positively correlated with sulfate, contained the species MW2101 (phylum Planctomycetota), among others encoding proteins similar to sulfatases, potentially enabling efficient access to the carbon of sulfated compounds as energy and carbon sources (Dedysh *et al.*, 2021). In SG, the turquoise module positively correlated with nitrogen, which could be related to the high number of Acidobacteriota and the N-fixing family Comamonadaceae in this module (Eichorst *et al.*, 2018; Vuko *et al.*, 2020). Our results highlight the importance of bacterial clustering within specific modules, providing information about niche partitioning, synergistic relationships, and overall soil functioning (Dini-Andreote *et al.*, 2014).

#### C.4.3 Soil and climate legacy play a role in shaping the response of initial soil formation and bacterial communities to climate change

Under the simulated climate change scenario, the arid soil of PA exhibited a more pronounced response compared to the semiarid soil of SG. The greater shifts in physicochemical properties and soil bacterial communities in arid soils under climate change are attributed to the influence of climate legacies, which have emerged as key drivers shaping the response of bacterial communities to climate disturbances (Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.*, 017a, 2018). Evidence from cosmogenic nuclide analysis supports the existence of a stable and hyperarid climate in PA throughout the Pliocene and Pleistocene (Placzek *et al.*, 2009). Even other studies indicated climate stability from the late Jurassic, as inferred from paleomagnetic data (Hartley *et al.*, 2005). In contrast, the region between 30° to 35° S with the study site SG has experienced significant influences from glacial advances, periglacial effects, and climatic changes (Arroyo *et al.*, 2012). The differences between both sites and our findings indicate that SG harbors a more tolerant bacterial community, enabling them to adopt different functional repertoire modes, compete for resources, and maintain taxonomic stability even under changing environmental conditions. In contrast, being sensitive and reactive, the bacterial community in PA has changed toward a more versatile community with potentially new functions, as can be inferred from the new taxa in response to the humid climate of Nahuelbuta that has been applied experimentally to mimic climate change. However, this bacterial replacement, associated with vulnerability to disturbances, can impact the ability of the

community to self-sustain its functioning and ecosystem stability (Chen *et al.*, 2021b). Therefore, it is necessary to investigate whether taxonomic changes are linked to functional changes using additional tools such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics to gain insights into the potential roles of bacteria in the initial soil transformation.

We further propose that soil and climate legacy is important not only for the soil bacterial community and its response but also for future soil development under climate change. Soil and climate legacies, which can be understood as the resilience of the system, have influenced less soil development in PA (as indicated by high pH and salinity values (EC) and low TOC, nitrogen, bacterial abundance, and plant development). In contrast, SG has shown a progressive soil formation with organic matter accumulation, increased vegetation cover (30 % to 40 % shrubs and cacti), and a pH decrease due to increased precipitation and reduced temperature from PA to SG (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018). This presumably promoted different short-term trajectories of soil formation between sites and horizons, impacting the decomposition rate of organic matter, mineral leaching, and plant development differently. Previous studies support our findings by studying the legacy effects of climate and soil moisture on carbon stocks, nutrients, plant growth, and mycorrhizal responsiveness (Cavagnaro, 2016; Delgado-Baquerizo *et al.*, 017b). In turn, the legacy of resource availability modulates microbial resource responses (Yuan *et al.*, 2022). Therefore, the soil and climatic legacy shapes soil formation by influencing microbial communities, physicochemical properties, biogeochemical cycles, nutrient availability, and carbon dynamics, providing crucial insights for managing soil sustainability in different soil environments.

## C.5 Conclusion

Soil formation is crucial for life on Earth and biogeochemical cycles; therefore, understanding the role of microorganisms in this process is vital for gaining insights into ecosystem dynamics. Our findings demonstrate that microorganism-plant interaction drives short-term soil development and stabilization in arid soils exposed to humid climate conditions, even on short time scales of weeks. Interestingly, microorganisms alone and in conjunction with BSCs did not play a measurable role in soil formation under the same conditions. Hence, the microorganism-plant interaction has a faster and more robust impact on altering soil physicochemical properties in humid climate conditions than microorganisms alone. Furthermore, we identified a distinct sensitive and specialized bacterial community in arid soil, contrasting with the more complex, versatile, and stable bacterial community observed in semiarid soil, which we attribute to climate legacies. Our findings are relevant to understanding the intricate dynamics and complexity of bio-geo interactions between biota and climate on soil formation, providing insights into soil management (e.g., efficient irrigation), long-term ecosystem sustainability in arid regions, and the bacterial response to climate change.

## Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: EBI - <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser>

## Author contributions

VR: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review editing. KW: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. NR-M: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. RO: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. SL: Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. JK: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. OR: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. CM: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. OS: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. TS: Conceptualization, Writing - review & editing. DW: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the BECAS Chile 2019 program via a grant to VR (72200201) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) in the framework of the priority program “EarthShape—Earth Surface Shaping by Biota (SPP 1803)” by a grant to TS (SCHO 739/17) and CM (MU 3021/6-2). The 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing done in Wagner’s lab was financed through the Helmholtz Research Program “Changing Earth - Sustaining our Future.”

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Chilean National Park Service (CONAF) and Sucesión Gálvez Muñoz, who provided access to the study sites. The authors also wish to express our deep gratitude to all the colleagues who helped in the field and with logistics during the several months of field work—especially Kirstin Übernickel (University of Tübingen) and Leandro Paulino (Universidad de Concepción).

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## **Publisher's note**

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## **Supplementary material**

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.709333>

# Bibliography

(????a).

(????b).

(????).

(????).

(????).

Abdelfattah, M. A. (2013). Pedogenesis, land management and soil classification in hyper-arid environments: results and implications from a case study in the United Arab Emirates. *Soil Use and Management*, **29**, 279–294.

Aguilera, J. M. and Larraín, F. (2021). Natural laboratories in emerging countries and comparative advantages in science: evidence from Chile. *Review of Policy Research*, **38**, 732–753.

Amundson, R., Richter, D. D., Humphreys, G. S., Jobbágy, E. G., and Gaillardet, J. (2007). Coupling between biota and earth materials in the critical zone. *Elements*, **3**(5), 327–332.

Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., and Weber, L. (2015). Minor revision to V4 region 16S rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, **75**, 129–137.

Armstrong, A., Valverde, A., Ramond, J.-B., Makhalanyane, T. P., Jansson, J. K., Hopkins, D. W., et al. (2016). Temporal dynamics of hot desert microbial communities reveal structural and functional responses to water input. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 30085.

Arroyo, M. T. K., Zedler, P. H., and Fox, M. D., editors (2012). *Ecology and biogeography of Mediterranean ecosystems in Chile, California, and Australia*. Springer Science & Business Media.

Azua-Bustos, A., Fairen, A. G., Gonzalez-Silva, C., Ascaso, C., Carrizo, D., Fernandez-Martinez, M. A., et al. (2018). Unprecedented rains decimate surface microbial communities in the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert. *Scientific Reports*, **8**, 16706.

## Bibliography

---

- Bajerski, F. and Wagner, D. (2013). Bacterial succession in Antarctic soils of two glacier forefields on Larsemann Hills, East Antarctica. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **85**, 128–142.
- Banerjee, S., Kirkby, C. A., Schmutter, D., Bissett, A., Kirkegaard, J. A., and Richardson, A. E. (2016). Network analysis reveals functional redundancy and keystone taxa amongst bacterial and fungal communities during organic matter decomposition in an arable soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **97**, 188–198.
- Barberan, A., Bates, S. T., Casamayor, E. O., and Fierer, N. (2012). Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *The ISME Journal*, **6**, 343–351.
- Barnard, R. L., Osborne, C. A., and Firestone, M. K. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *The ISME Journal*, **7**, 2229–2241.
- Baumert, V. L., Vasilyeva, N. A., Vladimirov, A. A., Meier, I. C., Kögel-Knabner, I., and Mueller, C. W. (2018). Root exudates induce soil macroaggregation facilitated by fungi in subsoil. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, **6**, 140.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R. A., Aburto, F., Bader, M. Y., et al. (2018). Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: new insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the coastal cordillera of Chile. *Catena*, **170**, 335–355.
- Bestion, E., Barton, S., García, F. C., Warfield, R., and Yvon-Durocher, G. (2020). Abrupt declines in marine phytoplankton production driven by warming and biodiversity loss in a microcosm experiment. *Ecology Letters*, **23**, 457–466.
- Blankinship, J. C., Fonte, S. J., Six, J., and Schimel, J. P. (2016). Plant versus microbial controls on soil aggregate stability in a seasonally dry ecosystem. *Geoderma*, **272**, 39–50.
- Bronick, C. J. and Lal, R. (2005). Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma*, **124**, 3–22.
- Buchan, G. D. (2011). Temperature effects in soil. In *Encyclopedia of agrophysics*, Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer, Dordrecht.
- Caesar-Tonthat, T., Caesar, A., Gaskin, J., Sainju, U., and Busscher, W. (2007). Taxonomic diversity of predominant culturable bacteria associated with microaggregates from two different agroecosystems and their ability to aggregate soil in vitro. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **36**, 10–21.

- Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). Dada2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, **13**, 581–583.
- Calvaruso, C., Mareschal, L., Turpault, M.-P., and Leclerc, E. (2009). Rapid clay weathering in the rhizosphere of Norway spruce and oak in an acid forest ecosystem. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **73**, 331–338.
- Cavagnaro, T. R. (2016). Soil moisture legacy effects: impacts on soil nutrients, plants and mycorrhizal responsiveness. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **95**, 173–179.
- Chen, Y., Neilson, J. W., Kushwaha, P., Maier, R. M., and Barberan, A. (2021a). Life-history strategies of soil microbial communities in an arid ecosystem. *The ISME Journal*, **15**, 649–657.
- Chen, Y.-J., Leung, P. M., Wood, J. L., Bay, S. K., Hugenholtz, P., Kessler, A. J., et al. (2021b). Metabolic flexibility allows bacterial habitat generalists to become dominant in a frequently disturbed ecosystem. *The ISME Journal*, **15**, 2986–3004.
- Clavel, J., Julliard, R., and Devictor, V. (2011). Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a global functional homogenization? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **9**, 222–228.
- Csardi, G. and Nepusz, T. (2006). The igraph software package for complex network research. *InterJournal, Complex Systems*, **1695**, 1–9.
- Dedysh, S. N., Beletsky, A. V., Ivanova, A. A., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Suzina, N. E., Philippov, D. A., et al. (2021). Wide distribution of Phycisphaera-like planctomycetes from Wd2101 soil group in peatlands and genome analysis of the first cultivated representative. *Environmental Microbiology*, **23**, 1510–1526.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Maestre, F. T., Reich, P. B., Jeffries, T. C., Gaitan, J. J., Encinar, D., et al. (2016). Microbial diversity drives multifunctionality in terrestrial ecosystems. *Nature Communications*, **7**, 10541.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Bissett, A., Eldridge, D. J., Maestre, F. T., He, J.-Z., Wang, J.-T., et al. (2017a). Palaeoclimate explains a unique proportion of the global variation in soil bacterial communities. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, **1**, 1339–1347.
- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D. J., Maestre, F. T., Karunaratne, S. B., Trivedi, P., Reich, P. B., et al. (2017b). Climate legacies drive global soil carbon stocks in terrestrial ecosystems. *Science Advances*, **3**, e1602008.

## Bibliography

---

- Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D. J., Travers, S. K., Val, J., Oliver, I., and Bissett, A. (2018). Effects of climate legacies on above-and belowground community assembly. *Global Change Biology*, **24**, 4330–4339.
- Dini-Andreote, F., De Cassia, P. E., Silva, M., Triado-Margarit, X., Casamayor, E. O., Van Elsas, J. D., *et al.* (2014). Dynamics of bacterial community succession in a salt marsh chronosequence: evidences for temporal niche partitioning. *The ISME Journal*, **8**, 1989–2001.
- Donat, M. G., Lowry, A. L., Alexander, L. V., O’Gorman, P. A., and Maher, N. (2016). More extreme precipitation in the world’s dry and wet regions. *Nature Climate Change*, **6**, 508–513.
- Duc, L., Noll, M., Meier, B. E., Bürgmann, H., and Zeyer, J. (2009). High diversity of diazotrophs in the forefield of a receding alpine glacier. *Microbial Ecology*, **57**, 179–190.
- Dufrêne, M. and Legendre, P. (1997). Species assemblages and indicator species: the need for a flexible asymmetrical approach. *Ecological Monographs*, **67**, 345–366.
- Eichorst, S. A., Trojan, D., Roux, S., Herbold, C., Rattei, T., and Woebken, D. (2018). Genomic insights into the Acidobacteria reveal strategies for their success in terrestrial environments. *Environmental Microbiology*, **20**, 1041–1063.
- Elbert, W., Weber, B., Burrows, S., Steinkamp, J., Büdel, B., Andreae, M. O., *et al.* (2012). Contribution of cryptogamic covers to the global cycles of carbon and nitrogen. *Nature Geoscience*, **5**, 459–462.
- Erktan, A., Cécillon, L., Graf, F., Roumet, C., Legout, C., and Rey, F. (2016). Increase in soil aggregate stability along a Mediterranean successional gradient in severely eroded gully bed ecosystems: combined effects of soil, root traits and plant community characteristics. *Plant and Soil*, **398**, 121–137.
- Eshel, G., Araus, V., Undurraga, S., Soto, D. C., Moraga, C., Montecinos, A., *et al.* (2021). Plant ecological genomics at the limits of life in the Atacama Desert. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **118**, e2101177118.
- Etesami, H. and Beattie, G. A. (2017). Plant-microbe interactions in adaptation of agricultural crops to abiotic stress conditions. In V. Kumar, M. Kumar, S. Sharma, and R. Prasad, editors, *Probiotics and Plant Health*, pages 163–200. Springer, Singapore.
- Fierer, N., Bradford, M. A., and Jackson, R. B. (2007). Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology*, **88**, 1354–1364.

- Frey, B., Rieder, S. R., Brunner, I., Plötze, M., Koetzsch, S., Lapanje, A., *et al.* (2010). Weathering-associated bacteria from the Damma glacier forefield: physiological capabilities and impact on granite dissolution. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **76**, 4788–4796.
- Gelybó, G., Tóth, E., Farkas, C., Horel, Á., Kása, I., and Bakacsi, Z. (2018). Potential impacts of climate change on soil properties. *Agrokémia és Talajtan*, **67**, 121–141.
- Genderjahn, S., Alawi, M., Mangelsdorf, K., Horn, F., and Wagner, D. (2018). Desiccation-and saline-tolerant bacteria and archaea in Kalahari Pan sediments. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **9**, 2082.
- Genderjahn, S., Lewin, S., Horn, F., Schleicher, A. M., Mangelsdorf, K., and Wagner, D. (2021). Living lithic and sublithic bacterial communities in Namibian drylands. *Microorganisms*, **9**(2), 235.
- Gomez-Gonzalez, S., Torres-Diaz, C., and Gianoli, E. (2011). The effects of fire-related cues on seed germination and viability of *Helenium aromaticum* (Hook.) HL Bailey (Asteraceae). *Gayana Botánica*, **68**(1), 86–88.
- Harrell Jr, F. E. and Harrell Jr, M. F. (2019). Package ‘hmisc’. R package version 4.3-0.
- Hartley, A. J., Chong, G., Houston, J., and Mather, A. E. (2005). 150 million years of climatic stability: evidence from the Atacama Desert, northern Chile. *Journal of the Geological Society*, **162**, 421–424.
- Hawkes, C. V., Shinoda, M., and Kivlin, S. N. (2020). Historical climate legacies on soil respiration persist despite extreme changes in rainfall. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **143**, 107752.
- Hernández, M., Calabi, M., Conrad, R., and Dumont, M. G. (2020). Analysis of the microbial communities in soils of different ages following volcanic eruptions. *Pedosphere*, **30**, 126–134.
- Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., *et al.* (2007). Drying and wetting of Mediterranean soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission: the “birch effect”. *Tree Physiology*, **27**, 929–940.
- Jenny, H. (1994). *Factors of soil formation: A system of quantitative pedology*. Courier Corporation, North Chelmsford.
- Kalam, S., Basu, A., Ahmad, I., Sayyed, R., El-Enshasy, H. A., Dailin, D. J., *et al.* (2020). Recent understanding of soil acidobacteria and their ecological significance: a critical review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **11**, 580024.

## Bibliography

---

- Karimi, B., Maron, P. A., Chemidlin-Prevost Boure, N., Bernard, N., Gilbert, D., and Ranjard, L. (2017). Microbial diversity and ecological networks as indicators of environmental quality. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, **15**, 265–281.
- Kelly, L. C., Cockell, C. S., Thorsteinsson, T., Marteinsson, V., and Stevenson, J. (2014). Pioneer microbial communities of the Fimmvorethuhals lava flow, Eyjafjallajokull, Iceland. *Microbial Ecology*, **68**, 504–518.
- Kersters, K., De Vos, P., Gillis, M., Swings, J., Vandamme, P., and Stackebrandt, E. (2006). Introduction to the Proteobacteria. In M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. H. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt, editors, *The prokaryotes: a handbook on the biology of bacteria*, pages 3–37. Springer, New York, NY.
- Kohler, J., Caravaca, F., Carrasco, L., and Roldan, A. (2006). Contribution of *Pseudomonas mendocina* and *Glomus intraradices* to aggregate stabilization and promotion of biological fertility in rhizosphere soil of lettuce plants under field conditions. *Soil Use and Management*, **22**, 298–304.
- Kopittke, P. M., Hernandez-Soriano, M. C., Dalal, R. C., Finn, D., Menzies, N. W., Hoeschen, C., et al. (2018). Nitrogen-rich microbial products provide new organo-mineral associations for the stabilization of soil organic matter. *Global Change Biology*, **24**, 1762–1770.
- Korkar, M. H., Magdy, M., Rizk, S. M., Fiteha, Y. G., Atta, A. H., and Rashed, M. A.-S. (2022). Rhizosphere-associated microbiome profile of agricultural reclaimed lands in Egypt. *Agronomy*, **12**(10), 2543.
- Kramer, M. G. and Chadwick, O. A. (2018). Climate-driven thresholds in reactive mineral retention of soil carbon at the global scale. *Nature Climate Change*, **8**, 1104–1108.
- Krauze, P., Wagner, D., Yang, S., Spinola, D., and Kühn, P. (2021). Influence of prokaryotic microorganisms on initial soil formation along a glacier forefield on King George Island, maritime Antarctica. *Scientific Reports*, **11**(1), 1–15.
- Langfelder, P. and Horvath, S. (2008). Wgcna: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, **9**, 559.
- Lapanje, A., Wimmersberger, C., Furrer, G., Brunner, I., and Frey, B. (2012). Pattern of elemental release during the granite dissolution can be changed by aerobic heterotrophic bacterial strains isolated from Damma glacier (Central Alps) deglaciated granite sand. *Microbial Ecology*, **63**, 865–882.

- Lauro, F. M., McDougald, D., Thomas, T., Williams, T. J., Egan, S., Rice, S., *et al.* (2009). The genomic basis of trophic strategy in marine bacteria. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **106**, 15527–15533.
- Li, Y., Liu, X., Yin, Z., Chen, H., Cai, X., Xie, Y., *et al.* (2021). Changes in soil microbial communities from exposed rocks to arboreal rhizosphere during vegetation succession in a karst mountainous ecosystem. *Journal of Plant Interactions*, **16**, 550–563.
- Liu, Y., Lu, M., Zhang, X., Sun, Q., Liu, R., and Lian, B. (2019). Shift of the microbial communities from exposed sandstone rocks to forest soils during pedogenesis. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, **140**, 21–28.
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, **353**, 1272–1277.
- Luo, G., Rensing, C., Chen, H., Liu, M., Wang, M., Guo, S., *et al.* (2018). Deciphering the associations between soil microbial diversity and ecosystem multifunctionality driven by long-term fertilization management. *Functional Ecology*, **32**, 1103–1116.
- Maestre, F. T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Jeffries, T. C., Eldridge, D. J., Ochoa, V., Gozalo, B., *et al.* (2015). Increasing aridity reduces soil microbial diversity and abundance in global drylands. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **112**, 15684–15689.
- Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal*, **17**(1), 10–12.
- Meier, L. A., Krauze, P., Prater, I., Horn, F., Schaefer, C. E. G. R., Scholten, T., *et al.* (2019). Pedogenic and microbial interrelation in initial soils under semiarid climate on James Ross Island, Antarctic peninsula region. *Biogeosciences*, **16**, 2481–2499.
- Miralles, I., Soria, R., Lucas-Borja, M., Soriano, M., and Ortega, R. (2020). Effect of biocrusts on bacterial community composition at different soil depths in Mediterranean semi-arid ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment*, **733**, 138613.
- Mujakić, I., Piwosz, K., and Koblížek, M. (2022). Phylum Gemmatimonadota and its role in the environment. *Microorganisms*, **10**(1), 151.
- Muñoz, J. F., Fernández, B., Varas, E., Pastén, P., Gómez, D., Rengifo, P., *et al.* (2007). Chilean water resources. In T. Moreno and W. Gibbons, editors, *The geology of Chile*, pages 215–230. Geological Society of London, London.

## Bibliography

---

- Muyzer, G., De Waal, E. C., and Uitterlinden, A. (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **59**, 695–700.
- Na, X., Yu, H., Wang, P., Zhu, W., Niu, Y., and Huang, J. (2019). Vegetation biomass and soil moisture coregulate bacterial community succession under altered precipitation regimes in a desert steppe in northwestern China. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **136**, 107520.
- Nemergut, D. R., Knelman, J. E., Ferrenberg, S., Bilinski, T., Melbourne, B., Jiang, L., *et al.* (2016). Decreases in average bacterial community rRNA operon copy number during succession. *The ISME Journal*, **10**, 1147–1156.
- Oeser, R. A., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., *et al.* (2018). Chemistry and microbiology of the critical zone along a steep climate and vegetation gradient in the Chilean coastal cordillera. *Catena*, **170**, 183–203.
- Ortega, C., Vargas, G., Rojas, M., Rutllant, J. A., Muñoz, P., Lange, C. B., *et al.* (2019). Extreme ENSO-driven torrential rainfalls at the southern edge of the Atacama Desert during the late Holocene and their projection into the 21th century. *Global and Planetary Change*, **175**, 226–237.
- Ouyang, Y. and Li, X. (2020). Effect of repeated drying-rewetting cycles on soil extracellular enzyme activities and microbial community composition in arid and semi-arid ecosystems. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, **98**, 103187.
- Pankratov, T. A., Tindall, B. J., Liesack, W., and Dedysh, S. N. (2007). Mucilaginibacter paludis gen. nov., sp. nov. and Mucilaginibacter gracilis sp. nov., pectin-, xylan-and laminarin-degrading members of the family Sphingobacteriaceae from acidic Sphagnum peat bog. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **57**, 2349–2354.
- Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., and Fuhrman, J. A. (2016). Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples. *Environmental Microbiology*, **18**, 1403–1414.
- Placella, S. A., Brodie, E. L., and Firestone, M. K. (2012). Rainfall-induced carbon dioxide pulses result from sequential resuscitation of phylogenetically clustered microbial groups. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **109**, 10931–10936.
- Placzek, C., Quade, J., Betancourt, J. L., Patchett, P. J., Rech, J. A., Latorre, C., *et al.* (2009). Climate in the dry Central Andes over geologic, millennial, and interannual timescales. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, **96**(3), 386–397.

- Pronk, G. J., Heister, K., Ding, G.-C., Smalla, K., and Kögel-Knabner, I. (2012). Development of biogeochemical interfaces in an artificial soil incubation experiment; aggregation and formation of organo-mineral associations. *Geoderma*, **189-190**.
- Qian, X., Chen, L., Guo, X., He, D., Shi, M., and Zhang, D. (2018). Shifts in community composition and co-occurrence patterns of phyllosphere fungi inhabiting *Mussaenda shikokiana* along an elevation gradient. *PeerJ*, **6**, e5767.
- Qu, Y., Tang, J., Liu, B., Lyu, H., Duan, Y., Yang, Y., et al. (2022). Rhizosphere enzyme activities and microorganisms drive the transformation of organic and inorganic carbon in saline–alkali soil region. *Scientific Reports*, **12**, 1314.
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2012). The Silva ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **41**(D1), D590–D596.
- Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seitz, S., Witzgall, K., Rodríguez, V., Kühn, P., Mueller, C. W., et al. (2022). Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean coastal range. *SOIL*, **8**(2), 717–731.
- Rodriguez, V., Moskwa, L.-M., Oses, R., Kühn, P., Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seguel, O., et al. (2022). Impact of climate and slope aspects on the composition of soil bacterial communities involved in Pedogenetic processes along the Chilean coastal cordillera. *Microorganisms*, **10**(5), 847.
- Saary, P., Forslund, K., Bork, P., and Hildebrand, F. (2017). Rtk: efficient rarefaction analysis of large datasets. *Bioinformatics*, **33**, 2594–2595.
- Schmidt, S., Reed, S. C., Nemergut, D. R., Stuart Grandy, A., Cleveland, C. C., Weintraub, M. N., et al. (2008). The earliest stages of ecosystem succession in high-elevation (5000 metres above sea level), recently deglaciated soils. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, **275**, 2793–2802.
- Schulz, S., Brankatschk, R., Dümgig, A., Kögel-Knabner, I., Schloter, M., and Zeyer, J. (2013). The role of microorganisms at different stages of ecosystem development for soil formation. *Biogeosciences*, **10**, 3983–3996.
- Schulze-Makuch, D., Wagner, D., Kounaves, S. P., Mangelsdorf, K., Devine, K. G., De Vera, J. P., et al. (2018). Transitory microbial habitat in the hyperarid Atacama Desert. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **115**, 2670–2675.
- Schulze-Makuch, D., Lipus, D., Arens, F. L., Baqué, M., Bornemann, T. L., Devere, J.-P., et al. (2021). Microbial hotspots in lithic microhabitats inferred from DNA fractionation and metagenomics in the Atacama Desert. *Microorganisms*, **9**(5), 1038.

## Bibliography

---

- Seitz, S., Nebel, M., Goebes, P., Käppeler, K., Schmidt, K., Shi, X., *et al.* (2017). Bryophyte-dominated biological soil crusts mitigate soil erosion in an early successional Chinese subtropical forest. *Biogeosciences*, **14**, 5775–5788.
- Seuss, I., Scheibe, A., and Spohn, M. (2022). N<sub>2</sub> fixation is less sensitive to changes in soil water content than carbon and net nitrogen mineralization. *Geoderma*, **424**, 115973.
- Shen, J., Liang, Z., Kuzyakov, Y., Li, W., He, Y., Wang, C., *et al.* (2023). Dissolved organic matter defines microbial communities during initial soil formation after deglaciation. *Science of The Total Environment*, **878**, 163171.
- Sousa, M. G., Araujo, J. K. S., Ferreira, T. O., Andrade, G. R. P., Araújo Filho, J. C., Fracetto, G. G. M., *et al.* (2021). Long-term effects of irrigated agriculture on Luvisol pedogenesis in semi-arid region, northeastern Brazil. *Catena*, **206**, 105529.
- Stone, B. W., Li, J., Koch, B. J., Blazewicz, S. J., Dijkstra, P., Hayer, M., *et al.* (2021). Nutrients cause consolidation of soil carbon flux to small proportion of bacterial community. *Nature Communications*, **12**, 3381.
- Su, Y. Z., Yang, R., Liu, W. J., and Wang, X. F. (2010). Evolution of soil structure and fertility after conversion of native sandy desert soil to irrigated cropland in arid region, China. *Soil Science*, **175**, 246–254.
- Sun, S., Li, S., Avera, B. N., Strahm, B. D., and Badgley, B. D. (2017). Soil bacterial and fungal communities show distinct recovery patterns during forest ecosystem restoration. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **83**(14).
- Szalai, Z., Ringer, M., Németh, T., Sipos, P., Perényi, K., Pekker, P., *et al.* (2021). Accelerated soil development due to seasonal water-saturation under hydric conditions. *Geoderma*, **401**, 115328.
- Tu, Q., Yan, Q., Deng, Y., Michaletz, S. T., Buzzard, V., Weiser, M. D., *et al.* (2020). Biogeographic patterns of microbial co-occurrence ecological networks in six American forests. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **148**, 107897.
- Tylianakis, J. M., Laliberté, E., Nielsen, A., and Bascompte, J. (2010). Conservation of species interaction networks. *Biological Conservation*, **143**, 2270–2279.
- Uritskiy, G., Getsin, S., Munn, A., Gomez-Silva, B., Davila, A., Glass, B., *et al.* (2019). Halophilic microbial community compositional shift after a rare rainfall in the Atacama Desert. *The ISME Journal*, **13**, 2737–2749.

- Vuko, M., Cania, B., Vogel, C., Kublik, S., Schloter, M., and Schulz, S. (2020). Shifts in reclamation management strategies shape the role of exopolysaccharide and lipopolysaccharide-producing bacteria during soil formation. *Microbial Biotechnology*, **13**, 584–598.
- Wang, S., Feng, Q., Zhou, Y., Mao, X., Chen, Y., and Xu, H. (2017). Dynamic changes in water and salinity in saline-alkali soils after simulated irrigation and leaching. *PLoS One*, **12**(11), e0187536.
- Wickham, H. (2011). ggplot2. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, **3**(2), 180–185.
- Wu, X., Yang, J., Ruan, H., Wang, S., Yang, Y., Naeem, I., et al. (2021). The diversity and co-occurrence network of soil bacterial and fungal communities and their implications for a new indicator of grassland degradation. *Ecological Indicators*, **129**, 107989.
- Yu, J., Yin, Q., Niu, J., Yan, Z., Wang, H., Wang, Y., et al. (2022). Consistent effects of vegetation patch type on soil microbial communities across three successional stages in a desert ecosystem. *Land Degradation & Development*, **33**, 1552–1563.
- Yuan, M., Na, M., Hicks, L. C., and Rousk, J. (2022). Will a legacy of enhanced resource availability accelerate the soil microbial response to future climate change? *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **165**, 108492.
- Zhang, B., Wu, X., Tai, X., Sun, L., Wu, M., Zhang, W., et al. (2019). Variation in actinobacterial community composition and potential function in different soil ecosystems belonging to the arid Heihe River basin of Northwest China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **10**, 2209.
- Zhao, X.-R., Wu, H.-Y., Song, X.-D., Yang, S.-H., Dong, Y., Yang, J.-L., et al. (2019). Intra-horizon differentiation of the bacterial community and its co-occurrence network in a typical Plinthic horizon. *Science of The Total Environment*, **678**, 692–701.
- Zhelezova, A., Chernov, T., Tkachukhova, A., Xenofontova, N., Semenov, M., and Kutovaya, O. (2019). Prokaryotic community shifts during soil formation on sands in the tundra zone. *PLoS One*, **14**(11), e0206777.



## Appendix D

# Microbially induced soil aggregate turnover across different climates and moisture regimes

## Manuscript 4

*Geoderma*

DOI 10.2139/ssrn.4740477

Julia Mitzscherling<sup>1\*</sup>, Rómulo Osés<sup>2</sup>, Nicolás Riveras-Muñoz<sup>3</sup>, Carsten W. Müller<sup>4,5</sup>, Oscar Seguel<sup>6</sup>, Peter Kühn<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Scholten<sup>3</sup> and Dirk Wagner<sup>1,7</sup>

<sup>1</sup>GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Section Geomicrobiology, Telegrafenberg D-14473 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Centro Regional de Investigacion y Desarrollo Sustentable de Atacama, Universidad de Atacama (CRIDESAT UDA), Copayapu 484, Copiapo, Chile

<sup>3</sup>University of Tübingen, Soil Science and Geomorphology, Rümelinstrasse 19-23, D-72070 Tübingen, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Institute of Ecology, Chair of Soil Science, Technische Universität Berlin, 10587 Berlin, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, University of Copenhagen, 1350 Copenhagen K, Denmark

<sup>6</sup>Universidad de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Agronómicas, Av. Santa Rosa #11315, La Pintana, 8820808 Santiago, 15 Chile

<sup>7</sup>University of Potsdam, Institute of Geosciences, Karl-Liebknecht-Strasse 24-25, D-14476 Potsdam, Germany

Received: 27 February 2024



## Abstract

While it is widely recognized that soil structure stability is related to soil physical, chemical and biological processes and properties, the role of the types of microorganisms in this respect is still unclear. Particularly, knowledge on the relative roles of abiotic versus biotic mechanisms is scarce. Our study investigated the influence of microbial activity on soil aggregate development across diverse climatic settings under wetting-drying (W-D) cycles and constantly moist conditions. Further, we evaluated the response of prokaryotic communities and microbial abundance to changing soil moisture conditions. Analyses encompassed soils from arid, semi-arid, mediterranean, and humid climates. Findings reveal the pronounced impact of climate legacy on soil structure responses to climate variations. Variations in soil aggregate attributes and microbial communities, driven by pedogenesis and climatic conditions, dictate how soil aggregation and microbial communities respond to changing soil moisture levels. In arid soils, the Birch-effect stimulated microbial activity and led to the breakdown of larger aggregates via labile organic matter consumption. Semi-arid soils showed microbial-mediated microaggregate formation through organic matter decomposition, ultimately decreasing soil stability. In mediterranean soils, increased microbial activity facilitated microaggregate assembly from silt-clay fractions, enhancing soil stability despite reductions in larger aggregates. Humid soils displayed unique responses, with fungi significantly enhancing stability, and prokaryotic activity stimulated by W-D. Conversely, microbial abundance substantially declined under constant moisture due to moisture stress, albeit a noteworthy increase in soil stability. Understanding these microbial-soil interactions as affected by climate is crucial for understanding initial soil formation processes as well as sustainable soil management, offering insights into soil health and ecosystem resilience.

## Keywords

Soil aggregation, soil formation, Illumina MiSeq, microbial key players, microbial communities, wetting-drying cycles, climate gradient

## D.1 Introduction

Most of the Earth's surface comprises soils and sediments, profoundly shaping the landscape by resisting erosion, influenced by the action of water in various climate regimes. Research exploring the interplay between biotic and abiotic processes in ecosystems has investigated organism-mineral interactions (Brehm *et al.*, 2005; Quirk *et al.*, 2012) and biotic activities inducing soil and landscape formation (Dietrich and Perron, 2006). Biota impact terrestrial surfaces through mineral weathering, ion formation, and biofilm

generation, which may control erosion resistance to a large extent (Gadd, 2010; Gorbushina, 2007; Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). Although recognized early that soil stability relates to physical, chemical, and biological processes, the specific role of microorganisms remains unclear, lacking a comprehensive understanding of their mechanisms and species contributions in varied climate contexts.

Typically, soils exhibit a complex three-dimensional arrangement comprising aggregates interspersed with void spaces (Bailey *et al.*, 2013; Oades and Waters, 1991), increasingly referred to as soil architecture (Amelung *et al.*, 2023). Aggregates consist of clusters of mineral particles and organic carbon, wherein the internal forces binding the particles together within each aggregate are much stronger than the forces acting between neighboring aggregates (Six *et al.*, 2004). This strength enables the structures to endure wetting events and mechanical disruptions within the bulk soil (Bravo *et al.*, 2018). These aggregates assemble hierarchically forming networks of particles and voids that are periodically connected during wetting episodes, which in turn create a variable flow of water and nutrients, offering access to soil organisms (Wilpiszeski *et al.*, 2019).

In general, soil aggregates are grouped according to their size. Microaggregates ( $<250\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) consist of clay particles, organo-mineral complexes, and polyvalent metals, bound by bacterial polysaccharides (Six *et al.*, 2004; Totsche *et al.*, 2018), while macroaggregates ( $>250\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ) are formations of minerals and particulate organic matter (POM), and are mainly bound by fungal hyphae and plant roots (Oades, 1984).

Soil aggregation is highly correlated with soil moisture, clay and organic carbon content (Al-Kaisi *et al.*, 2014) and is one controlling factor of the dynamic and spatial variation in soil properties (Totsche *et al.*, 2018). The moisture regime in soils is largely controlled by climate which in turn controls soil formation. This has been shown in great detail along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b). Climatic factors such as precipitation and temperature affect soil biological, soil chemical and soil physical processes such as the accumulation of organic carbon, weathering of minerals and swelling and shrinking of clay particles as well as soil properties. Terrestrial ecosystems experience regular changes in water availability, a critical resource for life. Alternating wet-dry events significantly influence soil aggregate formation and stabilization processes (Najera *et al.*, 2020).

Additionally, microbial activity, i.e. the production of binding material such as exudates, and fungal hyphae affect aggregate formation (Tisdall and Oades, 1982), while soil aggregation also indirectly affects microbial activity as well as community structure (Biesgen *et al.*, 2020). It has been shown that macro- and microaggregates are characterized by different predation pressure and, therefore, contain distinct microhabitats (Fox *et al.*, 2018; Mumme and Stahl, 2004; Sessitsch *et al.*, 2001). Microbial residents occupy specialized niches within the aggregate structure, with active microorganisms living both within and between aggregate particles (Bailey *et al.*, 2013; Ebrahimi and

Or, 2016; Sessitsch *et al.*, 2001). The complex feedback between mineralogy and biology begins with the weathering of the parent material. It continues with the formation of aggregates and the stabilization of the microscale architecture of the soil structure and generally controls the geochemical cycles in the soil matrix during the development of a soil (Crawford *et al.*, 2012; Lynch and Bragg, ???).

The influence of microorganisms on soil aggregate formation has been highlighted in several studies before (Chotte, 2005; Gupta and Germida, 2015; Tisdall and Oades, 1982). However, taxonomic groups driving the process and acting as potential key players are still largely unknown, while the mechanisms controlling the formation and persistence of soil aggregates are yet not fully understood. Particularly, knowledge of the relative roles of abiotic (water, organic-mineral-interactions) versus biotic (plant C input, microbial activity) drivers of aggregate formation is lacking. Therefore, we hypothesize that the abundance, diversity and composition of soil microbial communities are characteristic of different stages of soil development and as a corollary, that specific taxonomic groups are related to the distribution and stability of aggregates at each developmental stage.

Hence, this work aimed to (i) unveil the microbial contributions to soil aggregate formation and stabilization compared to abiotic processes in soils of different climatic conditions and developmental stages during repeated wetting-drying (WD) cycles, and (ii) identify microbial key players involved in aggregate formation and stabilization.


Since studies on the development of abiotic and biotic interactions are very complex, they require both different spatial and temporal scales (Ollivier *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, soils were collected from four locations along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile representing a natural climate gradient, ranging from arid over semi-arid and mediterranean to humid conditions. In order to unveil the microbial role in the process of aggregate formation and stabilization, the manipulation of soil moisture by applying W-D cycles was performed with a set of native soils including the indigenous microbial communities and a set of sterilized soil samples without any microorganisms. Quantification of bacteria, archaea, and fungi by qPCR was used to document the response patterns of microbial abundances and communities. We performed Illumina MiSeq sequencing of 16S rRNA genes to gain a detailed picture of the microbial community structure and their shifts during W-D cycling. Changes in the microbial communities were related to edaphic parameters such as soil aggregate sizes, carbon and nitrogen content, C/N ratio and aggregate stability.

## D.2 Materials and methods

### D.2.1 Field site description and sampling

In the frame of the EarthShape project (German Science Foundation SPP 1803), soils were studied at the following four study sites distributed over 1300 km along the Chilean Coastal Cordillera: Pan de Azúcar National Park ( $\sim 26^\circ\text{S}$ ), Santa Gracia Nature Reserve ( $\sim 30^\circ\text{S}$ ), La Campana National Park ( $\sim 33^\circ\text{S}$ ), and Nahuelbuta National Park ( $\sim 38^\circ\text{S}$ ). The study sites cover a climate gradient with decreasing annual temperature and increasing annual precipitation from north to south and corresponded to arid, semi-arid, mediterranean and humid climates (??) resulting in different soil moisture regimes (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b). Due to the long-term impact of stable climate conditions (Ewing *et al.*, 2006) soils show different stages of soil development. They were classified into Regosols in Pan de Azúcar, Cambisols in Santa Gracia and La Campana, and Umbrisols in Nahuelbuta (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b). Vegetation types range from very sparse higher plant desert vegetation in the north, where biocrust soil coverage can reach as much as 40 % of the area (biocrust-dominated desert vegetation) over semi-desert open shrubland and mediterranean dry forest and to temperate mixed broadleaved-coniferous forest in the south (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018a,b).

Surface depth increments (0 cm to 5 cm) were collected in March–April 2016 on the mid slope position of the South-facing hillslopes in each study site and were directly sieved ( $<2\text{ mm}$ ) on ethanol-sterilized sieves to remove coarse organic material and stones. Samples were stored at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  until further processing. Detailed site-specific descriptions and soil classifications were performed by Bernhard *et al.* (2018a), Bernhard *et al.* (2018b) and Oeser *et al.* (2018b), Oeser *et al.* (2018a).



**Figure D.1:** Location of the four study sites along the climate gradient on the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. MAP, mean annual precipitation; MAT, mean annual temperature.

## D.2.2 Experimental design

Aggregate formation was developed during repeated W-D cycles using Tübingen cups (T-cup), which were covered by a fine silk cloth at the bottom (Scholten *et al.*, 2011). In order to characterize the role of microorganisms on soil-structure formation processes, sets of soil samples with the indigenous microbial community (native) and sterilized control samples were prepared. The control samples were sterilized by cobalt-60 gamma-irradiation (SteriXpert, BBF Sterilisationsservice GmbH, Kernen-Rommelshausen, Germany). Each T-cup ( $h = 5\text{ cm}$ ,  $r = 2.5\text{ cm}$ ) was filled with 60 g soil material, followed by 22 days at  $22^\circ\text{C}$  to acclimate the soil-dwelling microorganisms to laboratory conditions. The manipulation experiment was performed by incubating the T-cups under controlled environmental conditions for a total time duration of six weeks. We saturated the soil to field capacity (pF 1.8,  $-60\text{ hPa}$  pressure) at room temperature on a sterile

sand bed (grain size 0.06 mm to 0.25 mm) for 24 hours. This was followed by a drying step at 25 °C in an oven for 1–2 days until equilibrium. In addition, one sample remained on the sand bed throughout the entire experiment, being constantly subjected to moisture. Duplicate samples of native and sterilized soil material were collected after fulfilled cycles, comprising time point zero (R0), one, three, and six W-D cycles (R1, R3, R6), and after six weeks of constant moisture (CM). Separate T-cups were prepared for the different analytical approaches, one for DNA-based methods and one for the analysis of soil properties. Cups for DNA-analysis were stored at –20 °C and cups for the analysis of soil properties at 4 °C until further processing.

### **D.2.3 Soil properties, aggregate size distribution and stability**

Soil-chemical properties were analyzed for the native and the sterilized soils. The total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by Potsdamer Wasser- und Umweltlabor GmbH (PWU, Potsdam, Germany) according to DIN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005. The aggregate size separation was done by wet sieving adapted from Elliott (1986) as described in Riveras-Muñoz *et al.* (2022). In the first step, 10 g of soil material was irrigated with 50 mL water. A series of four sieves, which were placed in descending order, was used to obtain the following soil aggregate size classes: (i) >500 µm (large macroaggregates); (ii) 250 µm to 500 µm (small macroaggregates); (iii) 53 µm to 250 µm (large microaggregates); (iv) 20 µm to 53 µm (small microaggregates), and (v) <20 µm (silt/clay). Aggregates were separated manually by moving the sieve up and down 30 times during 5 min in a 2.5 L water tank. The aggregate classes retained on each sieve were oven-dried at 105 °C, and weighed. In the following, large and small macroaggregates are referred to as macroaggregates (MAC), large and small microaggregates are referred to as microaggregates (MIC) and the silt/clay class is referred to as SC.

The mean weight diameter (MWD in mm), a measure of aggregate stability determined by the size distribution of aggregates (Amézketa, 1999), was calculated as an average weighed by aggregate size.

Furthermore, the soil organic carbon (SOC) and total nitrogen (TN) content of each aggregate size class was measured using oxidative heat combustion at 1150 °C in a Vario EL III elemental analyzer (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany) and subsequently, the C/N ratio was calculated. SOC and total carbon were used interchangeably since the study sites showed low inorganic carbon content (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022).

#### D.2.4 DNA extraction and quantification of bacteria, archaea, and fungi

Total genomic DNA was extracted from the native soil material using the DNeasy<sup>®</sup> PowerSoil<sup>®</sup> Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) with a maximum amount of 0.25 g per sample. DNA was extracted in triplicates following the manufacturer's protocol with one exception: DNA elution was done with PCR-grade water. Final DNA concentrations were measured with a Qubit<sup>®</sup> 2.0 Fluorometer using the Qubit<sup>TM</sup> dsDNA HS Assay Kit (both Invitrogen Life Technologies, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Carlsbad CA, USA). DNA extracts were stored at –20 °C until further processing.

Gene copy numbers of bacteria, archaea, and fungi were determined by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). 16S rRNA genes were amplified by using the bacterial primer pair Eub341F (5'-CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG-3') and Eub534R (5'-ATT ACC G CG GCT GCT GG-3') (Muyzer *et al.*, 1993) and the archaeal primer pair 340F (5'-CCC TAC GGG GYG CAS CAG-3') and 1000R (5'-GGC CAT GCA CYW CYT CTC-3') (Gartner *et al.*, 2011). 28S rRNA genes were amplified by using the fungal primer pair NL1F (5'-ATA TCA AAT AAG CGG AGG AAA AG-3') and LS2R (5'-ATT CCC AAA CAA CTC GAC TC-3') (Bates and Garcia-Pichel, 2009). The qPCR assay was carried out in a total reaction volume of 20 µL using the KAPA SYBR<sup>®</sup> FAST qPCR Kit Master Mix (2x) Universal (Kapa Biosystems, Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Quantification was performed in the CFX96 Connect<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA) with the following cycling program for bacteria/ archaea/ fungi: initial denaturation at 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40/ 45/ 45 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 3 s, annealing at 60 °C/ 57 °C/ 50 °C for 20 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. Fluorescence was measured at 80 °C. The melting curve was recorded by rising temperature from 65 °C to 95 °C. DNA extracts from Pan de Azúcar were added undiluted, samples from La Campana and Santa Gracia were used in 1:100 dilutions, as well as samples from Nahuelbuta with one exception: constant moisture samples were used in 1:10 dilutions. Every qPCR run included blanks, calibration standards, and soil samples in triplicates. The reaction efficiency ranged between 96.3 % to 103.9 %. Data were analyzed using the CFX Manager<sup>TM</sup> Software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, CA, USA).

#### D.2.5 Illumina MiSeq amplicon sequencing of bacteria and archaea

PCR products were prepared for Illumina MiSeq high-throughput sequencing of bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA genes. Unique primer combinations of barcode tagged 515F (5'-GTG CCA GCM GCC GCG GTA A-3') and 806R (5'-GGA CTA CGV GGG TWT CTA AT-3') primers were assigned to each sample (Caporaso *et al.*, 2012). For each genomic DNA sample, two technical replicates with different barcodes were amplified by PCR and sequenced. For a detailed description of the library preparation, the reader is referred to Moskwa *et al.* (2020).

## D.2.6 Bioinformatics and statistical analysis

Sequencing was performed at Eurofins Genomics (Konstanz, Germany) on an Illumina MiSeq generating  $2 \times 300$  bp paired-end reads. The sequencing library was demultiplexed using cutadapt v3.4 (Martin, 2011) using the following parameters: `-e 0.2 -q 15,15 -m 150 -discard-untrimmed`. The ASVs were generated using trimmed reads and the DADA2 package v1.20 (Callahan *et al.*, 2016) with R v4.1 using the pooled approach with the following parameters: `truncLen=c(240,200)`, `maxN=0`, `rm.phix=TRUE`, `minLen=200`. Taxonomic assignment was done using DADA2 and the SILVA database v138 (Quast *et al.*, 2012). Subsequently, ASVs representing chloroplasts, mitochondria and singletons were removed.


Prior to statistical analysis, absolute read counts were transformed into relative abundances. The similarity of duplicate samples was evaluated visually in a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) plot before duplicates were merged for microbial community analysis. The microbial community structure and diversity as well as its relation to the soil properties was assessed using the Past 4.09 software (Hammer *et al.*, 2001). Beta diversity of the microbial communities and possible associations between community structure and environmental variables were assessed in a Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA) ordination plot using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Soil edaphic properties were normalized using z-score transformation. Principal component analyses (PCA) based on Euclidean distance were used to assess the variation of edaphic parameters across the four study sites and to test for variation between native and sterile soil material of each site. The significance of variation between native and sterile soil material was tested using one-way PerMANOVA. Pearson and Spearman correlation were used to evaluate associations of the abundance of microbial taxa on different taxonomic levels with the edaphic parameters. Differences of soil aggregate, SOC and TN content as well C/N ratio between native and sterile soil material were assessed using ANOVA. Differences in the family level community composition were tested using the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney post-hoc tests. The FAPROTAX database (Louca *et al.*, 2016) was used to predict functions from the ASV-level taxonomy.

## D.2.7 Data deposition

Sequencing raw data are publicly available via the European Nucleotide Archive under the Project accession number PRJEB72146: <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/view/PRJEB72146>. All data used in this study are freely available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) open access license at GFZ data services. All samples are identified via a unique IGSN (international geo sample number). These data are available as a supplementary dataset in Bernhard *et al.* (2018b) with the following DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5880/GFZ.5.3.2018.001>. When using the data please cite: Bernhard *et al.* (2018a).

## D.3 Results

### D.3.1 Soil properties



**Figure D.2:** Soil properties in native soils (+) and sterilized soils (-) of different climates. A) Aggregate size distribution. B) SOC content, C) TN content, and D) C/N ratio per aggregate class. MAC, macroaggregate fraction 250 µm to 2000 µm; MIC, microaggregate fraction 20 µm to 250 µm; SC, silt/clay fraction <20 µm. Significant differences between native and sterilized soils are indicated by asterisks (\*  $p < 0.05$ ; \*\*  $p < 0.01$ ).

Macroaggregates (MAC), microaggregates (MIC) and the silt/clay (SC) classes were differently distributed in arid, semi-arid, mediterranean and humid soils (?). Less developed soils from arid and semi-arid climate conditions were characterized by the lowest content of MAC ranging around 60 % to 65 %. Semi-arid soils displayed a higher MIC content and a lower SC content compared to arid soils. More developed soils from the mediterranean and humid climates were characterized by the highest abundance of MAC with nearly 80 % in mediterranean soils and more than 90 % in humid soils. Accordingly, the proportion of MIC was low in mediterranean soils (~20 %) and lowest


in humid soils (<20 %). Moreover, SC was hardly present in mediterranean and humid soils (~2 %).

In native soils, including the indigenous microbial communities MAC contents decreased in arid, semi-arid and mediterranean soils, but increased in humid soils. MIC contents remained stable in arid soils, increased in semi-arid and mediterranean soils, but decreased in humid soils. The SC class slightly increased in arid soils, remained stable in semi-arid and mediterranean soils, and decreased in humid soils.

The SOC and TN (??) contents were lowest in the less developed soils and increased with soil development along the climate gradient, with the lowest SOC (~1 %) and TN values (~0.1 %) in arid soils and highest values in humid soils (~10 % and ~0.5 %). In each soil, the SOC and TN contents were lowest within the MAC class and highest within the SC class. The C/N ratios (??) were highest in arid soils. Lowest values were observed in semi-arid soils with an increasing trend over mediterranean to humid soils. Within each soil, the highest C/N ratios can be observed within MAC, followed by SC and MIC.

The aggregate stability (MWD) was lowest in arid and semi-arid soils, increased in mediterranean soils and peaked in humid soils (??).


A principal component analysis (PCA) including soil aggregate size classes, aggregate stability (MWD), SOC, TOC and TN content as well as the C/N determined during repeated W-D cycles (??) revealed similar soil properties in native and sterilized soils from the same climatic condition. The separation of soils along the x-axis, explaining 66.0 % of the variance, was in accordance with the climate gradient from arid to humid conditions. The difference between arid and semi-arid soils was mainly visible on the y-axis, explaining 27.6 % of the variance. In addition, native and sterilized soils from arid conditions were separated along the y-axis.



**Figure D.3:** Soil properties and microbial community structure in soils of different climates. A) Principal Component Analysis of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including MWD, TOC, soil aggregates, SOC, TN and C/N per aggregate size class. B) Canonical correspondence analysis of the ASV composition including soil properties: MWD, aggregate class (MAC, MIC, SC) and the respective C/N ratio, SOC and TN content. R0-R6; number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture. Colors represent different climatic conditions.

### D.3.2 Microbial influence on soil properties

Differences between native and sterilized soils were further evaluated in separate PCA ordinations, showing significant differences between native soils and sterile controls not only in arid ( $p = 0.005$ ), but also in semi-arid ( $p = 0.008$ ) and mediterranean soils ( $p = 0.02$ ) (??, ??). At these three sites, the native samples with microorganisms before W-D treatment (□R0) were similar to all sterile controls (○R0–R6, CM) and were thus referred to as microbially not (yet) influenced. After at least 1 W-D cycle, microbial samples clustered apart from the sterile controls and were thus claimed to be microbially influenced. The difference between microbially influenced and not influenced samples appeared mainly along the x-axis of the plots, which explained the highest variance. In arid soils, the microbially influenced soils were characterized by higher C/N ratios in all aggregate classes (??). In semi-arid and mediterranean soils, soil aggregate sizes in microbially influenced soils were characterized by higher levels of MIC and C/N<sub>SC</sub>, and lower levels of MAC compared to the sterile controls (??). Microbially influenced humid soils were not significantly different from sterile soils. However, the majority of microbially influenced soils was characterized by higher MAC and MWD, while sterile soils had higher MIC contents (??).




**Figure D.4:** Principal Component Analyses of soil properties in native soils (squares) and sterilized soils (circles) including significant soil properties. A) arid, B) semi-arid, C) mediterranean and D) humid site. Microbial influence is indicated by sample separation along the x-axis.

### D.3.3 Microbial abundance

Based on 16S and 28S rRNA gene copy numbers, bacteria were most abundant among all four climate conditions, followed by archaea and fungi (??). Only in humid soils, fungi were slightly more abundant than archaea and possessed highest abundances among soils. The lowest abundances of bacteria, archaea and fungi were observed in arid soils.

Still, they increased over the course of the experiment with a peak after 3 cycles and maximum values under constant moisture. Semi-arid and mediterranean soils were characterized by highest abundances that remained relatively constant during the W-D cycles and constant moisture. In humid soils, bacterial and archaeal abundances were stable and fungal gene copies increased after 3 W-D cycles. However, all gene abundances of humid soils were lowest in soils exposed to constant moisture.

Linear (Pearson) and non-linear (Spearman) correlation analyses (??) revealed that bacterial and archaeal abundances were positively correlated with each other. Both were inversely correlated with the C/N ratios in each soil class. On the contrary, the fungal abundance was positively correlated with MAC and MWD, while being inversely correlated with MIC and the S/C class. Furthermore, fungi were positively correlated with the SOC and TN contents in each aggregate class.



**Figure D.5:** Prokaryotic and fungal abundance as well as prokaryotic diversity in soil material from different climates. A) 16S and 28S rRNA gene abundances of bacteria, archaea and fungi. Bacterial and archaeal diversity based on B) Shannon and C) Chao-1 diversity indices. R0 - R6, number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture

### **D.3.4 Bacterial and archaeal diversity**

Similar to their abundance, bacterial and archaeal diversity based on the alpha-diversity indices Chao-1 and Shannon ( $H$ ) was highest in semi-arid soils from Santa Gracia (median  $H = 7.4$ ) and in mediterranean soils of La Campana ( $H = 7.5$ ) with maximum diversity indices in mediterranean soils (??). Although lowest microbial abundances were observed in arid soils, the bacterial and archaeal diversity was lowest in humid soils from Nahuelbuta ( $H = 6.0$ ), followed by arid soils from Pan de Azúcar ( $H = 6.6$ ). In arid and semi-arid soils, the diversity according to Chao-1 increased after one W-D cycle and decreased afterwards. Contrary, mediterranean and humid soils were characterized by an increasing diversity during W-D cycles, but possessed a low diversity in the sample exposed to constant moisture. Like the bacterial and archaeal abundance, the number of taxa and the diversity were negatively correlated with the C/N ratios in all soil classes (??).

### **D.3.5 Microbial community structure and composition**

Like the soil edaphic properties, the microbial communities clustered according to the climatic condition of each study site (??). In semi-arid and mediterranean soils, microbial communities did not significantly change during the treatment. Both communities were similar and clustered apart from those of arid and humid soils along CCA 2. While microbial communities of the semi-arid and mediterranean soils were similar (along CCA 1), soil parameters of the respective sites differed significantly (along PC 1). In contrast, microbial communities in humid soils displayed an increasing variance along the y-axis (explaining 24.3 % of the variance) with increasing number of W-D cycles and under constant moisture. Similarly, the microbial communities of R6 and CM in arid soils varied from R0–R3 along the x-axis (explaining 26.5 %).

The microbial community composition was assessed by MiSeq amplicon sequencing. After quality check and filtering (including removing reads of the negative control) the dataset comprised 1.2 million reads across  $\sim 11\,000$  amplicon sequencing variants (ASVs). From this  $\sim 98.1$  % of the reads were assigned to bacteria and 1.9 % to archaea. After taxonomic classification, 828 putative genera (807 for bacteria, 21 for archaea) were obtained. Based on phylogenetic classification, ASVs could be assigned to the ten dominant prokaryotic phyla Proteobacteria (24.8 % of total ASVs), Actinobacteriota (22.9 %), Acidobacteriota (11.9 %), Chloroflexi (8.7 %), Planctomycetota (8.5 %), Bacteroidota (5.1 %), Gemmatimonadota (4.8 %), Verrucomicrobiota (3.6 %), Firmicutes (2.3 %) and Crenarchaeota (1.8 %) (??).

The bacterial communities of all four sites comprised 63 families and 18 unknown orders (??, ??). Of these families, 32 possessed almost or more than 2 % mean relative abundance per site. Some were abundant ( $>2$  %) only at one site, while others were found in soils from several climatic conditions. Euzebyaceae, Pseudonocardiaceae,

Caldilineaceae, AKYG1722 and JG30-KF-CM45 (Thermomicrobiales), Trueperaceae, Longimicrobiaceae, Pirellulaceae and unclassified Rhizobiales were abundant exclusively in arid soils from Pan de Azúcar. Nitrososphaeraceae, Geodermatophilaceae, Micromonosporaceae and Beijerinckiaceae were abundant in the semi-arid soils of Santa Gracia. Vicinamibacteraceae and unclassified Vicinamibacterales were found to be abundant in mediterranean soils of La Campana. In contrast, Subgroup 1 of Acidobacteriales, Acidothermaceae, Ktedonobacteraceae, Gemmataceae and unclassified Elsterales were abundant only in the humid soils of Nahuelbuta.



**Figure D.6:** Relative abundance of prokaryotic families in soil material from different climates and after different soil treatments. R0-R6; number of W-D repetitions; CM, constant moisture. Each bubble represents the mean relative abundance of technical replicates.

### D.3.6 Predicted microbial functions

Almost 11 000 ASVs were assigned to 45 ecologically relevant functions using FAPROTAX, with 24 functions of significant abundance (cumulative abundance >1 % across sites). In general, those predicted functions were related to organic matter degradation (chemoheterotrophy, fermentation, cellulolysis) phototrophy (anoxygenic photoautotrophy, photoautotrophy, photoheterotrophy and phototrophy), the nitrogen cycle (denitrification, nitrate denitrification, nitrate reduction, nitrate respiration, nitrification, nitrite denitrification, nitrite respiration, nitrogen fixation, nitrogen respiration, nitrous oxide denitrification) and degradation processes such as aromatic compound and hydrocarbon degradation as well as ureolysis. The most abundant predicted functions were related to chemoheterotrophy and aerobic chemoheterotrophy (??). In arid soils, additionally nitrate reduction (4 % to 7.5 %), nitrification and aerobic ammonia oxidation (0.5 % to 3.1 %) were enhanced (??). Some predicted functions decreased after the first W-D cycle (aerobic heterotrophy, chemoheterotrophy, manganese oxidation, nitrate reduction, nitrate respiration and nitrogen respiration), while others were enhanced after 1 and/or 3 W-D cycles (phototrophy, aromatic compound degradation, cellulolysis, ureolysis and many nitrogen cycle-related functions such as denitrification, hydrocarbon degradation, denitrification, nitrite respiration, nitrogen fixation, nitrous oxide denitrification) (??). In semi-arid soils, again nitrate reduction (2.4 % to 3.5 %), nitrification (3.1 % to 4.6 %) and aerobic ammonia oxidation (3.0 % to 5.8 %) were enhanced following heterotrophy (??). Despite low abundances, many predicted functions were increased after 3 W-D cycles and most of them also in constantly moist soils. Similar observations were made in mediterranean soils. Humid soils were characterized by an enhanced abundance of ureolysis with an increasing trend, and cellulolysis as well as nitrogen fixation, with a decreasing trend during W-D treatment (??). The decreasing abundance was observed also for several other functions, while aerobic ammonia oxidation, fermentation, nitrification, phototrophy and photoheterotrophy were most abundant under constant moisture conditions (??).

### D.3.7 Correlation of microbial taxa with soil properties

Correlation analyses of prokaryotic families and soil parameters revealed significant linear correlations (Pearson correlation;  $p < 0.05$ ) of the edaphic parameters with 29 bacterial families in arid soils, with 26 in semi-arid soils, with 24 in mediterranean soils and with 43 families in humid soils (??–??). In arid soils, significant correlations of microbial families such as Holophagae subgroup 7, Acidimicrobiia, Frankiales, Pseudonocardiaceae, Solirubrobacteraceae, Trueperaceae, Caulobacteraceae, Rhodobacteraceae and Comamonadaceae were mainly observed with the C/N ratio. In semi-arid soils, significant correlations were primarily observed between the changing MAC and MIC content and families like Acidobacteria subgroup 2, Holophagae subgroup 7, Euzebyaceae,

Caldilineaceae, Thermomicrobiales JG30-KF-CM45, Trueperaceae, Alicyclobacillaceae, Planococcaceae, Reyranellaceae, Comamonadaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae and WPS-2. In mediterranean soils, many correlations of bacterial families were observed with the aggregates, SOC<sub>SC</sub> and TN<sub>MIC</sub>. Thermomicrobiales JG30-KF-CM45, Dongiaceae, Rhizobiales and Burkholderiales TRA3-20 were related with the aggregate content, while Acidimicrobiia IMCC26256, Micrococcaceae, Cyclobacteriaceae, Ardentatenaceae, Reyranellaceae, Rhizobiales and Burkholderiales were related with the SOC and TN content. In humid soils, numerous bacterial families were positively correlated with the duration of moisture application i.e. the number of W-D cycles or the duration of constant moisture in days. Families related with the soil moisture were affiliated with Nitrososphaeraceae (Crenarchaeota), Blastocatellaceae, Pyrinomonadaceae, Vicinamibacteraceae, Euzebyaceae (Acidobacteriota), Nocardoidaceae, Rubrobacteriaceae, Gaiellales, Solirubrobacterales (Actinobacteriota), Cytophagales (Bacteroidota), Ardentatenaceae, Caldilineaceae, Thermomicrobiales AKYG1722 and JG30-KF-CM45, KD4-96, Ktedonobakterales JG30-KF-AS9, Ktedonobacteraceae, Chloroflexi TK10 (Chloroflexi), Trueperaceae (Deinococcota), Planococcaceae, Paenibacillaceae (Firmicutes), Gemmatimonadota BD2-11 terrestrial group, Gemmatimonadaceae, Longimicrobiaceae (Gemmatimonadota), Myxococcaceae, Polyangiaceae (Myxococcota), Rhizobiales, Rhodomicrobiaceae, Nitrosomonadaceae, Oxalobacteraceae (Proteobacteria), Pedosphaeraceae (Verrucomicrobiota) and WPS-2.

## D.4 Discussion

In this study, we explored the combined effect of abiotic (water) and biotic (microbial activity) factors on the formation and persistence of soil aggregates. Studying sterilized in parallel to native soil materials allowed us to determine the biotic, i.e. microbial contributions to the formation and stabilization of soil aggregates in comparison to abiotic processes.

The four study sites along the Chilean Coastal Cordillera comprised soils ranging from poorly developed soils such as Regosol in the arid north to more developed soils such as Cambisols (semi-arid and mediterranean study sites) and to Umbrisols in the humid south. The soils were characterized by increasing clay, soil organic matter, soil moisture contents and soil acidity from north to south (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b) (??) and, thus provide different initial conditions for studying the microbial impact on soil aggregation and stabilization.

The level of soil development was not only indicated by the distribution of soil aggregate size classes but also by organic C and N contents of the particular soil aggregate classes. Overall, lowest SOC and TN contents were observed in the MAC fraction. They were higher in MIC and highest in the SC fraction. Clay and silt are known to build strong relations with stable humic substances (Bouajila *et al.*, 2021) and protect

them against decomposition by microbial activity. Across sites, arid soils were characterized by maximum C/N ratios, typical for soil systems with a high amount of recalcitrant organic matter that is decomposed very slowly. Although, MIC are characterized by having increased amounts of biochemically recalcitrant C (i.e. compounds with a higher C/N ratio) (Elliott, 1986; Kravchenko *et al.*, 2015), we observed highest C/N ratios in the MAC fractions of all four soil types. In accordance with previous work (Kuramae *et al.*, 2012), the C/N ratio appeared to be a strong driver of the microbial community composition (??) as well as of abundance and diversity (?? and ??).

#### D.4.1 Microbial influence on soil aggregation

Wetting and drying of soil material from the arid site led to significant differences in the soil edaphic properties between sterile and microbially influenced soil materials (??). The microbially influenced soil material was characterized by increased C/N ratios in all soil aggregate classes compared to sterile soil material, with the highest C/N ratios in MAC (?? & ??). Increased C/N ratios indicate accelerated microbial activity and labile soil organic matter (SOM) turnover in all aggregate classes. This is corroborated by an increase in microbial abundance with increasing number of W-D cycles. In addition, W-D of native soil material was accompanied by a loss of MAC, a slight loss of SOC<sub>MAC</sub> and N<sub>MAC</sub> but a gain of MIC, a slight gain of SOC<sub>MIC</sub> and SC compared to sterile soil material (??). The microbial decomposition of aggregate-associated OM might lead to aggregate disintegration and thus initiate aggregate turnover (Six *et al.*, 2000). On the other hand, disruption of MAC may have enhanced OM mineralization (Goebel *et al.*, 2009; Mueller *et al.*, 2012). Despite an increasing abundance of fungi, which usually contribute to the formation and stabilization of MAC due to mycorrhizal mycelia (Chotte, 2005; Lehmann *et al.*, 2017), W-D of native arid soil material promoted the breakdown of MAC, liberating MIC and SC. Our results support the findings that MAC are less stable than MIC (Six *et al.*, 2004; Tisdall and Oades, 1982) and that W-D decrease MAC stability (Lehrs *et al.*, 1991; Mulla *et al.*, 1992; Zhang *et al.*, 2022). The potentially decreasing MAC stability in addition to the loss of MAC led to a slight decrease of the aggregate stability (MWD, ??). As MAC are assumed to contain more labile SOM than MIC (Elliott, 1986), their disintegration may have fueled the release of locked labile organic matter, raising microbial respiration from the decomposition (Najera *et al.*, 2020) and resulting in the increased C/N ratio. W-D processes are a significant driver for reorienting aggregate building units and developing a three-dimensional soil structure (Chenu and Cosentino, 2011). In our soil manipulation experiment, the decreasing aggregate stability may be a result of the absence of plant roots, which usually promote MAC formation and thus act as drivers of soil aggregate stability and organic carbon accumulation (Blankinship *et al.*, 2016; Erktan *et al.*, 2016; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2024).

In soil materials from the semi-arid and mediterranean sites, highest bacterial and ar-

chaeal abundances as well as diversity (??–??) were inversely related with lowest C/N values across sites. Soils with low C/N values are usually characterized by having higher concentrations of labile organic matter that is more easily decomposed (Kuramae *et al.*, 2012) and can provide a favorable environment for microorganisms to thrive. Despite differences in soil aggregate distributions as well as SOC and TN contents (?? & ??), both, semi-arid and mediterranean soils were classified as Cambisols (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b) and we observed a similar microbial impact on the soil properties. MIC and TN contents were higher in microbially influenced soil materials compared to the sterile soil material (??). Particularly in semi-arid soil material, the high MIC content was related to the microbial diversity and the high microbial abundance, corroborating that MIC formation and stabilization is mainly caused by microbial-derived binding agents (Six *et al.*, 2004). The gain of MIC and the associated loss of MAC compared to sterile soil material was more pronounced in semi-arid than in mediterranean soil material, resulting in a slight but not significant change of aggregate stability ( $p = 0.08$  in semi-arid,  $p = 0.06$  in mediterranean soil) (??). While the aggregate stability slightly decreased in semi-arid soils, it slightly increased in mediterranean soil material. In the latter, the gain of MIC could be partially the result of MIC formation from SC, as its content decreased. In addition, the mediterranean soil material was characterized by a generally higher MAC content and a slightly higher fungal abundance, which potentially stabilized MAC and supported MIC formation, leading to the elevated aggregate stability (Chotte, 2005). Soils exposed to alternating moisture conditions, like the mediterranean soils which originate from a climatically unstable ecosystem (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), were suggested to have a stronger resilience against temporary variations in these conditions than soils that are rarely water saturated (Totsche *et al.*, 2018). The elevated sand content in semi-arid and mediterranean soil material from Santa Gracia and La Campana (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b) may have restricted the impact of bacteria and archaea on the soil aggregates, as in coarser textured sandy soils aggregation is less related to microbial biomass and products (Degens and Sparling, 1996). However, the hyphal network of fungi, which were found to be more abundant in semi-arid and mediterranean soil material, is able to cross-link the abundant sand particles to form stable aggregates (Six *et al.*, 2004). Respectively, they were significantly positive correlated with aggregate stability and SOC, TN and C/N ratio (??).

Along with the loss of MAC in microbially influenced semi-arid soil material (??), the SOC and TN content in the MIC and the SC fraction increased compared to sterile soil material (??, ??) indicating a release of SOC and TN during the disintegration of MAC, which are usually enriched in labile carbon and nitrogen (Marx *et al.*, 2005).

In mediterranean soil material, the microbial influence was characterized by a loss of SOC and TN with the number of W-D cycles in all aggregate size fractions, indicating microbial activity. This was accompanied with a weak rise of the prokaryotic abundance and diversity. Only the TN<sub>SC</sub>, which correlated with the bacterial abundance, increased

significantly (??).

The humid soil in Nahuelbuta represents an old, developed, and stable landscape (Mucina and Wardell-Johnson, 2011). This soil material was characterized by maximum MAC, SOC and TN contents as well as the highest aggregate stability (??). Remarkably, aggregate stability was significantly higher in microbially influenced soil material ( $p = 0.02$ ). This was accompanied by maximum fungal gene abundances with an increasing trend during W-D, and a significant correlation between fungal abundance and MWD. Our observations coincide with previous studies demonstrating that fungal biomass correlated with aggregate stability (Cosentino *et al.*, 2006), fungi occur more abundantly in association with MAC (Kihara *et al.*, 2012) and that soil mycorrhizal fungi play a key role in MAC formation and stabilization (Chotte, 2005). MAC are formed due to fungal hyphae, which physically enmesh soil particles and MIC (Davinic *et al.*, 2012). Despite the generally higher MAC and lower MIC contents (??) and, thus, higher aggregate stability (??), soil parameters in microbially influenced soil material including aggregate classes, C, TN and C/N contents as well as MWD were not significantly different from sterile soil material (??). However, under constant moisture conditions we observed a significant reduction of the abundance of bacteria, archaea and fungi (??) with a concomitant increase in MAC and a loss of MIC (??). Besides the fungal abundance, aggregate stability can also be linked with the SOC content (Al-Kaisi *et al.*, 2014). Particularly under wetter conditions in bare soil, organic matter can strongly impact soil aggregation, exceeding the influence of microorganisms (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). The gain of  $\text{MAC}_{\text{SOC}}$  observed under constant moisture conditions (??) may be attributed to deceased microbial cells resulting from the drastic loss of microbial abundance. This could have contributed to the formation of MAC in microbially influenced soil material.

#### D.4.2 Microbial response to W-D cycling and key taxa of soil alteration

The microbial response to W-D cycles and its effect on soil aggregate dynamics was analyzed by means of changing gene copy abundances, diversity and a changing microbial community composition and structure in relation to soil edaphic properties.

In arid soil material, the increasing microbial abundance (including fungi) and a changing microbial diversity (????) are likely the response to the availability of water. The so called “Birch effect” promotes the breakdown of aggregates, mineralization of dead microbial cells and osmolytes, and a subsequent release of nutrients such as C (Jarvis *et al.*, 2007). Particularly in arid and semi-arid soils, this effect combined with MAC breakdown enhances bacterial reproduction and growth by releasing organic compounds (Armstrong *et al.*, 2016; Seuss *et al.*, 2022). This effect may have resulted in the observed peak of microbial abundance and diversity after 1 or 3 W-D cycles (????),

accompanied by an increase in predicted functions (??). Following 6 W-D cycles the abundance of the majority of predicted functions decreased along with the microbial abundance and diversity (??), while the community composition on ASV level slightly changed (??). W-D cycles can create dynamic shifts in microbial communities. During the wet phase, certain microbial groups, may benefit from the increased water availability, increasing the abundance and diversity of the community. Continuous W-D cycles may select for microbial taxa tolerant to desiccation stress (Evans and Wallenstein, 2012), resulting in the observed loss of diversity and reconstitution of the predicted microbial functions. Our observations are in line with previous studies demonstrating that sudden climate shifts can act as stressors that promote a reduction of diversity (Clavel *et al.*, 2011), particularly when water is rapidly and abundantly applied to hyper-arid soil (Azua-Bustos *et al.*, 2018) and frequent W-D stress selects for tolerant microbial taxa (Seuss *et al.*, 2022). Due to fewer available metabolic pathways, the loss of diversity could negatively affect the soil formation and stabilization (Bestion *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2023).

The low diversity in soil material of the arid study site, results from a high degree of bacterial specialization, which is attributed to an evolutionary response to a stable environment (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022). Despite the changing abundance, diversity and potential functions, the family-level microbial community composition was altered slightly but not significantly during the manipulation experiment (??, ??). Community composition changes can occur quickly within a single W-D cycle (Evans and Wallenstein, 2012) and, thus, may not be detectable after a completed W-D cycle. In addition, drivers of specific microbial functions can change without changing the microbial community itself.

Along with the prokaryotic abundance (??) and diversity (??), we observed significant correlations of single bacterial families with soil properties such as C/N and MIC content (??). The elevated C/N ratios in microbially influenced soil materials were inversely correlated with the abundance of Acidimicrobiia, Frankiales, Pseudonocardiaceae (3.9 %) and Solirubrobacteraceae (5.7 %) (both Actinobacteriota) as well as Caulobacteraceae (Alphaproteobacteria), some of them being exclusively abundant in arid soil material (??). Other families such as Trueperaceae (3.2 %, Deinococcota), Rhodobacteraceae (1.4 %, Alphaproteobacteria), Comamonadaceae (Gammaproteobacteria) or Holophagae subgroup 7 (Acidobacteriota) were positively correlated to the increased C/N ratios, and thus negatively with the decreased TN content, indicating their participation in a potentially accelerated microbial turnover of labile soil organic matter and increase of the microbial abundance. These families were shown to be related to the soil nitrogen content. Trueperaceae are typical representatives of bare soils and early biocrust stages in drylands (Albuquerque *et al.*, 2005; Maier *et al.*, 2018) as can be found in the biocrust-dominated desert of Pan de Azúcar (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b), and are often related to nitrogen removal (González-Blanco *et al.*, 2020). Also Rhodobac-

teraceae was related to nitrogen removal (Jia *et al.*, 2020), and its abundance seemed to be induced by an increasing C/N ratio (Guo *et al.*, 2020). In contrast, families such as Pseudonocardiaceae and Solirubrobacteraceae, known for the degradation of soil organic carbon (such as complex polysaccharides, cellulose, hemicellulose, chitin and xylan) (Broadbent *et al.*, 2022; Yeager *et al.*, 2017) were negatively correlated with the increased C/N ratio, thus, decreased in their relative abundance. Hence, an increase of the C/N ratio was potentially caused by N removal due to an increased activity of corresponding bacterial groups. Accordingly, predicted functions such as nitrogen fixation and ureolysis, both resulting in the formation of ammonium, were stimulated. This was followed by an induction of aerobic ammonia oxidation, nitrification as well as nitrate reduction (??), potentially resulting in the release of gases that can escape into the atmosphere. At the same time, the abundance of chemoheterotrophic processes, that can reduce the soil carbon content, was reduced.

In addition, W-D of native soil material promoted a loss of MAC and a gain of MIC and SC, potentially caused by the disintegration of MAC and/or by binding small MIC through microbial-derived EPS (Six *et al.*, 2004; Totsche *et al.*, 2018). Sphingomonadaceae (Alphaproteobacteriota), keystone taxa in MIC and MAC (Feng *et al.*, 2022), significantly correlated with the increased MIC content. *Sphingomonas*, the most abundant genus within the Sphingomonadaceae, has been associated to MIC formation through the excretion of EPS (Pankratov *et al.*, 2007; Vuko *et al.*, 2020), while the family has been related to the EPS-saccharide content in soil (Bettermann *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, Chitinophagaceae (Bacteriodota), which was positively correlated to the SC content, has been described as keystone taxa in SC (Feng *et al.*, 2022). Likewise, *Holophaga*, which was found to be mainly present in smaller-size fractions (Sessitsch *et al.*, 2001), potentially explains the correlation of Holophagae subgroup 7 with MIC and C/N<sub>MIC</sub>.

Contrary to the arid soil material, microbial abundance as a measure of activity was not as strongly stimulated but remained relatively stable in semi-arid and mediterranean soil material during the manipulation experiment (??). Similar to the abundance, the prokaryotic diversity and community composition (??, ??) of both soil types were comparable. A main explanation could be the similar soil acidity (Bernhard *et al.*, 2018b), which is controlled by the water balance as a result of the climate conditions (Slessarev *et al.*, 2016). In addition, W-D of both soil types caused no significant changes of the community composition ( $p = 0.8$  in semi-arid,  $p = 1$  in mediterranean soil material). Despite the consistent community composition in both soil types, W-D resulted in a microbial response that had similar effects on the soil parameters (enhanced MIC and TN<sub>SC</sub> contents, but a loss of MAC), but different effects on aggregate stability.

Similar to the arid soil material, the diversity in the semi-arid soil material slightly decreased after an initial increase. Semi-arid soils of Santa Gracia usually experience one annual moisture pulse in the winter season, suggesting that sudden and repetitive

shifts of the soil moisture could have acted as a stressor and promoted the reduction of diversity (Clavel *et al.*, 2011). A selection of more tolerant microbial taxa by frequent W-D stress and the resulting smaller number of available metabolic pathways could have negatively affected the soil formation and stabilization (Bestion *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2023) as observed by the loss of MAC and MWD. The loss of diversity, however, was less pronounced than in arid soil material. In contrast to the arid soils of Pan de Azúcar, the semi-arid soils from Santa Gracia represent a rather heterogeneous and disturbed ecosystem dominated by bacterial generalists (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022), benefiting from their metabolic flexibility to thrive in dynamic environments (Bai *et al.*, 2021; Hawkes *et al.*, 2020). Similarly, the mediterranean soils from La Campana originate from a topographically and climatically unstable ecosystem (Rodriguez *et al.*, 2022) that promotes a low number of bacterial specialists and explains the high diversity observed for both, semi-arid and mediterranean soil materials. At least during the winter season, soils in La Campana are subjected to repetitive rainfall events and periodical changes of the soil water content, resembling the conditions of this manipulation experiment (Übernickel *et al.*, 2020). Since soil material was sampled before the wet season (in March–April), W-D potentially induced a change in the prokaryotic community from drought tolerant taxa to W-D tolerant taxa, resulting in an increase in diversity and a slight increase in abundance. Although changes in semi-arid and mediterranean soil materials were insignificant, we observed an increasing relative abundance of several bacterial families with a peak after 3 W-D cycles (??), accompanied by an abundance peak of nearly all predicted metabolic functions (??).

As in sandy soils, where aggregation is suggested to be weakly related to microbial biomass and products (Degens and Sparling, 1996), we observed only a few correlations of microbial taxa with the soil aggregate distribution. In both soil materials, different bacterial families of lower relative abundance were significantly related to the changed MIC and TN<sub>SC</sub> content in microbially influenced soil material. In the semi-arid soil material, we observed positive correlations of Holophagae Subgroup 7 (1.3 %), Alicyclobacillaceae, Planococcaceae, Reyranellaceae, Comamonadaceae (1.5 %) and Nitrosomonadaceae with the increasing MIC content (??). Also in arid soil material, Holophagae Subgroup 7 and Comamonadaceae were linked to changing soil properties. Here, we suggested that Comamonadaceae have been involved in an accelerated microbial turnover of labile soil organic matter and an increase of the microbial abundance. Assuming that the gain of MIC partially results from the breakdown of MAC and a subsequent release of labile OM, their correlation with the increasing MIC content in semi-arid soil material would corroborate this assumption. In addition to MIC, Holophagae Subgroup 7, Planococcaceae, Reyranellaceae and Oxalobacteraceae (2.8 %) were positively correlated with the increased N<sub>SC</sub> content. *Massilia*, the most abundant genus of the Oxalobacteraceae, are able to produce extracellular proteases, which can depolymerize high molecular weight detrital organic N. The resulting N monomers can be taken

up by microorganisms leading to the excretion of amino acids and excess ammonium, thereby increasing the soil N content (Sieradzki *et al.*, 2023).

In mediterranean soil material, we observed fewer correlations with MIC (??). Only Thermomicrobiales JG30-KF-CM45 was positively correlated with the gain of MIC and negatively with the loss of MAC. Positive correlations with NSC were observed for Chitinophagaceae (3.6 %, Bacteriodota) and Sphingomonadaceae (3.9 %, Alphaproteobacteriota), two abundant families in mediterranean soil material. Chitinophagaceae, keystone taxa in SC (Feng *et al.*, 2022), are known for their ability to degrade long-chain polymers like chitin (Proen  a *et al.*, 2017). Chitin degradation has the potential to release nitrogen-containing compounds into the soil, contributing to the pool of available nitrogen. In addition, Sphingomonadaceae, usually related to bigger-sized soil aggregates (Feng *et al.*, 2022; Yang *et al.*, 2019), can be one of the critical nitrogen cycling families in soil (Wang *et al.*, 2020). Some species of *Sphingomonas*, besides Ellin6055, the most abundant genus within Sphingomonadaceae, are capable of nitrogen fixation (Asaf *et al.*, 2020). Consequently, the predicted functions of nitrogen fixation and ureolysis increased with W-D (??), potentially increasing the soil TN<sub>SC</sub> content to levels comparable to the humid soil material of Nahuelbuta (??).

Like diversity, the abundance of bacteria and archaea was lower in humid than in mediterranean and semi-arid soil material. Moister soil conditions reduce diversity through dispersion, connectivity, homogenization, and nutrient fluxes (?). Similar to the mediterranean soil material, the abundance and diversity increased with W-D cycles, with an abundance peak after 3 W-D cycles but decreased upon constant moisture. Soils in Nahuelbuta are exposed to repetitive rainfall events and periodical changes in the soil water content throughout the year (  bernickel *et al.*, 2020). Hence, the manipulation experiment resembled the original conditions regarding the moisture pulses. However, the soil in Nahuelbuta does not normally experience drought as in the dry phase of the manipulation experiment. W-D potentially stimulated parts of the microbial community, by raising the microbial abundance and diversity, and induced a change of the highly specialized prokaryotic community, emanating from a stable ecosystem (Rodr  guez *et al.*, 2022) to more desiccation tolerant taxa. In contrast, constant moisture resulted in a drastic loss of the fungal and prokaryotic abundances. Excess soil moisture, as a result of a high clay content, lower permeability, drainage, and thus aeration, is known to decrease the number of fungi, as they are strictly aerobic (Chotte, 2005).

Similar to the abundance, the bacterial and archaeal community response in terms of changing relative abundances of single families was significant and particularly pronounced after 3 W-D cycles and under constant moisture conditions (??). W-D led to an increasing relative abundance of Actinobacteriota (Streptomycetaceae), Firmicutes (Alicyclobacillaceae, Bacillaceae) and Proteobacteria (Burkholderiaceae, Oxalobacteraceae), but a decrease of Acidobacteriota, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetota (Gemmataceae, Isosphaeraceae) and Verrucomicrobiota (Chtoniobacteraceae, Xiphinetmatobacteraceae) (??, ??).

Actinobacteriota and Proteobacteriota are copiotrophic phyla (Finn *et al.*, 2021; Li *et al.*, 2021), i.e. they are fast growing under high nutrient availability leading to highly variable population sizes. In contrast, Acidobacteriota, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetota and Verrucomicrobiota follow an oligotrophic life-strategy. They are generally slow-growing phyla with more stable population sizes (Barnard *et al.*, 2013; ?). There is, however, little agreement on whether Firmicutes have a copiotrophic or oligotrophic lifestyle (Schostag *et al.*, 2019). In the context of rising bacterial abundances, their loss of relative abundance may have resulted from an increasing abundance of the fast growing copiotrophic phyla, while abundances of the oligotrophs remained stable. Following 6 W-D cycles the relative abundances usually recovered. However, relative abundances of Chloroflexi (Ktedonobacterales JG30-KF-AS9, Ktedonobacteraceae) and Firmicutes (Planococcaceae, Paenibacillaceae) remained high under constant moisture, but decreased in Actinobacteriota, Planctomycetota and Proteobacteria. Regarding the generally low microbial abundances in constantly moist soil material, the increased relative abundance of Chloroflexi and Firmicutes indicated moisture stress-tolerance and a stable life-strategy. Several members within the Firmicutes are capable of producing endospores, including *Paenibacillus* (Paredes *et al.*, 2005; Schostag *et al.*, 2019). Despite the reduced relative abundance of above-mentioned phyla in constant moisture conditions, a number of archaeal and bacterial families, affiliated with all abundant phyla except Planctomycetota, were positively correlated with the duration of soil moisture exposure (??). They were also related to the increased MAC content, however, only a few significantly correlated.

Our study demonstrates that during wetting and drying, various predicted microbial functions associated with chemoheterotrophy, cellulolysis, nitrogen fixation, and ureolysis showed a decline (??). This decline was most pronounced under constant soil moisture conditions, presumably due to reduced aeration. The diminished functions of chemoheterotrophy and cellulolysis suggest a deceleration in organic matter and litter decomposition processes within the soil. This metabolic slowdown, particularly in constantly moist conditions, could have contributed to an accumulation of organic matter, fostering the formation of MAC. Furthermore, reducing nitrogen fixation activities implies a decrease in introducing new nitrogen into the soil ecosystem. This shortfall may curtail nitrogen availability, impacting microbial activities reliant on nitrogen. Notably, this reduction aligns with observed decreases in microbial abundance and soil nitrogen content resulting in an increased C/N ratio.

Under constant moisture conditions, less abundant predicted functions associated with aerobic ammonia oxidation, fermentation, nitrification, and phototrophy gained increased relevance (??). The stimulation of fermentation, suggests that the lack of oxygen, caused by water saturation, is at least partially responsible for this stimulation. Nitrification and ammonia oxidation lead to the conversion of ammonia to nitrate, which is more accessible to plants and affects the soil pH due to the release of H<sup>+</sup>.

These changes can influence organic matter accumulation, nutrient availability, and soil microbial processes, ultimately impacting soil health and ecosystem functioning. The stimulation of phototrophy and photoheterotrophy points to an initiation of biological soil crust (BSC) formation, where bacteria are contributors. BSC often act as pioneer communities developing after vegetation disturbances and affecting the stability of soil aggregates. In humid conditions, their role in aggregate stability is usually masked by higher vegetation and organic matter contents in the topsoil (Riveras-Muñoz *et al.*, 2022). However, without plants, their potential impact becomes observable.

## D.5 Summary

In arid native soils, we propose the Birch-effect stimulated microbial activity. The selective consumption of labile organic matter by microbes from MAC diminished the quality of organic matter and caused the breakdown of larger soil aggregates. Conversely, neither W-D nor constant moisture notably stimulated microbial activity in semi-arid soils. However, the microbial decomposition of organic matter from MAC might have resulted in the accumulation of SOC and TN in the MIC fraction through microbial binding agents, thereby fostering MIC formation and stabilization, ultimately decreasing aggregate stability. In mediterranean native soils, microbial activity was stimulated and likely facilitated the de novo assembly of MIC from components of the SC fraction. Despite reductions in the MAC content due to W-D and constant moisture, the higher fungal abundance potentially stabilized the remaining MAC. It supported MIC formation, thus augmenting soil aggregate stability alongside microbial-mediated MIC formation. Humid soils exhibited a distinctive response to moisture manipulation. A substantial fungal presence likely played a pivotal role in significantly enhancing aggregate stability. Furthermore, prokaryotic activity was stimulated by W-D. Conversely, microbial abundance experienced a substantial decline under constant moisture due to moisture stress, yet there was a noteworthy increase in aggregate stability. We suggest that particularly under moister soil conditions, the increased carbon content, resulting from deceased microbial cells, strongly impacts soil aggregation, facilitating MAC formation and elevating aggregate stability.

## D.6 Conclusions

The composition and attributes of soil aggregates' building components and microbial communities, exhibit variation influenced by pedogenesis and prevailing climatic conditions. These variances contribute to differences in the mechanisms responsible for forming and stabilizing soil aggregates and dictate how microbial populations respond to changes in soil moisture levels. Without plant roots as binding agents, microbially

influenced soils possessing lower to moderate organic matter content and initial soil water content displayed reduced macroaggregate abundance and soil aggregate stability following W-D cycles. Higher initial soil water content enhanced the resilience of aggregates in microbially influenced soils to disruptive forces. Our findings demonstrate the influence of microorganisms in soil formation, highlighting the role of historical soil conditions in shaping responses to environmental changes. In addition, the study underscores the importance of considering the context-specific nature of soil microbial responses to moisture conditions and the need for comparative studies across different climates. This understanding is crucial for informing agricultural practices and water management strategies that are tailored to local environmental conditions, ultimately contributing to improved soil health and sustainability.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the German-Chilean field parties during the field campaign in Chile in 2016. Special thanks go to Lisa Moskwa (GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences) and Nadine Bernhard (University of Tübingen) for collecting the samples on-site and performing the manipulation experiment, to Lisa Moskwa for the molecular biology work on the soil samples and Juliana Klein (University of Tübingen) for her support during the manipulation experiment. The authors further would like to thank Alexander Bartholomäus (GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences) for the initial processing of the raw sequence data and uploading the sequence data to the NCBI platform. Special thanks go to the Chilean National Park Service (CONAF) for allowing us to work in the national parks of Pan de Azúcar, La Campana, and Nahuelbuta as well as on-site support of our research. We also thank CEAZA for facilitating access to the Reserva Natural Santa Gracia. The German Science Foundation DFG funded this study in the framework of the priority program SPP 1803 “EarthShape: Earth Surface Shaping by Biota” (Grant numbers: KU 1946/7-1, MU 3021/6-1, SCH 739/17-1, WA 1554/17-1).

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Authorship

DW, TS, PK, and CM designed the study. NRM and OS collected the samples with on-site support of RO and DW. TS and PK performed the simulation experiment and contributed the soil aggregates and MWD data. JM analyzed and illustrated the data.

JM prepared the original draft of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and contributed to the discussion and writing of the paper.



# Bibliography

- Al-Kaisi, M., Douelle, A., and Kwaw-Mensah, D. (2014). Soil microaggregate and macroaggregate decay over time and soil carbon change as influenced by different tillage systems. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, **69**, 574–580.
- Albuquerque, L., Simões, C., Nobre, M., Pino, N., Battista, J., Silva, M., Rainey, F., and Da Costa, M. (2005). Truepera radiovictrix gen. nov., sp. nov., a new radiation resistant species and the proposal of Trueperaceae fam. nov. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, **247**, 161–169.
- Amelung, W., Tang, N., Siebers, N., Aehnelt, M., Eusterhues, K., Felde, V., Guggenberger, G., Kaiser, K., Kögel-Knabner, I., Klumpp, E., Knief, C., Kruse, J., Lehndorff, E., Mikutta, R., Peth, S., Ray, N., Prechtel, A., Ritschel, T., Schweizer, S., Woche, S., Wu, B., and Totsche, K. (2023). Architecture of soil microaggregates: Advanced methodologies to explore properties and functions. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, pages 1–34.
- Amézketa, E. (1999). Soil aggregate stability: A review. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, **14**(2), 83–151.
- Armstrong, A., Valverde, A., Ramond, J.-B., Makhalanyane, T., Jansson, J., Hopkins, D., Aspray, T., Seely, M., Trindade, M., and Cowan, D. (2016). Temporal dynamics of hot desert microbial communities reveal structural and functional responses to water input. *Scientific Reports*, **6**, 38315.
- Asaf, S., Numan, M., Khan, A., and Al-Harrasi, A. (2020). Sphingomonas: from diversity and genomics to functional role in environmental remediation and plant growth. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, **40**, 138–152.
- Azua-Bustos, A., Fairen, A., Gonzalez-Silva, C., Ascaso, C., Carrizo, D., Fernandez-Martinez, M., Fernandez-Sampedro, M., Garcia-Descalzo, L., Garcia-Villadangos, M., Martin-Redondo, M., Sanchez-Garcia, L., Wierzchos, J., and Parro, V. (2018). Unprecedented rains decimate surface microbial communities in the hyperarid core of the Atacama Desert. *Scientific Reports*, **8**, 16706.
- Bai, F., Chen, X., Huang, J., Lu, Y., Dong, H., Wu, Y., Song, S., Yu, J., Bai, S., Chen, Z., Yang, T., and Peng, X. (2021). Microbial biofilms on a giant monolithic statue of Bud-

## Bibliography

---

- dha: The symbiosis of microorganisms and mosses and implications for bioweathering. *International Biodeterioration Biodegradation*, **156**, 105106.
- Bailey, V., McCue, L., Fansler, S., Boyanov, M., DeCarlo, F., Kemner, K., and Konopka, A. (2013). Micrometer-scale physical structure and microbial composition of soil macroaggregates. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **65**, 60–68.
- Barnard, R., Osborne, C., and Firestone, M. (2013). Responses of soil bacterial and fungal communities to extreme desiccation and rewetting. *The ISME Journal*, **7**, 2229–2241.
- Bates, S. and Garcia-Pichel, F. (2009). A culture-independent study of free-living fungi in biological soil crusts of the Colorado Plateau: Their diversity and relative contribution to microbial biomass. *Environmental Microbiology*, **11**, 56–67.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R., Aburto, F., Bader, M., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., Brucker, E., Büdel, B., Canessa, R., Dippold, M., Ehlers, T., Fuentes, J., Godoy, R., Jung, P., Karsten, U., Köster, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Neidhardt, H., Matus, F., Mueller, C., Oelmann, Y., Osse, R., Osses, P., Paulino, L., Samolov, E., Schaller, M., Schmid, M., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Stock, S., Stroncik, N., Tielbörger, K., Übernickel, K., Scholten, T., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Kühn, P. (2018a). Data supplement to “Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile.”. GFZ Data Services.
- Bernhard, N., Moskwa, L., Schmidt, K., Oeser, R., Aburto, F., Bader, M., Baumann, K., von Blanckenburg, F., Boy, J., van den Brink, L., Brucker, E., Büdel, B., Canessa, R., Dippold, M., Ehlers, T., Fuentes, J., Godoy, R., Jung, P., Karsten, U., Köster, M., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Neidhardt, H., Matus, F., Mueller, C., Oelmann, Y., Osse, R., Osses, P., Paulino, L., Samolov, E., Schaller, M., Schmid, M., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Stock, S., Stroncik, N., Tielbörger, K., Übernickel, K., Scholten, T., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Kühn, P. (2018b). Pedogenic and microbial interrelations to regional climate and local topography: New insights from a climate gradient (arid to humid) along the Coastal Cordillera of Chile. *Catena*, **170**, 335–355.
- Bestion, E., Barton, S., García, F., Warfield, R., and Yvon-Durocher, G. (2020). Abrupt declines in marine phytoplankton production driven by warming and biodiversity loss in a microcosm experiment. *Ecology Letters*, **23**, 457–466.
- Bettermann, A., Zethof, J., Babin, D., Cammeraat, E., Solé-Benet, A., Lázaro, R., Luna, L., Nesme, J., Sørensen, S., Kalbitz, K., Smalla, K., and Vogel, C. (2021). Importance of microbial communities at the root-soil interface for extracellular polymeric

- substances and soil aggregation in semiarid grasslands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **159**, 108301.
- Biesgen, D., Frindte, K., Maarastawi, S., and Knief, C. (2020). Clay content modulates differences in bacterial community structure in soil aggregates of different size. *Geoderma*, **376**, 114544.
- Blankinship, J., Fonte, S., Six, J., and Schimel, J. (2016). Plant versus microbial controls on soil aggregate stability in a seasonally dry ecosystem. *Geoderma*, **272**, 39–50.
- Bouajila, A., Omar, Z., and Magherbi, G. (2021). Soil aggregation, aggregate-associated organic carbon, and total nitrogen under different land use in Regosols of coastal arid lands in Gabes, Tunisia. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*, **14**, 1–12.
- Bravo, A., Zopfi, J., Buck, M., Xu, J., Bertilsson, S., Schaefer, J., Pote, J., and Cosio, C. (2018). Geobacteraceae are important members of mercury- methylating microbial communities of sediments impacted by waste water releases. *The ISME Journal*, **12**, 802–812.
- Brehm, U., Gorbushina, A., and Mottershead, D. (2005). The role of microorganisms and biofilms in the breakdown and dissolution of quartz and glass. In *Geobiology: Objectives, Concepts, Perspectives*. Elsevier B.V.
- Broadbent, A., Bahn, M., Pritchard, W., Newbold, L., Goodall, T., Guinta, A., Snell, H., Cordero, I., Michas, A., Grant, H., Soto, D., Kaufmann, R., Schloter, M., Griffiths, R., and Bardgett, R. (2022). Shrub expansion modulates belowground impacts of changing snow conditions in alpine grasslands. *Ecology Letters*, **25**, 52–64.
- Callahan, B., McMurdie, P., Rosen, M., Han, A., Johnson, A., and Holmes, S. (2016). DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nature Methods*, **13**, 581–583.
- Caporaso, J., Lauber, C., Walters, W., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., Owens, S., Betley, J., Fraser, L., Bauer, M., Gormley, N., Gilbert, J., Smith, G., and Knight, R. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *The ISME Journal*, **6**, 1621–1624.
- Chenu, C. and Cosentino, D. (2011). Microbial regulation of soil structural dynamics. In K. Ritz and I. Young, editors, *The Architecture and Biology of Soils: Life in Inner Space*, pages 37–70. CABI, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Chotte, J.-L. (2005). Importance of microorganisms for soil aggregation. In F. Buscot and A. Varma, editors, *Microorganisms in Soils: Roles in Genesis and Functions*, pages 107–119. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York.

## Bibliography

---

- Clavel, J., Julliard, R., and Devictor, V. (2011). Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward a global functional homogenization? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **9**, 222–228.
- Cosentino, D., Chenu, C., and Le Bissonnais, Y. (2006). Aggregate stability and microbial community dynamics under drying-wetting cycles in a silt loam soil. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **38**, 2053–2062.
- Crawford, J., Deacon, L., Grinev, D., Harris, J., Ritz, K., Singh, B., and Young, I. (2012). Microbial diversity affects self-organization of the soil-microbe system with consequences for function. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, **9**, 1302–1310.
- Davinic, M., Fultz, L., Acosta-Martinez, V., Calderón, F., Cox, S., Dowd, S., Allen, V., Zak, J., and Moore-Kucera, J. (2012). Pyrosequencing and mid-infrared spectroscopy reveal distinct aggregate stratification of soil bacterial communities and organic matter composition. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **46**, 63–72.
- Degens, B. and Sparling, G. (1996). Changes in aggregation do not correspond with changes in labile organic c fractions in soil amended with  $^{14}\text{C}$ -glucose. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **28**, 453–462.
- Dietrich, W. and Perron, J. (2006). The search for a topographic signature of life. *Nature*, **439**, 411–418.
- Ebrahimi, A. and Or, D. (2016). Microbial community dynamics in soil aggregates shape biogeochemical gas fluxes from soil profiles—upscale an aggregate biophysical model. *Global Change Biology*, **22**, 3141–3156.
- Elliott, E. (1986). Aggregate structure and carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus in native and cultivated soils. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **50**(3), 627–633.
- Erktan, A., Cécillon, L., Graf, F., Roumet, C., Legout, C., and Rey, F. (2016). Increase in soil aggregate stability along a Mediterranean successional gradient in severely eroded gully bed ecosystems: combined effects of soil, root traits and plant community characteristics. *Plant and Soil*, **398**, 121–137.
- Evans, S. and Wallenstein, M. (2012). Soil microbial community response to drying and rewetting stress: Does historical precipitation regime matter? *Biogeochemistry*, **109**, 101–116.
- Ewing, S., Sutter, B., Owen, J., Nishiizumi, K., Sharp, W., Cliff, S., Perry, K., Dietrich, W., McKay, C., and Amundson, R. (2006). A threshold in soil formation at Earth's arid–hyperarid transition. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, **70**, 5293–5322.

- Feng, H., Pan, H., Li, C., and Zhuge, Y. (2022). Microscale heterogeneity of soil bacterial communities under long-term fertilizations in fluvo-aquic soils. *Soil Ecology Letters*, **4**, 337–347.
- Finn, D., Bergk-Pinto, B., Hazard, C., Nicol, G., Tebbe, C., and Vogel, T. (2021). Functional trait relationships demonstrate life strategies in terrestrial prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **97**, fiab068.
- Fox, A., Ikoyi, I., Torres-Sallan, G., Lanigan, G., Schmalenberger, A., Wakelin, S., and Creamer, R. (2018). The influence of aggregate size fraction and horizon position on microbial community composition. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **127**, 19–29.
- Gadd, G. (2010). Metals, minerals and microbes: Geomicrobiology and bioremediation. *Microbiology*, **156**, 609–643.
- Gantner, S., Andersson, A., Alonso-Sáez, L., and Bertilsson, S. (2011). Novel primers for 16s rRNA-based archaeal community analyses in environmental samples. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, **84**, 12–18.
- Goebel, M.-O., Woche, S., and Bachmann, J. (2009). Do soil aggregates really protect encapsulated organic matter against microbial decomposition? *Biologia (Bratislava)*, **64**, 443–448.
- González-Blanco, G., Pérez-Pérez, V., Orozco-Villafuerte, J., Aguirre-Garrido, J., Beristain-Cardoso, R., and Buendía-González, L. (2020). Kinetics and microbial structure of nitrogen cycle bacteria contained in the rhizosphere of natural wetland polluted with chromium. *Revista Mexicana de Ingenieria Química*, **19**, 543–553.
- Gorbushina, A. (2007). Life on the rocks. *Environmental Microbiology*, **9**, 1613–1631.
- Guo, H., Huang, L., Hu, S., Chen, C., Huang, X., Liu, W., Wang, S., Zhu, Y., Zhao, Y., and Zhang, D. (2020). Effects of carbon/nitrogen ratio on growth, intestinal microbiota and metabolome of shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **11**, 652.
- Gupta, V. and Germida, J. (2015). Soil aggregation: Influence on microbial biomass and implications for biological processes. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **80**, A3–A9.
- Hammer, , Harper, D., and Ryan, P. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, **4**(1), 1–9.
- Hawkes, C., Shinada, M., and Kivlin, S. (2020). Historical climate legacies on soil respiration persist despite extreme changes in rainfall. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **143**, 107752.

## Bibliography

---

- Jarvis, P., Rey, A., Petsikos, C., Wingate, L., Rayment, M., Pereira, J., Banza, J., David, J., Miglietta, F., and Borghetti, M. (2007). Drying and wetting of Mediterranean soils stimulates decomposition and carbon dioxide emission: the “Birch effect.”. *Tree Physiology*, **27**, 929–940.
- Jia, L., Liu, H., Kong, Q., Li, M., Wu, S., and Wu, H. (2020). Interactions of high-rate nitrate reduction and heavy metal mitigation in iron-carbon-based constructed wetlands for purifying contaminated groundwater. *Water Research*, **169**, 115285.
- Kihara, J., Martius, C., Bationo, A., Thuita, M., Lesueur, D., Herrmann, L., Amelung, W., and Vlek, P. (2012). Soil aggregation and total diversity of bacteria and fungi in various tillage systems of sub-humid and semi-arid Kenya. *Applied Soil Ecology*, **58**, 12–20.
- Kravchenko, A., Negassa, W., Guber, A., and Rivers, M. (2015). Protection of soil carbon within macro-aggregates depends on intra-aggregate pore characteristics. *Scientific Reports*, **5**, 16261.
- Kuramae, E., Yergeau, E., Wong, L., Pijl, A., Van Veen, J., and Kowalchuk, G. (2012). Soil characteristics more strongly influence soil bacterial communities than land-use type. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **79**, 12–24.
- Lehmann, A., Zheng, W., and Rillig, M. (2017). Soil biota contributions to soil aggregation. *Nature Ecology Evolution*, **1**, 1828–1835.
- Lehrsch, G., Sojka, R., and Jolley, P. (1991). Freezing effects on aggregate stability affected by texture, mineralogy, and organic matter. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **55**(5), 1401–1406.
- Li, G., Niu, W., Sun, J., Zhang, W., Zhang, E., and Wang, J. (2021). Soil moisture and nitrogen content influence wheat yield through their effects on the root system and soil bacterial diversity under drip irrigation. *Land Degradation Development*, **32**, 3062–3076.
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science*, **353**(6305), 1272–1277.
- Lynch, J. and Bragg, E. (????).
- Maier, S., Tamm, A., Wu, D., Caesar, J., Grube, M., and Weber, B. (2018). Photoautotrophic organisms control microbial abundance, diversity, and physiology in different types of biological soil crusts. *The ISME Journal*, **12**, 1032–1046.
- Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet.journal*, **17**(1), 10–12.

- Marx, M., Kandeler, E., Wood, M., Wermbter, N., and Jarvis, S. (2005). Exploring the enzymatic landscape: distribution and kinetics of hydrolytic enzymes in soil particle-size fractions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **37**, 35–48.
- Moskwa, L.-M., Oses, R., and Wagner, D. (2020). Distribution of microbial generalists and habitat specialists in soils along a climate gradient in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. Research Square Preprint. Preprint.
- Mucina, L. and Wardell-Johnson, G. (2011). Landscape age and soil fertility, climatic stability, and fire regime predictability: Beyond the OCBIL framework. *Plant and Soil*, **341**, 1–23.
- Mueller, C., Schlund, S., Prietzel, J., Kögel-Knabner, I., and Gutsch, M. (2012). Soil aggregate destruction by ultrasonication increases soil organic matter mineralization and mobility. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **76**(5), 1634–1643.
- Mulla, D., Huyck, L., and Reganold, J. (1992). Temporal variations in aggregate stability on conventional and alternative farms. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **56**(5), 1620–1624.
- Mummey, D. and Stahl, P. (2004). Analysis of soil whole- and inner-microaggregate bacterial communities. *Microbial Ecology*, **48**, 41–50.
- Muyzer, G., De Waal, E., and Uitterlinden, A. (1993). Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16s rRNA. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **59**(3), 695–700.
- Najera, F., Dippold, M., Boy, J., Seguel, O., Koester, M., Stock, S., Merino, C., Kuzyakov, Y., and Matus, F. (2020). Effects of drying/rewetting on soil aggregate dynamics and implications for organic matter turnover. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **56**, 893–905.
- Oades, J. (1984). Soil organic matter and structural stability: mechanisms and implications for management. *Plant and Soil*, **76**, 319–337.
- Oades, J. and Waters, A. (1991). Aggregate hierarchy in soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, **29**(6), 815–828.
- Oeser, R., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Köster, M., Brucker, E., Stock, S., Fuentes, J., Godoy, R., Matus, F., Oses Pedraza, R., Oses McIntyre, P., Paulino, L., Seguel, O., Bader, M., Boy, J., Dippold, M., Ehlers, T., Kühn, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Scholten, T., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Übernickel, K., Tielbörger, K., Wagner, D., and von Blanckenburg, F.

## Bibliography

---

- (2018a). Chemistry and microbiology of the Critical Zone along a steep climate and vegetation gradient in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. *Catena*, **170**, 183–203.
- Oeser, R., Stroncik, N., Moskwa, L.-M., Bernhard, N., Schaller, M., Canessa, R., van den Brink, L., Köster, M., Brucker, E., Stock, S., Fuentes, J., Godoy, R., Matius, F., Osse Pedraza, R., Osse McIntyre, P., Paulino, L., Seguel, O., Bader, M., Boy, J., Dippold, M., Ehlers, T., Kühn, P., Kuzyakov, Y., Leinweber, P., Scholten, T., Spielvogel, S., Spohn, M., Übernickel, K., Tielbörger, K., Wagner, D., and von Blanckenburg, F. (2018b). Data supplement to: Chemistry and Microbiology of the Critical Zone along a steep climate and vegetation gradient in the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. GFZ Data Services.
- Ollivier, J., Töwe, S., Bannert, A., Hai, B., Kastl, E., Meyer, A., Su, M., Kleineidam, K., and Schloter, M. (2011). Nitrogen turnover in soil and global change. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, **78**, 3–16.
- Pankratov, T., Tindall, B., Liesack, W., and Dedysh, S. (2007). Mucilaginibacter paludis gen. nov., sp. nov. and Mucilaginibacter gracilis sp. nov., pectin-, xylan-and laminarin-degrading members of the family Sphingobacteriaceae from acidic Sphagnum peat bog. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, **57**, 2349–2354.
- Paredes, C., Alsaker, K., and Papoutsakis, E. (2005). A comparative genomic view of clostridial sporulation and physiology. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, **3**, 969–978.
- Proença, D., Whitman, W., Shapiro, N., Woyke, T., Kyrpides, N., and Morais, P. (2017). Draft genome sequence of the cellulolytic endophyte Chitinophaga costaii A37T2T. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, **12**, 53.
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., and Glöckner, F. (2012). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **41**(D1), D590–D596.
- Quirk, J., Beerling, D., Banwart, S., Kakonyi, G., Romero-Gonzalez, M., and Leake, J. (2012). Evolution of trees and mycorrhizal fungi intensifies silicate mineral weathering. *Biology Letters*, **8**, 1006–1011.
- Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seitz, S., Witzgall, K., Rodríguez, V., Kühn, P., Müller, C., Osse, R., Seguel, O., Wagner, D., and Scholten, T. (2022). Biocrust-linked changes in soil aggregate stability along a climatic gradient in the Chilean Coastal Range. *SOIL*, **8**, 717–731.
- Rodríguez, V., Moskwa, L., Osse, R., Kühn, P., Riveras-Muñoz, N., Seguel, O., Scholten, T., and Wagner, D. (2022). Impact of climate and slope aspects on the

- composition of soil bacterial communities involved in pedogenetic processes along the Chilean Coastal Cordillera. *Microorganisms*, **10**(5), 847.
- Rodriguez, V., Bartholomäus, A., Liebner, S., Kallmeyer, J., Rach, O., Scholten, T., and Wagner, D. (2024). Microbial impact on initial soil formation in arid and semi-arid environments under simulated climate change. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, **15**, 1319997.
- Scholten, T., Geißler, C., Goc, J., Kühn, P., and Wiegand, C. (2011). A new splash cup to measure the kinetic energy of rainfall. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **174**, 596–601.
- Schostag, M., Priemé, A., Jacquiod, S., Russel, J., Ekelund, F., and Jacobsen, C. (2019). Bacterial and protozoan dynamics upon thawing and freezing of an active layer permafrost soil. *The ISME Journal*, **13**.
- Sessitsch, A., Weilharter, A., Gerzabek, M., Kirchmann, H., and Kandeler, E. (2001). Microbial population structures in soil particle size fractions of a long-term fertilizer field experiment. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **67**(9), 4215–4224.
- Seuss, I., Scheibe, A., and Spohn, M. (2022). N<sub>2</sub> fixation is less sensitive to changes in soil water content than carbon and net nitrogen mineralization. *Geoderma*, **424**, 115973.
- Shen, J., Liang, Z., Kuzyakov, Y., Li, W., He, Y., Wang, C., Xiao, Y., Chen, K., Sun, G., and Lei, Y. (2023). Dissolved organic matter defines microbial communities during initial soil formation after deglaciation. *Science of The Total Environment*, **878**, 163171.
- Sieradzki, E., Nuccio, E., Pett-Ridge, J., and Firestone, M. (2023). Expression of macro-molecular organic nitrogen degrading enzymes identifies potential mediators of soil organic N availability to an annual grass. *The ISME Journal*, **17**, 967–975.
- Six, J., Elliott, E., and Paustian, K. (2000). Soil macroaggregate turnover and microaggregate formation: a mechanism for C sequestration under no-tillage agriculture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, **32**, 2099–2103.
- Six, J., Bossuyt, H., Degryze, S., and Denef, K. (2004). A history of research on the link between (micro)aggregates, soil biota, and soil organic matter dynamics. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **79**, 7–31.
- Slessarev, E., Lin, Y., Bingham, N., Johnson, J., Dai, Y., Schimel, J., and Chadwick, O. (2016). Water balance creates a threshold in soil pH at the global scale. *Nature*, **540**, 567–569.

## Bibliography

---

- Tisdall, J. and Oades, J. (1982). Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, **33**, 141–163.
- Totsche, K., Amelung, W., Gerzabek, M., Guggenberger, G., Klumpp, E., Knief, C., Lehndorff, E., Mikutta, R., Peth, S., Prechtel, A., Ray, N., and Kögel-Knabner, I. (2018). Microaggregates in soils. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, **181**, 104–136.
- Übernickel, K., Ehlers, T., Ershadi, M., Paulino, L., Fuentes, J., Maldonado, A., Osese-Pedraza, R., and von Blanckenburg, F. (2020). Time series of meteorological station data in the EarthShape study areas in the Coastal Cordillera, Chile. GFZ Data Services.
- Vuko, M., Cania, B., Vogel, C., Kublik, S., Schloter, M., and Schulz, S. (2020). Shifts in reclamation management strategies shape the role of exopolysaccharide and lipopolysaccharide-producing bacteria during soil formation. *Microbial Biotechnology*, **13**, 584–598.
- Wang, M., Xie, X., Wang, M., Wu, J., Zhou, Q., and Sun, Y. (2020). The bacterial microbiota in florfenicol contaminated soils: The antibiotic resistome and the nitrogen cycle. *Environmental Pollution*, **259**, 113901.
- Wilpiszeski, R., Aufrecht, J., Retterer, S., Sullivan, M., Graham, D., Pierce, E., Zablocki, O., Palumbo, A., and Elias, D. (2019). Soil aggregate microbial communities: Towards understanding microbiome interactions at biologically relevant scales. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **85**(14), e00514–19.
- Yang, C., Liu, N., and Zhang, Y. (2019). Soil aggregates regulate the impact of soil bacterial and fungal communities on soil respiration. *Geoderma*, **337**, 444–452.
- Yeager, C., Gallegos-Graves, L., Dunbar, J., Hesse, C., Daligault, H., and Kuske, C. (2017). Polysaccharide degradation capability of Actinomycetales soil isolates from a semiarid grassland of the Colorado Plateau. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **83**(14), e03020–16.
- Zhang, Z., Wang, D., and Li, M. (2022). Soil respiration, aggregate stability and nutrient availability affected by drying duration and drying-rewetting frequency. *Geoderma*, **413**, 115743.

## **Appendix E**

### **Living and decaying roots as regulators of soil aggregation and organic matter formation—from the rhizosphere to the detritusphere**

