

## Chapter 6 Homework

Due 2-18-2018

### Problem 6.13

a) Complete a Hypothesis Test for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ ;  $\alpha = 0.05$

Hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &= 0 \\ H_A : \mu_1 - \mu_2 &\neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Assumptions:

- 1) Independent Samples.
- 2) Equal Variances. ( $\sigma_F^2 = 1.4136, \sigma_M^2 = 1.0077$ )

Test Statistic:

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 &= \frac{\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 - D_0}{s_p \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \\ t_0 &= \frac{(8.5333 - 9.6833) - 0}{1.0719 \sqrt{0.0417 + 0.0278}} \\ t_0 &= \frac{-1.15}{0.2826} \\ t_0 &= -4.0708 \end{aligned}$$

Rejection Region

$$\begin{aligned} |t_0| &\geq t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 58}; t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, 58} = 2.0017 \\ \text{Thus, } |-4.0708| &= 4.0708 \geq 2.0017 \end{aligned}$$

P-Value

The p-value on a t-score of -4.0708 =  $7.1822 \times 10^{-5}$ .  
Therefore, with out p-value is less than our  $\alpha$  of 0.025.

Conclusion

Since the absolute value of our t-score is greater than our Rejection Bound, there is sufficient evidence to reject our Null Hypothesis. There is evidence to suggest that the two mean pays between the Male and Female group are different.

b) Complete a 95% C.I. for  $\mu_1 - \mu_2$

Assumptions

- 1) We are still under the assumption that these two groups were chosen independently.
- 2) We are also under the assumption that the Variances are equal and holding from the last section.

## Confidence Interval Equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 C.I. &= \bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2 \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, df} \left( \frac{s_1^2}{\sqrt{n_1}} + \frac{s_2^2}{\sqrt{n_2}} \right) \\
 C.I. &= (8.5333 - 9.6833) \pm 2.0017 \left( \frac{1.4136}{4.8990} + \frac{1.0077}{6} \right) \\
 C.I. &= -1.15 \pm 2.0017(0.4565) \\
 C.I. &= -1.15 \pm 0.9138 \\
 C.I. &\approx (-2.0638, -0.2362)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Conclusion

Since 0 is not in our confidence interval, we can conclude we have strong enough evidence to suggest that the population mean pays between men and women at this firm are different. This supports the hypothesis test we did in a).

**Problem 6.15**

**Random samples of size  $n_1 = 8$  and  $n_2 = 8$  were selected from populations A and B, respectively.**

**a) Test for a difference in the medians of the two populations using an  $\alpha = 0.05$  Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test.**

## Hypotheses

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_0 : M_A &= M_B \\
 H_A : M_A &\neq M_B
 \end{aligned}$$

## Assumptions

- 1) Independent Random Samples
- 2) Population distributions are the same, except one is shifted from the other

## Test Statistic

Table 1: Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test for Population A and Population B

Value	Rank	Group
3.5	1	B
3.7	2	B
3.8	3	B
3.9	4	B
4.3	5	A
4.4	6.5	B
4.4	6.5	B
4.6	8	A
4.7	9.5	A
4.7	9.5	B
5.1	11	A
5.2	12	B
5.3	13.5	A
5.3	13.5	A
5.4	15	A
5.8	16	A

$$T_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i, \text{ where } r_i \text{ is the ranks of the values in the 1st Population (Group A in our case)}$$

$$T_0 = 91.5$$

### Rejection Region

For this test, we need both the Upper and Lower bounds of this Rejection Boundaries. For a test with  $\alpha = 0.05$  as a two-tailed test with both sample sizes being 8,  $T_L = 49$  and  $T_U = 87$ . Since our  $T_0 = 91.5 \geq 87 = T_U$ , we have enough to make a conclusion.

### P-Value

Due to having ties, a true, exact p-value cannot be computed. However, R's `wilcox.test` function yields an approximate p-value of 0.01549.

### Conclusion

Since our  $T_0 \geq T_U$ , we have enough statistical evidence to reject the Null Hypothesis that  $M_A = M_B$ . There is extreme enough data to indicate that  $M_A \neq M_B$ . Our p-value of 0.0149 backs that conclusion up since it is less than our upper tailed  $\alpha$  of 0.025.

## Problem 6.28

**a) Is there significant evidence that the mean SENS value decreased after the patient received antihypertensive treatment?**

### Hypotheses

$$H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 0$$

$$H_A : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$$

$\mu_1$  = mean of the Before group  
 $\mu_2$  = mean of the After group

### Assumptions

- 1) Sampling distributions of  $d_i$  are normally distributed.
- 2)  $d_i$  are independent.

### Test Statistic

With there being a before and after treatment for 10 patients, we will use the T-test for Paired Data. In order to begin, we must find the differences for each pair of data.

Table 2: Table for Paired Data to be used in T-test

Before	After	Difference
22.86	6.11	16.75
7.74	-4.02	11.76
15.49	8.04	7.45
9.97	3.29	6.68
1.44	-0.77	2.21
9.39	6.99	2.4
11.40	10.19	1.21
1.86	2.09	-0.23
-6.71	11.40	-18.11
6.42	10.70	-4.28

With the differences being found, we must not find the mean of the differences, standard deviations of differences, number of those paired points.

$$\bar{d} = 2.584$$

$$s_d = 9.4907$$

$$n_d = 10$$

$$t_0 = \frac{2.584 - 0}{\frac{9.4907}{\sqrt{40}}}$$

$$t_0 = \frac{2.584}{1.5006}$$

$$t_0 = 1.722$$

(1)

### Rejection Region

With an identified test statistic, we need to find the boundary on our statistical test. With an  $\alpha$  of 0.05 and degrees of freedom of 9, our rejection region is at  $t = 1.833$ . Thus, if our test statistic  $> 1.833$ , we'll reject the null hypothesis that the SENS value hasn't decreased with the treatment.

### P-value

To get the p-value, we check the table for a test statistic of 1.722 for 9 degrees of freedom. The p-value of 1.722 for 9 degrees of freedom is 0.0596.

### Conclusion

Since our  $t_0 = 1.722 < 1.833$  and our p-value  $= 0.0596 > 0.05$ , there is not strong evidence that we should reject  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \leq 0$ . Thus, there is not supporting evidence that this treatment decreased SENS value.

### b) Estimate the size of the change in the mean SENS value

To estimate the size change, we will create a 95% confidence interval of the mean of the paired data.

$$C.I. = \bar{d} \pm t_{\frac{\alpha}{2}, df} \left( \frac{s_d}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

$$C.I. = 2.584 \pm 2.262 (1.5006)$$

$$C.I. = 2.584 \pm 3.3944$$

$$C.I. \approx (-0.8104, 5.9784)$$

### Conclusion

We are 95% confident that the mean difference between the Before and After group is between -0.8104 and 5.9784.