Section 1, frequency of use when sourcing papers during liturature revie[w]

Google Scholar	0%	9%	91%
relevant articles	2%	16%	82%
peer/mentor	5%	43%	52%
journal/confrence	32%	27%	41%
ACM/IEEE DL	43%	27%	30%
ResearchGate	66%	27%	7%
PubMed	86%	14%	0%

Percentage

Response: Never | Rarely | Sometimes | Frequently | Always

Section 2, importance for deciding wether or not to read in detail

publication venue	9%	45%	45%
recency of publication	9%	55%	36%
usage metrics	43%	27%	30%
author familiarity	32%	45%	23%
available research materials	57%	25%	18%
data available	64%	23%	14%
authors institution	64%	30%	7%
pre–registration	82%	14%	5%

Percentage

Response: Not at all important | Slightly important | Moderately important | Very important | Ext[remely important]

Section 3, importance for assessing the quality of a venue

peer/mentor opinion	7%	23%	70%
audience scope	27%	16%	57%
attendance/citations/downloads	14%	45%	41%
research scope	32%	36%	32%
acceptance rate/total submissions	34%	34%	32%
metrics	32%	41%	27%
ranking system	52%	30%	18%

Percentage

Response: Not at all important | Slightly important | Moderately important | Very important | Ext[remely important]