

Topic 21: AUG experiment KoM

J. Madsen and N. Vianello

03 March 2017



This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training programme 2014-2018 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.



- √ For Topic-21 on AUG 14 shots forseen, split into two blocks of 7 shot each on week 15 and week 17 respectively
- \checkmark The proposed experimental plan address some of the proposed experimental plan for both the L-Mode and H-Mode part.



We will conclude the L-Mode part in Week 15 where we also would like to include part of the H-Mode scenario development. This would give us an additional week between the experiments to address possible issues in particular for the H-mode part

Week 15

- I. Take Shot # 30269 as Reference (0.8 MA). Reduce current to 0.6 MA keeping the same toroidal field B_{ϕ} adjust the fueling rate (Current scan with modification of q_{95})
- 2. Repeat shot #I changing the toroidal field in order to match the same q_{95} as reference (*Current scan at fixed q_{95}*). We can adjust the current level in order to match the request for operation with $B_t = 2T$ (Alves)
- 3. Repeat #1 at higher current 1 MA adjusting the fueling rate and keeping the same toroidal field as reference
- 4. Repeat # 3 keeping the same q_{95} as reference shot



Week 15

For the H-Mode scenario development we start from the best shot found in 2016 (# 33478) and increase the heating power

- Start from shot # 33478 but with increased heating power (6MW). Adjust fueling rate from reference by increasing by a factor of 30 %. I Plunge of probe head at the end of the discharge still in a safe position and IR monitoring
- 2. Repeat # I eventually adjusting the fueling rate. Start the N seeding in feedforward starting fro the level found in reference
- 3. Trade off between #1 and #2 Fueling/Seeding. Additional plunge of probe at the end of the discharge
- 4. This scenario would allow the exploitation of particle accelleration (McClements) physics as piggy-back



Week 17: This strongly depends on the achievement of H-Mode scenario obtained in Week 15

- 1. Repeat best H-Mode shot found in Week 15 1st Radial position of probe
- 2. Repeat #1, different probe position
- 3. Repeat #1, different probe position
- 4. Repeat best H-Mode shot found in week 15 and reduced the cryopumps
- 5. Repeat best H-Mode shot found in week 15 and puff from midplane
- 6. Contingency
- 7. Contingency



Among the contingency we propose the following 4 possibilities to be discussed

- I. Reversing B_t direction and repeat one identical shot (e.g # 30269) to investigate the role of SOL flows in SOL shoulder formation and filamentary transport
- DN discharge with similar density ramps as in reference. Possibly the two X-point should sit on the same flux surface
- 3. Attempt a scenario similar to Topic-06 which will be performed later in time. See for example shot # 29816 (Presented by T. Eich in the GPM) which is at even higher power (8 MW) or # 25740 which is actually in DN (see proposal from J. Vicente). If we choose for this we could actually compare with the priority 3 of L-Mode contingency
- 4. Reverse B_t operation. In this case the L-H treshold is different and we might end by careful adjusting the power into I-Mode scenario
- 5. Working at 2T in H-Mode would require additional development

Required diagnostic and analysis



\boxtimes	Midplane Manipulator
	Li-Beam. Are fluctuations and profiles available simultaneously
	RFA #2
	Divertor probes
\boxtimes	Neutral profiles
	Infrared for probe head monitoring. Are Target infrared measurements available/useful?
	GPI
\boxtimes	Reflectometer. The operation at 2T can be obtained during the q_{95} scan
\boxtimes	Fast probes on the limiter
	Bolometer/AXUV in the divertor region

Work to be accomplished before the experiment



- 1. Check the shape modification during the current/q₉₅ scan
- 2. Probe conditioning?
- 3. Check the status of the diagnostics including GPI (issue regarding the puffing)
- 4. Code preparation for analysis and visualization. GITHUB repository?
- Optimization of the effort: please provide us a more detailed plan of your analysis in order to limit superposition or work duplication