Section 1, frequency of use when sourcing papers during liturature review Google Scholar 8% 0% 92% 3% 16% 82% relevant articles 47% 47% peer/mentor 5% journal/confrence 34% 32% 34% ACM/IEEE DL 29% 45% 26% 29% ResearchGate 68% 3% 0% 0% 0% other rank PubMed 89% 11% 0% 0 100 50 50 100 Percentage Response Rarely Never Sometimes Frequently Always Section 2, importance for deciding wether or not to read in detail other rank 0% 14% 86% 45% publication venue 11% 45% 11% 53% recency of publication 37% 29% 42% 29% usage metrics author familiarity 32% 42% 26% data available 66% 18% 16% available research materials 61% 24% 16% authors institution 61% 32% 8% 13% pre-registration 84% 3% 100 50 50 100 Percentage Slightly important Response Not at all important Moderately important Very important Section 3, importance for assessing the quality of a venue 0% 0% 100% other rank peer/mentor opinion 8% 24% 68% audience scope 24% 16% 61% 50% attendance/citations/downloads 11% 39% acceptance rate/total submissions 34% 32% 34% research scope 37% 32% 32% 34% 42% metrics 24% 26% ranking system 55% 18% 100 50 0 50 100 Percentage

Slightly important

Response

Not at all important

Moderately important

Very important