1 Scenario

Let us assume that we have a **small** labeled dataset from one domain (we are going to call this dataset the TargetDataset) and [usually] it is costly to obtain new labelled data from the same domain (so the quantity is limited).

Also, let us also assume that we have a **large** labeled dataset from a **related** domain (we are going to call this dataset the *SourceDataset*) and [usually] it is affordable to obtain new labelled data from this domain.

If we would like to build a model for the TargetDataset using it a lone, then the model will likely perform undesirably as the dataset is quite small!

The idea is to make maximum use of the *SourceDataset* to build a model for the *TargetDataset* and classify data from its domain.

In this experiment, I am using the technique explained in a paper called "Selective Transfer Between Learning Tasks Using Task-Based Boosting" to gain knowledge from the Source data and use it in training a classifier for the Target data. The proposed algorithm is called TrAdaBoost and it is an extension of the classical AdaBoost Algorithm!

The technique works by voting on the usefulness of each instance of the *Source* data (i.e. giving each instance a weight according to how close/useful it is to the *Targert* data)

I believe this learning process corresponds to transferring knowledge learned from the *source* data to a new situation (the *target* data) – and this is Instance-based Transfer Learning.

2 Experiment I

2.1 The Data

- By looking at the table provided with the Eve data, I have extracted and merged labeled data from the Sapphire Channel (Sapphire Active/Inactive) for assays TS3 and TS6. This is for strain *Plasmodium vivax*. This is going to be our *SourceDataset*
- I have also extracted labeled data from the Venus Channel (Venus Active/Inactive) for assay TS6. This is for strain *Plasmodium falciparum*. This is going to be our *TargetDataset*
- I have split the TargetDataset into two subdatasets. One for training and one for testing. Notice that this training subdataset is going to be our actual TargetDataset
- Now our datasets look like:
 - SourceDataset has 2781 instances (for Pv from TS3 and TS6 file TS3-TS6-Pv.arff)
 - TargetDataset has 46 (for Pf from TS6 file TS6-Pf.arff)
 - TestDataset has 1388 (for Pf from TS6 file TS6-Test-Pf.arff)

2.2 Experimental Setup

The authors of the paper have provided the java source code of their implementation so I have downloaded it, plugged it into WEKA's source code and recompiled WEKA.

Remember that our TargetDataset is quite small and our SourceDataset is large and from a related domain!

We will use our TestDataset for evaluation (remember it is from the same domain as TargetDataset)

Here is what I have done:

- I have built a classification model with the TrAdaBoost Algorithm using both the *Target* and *Source* Datasets to carry out Transfer Learning.
- I have built classification models with WEKA's NaiveBayes, SVM, KNN and J48 Decision Trees using the *TargetDataset* only. This is because usually we build models using data from the same domain!

2.3 Experimental Results

After building the models as explained above, I have evaluated them using the TestDataset which is of the same domain as the TargetDataset. I have counted Actual vs Predicted results! The following table shows how many miss-classifications each model makes:

TrAdaBoost	NaiveBayes	SVM	KNN	J48 Decision Trees
6	115	10	9	25

Observe that the TrAdaBoost model (the one that does Transfer Learning) makes less classification errors meaning it outperforms models built using the *TargetDataset* alone!

3 Experiment II

In this experiment, I am going to try and do TL at assay level. Meaning I will use Active/Inactive labelled datasets from the eve data (assays TS3,4,5,6,7)

3.1 The Data

- For the SourceDataset, I have used TS4 (1284 instances file TS4-Labeled.arff)
- For the *TargetDataset*, I have used TS3, I have randomly removed many instances to reduce its size (434 instances file TS3-Labeled.arff)
- For the TestDataset, I have used TS5 (1394 instances file TS5-Labeled.arff)

3.2 Experimental Setup

Exactly the same as Experiment I

3.3 Experimental Results

After building the models as explained above, I have evaluated them using the TestDataset which is of the same domain as the TargetDataset. I have counted Actual vs Predicted results! The following table shows how many miss-classifications each model makes:

TrAdaBoost	NaiveBayes	SVM	KNN	J48 Decision Trees
5	50	7	6	6

Observe that the TrAdaBoost model (the one that does Transfer Learning) makes less classification errors meaning it outperforms models built using the TargetDataset alone!