

NBA Optimal Team Selection Using Neural Networks

Course: AIT-204 - Artificial Intelligence and Neural Networks **Assignment:** Topic 2 - Multi-Layer Perceptron Implementation **Student:** Gavin Higgins & Truong Anh Dao Nguyen **Date:** January 31, 2026

1. Problem Statement

Basketball team composition is a complex optimization problem requiring balance across multiple competing objectives. This project develops a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) neural network to identify optimal 5-player teams from 100 NBA players (2018-2023 seasons).

The Challenge: With 100 players and 5 positions, there are 75,287,520 possible combinations. Traditional methods cannot efficiently search this space or capture complex interactions between player attributes.

Defining "Optimal": We define seven criteria based on basketball analytics:

1. Balanced Scoring (≥ 1 player >20 PPG, team avg 12-18 PPG)
2. Playmaking (≥ 1 player >5 APG, team avg >3 APG)
3. Rebounding (≥ 1 player >7 RPG, team avg >5 RPG)
4. Shooting Efficiency (team avg TS% >0.55)
5. Defensive Presence (team avg DREB% >0.15)
6. Role Diversity (≥ 3 different roles)
7. Positive Impact (team avg net rating >0)

Teams are scored 0.0-1.0 based on criteria met. The neural network learns to predict these quality scores from 10 player statistics, enabling intelligent team generation that balances multiple strategic objectives simultaneously.

2. Algorithm of the Solution

2.1 Data Preparation

Selected top 100 NBA players from 2018-2023 based on composite scoring. Ten features were extracted: points, rebounds, assists, net rating, true shooting %, usage %, assist %, defensive

rebound %, offensive rebound %, and age. Generated 10,000 random 5-player teams, evaluated each against the seven criteria, and assigned quality scores. Features were normalized using StandardScaler ($z = (x - \mu) / \sigma$) to ensure equal contribution. Data split: 80% training (8,000 teams), 20% testing (2,000 teams).

2.2 Neural Network Architecture

Multi-Layer Perceptron Structure:

- Input Layer: 10 neurons (features)
- Hidden Layer 1: 128 neurons (ReLU activation)
- Hidden Layer 2: 64 neurons (ReLU activation)
- Hidden Layer 3: 32 neurons (ReLU activation)
- Hidden Layer 4: 16 neurons (ReLU activation)
- Output Layer: 1 neuron (quality score)

Total parameters: ~12,289

Rationale: Funnel architecture (128 → 64 → 32 → 16) progressively compresses information. Deep structure (4 hidden layers) allows learning hierarchical patterns: basic feature combinations → player role patterns → team balance → optimal signatures.

2.3 Forward Propagation

Data flows through network layers to produce predictions.

Mathematical Process: For each layer (l):

$$z^{(l)} = W^{(l)} \cdot a^{(l-1)} + b^{(l)} \quad [\text{linear transformation}]$$

$$a^{(l)} = \text{ReLU}(z^{(l)}) \quad [\text{activation: } \max(0, z)]$$

Example: Input team features ($x \rightarrow$) Layer 1 (128 neurons) → Layer 2 (64) → Layer 3 (32) → Layer 4 (16) → Output (\hat{y}) (predicted quality).

For first neuron in Layer 1:

$$z_1 = 0.42 \cdot x_1 + 0.31 \cdot x_2 + \dots + \text{bias} = 0.87$$

$$a_1 = \text{ReLU}(0.87) = 0.87$$

This repeats through all layers until final prediction is computed.

2.4 Backward Propagation

Computes gradients to update weights using chain rule.

Gradient Flow:

$$\partial L / \partial W^{(l)} = \partial L / \partial a^{(L)} \times \cdots \times \partial a^{(l)} / \partial z^{(l)} \times \partial z^{(l)} / \partial W^{(l)}$$

Output Layer:

$$\delta^{(L)} = 2(\hat{y} - y) \quad [\text{MSE gradient}]$$

Hidden Layers:

$$\delta^{(l)} = (W^{(l+1)})^T \cdot \delta^{(l+1)} \odot \text{ReLU}'(z^{(l)})$$

Example: For prediction ($\hat{y}=0.75$), actual ($y=0.85$):

- Output gradient: ($\delta = 2 \cdot (0.75 - 0.85) = -0.20$)
- Propagates backward through all layers
- Each weight learns its contribution to error

Gradients enable weight updates via Adam optimizer.

2.5 Optimization: Adam Algorithm

Update Rule:

$$m_t = \beta_1 \cdot m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \cdot g_t \quad [\text{momentum}]$$

$$v_t = \beta_2 \cdot v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \cdot g_t^2 \quad [\text{RMSprop}]$$

$$W_t = W_{t-1} - \alpha \cdot \hat{m}_t / (\sqrt{\hat{v}_t} + \epsilon) \quad [\text{weight update}]$$

Hyperparameters: ($\alpha=0.001$, $\beta_1=0.9$, $\beta_2=0.999$)

Regularization:

- L2 penalty ($\alpha=0.001$) prevents large weights
- Early stopping (patience=15) prevents overfitting

Training: Batch size 32, max 500 iterations. Model trained for 84 iterations before early stopping triggered, achieving final loss of 0.00353.

3. Analysis of Findings

3.1 Model Performance

Metrics:

- Test ($R^2 = 0.727$) (explains 72.7% of variance)
- Test MAE = 0.068 ($\pm 6.8\%$ average error)
- Training ($R^2 = 0.777$) (minimal overfitting: 5% difference)
- Training time: <2 minutes, 84 iterations

Interpretation: Model achieves excellent accuracy for sports analytics. Predictions typically within ± 0.07 of actual quality. Low overfitting indicates good generalization. Comparison: random teams average 0.712 quality; model's top 10 teams average 0.925 (30% improvement).

3.2 Example Optimal Teams

Team #1 (Quality: 0.947):

- Giannis Antetokounmpo (29.3 PPG, 12.1 RPG)
- Luka Doncic (27.7 PPG, 8.0 APG)
- Kawhi Leonard (25.6 PPG)
- Bam Adebayo (17.5 PPG, 9.4 RPG)
- Fred VanVleet (18.1 PPG, 6.4 APG)

Why Optimal: All 7 criteria met. Two elite playmakers, dominant rebounder, versatile scorers, high efficiency (58.2% TS), positive net rating (+3.8). Four different roles ensure balanced lineup.

Team #2 (Quality: 0.935): Jokic, Embiid, Tatum, Holiday, Bridges - dual dominant big men with elite perimeter defense.

Team #3 (Quality: 0.928): Curry, Durant, Green, Gobert, Middleton - elite shooting with defensive anchor.

3.3 Feature Importance

Top features by estimated importance:

1. Points (20%) - Primary offensive output
2. Rebounds (15%) - Possession control
3. Assists (15%) - Team play
4. Net Rating (15%) - Overall impact
5. True Shooting % (12%) - Efficiency

Insights: Offensive stats dominate (47% combined). Efficiency metrics (27%) emphasize quality over quantity. Defensive metrics underrepresented (5%) due to data limitations. Age least important (1%).

3.4 Strengths & Limitations

Strengths:

- High accuracy (72.7% R^2)
- Fast training (<2 minutes)
- 30% better than random selection
- Minimal overfitting
- Interpretable results

Limitations:

- Ignores chemistry/coaching (27.3% unexplained variance)
- No positional constraints
- Limited defensive metrics
- No salary cap consideration
- Missing clutch performance, injury risk
- Training data is synthetic, not real NBA teams

Applications: NBA roster analysis, fantasy basketball optimization, trade evaluation, lineup testing.

Conclusion

This MLP neural network successfully predicts basketball team quality with 72.7% accuracy. Through forward/backward propagation and Adam optimization, the model learned that optimal teams require balanced scoring, playmaking, rebounding, efficiency, and role diversity. The algorithm outperforms random selection by 30% and provides actionable insights for team construction. Future improvements include adding defensive metrics, positional constraints, and real NBA team validation data.

Word Count:

- Problem Statement: ~210 words
- Algorithm: ~200 words per subsection ($\times 5 = \sim 1,000$ words total)
- Analysis: ~200 words per subsection ($\times 4 = \sim 800$ words total)
- Total: ~2,000 words / 5-6 pages