# CS526 GCRS - Graduate Course Request System - Spring 2018

Wael Ayadi, Nick Romano
Rutgers University
Piscataway, NJ, USA
Email: wael.ayadi@rutgers.edu, nick.p.romano@gmail.com

**Abstract**— We will create a Graduate Course Request System that will allow for easier and faster delivery and approval of graduate course requests for undergraduate students. It will incorporate our multi-purpose Visual Transcript visualization, which provides an interactive interface for extracting useful information from a student's course history.

### I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Rutgers University Graduate CS Department allows for undergraduate students to register for graduate courses through a special request process. This process, as it is now, involves manually signing a form for every graduate course that the student wishes to register for. This signed form must then be signed by the instructor of the course that is being requested. Afterwards, the form is given to the graduate secretary of the Graduate CS Department. Depending on whether or not the requested course requires a prerequisite override (it often does, considering the student submitting this request is an undergraduate student), the form would then have to be forwarded to the graduate school for approval. This step of the process specifically may take up to a full week to complete! Once the course request is finally approved by the graduate school, the student receives his Special Permission Number (SPN) for the requested course.

Not only is this process tedious to describe, but it is tedious in practice as well. The student often has to chase down instructors for their approvals in person, as it is not realistic for them to constantly check their emails for course requests, as well as do the necessary background checks on the student to see if an approval for their request is appropriate. Further complications occur if an instructor is on sabbatical leave, if the graduate secretary is taking a day off, etc.. To make matters worse, students are bound by the add/drop week deadline for course registration at the beginning of every semester, thus making this registration process a timely matter that cannot always be done before the start of the semester. These issues are further compounded by the fact that the Rutgers Graduate CS Department has been growing in terms of student enrollment. The increased frequency of these requests end up delaying this process even further.

We propose the GCRS - Graduate Course Request System, which is a system that can be linked with Rutgers's Central Authentication System (CAS) to allow for Rutgers faculty to digitally manage and pass along student graduate course

requests. This system will use our Visual Transcript visualization, which will allow easy and fast data visualization of a student's course history and related data. Incorporating Visual Transcript into GCRS will give an interactive interface for faculty to quickly see the information they require when it comes to approving these requests.

### A. Stage1 - The Requirement Gathering Stage.

The goal for this project by the end of the semester is to have four views, one for each user type, where each view functionally shows course requests at its respective stage, along with the Visual Transcript display that the user can interact with.

There will be four types of users in the system: students, professors, secretaries, and graduate school administrators. Each user type also corresponds to a "stage" in the approval process. Stage 1 is when a student creates a course request, which initiates the approval process. Stage 2 is when a request requires approval from a professor, Stage 3 is when the request gets forwarded from a professor and requires approval from a secretary, and Stage 4 is when a request gets forwarded from a secretary and requires approval from a graduate school administrator. Once Stage 4 is complete, the student's request becomes approved, and an SPN is issued to that student. Each of these users will have one view that corresponds to them, where they will be in charge of their corresponding approval stage.

An example scenario would be as follows:

Student A is an undergraduate student who wishes to take CS526: Data Interaction and Visual Analytics, a graduate computer science course. Student A logs into GCRS, where he then creates a course request for CS526 [Stage 1]. The lecturer for CS526 is Professor James Abello. The professor then logs into GCRS, where Student A's course request is listed on his view [Stage 2]. The professor selects Student A's request, which then calls an instance of Visual Transcript for Student A's course history. Visual Transcript shows Student A's course history, as well as the corresponding grades he received for each course, sorted by completion time. After inspecting the Visual Transcript instance, the professor hits the Approve button, which forwards Student A's request to the graduate secretary. The secretary logs into GCRS, where Student A's course request is listed on her view [Stage 3]. Again, Student A's request is selected, which brings up a

Visual Transcript instance. The secretary notices that two other students have also requested CS526, but she can only issue one SPN. She selects CS526, which displays an instance of Visual Transcript that sorts the three students by expected graduation date. Student A will be graduating the soonest out of the three. The secretary selects Student A's requests and approves it. The system detects that Student A requires a prerequisite override for CS526. The approval automatically gets forwarded to a graduate school administrator. An admin logs into GCRS, where Student A's course request is listed on his view [Stage 4]. Again, the course request is selected, which spawns another Visual Transcript instance. The professor instructs the Visual Transcript instance to sort Student A's course history by course difficulty. The administrator inspects the transcript and is satisfied. Then he hits Approve, which automatically sends Student A an SPN, which will allow him to register for CS526.

A tentative timeline for project completion is as follows:

- **Feb 15:** A dummy database for use for this project will be generated and prepared for use.
- Mar 1: A prototype Visual Transcript view will be ready.
- Mar 15: A fully functional Visual Transcript instance will be functional.
- Mar 29: A system view will be completed for a generic user type. The view will contain a list of requests, the Visual Transcript, as well as any appropriate command buttons like "Approve" and "Deny."
- Apr 12: All four system views will be completed, as well as a temporary system login for each of the four user types.
- Apr 19: The powerpoint presentation will be completed.
- Apr 26: The project report will be completed.

### B. Stage2 - The Design Stage.

This project will use various technologies for both the frontend and the back-end. For the back end, we plan to use an SQL database to store the data, as well as Java servlets for code execution. For the front-end, we plan on using Angular and Javascript for the layout and D3.js for the Visual Transcript instances. We may use jQuery for SQL query manipulation that will serve as input to the algorithm that we formulate that will retrieve the necessary data and spawn a Visual Transcript instance on each view.

As shown in Figure 4, the backend operations will be primarily driven by queries to the MySQL database. The query results will be fed into the D3.js API that will generate the Visual Transcript visuals. When the user selects certain nodes on the Visual Transcript interface, such as a specific request or a specific class, that item will be stored in a doubly linked list that will allow for progression and regression in the Visual Transcript interface. Using that linked list will allow our related items retrieval algorithm to populate the Visual Transcript instance with related information regarding the current selection.




Fig. 1. Internal Data Structures

### C. Stage3 - The Implementation Stage.

The intended programming languages, programming environments, and technologies that will be used for this project have been listed in Stage 2. The remaining deliverables for this section are a work in progress.

## D. Stage4 - User Interface.

There will be two main components of the user interface: the requests view (i.e. the main page), and the visual transcript view. In addition there will be a login page for the entire system. The type of user will determine exactly what content and/or interactivity is available in each view. The user type will be assessed via login credentials submitted on the login page. For example, the requests main page will give a student the option to submit a request. This page will also display any open requests and additionally it may show any closed requests that were previously completed. On the opposite side of the pipeline, faculty/admin will have a similar view showing all of the requests that require completion. Both types of users will have access to the visual transcript view which will provide an interactive visualization of the student's course history. This view will allow student users to confirm whether they meet the necessary requirements, and will allow faculty/admin to quickly identify whether a student has the necessary coursework to needed to approve the request. The faculty/admin view will contain "Approve" and "Deny" buttons which will either continue or terminate the request, respectively. Each user view is described in further detail herein. As the login page will not be a main component of the user interface, it is assumed the user has already logged in.

For student users, the requests main page will contain a link that will take the student to their visual transcript view, and buttons to submit a new request. Any open requests will be visible in a list with options to view its status. Upon click of an open request, a window will open showing details on




Fig. 2. Initial Login View




Fig. 3. Main Requests View

the status of that request. Alternatively, the status of an open request may be shown upon hover. Any closed requests (i.e. requests that were previously completed will be displayed at the bottom of the page). A wireframe representation for the requests view is given Figure 2.

For faculty/admin users, the requests main page will appear much like the students requests page however the options available to the user will be different. In this view, faculty or admin will not require the option to submit a request. Instead all open requests (submitted by the students) will be displayed. Similar to the student view of the requests main page, the faculty/admin view will allow the user to view the status of any request and previously completed requests will be shown at the bottom of the page. The faculty/admin user will have the options to approve or deny the request (or multiple requests) from this page. The faculty/admin user will also have the option to access the students virtual transcript in order to view the students course history.

The purpose of this virtual transcript is to provide a visual and interactive aspect to the traditional course list and grade type transcript. This visual transcript will allow all users to




Fig. 4. Virtual Transcript View

quickly interpret a student's academic history. The data to be visualized in this virtual transcript will be the complete course history of the particular student as well as a visual representation of the students strengths (or weaknesses) It is imagined that the students course history will be displayed in sequential order through an adapted bar chart where the bars are located over each course and the scale of the vertical axis represents the grade the student received for the course. A color dimension will be added to represent the course level (e.g. 100, 200, 300-level courses). Additionally the students strengths and/or weaknesses in certain course concentrations (e.g. machine learning, security, data science, etc.) will be displayed via a set radial progress bars.

# II. ALTERNATIVE PROJECT EXTENSION.

The project in its current state deals with two entity sets (students and faculty/admin). Per discussion with Professor Abello, in order to make the project a bit more interesting, we propose an alternative/extension to the project presented to this point. The alternative project will consider three entity sets instead of two. These entity sets are: TAs, Faculty, and Classes. The relationship between these entity sets represents a real world problem that the Department of Computer Science at Rutgers University faces every semester. That problem is matching potential TA's with the classes they wish to assist, and with faculty. This is essentially the classic problem of 3D Matching. The 3D Macthing problem is NP-Hard which makes it a difficult problem to solve algorithmically. This creates an opportunity to develop an interface for human-computer interaction in which a user aids the search algorithm in finding a solution in an efficient amount of time. This idea will be explored further and presented in future updates to this project proposal.