

EMP			ASG			
ENO	ENAME	TITLE	ENO	PNO	RESP	DUR
E1	J. Doe	Elect. Eng	E1	P1	Manager	12
E2	M. Smith	Syst. Anal.	E2	P1	Analyst	24
E3	A. Lee	Mech. Eng.	E2	P2	Analyst	6
E4	J. Miller	Programmer	E3	P3	Consultant	10
E5	B. Casey	Syst. Anal.	E3	P4	Engineer	48
E6	L. Chu	Elect. Eng.	E4	P2	Programmer	18
E7	R. Davis	Mech. Eng.	E5	P2	Manager	24
E8	J. Jones	Syst. Anal.	E6	P4	Manager	48
			E7	P3	Engineer	36
			E8	P3	Manager	40

  

PROJ				PAY	
PNO	PNAME	BUDGET	LOC	TITLE	SAL
P1	Instrumentation	150000	Montreal	Elect. Eng.	40000
P2	Database Develop.	135000	New York	Syst. Anal.	34000
P3	CAD/CAM	250000	New York	Mech. Eng.	27000
P4	Maintenance	310000	Paris	Programmer	24000

Fig. 3.3 Modified Example Database

budgets are less than \$200,000, whereas PROJ<sub>2</sub> stores information about projects with larger budgets. ♦

*Example 3.2.* Figure 3.5 shows the PROJ relation of Figure 3.3 partitioned vertically into two subrelations, PROJ<sub>1</sub> and PROJ<sub>2</sub>. PROJ<sub>1</sub> contains only the information about project budgets, whereas PROJ<sub>2</sub> contains project names and locations. It is important to notice that the primary key to the relation (PNO) is included in both fragments. ♦

The fragmentation may, of course, be nested. If the nestings are of different types, one gets *hybrid fragmentation*. Even though we do not treat hybrid fragmentation as a primitive fragmentation strategy, many real-life partitionings may be hybrid.

### 3.2.3 Degree of Fragmentation

The extent to which the database should be fragmented is an important decision that affects the **performance of query execution**. In fact, the issues in Section 3.2.1 concerning the reasons for fragmentation constitute a subset of the answers to the question we are addressing here. The degree of fragmentation goes from one extreme, that is, not to fragment at all, to the other extreme, to fragment to the level of