Note on local conservation of WADG

WADG is not locally conservative naturally. Let $u \in L^2$. We define the weighted and weight-adjusted projections Π_w, P_w by the problems

$$(w\Pi_w u, v) = (u, v), \qquad \forall v \in V_h \tag{1}$$

$$\left(T_{1/w}^{-1}P_wu,v\right) = (u,v), \qquad \forall v \in V_h.$$
(2)

We first introduce the weighted mass matrix

$$(\mathbf{M}_w)_{ij} = (w\phi_j, \phi_i).$$

Let $\Pi_w u$ and $P_w u$ be represented by coefficients u_w , \tilde{u}_w in some basis ϕ_j . Discretizing (1) and (2) now yield the matrix equations

$$M_w u_w = b,$$
 $M M_{1/w}^{-1} M \tilde{u}_w = b,$ $b_i = (u, \phi_i).$

We refer to the matrix $MM_{1/w}^{-1}M$ as the weight-adjusted mass matrix. Assuming that u is a constant (i.e. $\phi_0 = 1$), both the weighted and weight-adjusted mass matrices can be inverted explicitly to yield explicit formulas for $\Pi_w u, P_w u$

$$\Pi_w u = \frac{(u,1)}{(w,1)}, \qquad P_w u = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{w},1\right)}{(1,1)} \frac{(u,1)}{(1,1)}.$$

We now decompose $\Pi_w u = u_0 + \tilde{u}(x)$, where $(\tilde{u}w, 1) = 0$. Taking v = 1 then shows that the weighted average of $\Pi_w u$ obeys

$$\frac{(w\Pi_w u, 1)}{(1, 1)} = u_0 = \frac{(u, 1)}{(1, 1)}.$$

To restore local conservation to the weight-adjusted projection, we can modify the weight-adjusted projection by adding and subtracting the weighted mean

$$P_w u + \frac{(u,1) - (wP_w u,1)}{(w,1)}.$$

We note that the weighted average of this corrected quantity is the same as the weighted average of the projection $\Pi_w u$

$$\left(w\left(P_w u + \frac{(u,1) - (wP_w u,1)}{(w,1)}\right), 1\right) = \left(wP_w u + \left(\frac{(u,1) - (wP_w u,1)}{(w,1)}\right)w, 1\right) = (u,1) = (w\Pi_w u,1). \quad (3)$$

For vector functions u(x), the weight can be matrix-valued. Extending the weighted average correction in (3) to the matrix-valued case can be done as follows

$$P_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \left(\int_{D^k} \boldsymbol{W}\right)^{-1} \left((\boldsymbol{u},1) - (\boldsymbol{W}P_{\boldsymbol{W}}\boldsymbol{u},1)\right).$$

The main difference here is that the inverse of the averaged weight matrix $(\int_{D^k} \mathbf{W})^{-1}$ must be computed. The matrix-weighted average of this corrected quantity is high order accurate and equal to $(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{u}, 1)$.