**Peer Evaluation Form for Group Work**

Your name \_\_\_Nelson Stauffer\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total the numbers in each column.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Group member:  Kyndal Olin | Group member:  Alex Traynor | Group member: | Group member: |
| Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Contributes meaningfully to group discussions. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Completes group assignments on time. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Prepares work in a quality manner. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Contributes significantly to the success of the project. | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| TOTALS | 24 | 24 |  |  |

Feedback on team dynamics:

1. How effectively did your group work?

I think we did really well. There was some specialization in terms of each of us being primarily responsible for the components we had the most expertise in, but everyone was able to (and did) contribute across the board. The only thing resembling a “problem” was that we all tried to defer to each other on the tense to write the report in before finally deciding that majority rule was fine.

I absolutely believe that both Alex and Kyndal earned straight fours on their evaluation criteria.

1. Were the behaviors of any of your team members particularly valuable or detrimental to the team? Explain.

Alex did a wonderful job of synthesizing the presentation from what we’d done and dramatically improved the aesthetics and readability of my initial figures as well as doing the significance testing for the indicator values.

Kyndal was completely on top of the data acquisition and hammered out the introduction and methods text skillfully enough that we hardly felt a need to edit them at all.

1. What did you learn about working in a group from this project that you will carry into your next group experience?

We had the wrinkle of all being in different physical locations, which isn’t totally foreign as a concept for me, but usually I’m the only remote one. Some of the process of deciding on scheduling and tracking tasks will be easier for me in the future, having had to develop some new approaches here.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)