## CS 270 Algorithms

Spring 2021

Lecture 7: Robust Mean Estimation and Tensors

Lecturer: Prasad Raghavendra Scribe: Vishal Raman, Tor Nitayanont, Varun Menon

## 7.1 Robust Mean Estimation

We have a Dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{in} \cup \mathcal{D}_{out}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}_{in}$  are the inliers and  $\mathcal{D}_{out}$  are the outliers.  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{in}$  contains  $(1 - \epsilon)N$  elements and an adversery has inserted  $\epsilon n$  outliers to  $\mathcal{D}_{out}$ . The goal is to estimate the mean.

**Definition 7.1.** A dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stable if for any subset  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}$  with  $|\mathcal{S}| \geq (1 - \epsilon)N$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} x_i - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}} x_i \right\| \le \Delta$$

We assume that  $\mathcal{D}_{in}$  are  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stable. We find a subset  $\mathcal{S}$  which is also  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stable, with  $|S| = (1 - \epsilon)N$ .

Claim: This implies that  $\|\mu(S) - \mu(\mathcal{D}_{in})\| \leq 2\Delta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{D}_{in}$ . By  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stability of  $\mathcal{D}_{in}$ ,  $\|\mu(\mathcal{D}_{in}) - \mu(T)\| \leq \Delta$ . Similarly, by  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stability of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\|\mu(S) - \mu(T)\| \leq \Delta$ . It follows that

$$\|\mu(S) - \mu(\mathcal{D}_{in})\| \le \|\mu(\mathcal{D}_{in}) - \mu(T)\| + \|\mu(\mathcal{T}) - \mu(S)\| < 2\Delta.$$

We begin by defining a more general notion of stability.

**Definition 7.2.** An  $\epsilon$ -filtering of a set S is any set T such that  $|T| \geq (1 - \epsilon)|S|$ .

**Definition 7.3.** A distribution  $\theta_{in}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -filtering of a distribution  $\theta$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\theta_{in}(x) \le \theta(x)(1+\epsilon).$$

**Definition 7.4.** A distribution  $\theta$  is  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$ -stable if for all  $\epsilon$ -filterings  $\theta_{in}$ ,

$$\|\mu(\theta) - \mu(\theta_{in})\| \le \Delta.$$

**Lemma 7.5.** A distribution  $\theta$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $(\epsilon, \Delta)$  stable for

$$\Delta = \sqrt{\epsilon \| cov[\theta] \|_{op}},$$

where the operator norm  $\|cov[\theta]\|_{op}$  is the largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\theta_{in}$  is an  $\epsilon$ -filtering of  $\theta$ . It suffices to show that  $\|\mu(\theta_{in}) - \mu(\theta)\| \leq \Delta$ .

We first write  $\theta = (1 - \gamma)\theta_{in} + \gamma\theta_{out}$  where  $\gamma = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}$ , hence, we have

$$\theta_{out}(x) = \frac{(1+\epsilon)\theta(x) - \theta_{in}(x)}{\epsilon}.$$

Then,

$$\mu(\theta) = (1 - \gamma)\mu(\theta_{in}) + \gamma\mu(\theta_{out}),$$

and

$$cov[\theta] = (1 - \gamma)^2 cov[\theta_{in}] + \gamma^2 cov[\theta_{out}] + 2\gamma(1 - \gamma)(\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}])(\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}])^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

In the second equation, applying  $v^{\mathsf{T}}v$  for  $v = \frac{\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}]}{\|\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}]\|}$ , we find that the left hand side is

$$v^T \operatorname{cov}[\theta] v \le \|\operatorname{cov}[\theta]\|_{op},$$

and the right hand side is

 $v^{\mathsf{T}}(1-\gamma^2)\text{cov}[\theta_{in}]v + v^{\mathsf{T}}\gamma^2\text{cov}[\theta_{out}]v + 2\gamma(1-\gamma)\|\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}]\|^2 \ge 2\gamma(1-\gamma)\|\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}]\|^2$ , where the inequality follows from the fact that the Covariances are positive-semidefinite.

It follows that

$$\|\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}]\| \le \sqrt{\frac{\|\text{cov}[\theta]\|_{op}}{2\gamma(1-\gamma)}}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\|\mu[\theta] - \mu[\theta_{in}]\| = \|\gamma(\mu[\theta_{in}] - \mu[\theta_{out}])\| \le \sqrt{\frac{\gamma \|\text{cov}[\theta]\|_{op}}{2(1-\gamma)}} = \sqrt{\epsilon \|\text{cov}[\theta]\|_{op}},$$

substituting  $\epsilon = \frac{\gamma}{2(1-\gamma)}$ .

Now, given the dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ , we wish to find weights  $w_1, \dots, w_N$  so that the following hold:

- $0 \le w_i \le \frac{1+\epsilon}{N}$   $(w = (w_1, ..., w_n) \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-filtering of } \mathcal{D}),$
- $\sum w_i = 1$ ,
- $\operatorname{cov}[w] = \sum w_i(x_i \mu(w))(x_i \mu(w))^{\intercal} < \lambda \cdot I_d \text{ where } \mu(w) = \sum w_i x_i$

Consider the weights  $w^* = (w_1^*, ..., w_n^*)$  where

$$w_i^* = \begin{cases} 1/|\mathcal{D}_{in}| & \text{if } x_i \in \mathcal{D}_{in} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}.$$

We can see that  $w^*$  satisfies all the conditions above.

Next, We find  $\{w_i\}$  via the ellipsoid algorithm. Notice that the  $w_i$  lie within the *n*-simplex. Given a point w, note that it satisfies

$$\|\sum w_i(x_i - \mu(w))(x_i - \mu(w))^{\intercal}\|_{op} < \lambda.$$

Suppose  $\|\text{cov}[w]\|_{op} = \lambda$  for some  $\lambda$  and let v be the top eigenvector:  $v^T \text{cov}[w]v = \lambda$ . Define a linear function

$$L[y] = v^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \sum y_i [x_i - \mu(w)] [x_i - \mu(w)]^{\mathsf{T}} \right) v.$$

Then,  $L[w] = \lambda$  so if we show  $L[w^*] < O(\epsilon \lambda)$ , it follows that  $L[y] \le \lambda$ .

**Lemma 7.6.** 
$$L[w^*] = v^T (\sum w^* [x_i - \mu(w)] [x_i - \mu(w)]^{\intercal}) v \le O(\epsilon \lambda).$$

*Proof.* We have the following identity:

$$L[w^*] = v^{\mathsf{T}} \left( \sum w_i (x_i - \mu[w^*]) (x_i - \mu[w^*])^{\mathsf{T}} \right) v + v^T ((\mu(w^*) - \mu(w)) (\mu(w^*) - \mu(w))^{\mathsf{T}}) v$$

$$\leq v^{\mathsf{T}} \text{cov}[w^*] v + \|\mu(w^*) - \mu(w)\|^2$$

The first term,  $v^{\dagger} \text{cov}[w^*]v$ , is O(1). Hence,

$$\|\mu(w^*) - \mu(w)\|^2 \le 2\|\mu(w^*) - \mu(w \cap \mathcal{D}_{in})\|^2 + 2\|\mu(w \cap \mathcal{D}_{in}) - \mu(w)\|^2$$
  
$$\le O(\epsilon \lambda) + O(\epsilon \lambda) = O(\epsilon \lambda).$$

Note that  $w \cap \mathcal{D}_{in}$  is an  $O(\epsilon)$ -filtering of  $w^*$  and w.

## 7.2 Tensors

We set T to be a higher dimensional array of nonzero 3-dimensional tensors:  $T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n \times p}$ . For a matrix M, we can define the bilinear form

$$M(x,y) = \sum_{i,j} M_{ij} x_i y_j.$$

We can similarly define a trilinear form

$$T(x, y, z) = \sum_{i,j,k} T_{ijk} x_i y_j z_k.$$

These show up in the moments of distributions: Recall that  $\mu(d) = E_{x \sim D}[x]$ , and  $\text{cov}(D)[(x - \mu)(x - \mu)^{\intercal}]$ . We could define higher moments,

$$T_{ijk} = E[(x_i - \mu_i)(x_j - \mu_j)(x_k - \mu_k)] \approx E[x_i x_j x_k].$$

In other words, we use tensors to encode higher order correlations of random variables.