Performance of Differential Evolution and Particle Swarm Methods on Some Relatively Harder Multi-modal Benchmark Functions

SK Mishra Department of Economics North-Eastern Hill University, Shillong, Meghalaya (India).

- **I. Introduction:** Global optimization (GO) is concerned with finding the optimum point(s) of a non-convex (multi-modal) function in an m-dimensional space. Although some work was done in the pre-1970's to develop appropriate methods to find the optimal point(s) of a multi-modal function, the 1970's evidenced a great fillip in simulation-based optimization research due to the invention of the 'genetic algorithm' by John Holland (1975). A number of other methods of global optimization were soon developed. Among them, the 'Clustering Method" of Aimo Törn (1978), the "Simulated Annealing Method" of Kirkpatrick and others (1983) and Cerny (1985), "Tabu Search Method" of Fred Glover (1986), the "Ant Colony Algorithm" of Dorigo (1992), the "Particle Swarm Method" of Kennedy and Eberhart (1995) and the "Differential Evolution Method" of Price and Storn (1996) are quite effective and popular. All these methods use the one or the other stochastic process to search the global optima.
- II. The Characteristic Features of Stochastic GO Methods: All stochastic search methods of global optimization partake of the probabilistic nature inherent to them. As a result, one cannot obtain certainty in their results, unless they are permitted to go in for indefinitely large search attempts. Larger is the number of attempts, greater is the probability that they would find out the global optimum, but even then it would not reach at the certainty. Secondly, all of them adapt themselves to the surface on which they find the global optimum. The scheme of adaptation is largely based on some guesswork since nobody knows as to the true nature of the problem (environment or surface) and the most suitable scheme of adaptation to fit the given environment. Surfaces may be varied and different for different functions. A particular type of surface may be suited to a particular method while a search in another type of surface may be a difficult proposition for it. Further, each of these methods operates with a number of parameters that may be changed at choice to make it more effective. This choice is often problem oriented and for obvious reasons. A particular choice may be extremely effective in a few cases, but it might be ineffective (or counterproductive) in certain other cases. Additionally, there is a relation of trade-off among those parameters. These features make all these methods a subject of trial and error exercises.
- **III.** The Objectives: Our objective in this paper is to compare the performance of the Differential Evolution (DE) and the Repulsive Particle Swarm (RPS) methods of global optimization. To this end, some relatively difficult test functions have been chosen. Among these test functions, some are new while others are well known in the literature.
- **IV. Details of the Test Functions used in this Study**: The following test (benchmark) functions have been used in this study.

(1) Perm function #1: In the domain $x \in [-4, 4]$, the function has $f_{min} = 0$ for x = (1, 2, 3, 4). It is specified as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} (i^{k} + \beta) \{ (x_{i} / i)^{k} - 1 \} \right]^{2}$$

The value of β (=50) introduces difficulty to optimization. Smaller values of beta raise this difficulty further.

(2) **Perm function #2**: In the domain $x \in [-1, 1]$, and for a given β (=10), this m-variable function has $f_{\min} = 0$ for $x_i = (i)^{-1}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m. It is specified as

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{4} (i + \beta) \{ (x_i)^k - (i)^{-k} \} \right]^2$$

Smaller values of beta raise difficulty in optimization.

(3) Power-sum function: Defined on four variables in the domain $x \in [0, 4]$, this function has $f_{min}=0$ for any permutation of x = (1, 2, 2, 3). The function is defined as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{4} \left[b_k - \sum_{i=1}^{4} x_i^k \right]^2$$
; $b_k = (8, 18, 44, 114)$ for $k = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ respectively.

(4) Bukin's functions: Bukin's functions are almost fractal (with fine seesaw edges) in the surroundings of their minimal points. Due to this property, they are extremely difficult to optimize by any method of global (or local) optimization and find correct values of decision variables (i.e. x_i for i=1,2). In the search domain $x_1 \in [-15, -5], x_2 \in [-3, 3]$ the 6th Bukin's function is defined as follows.

$$f_6(x) = 100\sqrt{|x_2 - 0.01x_1^2|} + 0.01|x_1 + 10|$$
; $f_{min}(-10, 1) = 0$

- (5) **Zero-sum function**: Defined in the domain $x \in [-10, 10]$ this function (in $m \ge 2$) has f(x) = 0 if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = 0$. Otherwise $f(x) = 1 + \left(10000 \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \right| \right)^{0.5}$. This function has innumerably many minima but it is extremely difficult to obtain any of them. Larger is the value of m (dimension), it becomes more difficult to optimize the function.
- (6) Hougen function: Hougen function is typical complex test for classical non-linear regression problems. The Hougen-Watson model for reaction kinetics is an example of such non-linear regression problem. The form of the model is

$$rate = \frac{\beta_{1}x_{2} - x_{3} / \beta_{5}}{1 + \beta_{2}x_{1} + \beta_{3}x_{2} + \beta_{4}x_{3}}$$

where the betas are the unknown parameters, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ are the explanatory variables and 'rate' is the dependent variable. The parameters are estimated via the least squares criterion. That is, the parameters are such that the sum of the squared differences between the observed responses and their fitted values of rate is minimized. The input data given alongside are used.

$\mathbf{x_1}$	$\mathbf{x_2}$	X 3	rate	
470	300	10	8.55	
285	80	10	3.79	
470	300	120	4.82	
470	80	120	0.02	
470	80	10	2.75	
100	190	10	14.39	
100	80	65	2.54	
470	190	65	4.35	
100	300	54	13.00	
100	300	120	8.50	
100	80	120	0.05	
285	300	10	11.32	
285	190	120	3.13	

The best results are obtained by the Rosenbrock-Quasi-Newton method: $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.253031$; $\hat{\beta}_2 = 1.190943$; $\hat{\beta}_3 = 0.062798$; $\hat{\beta}_4 = 0.040063$; $\hat{\beta}_5 = 0.112453$. The sum of squares of deviations (S²) = f_{min} is = 0.298900994 and the coefficient of correlation (R) between observed rate and expected rate is =0.99945.

(7) *Giunta function*: In the search domain $x_1, x_2 \in [-1, 1]$ this function is defined as follows and has $f_{\min}(0.45834282, 0.45834282) \approx 0.0602472184$ (Silagadge, 2004), which appears to be incorrect. We get $f_{\min}(0.46732, 0.46732) \approx 0.0644704205$.

$$f(x) = 0.6 + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[\sin(\frac{16}{15}x_i - 1) + \sin^2(\frac{16}{15}x_i - 1) + \frac{1}{50}\sin(4(\frac{16}{15}x_i - 1)) \right].$$

(8) DCS function: The generalized deflected corrugated spring function is an m-variable function with $f_{\min}(c_1, c_2, ..., c_m) = -1$. In case all $c_i = \alpha$, then $f_{\min}(\alpha, \alpha, ..., \alpha) = -1$. For larger dimension it is a difficult function to optimize. In particular, one of its local minimum at f(x) = -0.84334 is very attractive and most of the optimization algorithms are attracted to and trapped by that local optimum. The DCS function is defined as:

$$f(x) = \left[\frac{1}{10}\right] \sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - c_i)^2 - \cos\left[k\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - c_i)^2\right]^{0.5}\right]; \ x \in [-20, \ 20]$$

(9) Kowalik or Yao-Liu #15 function: It is a 4-variable function in the domain $x \in [-5, 5]$, that has the global minimum $f_{min}(0.19, 0.19, 0.12, 0.14) = 0.3075$. This function is given as:

$$f(x) = 1000 \sum_{i=1}^{11} \left(a_i - \frac{x_1(b_i^2 + b_i x_2)}{b_i^2 + b_i x_3 + x_4} \right)^2; \text{ where } b = \left(\frac{1}{0.25}, \frac{1}{0.5}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{14}, \frac{1}{16} \right) \text{ and } a = (0.1957, 0.1947, 0.1735, 0.1600, 0.0844, 0.0627, 0.0456, 0.0342, 0.0323, 0.0235, 0.0246).$$

(10) Yao-Liu #7 function: It is an m-variable function in the domain $x \in [-1.28, 1.28]$, that has the global minimum $f_{min}(0, 0, ..., 0) = 0$. This function is given as:

$$f(x) = rand[0,1] + \sum_{i=1}^{m} i(x_i^4)$$

(11) Fletcher-Powell function: This is an m-variable function with $f_{\min}(c_1, c_2, ..., c_m) = 0$ given as follows:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_i - B_i)^2,$$

where
$$A_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[u_{ij} \sin(c_j) + v_{ij} \cos(c_j) \right]; \quad B_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left[u_{ij} \sin(x_j) + v_{ij} \cos(x_j) \right] \quad ; u_{ij}, \quad v_{ij} = rand[-100, 100]$$

and x_i , $c_i \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Moreover, c could be stochastic or fixed. When c is fixed, it is easier to optimize, but for stochastic c optimization is quite difficult. One may visualize c as a vector that has two parts; c_1 (fixed) and r (random). Either of them could be zero or both of them could be non-zero.

(12) New function 1: This function (with $f_{min}(1, 1, ..., 1) = 2$) may be defined as follows:

$$f(x) = (1 + x_m)^{x_m}; \ x_m = m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i; \ x \in [0, 1] \ \forall \ i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

This function is not very difficult to optimize. However, its modification that gives us a new function (#2) is considerably difficult.

(13) New function 2: This function (with $f_{min}(1, 1, ..., 1) = 2$) may be defined as follows:

$$f(x) = (1 + x_m)^{x_m}; \ x_m = m - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (x_i + x_{i+1})/2; \ x \in [0, 1] \ \forall \ i = 1, 2, ..., m$$

Unlike the new function #1, here x_m of the prior iteration indirectly enters into the posterior iteration. As a result, this function is extremely difficult to optimize.

V. Some Details of the Particle Swarm Methods used Here: In this exercise we have used (modified) Repulsive Particle Swarm method. The Repulsive Particle Swarm method of optimization is a variant of the classical Particle Swarm method (see Wikipedia, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RPSO). It is particularly effective in finding out the global optimum in very complex search spaces (although it may be slower on certain types of optimization problems).

In the traditional RPS the future velocity, v_{i+1} of a particle at position with a recent velocity, v_i , and the position of the particle are calculated by:

$$v_{i+1} = \omega v_i + \alpha r_1 (\hat{x}_i - x_i) + \omega \beta r_2 (\hat{x}_{hi} - x_i) + \omega \gamma r_3 z$$

$$x_{i+1} = x_i + v_{i+1}$$

where,

- x is the position and v is the velocity of the individual particle. The subscripts i and i+1 stand for the recent and the next (future) iterations, respectively.
- r_1, r_2, r_3 are random numbers, $\in [0,1]$
- ω is inertia weight, $\in [0.01, 0.7]$
- \hat{x} is the best position of a particle
- x_h is best position of a randomly chosen other particle from within the swarm
- z is a random velocity vector
- α , β , γ are constants

Occasionally, when the process is caught in a local optimum, some *chaotic* perturbation in position as well as velocity of some particle(s) may be needed.

The traditional RPS gives little scope of local search to the particles. They are guided by their past experience and the communication received from the others in the swarm. We have modified the traditional RPS method by endowing stronger (wider) local search ability to each particle. Each particle flies in its local surrounding and searches for a better solution. The domain of its search is controlled by a new parameter (nstep). This local search has no preference to gradients in any direction and resembles closely to tunneling. This added exploration capability of the particles brings the RPS method closer to what we observe in real life.

Each particle learns from its 'chosen' inmates in the swarm. At the one extreme is to learn from the best performer in the entire swarm. This is how the particles in the original PS method learn. However, such learning is not natural. How can we expect the

individuals to know as to the best performer and interact with all others in the swarm? We believe in limited interaction and limited knowledge that any individual can possess and acquire. So, our particles do not know the 'best' in the swarm. Nevertheless, they interact with some chosen inmates that belong to the swarm. Now, the issue is: how does the particle choose its inmates? One of the possibilities is that it chooses the inmates closer (at lesser distance) to it. But, since our particle explores the locality by itself, it is likely that it would not benefit much from the inmates closer to it. Other relevant topologies are: (the celebrated) *ring topology*, ring topology hybridized with random topology, star topology, von Neumann topology, etc.

Now, let us visualize the possibilities of choosing (a predetermined number of) inmates randomly from among the members of the swarm. This is much closer to reality in the human world. When we are exposed to the mass media, we experience this. Alternatively, we may visualize our particles visiting a public place (e.g. railway platform, church, etc) where it (he) meets people coming from different places. Here, geographical distance of an individual from the others is not important. Important is how the experiences of others are communicated to us. There are large many sources of such information, each one being selective in what it broadcasts and each of us selective in what we attend to and, therefore, receive. This selectiveness at both ends transcends the geographical boundaries and each one of us is practically exposed to randomized information. Of course, two individuals may have a few common sources of information. We have used these arguments in the scheme of dissemination of others' experiences to each individual particle. Presently, we have assumed that each particle chooses a preassigned number of inmates (randomly) from among the members of the swarm. However, this number may be randomized to lie between two pre-assigned limits.

VI. Some Details of the Differential Evolution Methods used Here: The differential Evolution method consists of three basic steps: (i) generation of (large enough) population with N individuals $[x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_m)]$ in the m-dimensional space, randomly distributed over the entire domain of the function in question and evaluation of the individuals of the so generated by finding f(x); (ii) replacement of this current population by a better fit new population, and (iii) repetition of this replacement until satisfactory results are obtained or certain criteria of termination are met.

The crux of the problem lays in replacement of the current population by a new population that is better fit. Here the meaning of 'better' is in the Pareto improvement sense. A set S_a is better than another set S_b *iff*: (i) no $x_i \in S_a$ is inferior to the corresponding member $x_i \in S_b$; and (ii) at least one member $x_k \in S_a$ is better than the corresponding member $x_k \in S_b$. Thus, every new population is an improvement over the earlier one. To accomplish this, the DE method generates a candidate individual to replace each current individual in the population. The candidate individual is obtained by a crossover of the current individual and three other randomly selected individuals from the current population. The crossover itself is probabilistic in nature. Further, if the candidate individual is better fit than the current individual, it takes the place of the current individual, else the current individual stays and passes into the next iteration.

Algorithmically stated, initially, a population of points (p in d-dimensional space) is generated and evaluated (i.e. f(p) is obtained) for their fitness. Then for each point (p_i) three different points $(p_a, p_b \text{ and } p_c)$ are randomly chosen from the population. A new point (p_z) is constructed from those three points by adding the weighted difference between two points $(w(p_b-p_c))$ to the third point (p_a) . Then this new point (p_z) is subjected to a crossover with the current point (p_i) with a probability of crossover (c_r) , yielding a candidate point, say p_u . This point, p_u , is evaluated and if found better than p_i then it replaces p_i else p_i remains. Thus we obtain a new vector in which all points are either better than or as good as the current points. This new vector is used for the next iteration. This process makes the differential evaluation scheme completely self-organizing.

The crossover scheme (called exponential crossover, as suggested by Kenneth Price in his personal letter to the author) is given below.

The mutant vector is $\mathbf{v}i,g = \mathbf{x}r1,g + F^*(\mathbf{x}r2,g - \mathbf{x}r3,g)$ and the target vector is $\mathbf{x}i,g$ and the trial vector is $\mathbf{u}i,g$. The indices r1, r2 and r3 are randomly but different from each other. Uj(0,1) is a uniformly distributed random number between 0 and 1 that is chosen anew for each parameter as needed.

Step 1: Randomly pick a parameter index j = jrand.

Step 2: The trial vector inherits the jth parameter (initially = jrand) from the mutant vector, i.e., $u_i, i, g = v_i, i, g$.

Step 3: Increment j; if j = D then reset j = 0.

Step 4: If j = jrand end crossover; else goto Step 5.

Step 5: If $Cr \le Uj(0,1)$, then goto Step 2; else goto Step 6

Step 6: The trial vector inherits the jth parameter from the target vector, i.e., u_i , $i,g = x_i$, i,g.

Step 7: Increment j; if j = D then reset j = 0.

Step 8: If j = jrand end crossover; else goto Step 6.

There could be other schemes (as many as 10 in number) of crossover, including no crossover (probabilistic replacement only, which works better in case of a few functions).

VII. Specification of Adjustable Parameters: The RPS as well as the DE method needs some parameters to be specified by the user. In case of the RPS we have fixed the parameters as follows:

Population size, N=100; neighbour population, NN=50; steps for local search, NSTEP=11; Max no. of iterations permitted, ITRN=10000; chaotic perturbation allowed, NSIGMA=1; selection of neighbour: random, ITOP=3; A1=A2=0.5; A3=5.e-04; W=.5; SIGMA=1.e-03; EPSI=1.d-08. Meanings of these parameters are explained in the programs (appended).

In case of the DE, we have used *two alternatives*: first, the exponential crossover (ncross=1) as suggested by Price, and the second, only probabilistic replacement (but no crossover, ncross=0). We have fixed other parameters as: max number of iterations allowed, Iter = 10000, population size, N = 10 times of the dimension of the function or 100 whichever maximum; pcros = 0.9; scale factor, fact = 0.5, random number seed, iu = 1111 and all random numbers are uniformly distributed between -1000 and 1000; accuracy needed, eps =1.0e-08.

In case of either method, if x in f(x) violates the boundary then it is forcibly brought within the specified limits through replacing it by a random number lying in the given limits of the function concerned.

VIII. Findings and Discussion: Our findings are summarized in tables #1 through #3. The first table presents the results of the DE method when used with the exponential crossover scheme, while the table #2 presents the results of DE with no crossover (only probabilistic replacement). Table #3 presents the results of the RPS method.

A perusal of table #1 suggests that DE (with the exponential crossover scheme) mostly fails to find the optimum. Of course, it succeeds in case of some functions (perm#2, zero-sum) for very small dimension (m), but begins to falter as soon as the dimension is increased. In case of DCS function, it works well up to m (dimension) = 5.

Table-1: Differential Evolution (with exponential crossover: Ncross=1, $Cr = 0.9$, $F = 0.5$)									
Function	M=2	M=4	M=5	M=10	M=20	M=30	M=50	M=100	
Bukin-6	0.01545	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Giunta	0.06447	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Perm #1	-	2.45035	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Power-Sum	-	0.00752	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kowalik	-	0.36724	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hougen	-	-	0.34228	-	-	-	-	-	
New Fn #2	2.05349	2.03479	2.12106	2.57714					
Fletcher	0.02288	0.72118	3.13058	12027.6					
Perm #2	0	0.00549	0.07510	0.01005	0.15991				
Yao-Liu#7	0.02924	0.02244	0.03338	0.04643	0.19073	0.27375	0.51610		
Zero-Sum	0	1.73194	1.70313	1.26159	1.13372	1.74603	1.70230	1.22196	
DCS	-1	-0.99994	-0.99994	-0.84334	-0.84334	0.40992	0.40992	1.50650	

Table-2: Differential Evolution (without crossover: Ncross = 0 , Cr = 0.9 , F = 0.5)								
Function	M=2	M=4	M=5	M=10	M=20	M=30	M=50	M=100
Bukin-6	0.02132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Giunta	0.06447	-	1	1	-	-	-	-
Perm #1	-	0.00000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Power-Sum	-	0.00000	1	-	-	-	-	-
Kowalik	-	0.30745	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hougen	-	-	0.29890	-	-	-	-	-
New Fn #2	2.03031	2.11842	2.11040	2.57009				
Fletcher	0.00862	2.84237	7.09278	261.377				
Perm #2	0	0.00009	0.00011	0.07219	13.355			
Yao-Liu#7	0.02984	0.00668	0.02467	0.02396	0.21920	0.22435	0.31691	
Zero-Sum	0	0	0	0	0	0	1.32197	1.30459
DCS	-1	-1	-0.84334	-0.84334	-0.84334	-0.37336	-0.37302	-0.37302

When we use no crossover (only probabilistic replacement) we obtain better results in case of several of the functions under study. Thus, overall, table #2 presents better results than what table #1 does. In case of Perm#1, Perm#2, Zero-sum, Kowalik, Hougen and Power-sum functions the advantage is clear.

Whether crossover or no crossover, DE falters when the optimand function has some element of randomness. This is indicated by the functions: Yao-Liu#7, Fletcher-Powell, and "New function#2". DE has no problems in optimizing the "New function #1". But the "New function #2" proves to be a hard nut. However, RPS performs much better for such stochastic functions. When the Fletcher-Powell function is optimized with non-stochastic c vector, DE works fine. But as soon as c is stochastic, it becomes unstable. Thus, it may be observed that an introduction of stochasticity into the decision variables (or simply added to the function as in Yao-Liu#7) interferes with the fundamentals of DE, which works through attainment of better and better (in the sense of Pareto improvement) population at each successive iteration.

Table-3: Repulsive Particle Swarm								
Function	M=2	M=4	M=5	M=10	M=20	M=30	M=50	M=100
Bukin-6	0.75649	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Giunta	0.06447	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perm #1	-	0.00000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Power-Sum	-	0.00000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kowalik	-	0.30749	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hougen	-	_	0.42753	-	-	-	-	-
New Fn #2	2.00000	2.00021	2.00115	2.00644				
Fletcher	0.00003	0.09582	0.92413	40.70962				
Perm #2	0	0.00006	0.00011	0.00008	1.17420			
Yao-Liu#7	0.00000	0.00002	0.00000	0.00003	0.00007	0.00050	0.00060	
Zero-Sum	1.12312	1.14119	1.19259	1.21672	1.44780	1.02749	1.18303	1.56457
DCS	-1	-1	-1	-0.84334	-0.84334	-0.37336	-0.37336	11.68769

IX. Conclusion: Ours is a very small sample of test functions that we have used to compare DE (with and without crossover) and RPS methods. So the limitations of our conclusions are obvious. However, if any indication is obtained, those are: (1) for different types of problems, different schemes of crossover (including none) may be suitable or unsuitable, (2) Stochasticity entering into the optimand function may make DE unstable, but RPS may function well.

Bibliography

- Bauer, J.M.: "Harnessing the Swarm: Communication Policy in an Era of Ubiquitous Networks and Disruptive Technologies", *Communications and Strategies*, 45, 2002.
- Box, M.J.: "A New Method of Constrained Optimization and a Comparison with Other Methods".
 Comp. J. 8, pp. 42-52, 1965.
- Bukin, A. D.: *New Minimization Strategy For Non-Smooth Functions*, Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics preprint BUDKER-INP-1997-79, Novosibirsk 1997.
- Cerny, V.: "Thermodynamical Approach to the Traveling Salesman Problem: An Efficient Simulation Algorithm", *J. Opt. Theory Appl.*, 45, 1, 41-51, 1985.
- Eberhart R.C. and Kennedy J.: "A New Optimizer using Particle Swarm Theory", *Proceedings Sixth Symposium on Micro Machine and Human Science*, pp. 39–43. IEEE Service Center, Piscataway, NJ, 1995.
- Fleischer, M.: "Foundations of Swarm Intelligence: From Principles to Practice", Swarming Network Enabled C4ISR, arXiv:nlin.AO/0502003 v1 2 Feb 2005.
- G.E.P. Box, "Evolutionary Operation: A Method for Increasing Industrial Productivity", *Applied Statistics*, 6, pp. 81-101, 1957.
- Glover F.," Future Paths for Integer Programming and Links to Artificial Intelligence", *Computers and Operations Research*, 5:533-549, 1986.
- Hayek, F.A.: *The Road to Serfdom*, Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1944.
- Holland, J.: Adaptation in Natural and Artificial Systems, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1975
- Karush, W. *Minima of Functions of Several Variables with Inequalities as Side Constraints*. M.Sc. Dissertation. Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1939.
- Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C.D. Jr., and Vecchi, M.P.: "Optimization by Simulated Annealing", *Science*, 220, 4598, 671-680, 1983.
- Krink, T., Filipič, B., Fogel, G.B. and Thomsen, R.: "Noisy Optimization Problems A Particular Challenge for Differential Evolution?", 0-7803-8515-2/04/\$20.00©2004 IEEE, pp. 332-339, 2004.
- Kuhn, H.W. and Tucker, A.W.: "Nonlinear Programming", in Neymann, J. (ed) Proceedings of Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability, Univ. of California Press, Berkrley, Calif. pp. 481-492, 1951.
- Metropolis, N. <u>The Beginning of the Monte Carlo Method</u>. *Los Alamos Science*, No. 15, Special Issue, pp. 125-130, 1987.
- Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A., Rosenbluth, M., Teller, A., and Teller, E.: "Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines", *J. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 6, 1087-1092, 1953.
- Mishra, S.K.: "Some Experiments on Fitting of Gielis Curves by Simulated Annealing and Particle Swarm Methods of Global Optimization", Social Science Research Network (SSRN): http://ssrn.com/abstract=913667, Working Papers Series, 2006 (a).
- Mishra, S.K.: "Least Squares Fitting of Chacón-Gielis Curves by the Particle Swarm Method of Optimization", *Social Science Research Network* (SSRN), Working Papers Series, http://ssrn.com/abstract=917762, 2006 (b).
- Mishra, S.K.: "Performance of Repulsive Particle Swarm Method in Global Optimization of Some Important Test Functions: A Fortran Program", Social Science Research Network (SSRN), Working Papers Series, http://ssrn.com/abstract=924339, 2006 (c).
- Mishra, S.K.: "Some New Test Functions for Global Optimization and Performance of Repulsive Particle Swarm Method", Social Science Research Network (SSRN) Working Papers Series, http://ssrn.com/abstract=927134, 2006 (d).
- Mishra, S.K.: "Repulsive Particle Swarm Method on Some Difficult Test Problems of Global Optimization", SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=928538, 2006 (e).
- Mishra, S.K.: "Global Optimization by Differential Evolution and Particle Swarm Methods: Evaluation on Some Benchmark Functions". SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=933827, 2006 (f).

- Nagendra, S.: Catalogue of Test Problems for Optimization Algorithm Verification, Technical Report 97-CRD-110, General Electric Company, 1997.
- Nelder, J.A. and Mead, R.: "A Simplex Method for Function Minimization" *Computer Journal*, 7: pp. 308-313, 1964.
- Parsopoulos, K.E. and Vrahatis, M.N., "Recent Approaches to Global Optimization Problems Through Particle Swarm Optimization", *Natural Computing*, 1 (2-3), pp. 235-306, 2002.
- Prigogine, I. and Strengers, I.: Order Out of Chaos: Man's New Dialogue with Nature, Bantam Books, Inc. NY, 1984.
- Silagadge, Z.K.: "Finding Two-Dimensional Peaks", Working Paper, Budkar Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia, arXive:physics/0402085 V3 11 Mar 2004.
- Simon, H.A.: *Models of Bounded Rationality*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1982.
- Smith, A.: The Theory of the Moral Sentiments, The Adam Smith Institute (2001 e-version), 1759.
- Sumper, D.J.T.: "The Principles of Collective Animal Behaviour", *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B.* 361, pp. 5-22, 2006.
- Törn, A.A and Viitanen, S.: "Topographical Global Optimization using Presampled Points", *J. of Global Optimization*, 5, pp. 267-276, 1994.
- Törn, A.A.: "A search Clustering Approach to Global Optimization", in Dixon, LCW and Szegö, G.P. (Eds) *Towards Global Optimization* 2, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- Tsallis, C. and Stariolo, D.A.: "Generalized Simulated Annealing", *ArXive condmat/9501047 v1* 12 Jan. 1995.
- Valentine, R.H.: Travel Time Curves in Oblique Structures, Ph.D. Dissertation, MIT, Mass, 1937.
- Veblen, T.B.: "Why is Economics Not an Evolutionary Science" *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 12, 1898.
- Veblen, T.B.: *The Theory of the Leisure Class*, The New American library, NY. (Reprint, 1953), 1899.
- Vesterstrøm, J. and Thomsen, R.: "A Comparative Study of Differential Evolution, Particle Swarm Optimization, and Evolutionary Algorithms on Numerical Benchmark Problems", *Congress on Evolutionary Computation*, 2004. CEC2004, 2, pp. 1980-1987, 2004.
- Whitley, D., Mathias, K., Rana, S. and Dzubera, J.: "Evaluating Evolutionary Algorithms", *Artificial Intelligence*, 85, pp. 245-276, 1996.
- Yao, X. and Liu, Y.: "Fast Evolutionary Programming", in Fogel, LJ, Angeline, PJ and Bäck, T (eds) *Proc.* 5th Annual Conf. on Evolutionary programming, pp. 451-460, MIT Press, Mass, 1996.

```
1: C
2: C
         (SUBROUTINE RPS) AND DIFFERENTIAL WVOLUTION METHOD (DE)
3: c
4: c
         Adjust the parameters suitably in subroutines DE and RPS
5: c
         When the program asks for parameters, feed them suitably
6: c
7:
         PROGRAM DERPS
         IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
8:
9:
         COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL ! FUNCTION CODE AND NO. OF FUNCTION CALLS
10:
         CHARACTER *30 METHOD(2)
11:
         CHARACTER *1 PROCEED
         DIMENSION XX(2,100), KKF(2), MM(2), FMINN(2)
12:
13:
         DIMENSION X(100)! X IS THE DECISION VARIABLE X IN F(X) TO MINIMIZE
14: C
15: C
16:
17:
         WRITE(*,*)'Adjust the parameters suitably in subroutines DE & RPS'
18:
         METHOD(1) = ' : DIFFERENTIAL EVALUATION'
METHOD(2) = ' : REPULSIVE PARTICLE SWARM'
19:
20:
21:
         DO I=1.2
22:
23:
         IF(I.EQ.1) THEN
24:
         WRITE(*,*)'======= DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION PROGRAM ========
         WRITE(*,*)'TO PROCEED TYPE ANY CHARACTER AND STRIKE ENTER'
25:
26:
         READ (*, *) PROCEED
27:
         CALL DE (M, X, FMINDE) ! CALLS DE AND RETURNS OPTIMAL X AND FMIN
28:
         FMIN=FMINDE
29:
         ELSE
30:
         WRITE(*,*)' '
         WRITE(*,*)' '
31:
32:
         WRITE(*,*)'=======REPULSIVE PARTICLE SWARM PROGRAM =======
33:
         WRITE(*,*)'TO PROCEED TYPE ANY CHARACTER AND STRIKE ENTER'
34:
         READ (*, *) PROCEED
         CALL RPS(M, X, FMINRPS) ! CALLS RPS AND RETURNS OPTIMAL X AND FMIN
35:
36:
         FMIN=FMINRPS
37:
         ENDIF
38:
         DO J=1, M
39:
         XX(I,J)=X(J)
40:
         ENDDO
41:
         KKF(I) = KF
42:
         MM(I)=M
         FMINN(I)=FMIN
43:
44:
         ENDDO
         WRITE(*,*)' '
45:
         WRITE(*,*)' '
46:
47:
         WRITE (*,*)'--
                         ----- FINAL RESULTS===========
48:
         DO I=1,2
         WRITE(*,*)'FUNCT CODE=',KKF(I),' FMIN=',FMINN(I),': DIM=',MM(I)
49:
         WRITE(*,*)'OPTIMAL DECISION VARIABLES : ', METHOD(I)
50:
51:
         WRITE (*, *) (XX(I,J),J=1,M)
52:
         53:
         ENDDO
         WRITE(*,*)'PROGRAM ENDED'
54:
55:
         END
56: C
         SUBROUTINE DE (M, A, FBEST)
57:
58: C
         PROGRAM: "DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION ALGORITHM" OF GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION
59: C
60: C
         "DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION - A SIMPLE AND EFFICIENT ADAPTIVE SCHEME
61: C
         FOR GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION OVER CONTINUOUS SPACES": TECHNICAL REPORT
62: C
63: C
64: C
65: C
66:
         IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z) ! TYPE DECLARATION
67:
         PARAMETER (NMAX=1000, MMAX=100) ! MAXIMUM DIMENSION PARAMETERS
```

```
68:
           PARAMETER (NCROSS=1) ! CROSS-OVER SCHEME (NCROSS <=0 OR =>1)
           PARAMETER (IPRINT=500, EPS=1.D-08) ! FOR WATCHING INTERMEDIATE RESULTS
 70: C
 71: C
 72: C
           ULTIMATELY "DID NOT CONVERGE" IS REOPORTED.
 73: C
 74:
           COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV ! RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION (IU = 4-DIGIT SEED)
 75:
           INTEGER IU, IV
           COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL ! FUNCTION CODE AND NO. OF FUNCTION CALLS
 76 •
           CHARACTER *70 FTIT ! TITLE OF THE FUNCTION
 77:
 78: C
 79: C
 80: C
 81: C
 82: C
 83: C
 84: C
 85: C
 86: C
 87: C
 88:
           DIMENSION X (NMAX, MMAX), Y (NMAX, MMAX), A (MMAX), FV (NMAX)
 89:
           DIMENSION IR (3)
 90: C
 91: C
 92:
           CALL FSELECT (KF, M, FTIT)
 93: 0
           WRITE(*,*)'POPULATION SIZE [N] AND NO. OF ITERATIONS [ITER] ?'
 94:
           WRITE(*,*)'SUGGESTED: MAX(100, 10.M); ITER 10000 OR SO'
 95:
 96:
           READ(*,*) N, ITER
 97:
           WRITE(*,*)'CROSSOVER PROBABILITY [PCROS] AND SCALE [FACT] ?'
           WRITE(*,*)'SUGGESTED: PCROS ABOUT 0.9; FACT=.5 OR LARGER BUT <=1'
 98:
 99:
           READ(*,*) PCROS, FACT
100:
           WRITE(*,*)'RANDOM NUMBER SEED ?'
101:
           WRITE (*, *) 'A FOUR-DIGIT POSITIVE ODD INTEGER, SAY, 1171'
102:
           READ(*,*) IU
103:
104:
           NFCALL=0 ! INITIALIZE COUNTER FOR FUNCTION CALLS
105:
           GBEST=1.D30 ! TO BE USED FOR TERMINATION CRITERION
106: C
107:
           DO I=1, N
           DO J=1, M
108:
109:
           CALL RANDOM (RAND)
110:
           X(I, J) = (RAND - .5D00) *2000
111: C
112:
           ENDDO
113:
           ENDDO
114:
           WRITE(*,*)'COMPUTING --- PLEASE WAIT '
115.
           TPCOUNT=0
116:
           DO 100 ITR=1, ITER ! ITERATION BEGINS
117:
118: C
119:
           DO I=1, N
120:
           DO J=1, M
           A(J) = X(I, J)
121:
122:
           ENDDO
123:
           CALL FUNC (A, M, F)
124: C
125:
           FV(I)=F
126:
           ENDDO
127: C
128: C
129:
                      FBEST=FV(1)
130:
                      KB=1
131:
                      DO IB=2, N
132:
                           IF (FV(IB), LT, FBEST) THEN
133:
                           FBEST=FV(IB)
134:
                           KB=TB
```

```
ENDIF
135:
136:
                      ENDDO
137: C
138: C
139: C
140:
           DO I=1, N ! I LOOP BEGINS
141: C
142:
                 DO J=1, M
143:
                 Y(I,J)=X(I,J)
144:
                ENDDO
145: C
146:
        2.0
               DO IRI=1,3 ! IRI LOOP BEGINS
147:
                IR(IRI) = 0
148:
149:
                CALL RANDOM (RAND)
150:
                IRJ=INT(RAND*N)+1
151: C
152:
                 IF (IRI.EQ.1.AND.IRJ.NE.I) THEN
153:
                 IR(IRI)=IRJ
154:
                 ENDIF
                 IF(IRI.EQ.2.AND.IRJ.NE.I.AND.IRJ.NE.IR(1)) THEN
155:
156:
                 IR(IRI)=IRJ
157:
                 ENDIF
158:
            IF (IRI.EQ.3.AND.IRJ.NE.I.AND.IRJ.NE.IR(1).AND.IRJ.NE.IR(2)) THEN
159:
                  IR(IRI)=IRJ
160:
                  ENDIF
161:
                  ENDDO
162: C
163:
               DO TX=1.3
164:
                IF(IR(IX).LE.0) THEN
165:
                GOTO 20 ! IF NOT THEN REGENERATE
166:
               ENDIF
167:
               ENDDO
168: C
169: C
170: C
171: C
172:
               IF (NCROSS.LE.0) THEN
173:
                DO J=1, M
174:
                CALL RANDOM (RAND)
175:
                IF(RAND.LE.PCROS) THEN ! REPLACE IF RAND < PCROS</pre>
176:
                A(J) = X(IR(1), J) + (X(IR(2), J) - X(IR(3), J)) *FACT ! CANDIDATE CHILD
177:
               ENDIF
178:
                ENDDO
179:
               ENDIF
180:
181: C
182: C
183: C
184:
           IF (NCROSS.GE.1) THEN
185:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
186:
             JR=INT(RAND*M)+1
187:
             J=JR
188:
             A(J) = X(IR(1), J) + FACT*(X(IR(2), J) - X(IR(3), J))
189:
         3
             J=J+1
190:
             IF (J.GT.M) J=1
191:
         4
             IF (J.EQ.JR) GOTO 10
192:
         5
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
193:
             IF (PCROS.LE.RAND) GOTO 2
194:
         6
             A(J) = X(I,J)
195:
         7
             J=J+1
196:
             IF(J.GT.M) J=1
             IF (J.EQ.JR) GOTO 10
197:
         8
198:
         9
             GOTO 6
199:
        10
             CONTINUE
200:
           ENDIF
201: C
```

```
202:
               CALL FUNC (A, M, F) ! EVALUATE THE OFFSPRING
203:
               IF(F.LT.FV(I)) THEN ! IF BETTER, REPLACE PARENTS BY THE CHILD
2.04:
               FV(I)=F
205.
               DO J=1, M
206:
               Y(I,J)=A(J)
207:
               ENDDO
208:
               ENDIF
209:
           ENDDO
210:
           DO I=1, N
211:
           DO J=1, M
212:
           X(I,J)=Y(I,J) ! NEW GENERATION IS A MIX OF BETTER PARENTS AND
213: C
214:
           ENDDO
215:
           ENDDO
216:
           IPCOUNT=IPCOUNT+1
217:
           IF (IPCOUNT.EQ.IPRINT) THEN
218:
           DO J=1, M
219:
           A(J) = X(KB, J)
220:
           ENDDO
           WRITE(*,*)(X(KB,J),J=1,M),' FBEST UPTO NOW = ',FBEST
221:
           WRITE(*,*)'TOTAL NUMBER OF FUNCTION CALLS =', NFCALL
222:
223:
                IF (DABS (FBEST-GBEST).LT.EPS) THEN
2.2.4:
                WRITE(*,*) FTIT
                WRITE(*,*)'COMPUTATION OVER'
225:
226:
                RETURN
227:
                ELSE
228:
                GBEST=FBEST
229:
                ENDIF
230:
           TPCOUNT=0
231:
           ENDIF
232: C
233: 100 ENDDO ! ITERATION ENDS : GO FOR NEXT ITERATION, IF APPLICABLE
234: C
235:
           WRITE (*, *) 'DID NOT CONVERGE. REDUCE EPS OR RAISE ITER OR DO BOTH'
           WRITE(*,*)'INCREASE N, PCROS, OR SCALE FACTOR (FACT)'
236:
237:
           RETURN
238:
           END
239: C
240: C
241:
           SUBROUTINE RANDOM (RAND1)
242:
            DOUBLE PRECISION RAND1
243:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
244:
           INTEGER IU, IV
245:
            RAND=REAL (RAND1)
246:
            IV=IU*65539
247:
            IF (IV.LT.0) THEN
248:
            IV=IV+2147483647+1
249:
            ENDIF
250:
            RAND=IV
251:
            TIJ=TV
252:
            RAND=RAND*0.4656613E-09
            RAND1= (RAND)
253:
254:
            RETURN
255:
            END
256: C
257:
           SUBROUTINE FSELECT (KF, M, FTIT)
258: C
259: C
           CHARACTER *70 TIT(100), FTIT
260:
           WRITE(*,*)'-----
261:
262:
           DATA TIT(1)/'KF=1 NEW ZERO-SUM FUNCTION (N#7) M-VARIABLES M=?'/
263:
           DATA TIT(2)/'KF=2 PERM FUNCTION #1 (SET BETA) 4-VARIABLES M=4'/
264:
           DATA TIT(3)/'KF=3 PERM FUNCTION #2 (SET BETA) M-VARIABLES M=?'/
           DATA TIT(4)/'KF=4 POWER-SUM FUNCTION 4-VARIABLES M=4'/
265:
266:
           DATA TIT(5)/'KF=5 BUKIN 6TH FUNCTION 2-VARIABLES M=2'/
           DATA TIT(6)/'KF=6 DEFL CORRUG SPRING FUNCTION M-VARIABLES M=?'/
267:
           DATA TIT(7)/'KF=7 YAO-LIU FUNCTION#7 M-VARIABLES M=?'/
268:
```

```
269:
           DATA TIT(8)/'KF=8 HOUGEN FUNCTION : 5-VARIABLES M=5'/
270:
           DATA TIT(9)/'KF=9 GIUNTA FUNCTION : 2-VARIABLES M=2'/
           DATA TIT(10)/'KF=10 KOWALIK FUNCTION: 4-VARIABLES M=4'/
271:
272:
           DATA TIT(11)/'KF=11 FLETCHER-POWELL FUNCTION : M-VARIABLES M=?'/
           DATA TIT(12)/'KF=12 NEW NFUNCT#1 FUNCTION : M-VARIABLES M=?'/
273:
           DATA TIT(13) / 'KF=13 NEW NFUNCT#2 FUNCTION : M-VARIABLES M=?'/
274:
275:
           DATA TIT(14)/'KF=14 WOOD FUNCTION : 4-VARIABLES M=4'/
276:
           DATA TIT(15)/'KF=15 FENTON-EASON FUNCTION : 2-VARIABLES M=2'/
           DATA TIT(16)/'KF=16 TYPICAL NON-LINEAR FUNCTION:M-VARIABLES M=?'/
277:
           DATA TIT(17)/'KF=17 WILD FUNCTION : M-VARIABLES M=?'/
278:
279: C
280:
           DO I=1, 17
281:
           WRITE(*,*)TIT(I)
282:
           ENDDO
           WRITE(*,*)'-----
283:
           WRITE(*,*)'FUNCTION CODE [KF] AND NO. OF VARIABLES [M] ?'
284:
285:
           READ(*,*) KF,M
286:
           FTIT=TIT(KF) ! STORE THE NAME OF THE CHOSEN FUNCTION IN FTIT
287:
           RETURN
288:
           END
289: C
290: C
291:
           SUBROUTINE RPS (M, BST, FMINIM)
292: C
293: C
294: C
295:
           PARAMETER (N=100, NN=50, MX=100, NSTEP=11, ITRN=100000, NSIGMA=1, ITOP=3)
296:
           PARAMETER (NPRN=500) ! ECHOS RESULTS AT EVERY 500 TH ITERATION
297: C
298: C
299: C
300: C
301: C
302: C
303: C
304: C
305: C
306: C
307: C
308: C
309: C
310: C
311: C
312: C
313: C
314: C
315: C
316: C
317: C
318: C
319: C
320:
           IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
           COMMON /RNDM/IU,IV
321:
322:
           COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
323:
           INTEGER IU, IV
324:
           CHARACTER *70 FTIT
325:
           DIMENSION X(N, MX), V(N, MX), A(MX), VI(MX)
326:
           DIMENSION XX (N, MX), F (N), V1 (MX), V2 (MX), V3 (MX), V4 (MX), BST (MX)
327: C
           A1 A2 AND A3 ARE CONSTANTS AND W IS THE INERTIA WEIGHT.
328: C
329: C
330:
           DATA A1, A2, A3, W, SIGMA, EPSI /.5D0, .5D0, 5.D-04, .5D00, 1.D-03, 1.D-08/
331: C
332: C
333:
           CALL FSELECT (KF, M, FTIT)
334: C
335:
           GGBEST=1.D30 ! TO BE USED FOR TERMINATION CRITERION
```

```
336:
           LCOUNT=0
337:
           NFCALL=0
           WRITE(*,*)'4-DIGITS SEED FOR RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION'
338:
           WRITE(*,*)'A FOUR-DIGIT POSITIVE ODD INTEGER, SAY, 1171'
339:
340:
           READ(*,*) IU
341:
           FMIN = 1.0E30
342: C
343:
           DO I=1, N
344:
             DO J=1, M
345:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
346:
              X(I, J) = (RAND-0.5D00) *2000
347: C
348: C
349:
              ENDDO
350:
             F(I) = 1.0D30
351:
           ENDDO
352: C
353:
           DO I=1, N
354:
           DO J=1, M
355:
           CALL RANDOM (RAND)
356:
            V(I, J) = (RAND - 0.5D + 00)
357: C
           ENDDO
358:
359:
           ENDDO
360:
           DO 100 ITER=1, ITRN
361: C
362:
             DO I=1, N
363:
                 DO J=1, M
364:
                 A(J) = X(I, J)
365:
                 VI(J) = V(I, J)
366:
                 ENDDO
367:
                 CALL LSRCH(A, M, VI, NSTEP, FI)
368:
                 IF (FI.LT.F(I)) THEN
369:
                  F(I) = FI
370:
                  DO IN=1, M
371:
                  BST(IN)=A(IN)
372:
                  ENDDO
373: C
374: C
375:
                   DO J=1, M
376:
                   XX(I,J) = A(J)
377:
                   ENDDO
378:
                   ENDIF
379:
             ENDDO
380: C
381: C
382:
           DO I=1, N
383: C
384:
           IF (ITOP.GE.3) THEN
385: C
386: C
387:
                BEST=1.0D30
388:
                DO II=1, NN
389:
                       CALL RANDOM (RAND)
390:
                     NF = INT (RAND*N) + 1
391:
                      IF (BEST.GT.F(NF)) THEN
392:
                       BEST=F(NF)
393:
                      NFBEST=NF
394:
                       ENDIF
395:
                  ENDDO
396:
           ENDIF
397: C---
398:
            IF (ITOP.EQ.2) THEN
            RING + RANDOM TOPOLOGY **************************
399: C
400: C
401:
             BEST=1.0D30
402:
                CALL NEIGHBOR (I, N, I1, I3)
```

```
403:
                DO II=1, NN
404:
                      IF(II.EQ.1) NF=I1
405:
                       IF(II.EQ.2) NF=I
406 •
                        IF(II.EQ.3) NF=I3
407:
                             IF(II.GT.3) THEN
408:
                            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
409:
                            NF = INT (RAND*N) + 1
410:
                            ENDIF
411:
                        IF (BEST.GT.F(NF)) THEN
412:
                        BEST=F(NF)
413:
                        NFBEST=NF
414:
                       ENDIF
415:
                   ENDDO
416:
            ENDIF
417: C-
418:
           IF (ITOP.LE.1) THEN
419: C
            RING TOPOLOGY ***********************************
420: C
421:
              BEST=1.0D30
422:
                 CALL NEIGHBOR(I, N, I1, I3)
                    DO II=1,3
423:
424:
                    IF (II.NE.I) THEN
425:
                   IF(II.EQ.1) NF=I1
426:
                    IF(II.EQ.3) NF=I3
427:
                        IF (BEST.GT.F(NF)) THEN
428:
                         BEST=F(NF)
429:
                         NFBEST=NF
430:
                        ENDIF
431:
                        ENDIF
432:
                  ENDDO
433:
            ENDIF
434: C-
435: C
436: C
437: C
438: C
439:
                 DO J=1, M
440:
                 CALL RANDOM (RAND)
441:
                 V1(J) = A1*RAND*(XX(I, J) - X(I, J))
442: C
            THEN BASED ON THE OTHER COLLEAGUES BEST EXPERIENCE WITH WEIGHT W
443: C
444: C
445:
                 CALL RANDOM (RAND)
446:
                 V2(J)=V(I,J)
447:
                 IF(F(NFBEST).LT.F(I)) THEN
448:
                 V2(J) = A2*W*RAND*(XX(NFBEST, J) - X(I, J))
449:
                 ENDIF
450: C
                 CALL RANDOM (RAND)
451:
452:
                 RND1=RAND
453:
                 CALL RANDOM (RAND)
454:
                  V3(J) = A3*RAND*W*RND1
455: C
            THEN ON PAST VELOCITY WITH INERTIA WEIGHT W
456: C
                 V4(J) = W*V(I,J)
457:
458: C
459:
                 V(I, J) = V1(J) + V2(J) + V3(J) + V4(J)
460:
                 ENDDO
461:
           ENDDO
462: C
463:
           DO I=1, N
           DO J=1, M
464:
465:
           RANDS=0.D00
466: C
467:
           IF (NSIGMA.EQ.1) THEN
468:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND) ! FOR CHAOTIC PERTURBATION
469:
             IF (DABS (RAND-.5D00).LT.SIGMA) RANDS=RAND-0.5D00
```

```
470: C
471: C
472: C
473:
            ENDIF
474: C
475:
            X(I,J) = X(I,J) + V(I,J) * (1.D00 + RANDS)
476:
            ENDDO
477:
            ENDDO
478:
             DO I=1, N
479:
               IF (F(I).LT.FMIN) THEN
480:
               FMIN=F(I)
481:
               TT=T
482:
               DO J=1, M
483:
               BST(J) = XX(II, J)
484:
               ENDDO
485:
               ENDIF
486:
               ENDDO
487:
            IF (LCOUNT.EQ.NPRN) THEN
488:
            LCOUNT=0
            WRITE(*,*)'OPTIMAL SOLUTION UPTO THIS (FUNCTION CALLS=',NFCALL,')'
489:
            WRITE(^*, ^*)'X = ', (BST(J), J=1, M), ' MIN F = ', FMIN
490:
491: C
                      *) 'NO. OF FUNCTION CALLS = ', NFCALL
            IF (DABS (FMIN-GGBEST) .LT.EPSI) THEN
492:
                 WRITE(*,*)'COMPUTATION OVER'
493:
494:
                 FMINIM=FMIN
495:
                 RETURN
496:
                 ELSE
497:
                 GGBEST=FMIN
498:
                 ENDIF
499:
            ENDIF
500:
            LCOUNT=LCOUNT+1
501:
       100 CONTINUE
502:
            WRITE (*, *) 'COMPUTATION OVER:', FTIT
503:
            FMINIM=FMIN
504:
            RETURN
505:
            END
506: C
507:
            SUBROUTINE LSRCH(A, M, VI, NSTEP, FI)
508:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
509:
            COMMON /KFF/KF,NFCALL
510:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
511:
            INTEGER IU, IV
            DIMENSION A(*), B(100), VI(*)
512:
513:
            AMN=1.0D30
514:
            DO J=1, NSTEP
515:
               DO JJ=1, M
516:
               B(JJ) = A(JJ) + (J - (NSTEP/2) - 1) *VI(JJ)
517:
               ENDDO
518:
            CALL FUNC (B, M, FI)
519:
              IF (FI.LT.AMN) THEN
520:
              AMN=FT
521:
              DO JJ=1, M
522:
              A(JJ) = B(JJ)
523:
              ENDDO
524:
              ENDIF
525:
            ENDDO
526:
            FI=AMN
527:
            RETURN
528:
            END
529: C
530: C
531: C
532:
             SUBROUTINE NEIGHBOR (I, N, J, K)
533:
             IF (I-1.GE.1 .AND. I.LT.N) THEN
534:
             J=I-1
535:
             K=I+1
536:
             ELSE
```

```
537:
             IF (I-1.LT.1) THEN
538:
             J=N-I+1
539:
             K=I+1
540:
             ENDIF
541:
             IF (I.EQ.N) THEN
542:
             J=I-1
543:
             K=1
544:
             ENDIF
545:
             ENDIF
546:
             RETURN
547:
548: C
549:
            SUBROUTINE FUNC(X, M, F)
550: C
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
551:
552:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
553:
            COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
554:
            INTEGER IU, IV
555:
            DIMENSION X(*)
556:
            NFCALL=NFCALL+1 ! INCREMENT TO NUMBER OF FUNCTION CALLS
557: C
558: C
559:
            IF (KF.EQ.1) THEN
560: C
561:
            CALL ZEROSUM (M, F, X)
562:
            return
563:
            ENDIF
564: C
565:
            IF (KF.EQ.2) THEN
566: C
            PERM FUNCTION #1 MIN = 0 AT (1, 2, 3, 4)
BETA => 0. CHANGE IF NEEDED. SMALLER BETA RAISES DIFFICULY
567: C
568: C
569:
            CALL PERM1 (M, F, X)
570:
            return
571:
            ENDIF
572: C
573:
            IF (KF.EQ.3) THEN
574: C
            PERM FUNCTION #2 MIN = 0 AT (1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4,..., 1/M)
575: C
576: C
577:
            CALL PERM2 (M, F, X)
578:
            return
579:
            ENDIF
580: C
581:
            IF (KF.EQ.4) THEN
582: C
583: C
584:
            CALL POWERSUM(M, F, X)
585:
            return
586:
            ENDIF
587: C
588:
            IF (KF.EQ.5) THEN
589: C
590: C
591:
            CALL BUKIN6 (M, F, X)
592:
            return
593:
            ENDIF
594: C
595:
            IF (KF.EQ.6) THEN
596: C
            DEFLECTED CORRUGATED SPRING FUNCTION
MIN VALUE = -1 AT (5, 5, ..., 5) FOR ANY K AND ALPHA=5; M VARIABLE
597: C
598:
            CALL DCS (M, F, X)
599:
            RETURN
600:
            ENDIF
601: C
602:
            IF (KF.EQ.7) THEN
603: C
```

```
604:
            CALL FUNCT7 (M, F, X)
605:
            RETURN
606:
            ENDIF
607: C
608:
            IF (KF.EQ.8) THEN
609: C
610:
            CALL HOUGEN (X, M, F)
611:
            RETURN
612:
            ENDIF
613: C
614:
            IF (KF.EQ.9) THEN
615: C
            CALL GIUNTA(M, X, F)
616:
617:
            RETURN
618:
            ENDIF
619: C
620:
            IF (KF.EQ.10) THEN
621: C
            FOR X(1) = 0.1928D00; X(2) = 0.1905D00; X(3) = 0.1230D00; X(4) = 0.1356D00
622: C
623:
            CALL KOWALIK (M, X, F)
624:
            RETURN
625:
            ENDIF
626: C
627:
            IF (KF.EQ.11) THEN
            FLETCHER & POWELL FUNCTION : F MIN f(0,0,\ldots,0)=0
628: C
629:
            CALL FLETCHER (M, X, F)
630:
            RETURN
631:
            ENDIF
632: C
633:
            IF (KF.EQ.12) THEN
634: C
            CALL NFUNCT1 (M, X, F)
635:
636:
            RETURN
637:
            ENDIF
638: C
639:
            IF (KF.EQ.13) THEN
640: C
641:
            CALL NFUNCT2 (M, X, F)
642:
            RETURN
643:
            ENDIF
644: C
645:
            IF (KF.EQ.14) THEN
            WOOD FUNCTION : F MIN : M=4
646: C
647:
            CALL WOOD (M, X, F)
            RETURN
648:
649:
            ENDIF
650: C
651:
            IF (KF.EQ.15) THEN
            FENTON & EASON FUNCTION : : M=2
652: C
            CALL FENTONEASON (M, X, F)
653:
654:
            RETURN
655:
            ENDIF
656: C
657:
            IF (KF.EQ.16) THEN
658:
            CALL TYPICAL (M, X, F)
659:
            RETURN
660:
            ENDIF
661: C
662:
            IF (KF.EQ.17) THEN
663: C
                      TION: FMIN (-15.8151514,..., -15.8151514) = M * 67.4677348
664:
            CALL WILD (M, X, F)
665:
            RETURN
666:
            ENDIF
667: C
668:
            WRITE (*, *) 'FUNCTION NOT DEFINED. PROGRAM ABORTED'
669:
            STOP
670:
            END
```

```
671: C
            SUBROUTINE ZEROSUM (M, F, X)
672:
673:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
674:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU,IV
675:
            INTEGER IU, IV
676:
            DIMENSION X(*)
677: C
678:
            F=0.D00
679:
            DO I=1, M
680:
            IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.10.D00) THEN
681:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
682:
            X(I) = (RAND-0.5D00) *20
683:
            ENDIF
684:
            ENDDO
685:
            SUM=0.D00
            DO I=1, M
686:
687:
            SUM=SUM+X(I)
688:
            ENDDO
689:
            IF (SUM.NE.O.DOO) F=1.DOO+(10000*DABS(SUM))**0.5
690:
            RETURN
691:
            END
692: C
693:
            SUBROUTINE PERM1 (M, F, X)
694:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
695:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
696:
            INTEGER IU, IV
697:
            DIMENSION X(*)
698: C
            PERM FUNCTION #1 MIN = 0 AT (1, 2, 3, 4)
699: C
700: C
701:
            BETA=50.D00
702:
            F = 0.000
703:
            DO I=1, M
704:
            IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.M) THEN
705:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
706:
            X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *2*M
707:
            ENDIF
708:
            ENDDO
709:
            DO K=1, M
710:
            SUM=0.D00
711:
            DO I=1, M
712:
            SUM = SUM + (I * *K + BETA) * ((X(I)/I) * *K - 1.D00)
713:
            ENDDO
714:
            F=F+SUM**2
715:
            ENDDO
716:
            RETURN
717:
            END
718: C
719:
            SUBROUTINE PERM2 (M, F, X)
720:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
721:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU,IV
722:
            INTEGER IU, IV
723:
            DIMENSION X(*)
724: C
            PERM FUNCTION #2 MIN = 0 AT (1/1, 1/2, 1/3, 1/4,..., 1/M)
725: C
726: C
727:
            BETA=10.D00
728:
            DO I=1, M
729:
            IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.1.D00) THEN
730:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
731:
            X(I) = (RAND - .5D00) *2
732:
            ENDIF
733:
            SGN=X(I)/DABS(X(I))
734:
            ENDDO
735:
            F = 0.000
736:
            DO K=1, M
            SUM=0.D00
737:
```

```
738:
            DO I=1, M
739:
            SUM = SUM + (I + BETA) * (X(I) * *K - (1.D00/I) * *K)
740:
            ENDDO
741:
            F=F+SUM**2
742:
            ENDDO
743:
            RETURN
744:
            END
745: C
746 •
            SUBROUTINE POWERSUM(M, F, X)
747:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
748:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
749:
            INTEGER IU, IV
750:
            DIMENSION X(*)
751: C
752: C
753:
            F=0.D00
754:
            DO I=1, M
755: C
756:
            IF (X(I).LT.0.D00 .OR. X(I).GT.4.D00) THEN
757:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
758:
            X(I) = RAND * 4
759:
            ENDIF
760:
            ENDDO
761:
            DO K=1, M
762:
            SUM=0.D00
763:
            DO I=1, M
            SUM=SUM+X(I) **K
764:
765:
            ENDDO
766:
            IF(K.EQ.1) B=8.D00
767:
            IF(K.EQ.2) B=18.D00
768:
            IF (K.EQ.3) B=44.D00
769:
            IF(K.EQ.4) B=114.D00
770:
            F=F+(SUM-B)**2
771:
            ENDDO
772:
            RETURN
773:
            END
774: C
775:
            SUBROUTINE BUKIN6 (M, F, X)
776:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
777:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
778:
            INTEGER IU, IV
779:
            DIMENSION X(*)
780: C
            -15. LE. X(1) .LE. -5 AND -3 .LE. X(2) .LE. 3
IF(X(1).LT. -15.D00 .OR. X(1) .GT. -5.D00) THEN
781: C
782:
783:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
784:
              X(1) = -(RAND*10+5.D00)
785 •
              ENDIF
786:
              IF(DABS(X(2)).GT.3.D00) THEN
787:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
788:
              X(2) = (RAND - .5D00) *6
789:
              ENDIF
790:
            F=100.D0*DSQRT(DABS(X(2)-0.01D0*X(1)**2))+0.01D0*DABS(X(1)+10.D0)
791:
             RETURN
792:
            END
793: C
794:
            SUBROUTINE DCS (M, F, X)
795: C
796:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H,O-Z)
797:
            COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
798:
            INTEGER IU, IV
799:
            DIMENSION X(*), C(100)
800: C
801: C
802:
            DATA K, ALPHA/5, 5. D00/ ! K AND ALPHA COULD TAKE ON ANY OTHER VALUES
803:
            do i=1, m
804:
            if(dabs(x(i)).gt.20.d00) then
```

```
805:
           call random(rand)
806:
           x(i) = (rand - 0.5d00) * 40
807:
           endif
808:
           enddo
809:
           R2=0.D00
810:
           DO I=1, M
811:
           C(I) = alpha
812:
           R2=R2+(X(I)-C(I))**2
813.
           ENDDO
814:
           R=DSQRT(R2)
815:
           F = -DCOS(K*R) + 0.1D00*R2
816:
           RETURN
817:
           END
818: C
819:
           SUBROUTINE FUNCT7 (M, F, X)
820: 0
821: C
822:
           IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
823:
           COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
824:
           INTEGER IU, IV
825:
           DIMENSION X(*)
826:
           F=0.D00
             DO I=1, M
827:
828:
              IF (DABS(X(I)).GT.1.28D00) THEN
829:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
830:
             X(I) = (RAND-0.5D00) *2.56D00
831:
             ENDIF
832:
             ENDDO
833:
           DO I=1, M
834:
           CALL RANDOM (RAND)
835:
           F=F+(I*X(I)**4)
836:
           ENDDO
837:
            CALL RANDOM (RAND)
            F=F+RAND
838:
839:
           RETURN
840:
           END
841: C
842:
           SUBROUTINE HOUGEN (A, M, F)
           PARAMETER (N=13, K=3)
843:
844:
           IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
845:
           COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
846:
           INTEGER IU, IV
847:
           DIMENSION X(N,K), RATE(N), A(*)
848: C
849: C
850: C
851: c
           BEST RESULTS ARE: FMIN=0.298900994
852 · C
853: C
854: C
855: C
856: c
           SECOND BEST RESULTS: fmin=0.298901 for X(1.25258611. 0.0627758222,
857: c
           0.0400477567, 0.112414812, 1.19137715) given by DE with ncross=0.
           THE NEXT BEST RESULTS GIVEN BY HOOKE-JEEVES & QUASI-NEWTON
858: C
859: C
860: C
861: C
862: C
863: C
864:
           DATA X(1,1),X(1,2),X(1,3),RATE(1) /470,300,10,8.55/
865:
           DATA X(2,1), X(2,2), X(2,3), RATE(2) /285,80,10,3.79/
866:
           DATA X(3,1),X(3,2),X(3,3),RATE(3) /470,300,120,4.82/
867:
           DATA X(4,1),X(4,2),X(4,3),RATE(4) /470,80,120,0.02/
           DATA X(5,1), X(5,2), X(5,3), RATE(5) /470,80,10,2.75/
868.
           DATA X(6,1),X(6,2),X(6,3),RATE(6) /100,190,10,14.39/
869:
870:
           DATA X(7,1), X(7,2), X(7,3), RATE(7) /100, 80, 65, 2.54/
871:
           DATA X(8,1), X(8,2), X(8,3), RATE(8) /470,190,65,4.35/
```

```
872:
           DATA X(9,1), X(9,2), X(9,3), RATE(9) /100,300,54,13/
873:
           DATA X(10,1), X(10,2), X(10,3), RATE(10) /100,300,120,8.5/
874:
           DATA X(11,1),X(11,2),X(11,3),RATE(11) /100,80,120,0.05/
875:
           DATA X(12,1), X(12,2), X(12,3), RATE(12) /285,300,10,11.32/
876:
           DATA X(13,1),X(13,2),X(13,3),RATE(13) /285,190,120,3.13/
877: C
878: C
879:
           DO J=1, M
880.
           IF (DABS (A(J)).GT.5.D00) THEN
881:
           CALL RANDOM (RAND)
882:
           A(J) = (RAND-0.5D00) *10.D00
883:
           ENDIF
884:
           ENDDO
885:
           F = 0.000
886:
           DO I=1, N
887:
            D=1.D00
888:
               DO J=1, K
889:
               D=D+A(J+1)*X(I,J)
890:
891:
           FX = (A(1) *X(I, 2) -X(I, 3) /A(M)) /D
892: C
893: C
           F=F+(RATE(I)-FX)**2
894:
895:
           ENDDO
896:
           RETURN
897:
           END
898: C
899:
            SUBROUTINE GIUNTA (M, X, F)
900:
            IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
901:
           COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
902:
            INTEGER IU, IV
903:
           DIMENSION X(*)
904: C
905: C
906:
              DO I=1, M
907:
              IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.1.D00) THEN
908:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
909:
             X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *2.D00
910:
              ENDIF
911:
              ENDDO
912:
           C=16.D00/15.D00
913:
           f = 0.d00
914:
           do i=1.m
915:
           F=f + DSIN(C*X(i)-1.D0) + DSIN(C*X(i)-1.D0) **2+
916:
           & DSIN(4*(C*X(i)-1.D0))/50
917:
           enddo
918:
            f = f + 0.6d0
919:
           RETURN
920:
           END
921: C
922:
            SUBROUTINE KOWALIK (M, X, F)
923:
           PARAMETER (N=11)
924:
           IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
925:
           COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
926:
            INTEGER IU, IV
927:
           DIMENSION X(*), A(N), BI(N)
928:
           DATA (A(I), I=1,N) /0.1957D0,0.1947D0,0.1735D0,0.1600D0,0.0844D0,
929:
           & 0.0627D0, 0.0456D0, 0.0342D0, 0.0323D0, 0.0235D0, 0.0246D0/
930:
           DATA (BI(I), I=1, N)/0.25D0, 0.5D0, 1.D0, 2.D0, 4.D0, 6.D0, 8.D0,
931:
           & 10.D0, 12.D0, 14.D0, 16.D0/
932: C
933: C
934: C
935: C
936: C
937: C
938:
             DO I=1, M
```

```
939:
                  IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.4.D00) THEN
 940:
                  CALL RANDOM (RAND)
941:
                  X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *8
942:
                 ENDIF
 943:
              ENDDO
944: C
 945: C
946:
             F=0.D00
947:
             DO I=1, N
             F1=X(1)*(BI(I)**(-2)+X(2)/BI(I))
 948:
949:
             F2=BI(I)**(-2)+X(3)/BI(I)+X(4)
 950:
             F=F+(A(I)-F1/F2)**2
 951:
             ENDDO
952:
             f=f*1000.d00
953:
             RETURN
 954:
             END
955: C
 956:
             SUBROUTINE NFUNCT1 (M, X, F)
             IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
957:
958:
             COMMON /KFF/KF,NFCALL
 959:
             COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
 960:
             INTEGER IU, IV
 961:
             DIMENSION X(*)
 962: C
963:
             DO I=1, M
964:
             IF (X(I).LT.0.D00 .OR. X(I).GT.1.D00) THEN
 965:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
966:
             X(I) = RAND
 967:
             ENDIF
968:
             ENDDO
969:
             S = 0.D00
 970:
             DO I=1, M-1
971:
             S=S+X(I)
 972:
             ENDDO
 973:
             X(M) = (M-S)
974:
             F = (1.D00 + X(M)) * *X(M)
975:
             RETURN
 976:
             END
 977: C
 978:
             SUBROUTINE NFUNCT2 (M, X, F)
979:
             IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
980:
             COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
 981:
             COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
 982:
             INTEGER IU, IV
 983:
             DIMENSION X(*)
 984: C
985:
             DO I=1, M
986:
             IF (X(I).LT.0.D00 .OR. X(I).GT.1.D00) THEN
 987:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
 988:
             X(I) = RAND
 989:
             ENDIF
990:
             ENDDO
991:
             S = 0.D00
             DO I=1, M-1
992:
993:
             S=S+(X(I)+X(I+1))/2.D00
 994:
             ENDDO
 995:
             X(M) = (M-S)
996:
             F = (1.D00 + X(M)) * *X(M)
997:
             RETURN
 998:
             END
999: C
1000:
             SUBROUTINE FLETCHER (M, X, F)
1001: C
             SOLUTION: MIN F = 0 FOR X = (C1, C2, C3, ..., CM)

PARAMETER (N=10) ! FOR DIMENSION OF DIFFERENT MATRICES AND VECTORS
1002: C
1003:
1004:
             IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
1005:
             COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
```

```
1006:
             COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
1007:
             INTEGER IU, IV
1008:
             DIMENSION X(*), A(N, N), B(N, N), AA(N), BB(N), AL(N), C(N), C1(N)
1009 •
             PI=4*DATAN(1.D00)
1010: 0
1011: C
1012: C
1013:
             DATA (C1(I), I=1, N)/-3, -3.02, -3.01, 1, 1.03, 1.02, 1.03, -.08, .001, 3/
1014: C
             NC=1 ! DEFINE NC HERE 0 OR 1 OR 2
1015:
1016: C
1017: C
1018: C
1019: C
1020: 0
1021: C
1022:
             CMAX=DABS(C1(1))
              DO J=2, M
1023:
1024:
              IF(DABS(C1(J)).GT.CMAX) CMAX=DABS(C1(J))
1025:
              ENDDO
1026:
             RANGE=PI-CMAX
1027: C
1028:
             DO J=1, M
1029:
             DO I=1, M
1030:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1031:
             A(I,J) = (RAND-0.5D00) *200.D00
1032:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1033:
             B(I, J) = (RAND-0.5D00) *200.D00
1034:
             ENDDO
1035:
             IF (NC.EO.0) AL(J)=C1(J) ! FIXED OR NON-STOCHASTIC C
1036:
             IF (NC.EQ.1) THEN
1037:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1038:
             AL(J) = C1(J) + (RAND - 0.5D0) *2*RANGE ! A PART FIXED, OTHER STOCHASTIC
1039:
             ENDIF
1040:
             IF (NC.EQ.2) THEN
1041:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1042:
             AL(J) = (RAND-0.5D00) *2*PI ! PURELY STOCHASTIC
1043:
            ENDIF
1044:
             ENDDO
1045:
             DO I=1, M
1046:
             AA(I) = 0.000
1047:
             DO J=1, M
1048:
             AA(I) = AA(I) + A(I, J) *DSIN(AL(J)) + B(I, J) *DCOS(AL(J))
1049:
             ENDDO
1050:
             ENDDO
1051: C
1052:
             DO I=1, M
1053.
             IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.PI) THEN
1054:
             CALL RANDOM (RAND)
             X(I) = (RAND-0.5D00)*2*PI
1055:
1056:
             ENDIF
1057:
             ENDDO
1058:
             DO I=1, M
             BB(I)=0.D00
1059:
1060:
1061:
             BB(I) = BB(I) + A(I, J) *DSIN(X(J)) + B(I, J) *DCOS(X(J))
1062:
             ENDDO
1063:
             ENDDO
1064:
             F=0.D00
1065:
             DO I=1, M
1066:
             F=F+(AA(I)-BB(I))**2
1067:
             ENDDO
1068:
             RETURN
1069:
             END
1070: C
1071:
             SUBROUTINE FENTONEASON (M, X, F)
1072:
             IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
```

```
1073:
              DIMENSION X(*)
1074: C
1075:
              DO I=1, M
1076:
              IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.100.D00) THEN
1077:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1078:
              X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *200
1079:
              ENDIF
1080:
              ENDDO
1081:
              F=1.2D00+0.1*X(1)**2 + (0.1D00+0.1*X(2)**2)/X(1)**2+
             & (.1*X(1)**2*X(2)**2+10.D00)/((X(1)*X(2))**4)
1082:
1083:
              RETURN
1084:
              END
1085: C
1086:
              SUBROUTINE WOOD (M, X, F)
1087:
              IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
              COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
1088:
1089:
               INTEGER IU, IV
1090:
              DIMENSION X(*)
1091: C
1092:
              DO I=1, M
1093:
              IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.5.D00) THEN
1094:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1095:
              X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *10
1096:
              ENDIF
1097:
              ENDDO
              F1=100*(X(2)+X(1)**2)**2 + (1.D0-X(1))**2 + 90*(X(4)-X(3)**2)**2
1098:
              F2=(1.D0-X(3))**2 +10.1*((X(2)-1.D0)**2+(X(4)-1.D0)**2)
1099:
              F3=19.8*(X(2)-1.D0)*(X(4)-1.D0)
1100:
1101:
              F=F1+F2+F3
1102:
              RETURN
1103:
              END
1104: C
1105:
              SUBROUTINE TYPICAL (M, X, F)
1106:
              IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
1107:
              COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
1108:
              COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
1109:
              INTEGER IU, IV
1110:
              DIMENSION X(*)
1111: C
1112:
              F = 0.000
1113:
              DO I=1, M
1114:
              IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.10.D00) THEN
1115:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1116:
              X(I) = (RAND - 0.5D00) *20
1117:
              ENDIF
1118:
              ENDDO
1119:
              DO I=2, M
1120 •
               \texttt{F=F+DCOS} \left( \quad \texttt{DABS} \left( \texttt{X} \left( \texttt{I} \right) - \texttt{X} \left( \texttt{I-1} \right) \right) \right. \left. \middle/ \right. \left. \texttt{DABS} \left( \texttt{X} \left( \texttt{I-1} \right) + \texttt{X} \left( \texttt{I} \right) \right) \right. \right) 
1121:
              ENDDO
1122:
              F=F+(M-1.D00)
1123: C
1124: C
              RETURN
1125:
1126:
              END
1127: C
1128:
              SUBROUTINE WILD (M, X, F)
               IMPLICIT DOUBLE PRECISION (A-H, O-Z)
1129:
1130:
              COMMON /KFF/KF, NFCALL
1131:
              COMMON /RNDM/IU, IV
1132:
              INTEGER IU, IV
1133:
              DIMENSION X(*)
1134: C
               WILD FUNCTION: FMIN (-15.8151514,..., -15.8151514) = M * 67.4677348
1135:
              DO I=1, M
1136 •
              IF (DABS (X(I)).GT.50.D00) THEN
1137:
              CALL RANDOM (RAND)
1138:
              X(I) = (RAND-0.5D00) *100
1139:
              ENDIF
```

DEHARD.f 18/18
10/16/2006 7:32:50 AM

```
1140:
             ENDDO
1141:
             F=0.D00
1142:
             DO I=1, M
1143:
              FX = 10 * DSIN(0.3 * X(I)) * DSIN(1.3 * X(I) * * 2) + 0.00001 * X(I) * * 4 + 0.2 * X(I) 
1144:
             F=F+ (FX+80.D00)
1145:
             ENDDO
-
1146:
             RETURN
1147:
             END
1148:
```