

Reflections

Impact of PID components of controller

a) P – P stands for Proportional. Here the control value is directly proportional the error term. The error in our case is the deviation of car from middle of the road and control variable is the steering angle. So only with this term, we expect the car to steer back to the centre of the road.

$$P_{out} = K_p \cdot e(t)$$

The control variable value is at time t is directly proportional to the error term at time t . And the constant term K_p controls the magnitude of response. If we make K_p very high, then the correction will keep overshooting the mean desired state (i.e. $e(t)$ being close to zero). If K_p is very low, then we get an unresponsive filter.

In my experiment, I manually tweaked the values, I first started with K_p tuning keeping K_i and K_d as zero. I kept the throttle constant as 0.3.

K_p values of 0.01 to 0.03 gave a smooth tracking on straight sections of the road but the car did not respond well to sharp turns. .To have a more responsive control, I increased the K_p value to about 0.9 and 1. This made the car responsive to curve but came with wild fluctuations.

I then decided to freeze K_p to middle of the above range i.e. around 0.07 and then move to tuning K_d

Video in file **Kp_only.mp4** shows the behavior of $K_p = 0.07$ with K_i and $K_d = 0$. You can see that while it is responsive and car drives nicely on the initial straight road, there is still a significant overshoot and car veers off the road even on slight bends. This need to be dampened.

b) D – D stands for derivative. Control value is proportional to the rate of change of error.

$$P_{out} = K_d \cdot \frac{de(t)}{dt}$$

Here the control value at time t is proportional to the derivative of error term. And the constant term K_d controls the impact of derivative term. Keeping everything else constant, increasing K_d leads to a dampening of oscillations.

After fixing K_p , in (a) above, I started tuning K_d to keep the car responsive yet control the overshoots. I started off with high values of K_d i.e. about 4. It immediately ensured that car stayed on the track. In other words a high value of K_d brought down the magnitude of overshoots, however, it created high frequency oscillations with car wavering around the middle of the road. To smoothen these, I started reducing K_d from 4 towards 0 to find the sweet spot balance between control of overshoot impact of K_d versus high frequency oscillations due K_d magnitude.

With experimentation and manual observation, I found $K_d=1.1$ to go well with K_p of 0.07. Sample video is shown in **Kp_kd_only.mp4**

c) I – I stands for Integral. Here correction term is proportional to the cumulative error from beginning. It provides a nice smoothening of long term bias. Since the error term here is cumulative, the value of K_i needs to be kept small.

With experimentation I used a small value of 0.0008 for K_i .

A final video of tuned PID controlled Car is contained in file **pid_video.mp4**.

PID parameter tuning made me realise the fine balance required to tune PID parameters. As extension I plan to use twiddle or some similar algorithm to tune the parameters and compare those with manually tuned values.