

Lecture 10c: Reasoning About Correctness

Spontaneous Right Action

So far in the course

◆ Important basic data structures

- Arrays, Lists, Sequences, Trees, Priority Queues, Heaps, Dictionaries, Hash Tables, and Binary Search Trees
- Search Trees

◆ Important algorithms

- Sorting (insertion, heap, PQ, merge, Quick, bucket, radix)
- Searching (Dictionary: binary search, hash table, BST)
- Selection (Quick, deterministic) **not covered this time**

◆ Design strategies

- Exhaustive Search, Divide-and-Conquer, Prune-and-Search, and randomization

◆ Solution to recurrences

◆ Amortized analysis (**average behavior over a large number of times running the algorithm**)

Reasoning About Loops

- ◆ Make sure the loop has a goal and it is progressing toward that goal each time through the loop
 - Make sure the loop invariant holds every time at the start and end of the loop body
- ◆ Make sure the loop terminates
 - Make sure the loop is making progress toward the terminating condition
- ◆ Check boundary conditions
 - E.g., check size 0, 1, n

What is the loop invariant?

- ◆ An assertion that is necessarily true immediately before and immediately after each iteration of a loop
- ◆ Could be false part way through the loop, but must be re-established before the end of the loop body
- ◆ **The invariant at termination of the loop should imply the goal of the loop has been achieved!!!!**

Binary Search Algorithm (What's wrong)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: key *k* and Sequence *S* storing *n* items, sorted by *item.key()*

Output: the value associated with key *k* or **NO_SUCH_KEY**

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow *S.size()* - 1

while *low* < *high* **do**

mid \leftarrow (*low* + *high*)/2

if *k* = *key*(*S.elemAtRank*(*mid*)) **then**

return *value*(*S.elemAtRank*(*mid*))

if *k* < *key*(*S.elemAtRank*(*mid*)) **then**

high \leftarrow *mid* - 1

else

low \leftarrow *mid* + 1

return **NO_SUCH_KEY**

Error in binary search

- ◆ Does not handle the case when low equals high (boundary condition)
 - When the segment is size 1, the key may not be found because we do not enter the loop

Binary Search Algorithm (Corrected)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: key *k* and Sequence *S* storing *n* items, sorted by item.key()

Output: the value associated with key *k* or **NO_SUCH_KEY**

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low \leq high **do**

 mid \leftarrow (low + high)/2

if *k* = key(S.elemAtRank(mid)) **then**

 return value(S.elemAtRank(mid))

if *k* < key(S.elemAtRank(mid)) **then**

 high \leftarrow mid - 1

else

 low \leftarrow mid + 1

return NO_SUCH_KEY

Introducing Errors through Copy-Paste

- ◆ We wish to have only one key comparison during each iteration of the loop
- ◆ So we copy from above version then modify as described
 - Move the check for equality after the loop
 - Now we do not exit the loop early however we do half the key comparisons during each iteration

Binary Search Algorithm (what's wrong? Look at red)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, accessed by keys()

Output: An element of *S* with key *k*.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low \leq high do

 mid \leftarrow (low + high)/2

 if $k < \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // one key comparison per iteration

 high \leftarrow mid - 1

 else

 low \leftarrow mid + 1

if $k = \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // done once outside the loop now

 return *value*(S.elemAtRank(mid))

else

 return **NO_SUCH_KEY**

Errors

- ◆ Does not handle a Sequence with 0 elements
 - **mid** is not initialized since loop is not entered and, further, it cannot be initialized to handle an empty Sequence
- ◆ Does not handle a Sequence with 1 element that matches k
 - The else eliminates **mid** when it hasn't yet been eliminated, so delete the **+ 1** from the else branch

Binary Search Algorithm (better, but what else is wrong?)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, accessed by keys()

Output: An element of *S* with key *k*.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low \leq high do

 mid \leftarrow (low + high)/2

 if $k < \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // one key comparison per iteration

 high \leftarrow mid - 1

 else

 low \leftarrow mid // eliminate + 1 because mid has not been eliminated yet

if S.size() > 0 \wedge $k = \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // handles empty S

 return value(S.elemAtRank(mid))

else

 return NO_SUCH_KEY

Error: loop does not terminate

- ◆ Does not handle a Sequence with 1 item (or a segment with 1 item) when its key matches k
 - The loop does not terminate
 - ◆ Modify the loop condition from \leq to $<$ so the loop terminates when $high = low$ since low does not change when the key of the item equals k
- ◆ The rank mid may not contain the item with the key after fixing the loop's terminating condition
 - Either low or $high$ will contain the key if it is in the Sequence
 - Fixing this eliminates the need to initialize mid before the loop since mid will only be used inside the loop now

Binary Search Algorithm (red shows corrections)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, accessed by keys()

Output: An element of *S* with key *k*.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low $<$ high do

// needs to be $<$ to terminate

mid \leftarrow (low + high + 1)/2

// needs to be the ceiling to terminate

if $k <$ key(S.elemAtRank(mid)) then // one key comparison per iteration

high \leftarrow mid - 1

else

low \leftarrow mid // + 1 because mid has not been eliminated yet

if S.size() $>$ 0 \wedge $k =$ key(S.elemAtRank(high)) then // handles empty S

return value(S.elemAtRank(high)) // high or low contain matching key

else

return NO_SUCH_KEY

Binary Search Algorithm (change $<$ to \leq in the loop)

Algorithm BinarySearch(S, k):

***Input:** An ordered Sequence S storing n items, accessed by keys()*

***Output:** An element of S with key k .*

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow $S.size() - 1$

while low $<$ high do

// needs to be $<$ to terminate

mid \leftarrow (low + high + 1)/2

// needs to be the ceiling to terminate

if $k \leq \text{key}(S.\text{elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // change to \leq instead of $<$

high \leftarrow mid

// changed due to change of condition

else

low \leftarrow mid + 1

// changed due to change of condition

if $S.size() > 0 \wedge k = \text{key}(S.\text{elemAtRank}(\text{high}))$ then // handles empty S

return $\text{value}(S.\text{elemAtRank}(\text{high}))$ // high or low contain matching key

else

return **NO_SUCH_KEY**

Errors

- ◆ The loop does not always terminate
 - **mid** needs to be the floor of the expression otherwise **mid** and **high** do not/cannot change which causes non-termination

Binary Search Algorithm (loop now terminates)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, accessed by keys()

Output: An element of *S* with key *k*.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low $<$ high do

// needs to be $<$ to terminate

mid \leftarrow (low + high)/2

// needs to be the floor to terminate

if $k \leq \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then // changed to \leq instead of $<$

high \leftarrow mid // removed - 1 (since mid is not eliminated)

else

low \leftarrow mid + 1 // changed

if S.size() $>$ 0 \wedge $k = \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{low}))$ then // handles empty S

return value(S.elemAtRank(low)) // high or low contain matching key

else

return NO_SUCH_KEY

Why a third version?

- ◆ Depends on the purpose
- ◆ The third version is an improvement in the binary search used by the Lookup Table

Errors (none)

- ◆ Handles a Sequence with 0 elements
- ◆ Handles a Sequence with 1 element that matches the key k
- ◆ We do not want the **ceiling** $((high+low)/2)$ this time
- ◆ The loop terminates
 - **mid** is initialized correctly with the floor of the expression (does not add 1)
- ◆ Handles a Sequence with 2 elements (or a segment with 2 elements) with one matching the key k
 - Two cases: first and second element
- ◆ Finds the key when it is in the Sequence by using rank **low** although could have left it as **high**

The loop invariant of the loop in function BinarySearch

if the key k is in the Sequence S , then

$$S.\text{elemAtRank}(\text{low}) \leq k \leq S.\text{elemAtRank}(\text{high})$$

- Informally, if key k is in the Sequence S , then k is the key of an item in S at a rank between low and high



Is it worth exiting early from
the loop?

Binary Search Algorithm

(Two comparisons per iteration)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, accessed by keys()

Output: An element of *S* with key *k*.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low \leq high do

 mid \leftarrow (low + high)/2

 if $k = \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then {exit early from the loop}

 return value(S.elemAtRank(mid))

 else if $k < \text{key}(\text{S.elemAtRank}(\text{mid}))$ then

 high \leftarrow mid - 1

 else

 low \leftarrow mid + 1

return **NO_SUCH_KEY**

Binary Search Algorithm

(One comparison per iteration)

Algorithm BinarySearch(*S*, *k*):

Input: An ordered Sequence *S* storing *n* items, sorted by keys()

Output: An item of *S* with key *k* and rank between low & high.

low \leftarrow 0

high \leftarrow S.size() - 1

while low < high do

 mid \leftarrow (low + high + 1)/2

 if *k* < key(S.elemAtRank(mid)) then {always does log n comparisons}

 high \leftarrow mid - 1

 else

 low \leftarrow mid // + 1 does not yet eliminate mid

if S.size() > 0 \wedge *k* = key(S.elemAtRank(low)) then

 return value(S.elemAtRank(low))

else

 return **NO_SUCH_KEY**

Homework

- ◆ Both algorithms make $O(\log n)$ key comparisons
- ◆ Which algorithm makes fewer actual key comparisons when the key is not in S ?
- ◆ Which makes fewer comparisons, **on average**, when the key is in S , assuming all keys are equally likely to be searched?

What's Wrong with this In Place Version of Partition

Algorithm *inPlacePartition*(*S*, *lo*, *hi*)

Input Sequence *S* and ranks *lo* and *hi*, $0 \leq lo \leq hi < S.size()$

Output the pivot is now stored at its sorted rank

p \leftarrow *a random integer between lo and hi*

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*lo*), *S.atRank*(*p*))

pivot \leftarrow *S.elemAtRank*(*lo*)

j \leftarrow *lo* + 1

k \leftarrow *hi*

while *j* \leq *k* **do**

while *k* > *j* \wedge *S.elemAtRank*(*k*) \geq *pivot* **do**

k \leftarrow *k* - 1

while *j* < *k* \wedge *S.elemAtRank*(*j*) \leq *pivot* **do**

j \leftarrow *j* + 1

if *j* < *k* **then**

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*j*), *S.atRank*(*k*))

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*lo*), *S.atRank*(*k*)) {move pivot to sorted rank}

return *k*

Error

- ◆ Does not terminate!
- ◆ Some swaps could incorrectly or unnecessarily move elements/items

Corrected In Place Version of Partition

Algorithm *inPlacePartition*(*S*, *lo*, *hi*)

Input Sequence *S* and ranks *lo* and *hi*, $0 \leq lo \leq hi < S.size()$

Output the pivot is now stored at its sorted rank

p \leftarrow *a random integer between lo and hi*

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*lo*), *S.atRank*(*p*))

pivot \leftarrow *S.elemAtRank*(*lo*)

j \leftarrow *lo* + 1

k \leftarrow *hi*

while *j* \leq *k* **do**

while *k* \geq *j* \wedge *S.elemAtRank*(*k*) \geq *pivot* **do**

k \leftarrow *k* - 1

while *j* \leq *k* \wedge *S.elemAtRank*(*j*) \leq *pivot* **do**

j \leftarrow *j* + 1

if *j* < *k* **then**

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*j*), *S.atRank*(*k*))

S.swapElements(*S.atRank*(*lo*), *S.atRank*(*k*)) {move pivot to sorted rank}

return *k*