

Navigate Records

Panel A

Specify Parameters

Proposal:	345	<input type="button" value="Search Proposals"/>
searchText:	reviewed PEP 345	<input type="button" value="Go to nextResult Record"/>
Only Author Messages:		<input type="button" value="All Author Messages"/>
Only BDFL Messages:		<input type="button" value="All BDFL Messages"/>
MessageID:		<input type="button" value="SearchMID (w/w/o p)"/>
DateFrom:		<input type="button" value="Search Dates"/>
DateTo:		
Rowcount:	498/870	<input type="button" value="GoTo Row"/>
MSGNumberInFile	9	
AuthorRole	bdf	
MessageType	BDFLReviewing	

Panel B

Results Fields

174064
2010-02-04
C:\datasets\python-dev
2010-February.txt
Richard Jones
[Python-Dev] PEP 345 and PEP 386

Panel C

Committed States | **Extracted States&SubStates**

MessageID	Date	Title	State
	2005-05-23	Metadata	Status : DRAFT
	2010-03-21		Status : ACCEPTED
	2018-02-21		Status : FINAL

From guido at python.org Thu Feb 4 20:20:43 2010

From: guido at python.org (Guido van Rossum)

Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2010 11:20:43 -0800

Message-ID: <ca471dc21002041120g89a0b6831c1e3da0eee077a3@mail.gmail.com>

All,

I've reviewed PEP 345 and PEP 386 and am satisfied that after some small improvements they will be accepted. Most of the discussion has already taken place.

I have one comment on PEP 345: Why is author-email mandatory? I'm sure there are plenty of cases where either the author doesn't want their email address published, or their last known email address is no longer valid. (Tarek responded off-line that it isn't all that mandatory; I propose to say so in the PEP.)

I am also looking at PEP 378 but I expect that Tarek will start another round of discussion on it. Hopefully all three PEPs will be accepted in time for inclusion in Python 2.7.

..

--Guido van Rossum (python.org/~guido)

Panel D