

# Pixel area for polar stereographic projection

February 2016; corrected February 2025

$\phi$  ... latitude  
 $\lambda$  ... longitude  
 $x, y$  ... pixel coordinates measured from the north pole  
 $R$  ... radius of globe (km)  
 $k_0$  ... scale at pole along meridian (pixels/km)

For a stereographic projection around the north pole,

$$x = \rho \sin \lambda \quad (1)$$

$$y = \rho \cos \lambda \quad (2)$$

$$\rho = 2Rk_0 \frac{1 - \tan(\phi/2)}{1 + \tan(\phi/2)} \quad (3)$$

The inverse relations are

$$\rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (4)$$

$$\phi = 2 \arctan \left( \frac{2Rk_0 - \rho}{2Rk_0 + \rho} \right) \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda = \arctan(-x/y) \quad (6)$$

A useful relation is

$$\cos \phi = \frac{4Rk_0\rho}{4R^2k_0^2 + \rho^2}$$

The area of a small pixel is

$$\Delta A = \left| \frac{d\lambda}{dy} \frac{d\phi}{dx} - \frac{d\lambda}{dx} \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right| \cos \phi \quad (7)$$

The derivatives can be obtained from equations (4)-(6), and the result is

$$\boxed{\Delta A = \frac{1}{k_0^2 \left( 1 + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{4k_0^2 R^2} \right)^2}} \quad (8)$$

Without distortions, the term in parentheses would be unity. The farther from the pole, the smaller the corresponding area of a pixel.

Tests:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta A \, dx \, dy = 4\pi R^2 \quad \checkmark$$

$$2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \Delta A \rho \, d\rho = 4\pi R^2 \quad \checkmark$$

$$2\pi \int_0^{\rho_0} \Delta A \rho \, d\rho = \frac{4\pi R^2 \rho_0^2}{4k_0^2 R^2 + \rho_0^2} = 2\pi R^2 (1 - \sin \phi_0) \quad \text{area of spherical cap} \quad \checkmark$$