Section 1, frequency of use when sourcing papers during liturature review Google Scholar 0% 9% 91% relevant articles 2% 15% 83% 41% 4% 54% peer/mentor journal/confrence 30% 39% 30% ACM/IEEE DL 41% 28% 30% ResearchGate 63% 28% 9% PubMed 87% 13% 0% 0 100 50 50 100 Percentage Response Never Rarely Sometimes Frequently Always Section 2, importance for deciding wether or not to read in detail publication venue 9% 46% 46% 52% recency of publication 11% 37% usage metrics 43% 26% 30% 43% author familiarity 35% 22% 24% available research materials 59% 17% 22% data available 65% 13% authors institution 65% 28% 7% pre-registration 83% 13% 4% 100 50 50 100 Percentage Response Slightly important Moderately important Not at all important Very important Section 3, importance for assessing the quality of a venue peer/mentor opinion 7% 22% 72% audience scope 26% 15% 59% attendance/citations/downloads 43% 15% 41% acceptance rate/total submissions 33% 33% 35% research scope 35% 30% 35% metrics 30% 41% 28% 28% ranking system 52% 20% 100 50 0 50 100 Percentage

Slightly important

Moderately important

Very important

Response

Not at all important